Radiology in the research environment

Clinicians and epidemiologists at McMaster University in Canada coined the term ‘evidence-based medicine’ in 1988.1 The Scottish physician Dr Archibald Leman Cochrane (1909 - 1988) was a pioneer of evidence-based medicine; the Cochrane Library database of systemic reviews was named after him. Knowledge exchange occurs between researchers and the users of research, whereby research is used to change policy and planning or practice and systems. The translation of best evidence integrated with healthcare practices conduces to saving money and lives.

Translational research is viewed as a solution to the disparity between evidence-based medicine2-4 in the growing field of basic biomedical research and its application in creating sustainable health solutions (‘from bench to bedside’). More recently, it has been viewed as a two-way exchange whereby clinical data and human trials inspire biomedical insights (‘from bedside to bench’).5 There are now several journals dedicated to translational research, including The American Journal of Translational Research and Translational Research.

In imaging research, the process would include the development of more sensitive and specific imaging techniques; assessment of their performance in clinical practice; and investigation of their implementation at an epidemiological level in changing the standard of care in imaging.6 The translation of research in imaging technology to its application in clinical medicine is a perfect illustration of a successful translational pathway that has had a huge impact on diagnostic and interventional medicine. Magnetic resonance and computed tomography imaging, balloon angioplasty and mammography5 were ranked among physicians as 3 of the top 5 medical innovations in the last 30 years. To facilitate collaborations between stakeholders in clinical and translational research, institutions such as John Hopkins have established dedicated support structures for translational research.

The World Health Report 20137 argues that health coverage cannot be achieved without the evidence provided by scientific research. The volume of research on health issues in resource-limited settings has increased, but investigators beyond Africa’s borders tend to determine the research agenda.8,9 It follows that the development and implementation of radiology protocols specific to the type of pathology and trauma encountered in South Africa would have a greater impact on local health priorities. Developing skills to deliver health research is necessary for every country’s development. Incorporating these skills at the undergraduate and postgraduate training level is therefore essential.

Radiology incorporated into research performed by other specialties can result in radiologists falling behind competing specialties where high-quality research is performed. The lack of research initiated by the radiologist stems from a lack of funding and dedicated research time, as a result of ever-increasing workloads in clinically demanding environments.

In conclusion, then, I wish to emphasise that radiologists assuming a key role in multidisciplinary research communities would be in a position to have a direct and beneficial impact on radiology practices.
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CORRECTION

Please note that the caption to Fig. 3b on page 103 of the August 2013 SAJR is incorrect. It should read:

**Fig. 3b. Fetal MRI, coronal T2, in the same fetus, demonstrating enlarged echogenic kidneys. This finding in conjunction with the molar tooth sign is consistent with a diagnosis of the JSRD renal subtype.**

The online text has been corrected. The DOI link to the article concerned is: http://dx.doi.org/10.7196/SAJR.899

The publishers of the SAJR apologise for this error.