Microporosity of a Guanidinium Organodisulfonate Hydrogen-Bonded Framework
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Abstract: Guanidinium organosulfonate (GSs) are a large and well-explored archetypal family of hydrogen-bonded organic host frameworks that have, over the past 25 years, been regarded as nonporous. Reported here is the only example to date of a conventionally microporous GS host phase, namely guanidinium 1,4-benzenedisulfonate (p-G$_2$BDS). p-G$_2$BDS is obtained from its acetone solvate, AcMe@G$_2$BDS$_{ac}$, by single-crystal-to-single-crystal (SC-SC) desolvation, and exhibits a Type I low-temperature/pressure N$_2$ sorption isotherm ($S_{BET}=408.7(2)$ m$^2$g$^{-1}$, 77 K). SC-SC sorption of N$_2$, CO$_2$, Xe, and AcMe by p-G$_2$BDS is explored under various conditions and X-ray diffraction provides a measurement of the high-pressure, room temperature Xe and CO$_2$ sorption isotherms. Though p-G$_2$BDS is formally metastable relative to the “collapsed”, nonporous polymorph, np-G$_2$BDS, a sample of p-G$_2$BDS survived for almost two decades under ambient conditions. np-G$_2$BDS reverts to zCO$_2@$p-G$_2$BDS or yXe@p-G$_2$BDS ($y,z =$ variable) when pressure of CO$_2$ or Xe, respectively, is applied.

Since their introduction in 1994,[1] guanidinium organosulfonate (GS) compounds have become one of the paramount successes in crystal engineering.[2,3] This class of compounds is represented by more than 500 crystalline members, with two- (2D) or three-dimensional (3D) frameworks in which 2D hydrogen-bonded GS sheets persist owing to multiple charge-assisted N–H···O hydrogen bonds (e.g. the quasi-hexagonal motif in Figure 1b). The most studied GS compounds are guanidinium organodisulfonates due to their reliable propensity to adopt topologically diverse, low-density frameworks that are predisposed to include a variety of guests.[3] The structural diversity in this family of materials stems from the pliability of these sheets and the ability of the sulfonate organic groups to project to either side of a sheet and form various architectures (e.g. 2D bilayer, 3D brick, 3D zig-zag, etc.) that enable accommodation of differently sized, shaped, and functionalized compounds as guests. In this manner, GS inclusion compounds have been used for small-molecule separations,[5] pheromone or luminophore binding,[6,7] structural analysis of target guests,[8] the design of...
nonlinear optical materials,9 the confinement of reactive species,10 chiral discrimination,11 etc.5,6

Recently, the acronym “HOF” has been coined to describe and name Hydrogen-bonded Organic Framework materials that exhibit persistent porosity.12 Unfortunately, the acronym obscures the myriad of hydrogen-bonded frameworks, such as GS hosts, that preceded the use of this term. To distinguish HOFs that exhibit porosity from those for which porosity has not (perhaps, yet) been established, in our view it is imperative to include the descriptor for the porous ones, that is, p-HOFs (p = porous). p-HOFs and other porous molecular solids13,14 have garnered much interest in recent years as they offer several advantages compared to 2D and 3D covalently connected microporous materials (e.g., zeolites, carbons, metal–organic and covalent–organic frameworks, intrinsically porous polymers). For example, their solubility enables solution processing (assembly/disassembly). Additionally, porous molecular solids derived from shape-persistent building blocks can be among the most chemically and thermally stable porous materials; some are essentially incollapsible, as their most thermodynamically stable crystalline form is intrinsically porous.15–18 Of the porous molecular solids family, p-HOFs are of particular interest as they are amenable to structural design.19,20

Given the extraordinary propensity of GS compounds to form 2D and 3D frameworks that can be occupied by a myriad of guests, it is perhaps surprising that conventional porosity in this class of materials has not yet been formally demonstrated, likely because the focus has been primarily on their inclusion behavior. This is also remarkable given the recent demonstration of porosity in related alkyl ammonium sulfonates.21 Indeed, the frameworks of GS inclusion compounds are generally thought to “collapse” upon guest removal and few such guest-free structures are known.22 Of the 210 reported guanidinium organosulfonate structures in the Cambridge Structural Database, only five are guest-free, exhibiting appreciable empty space (≥ 25 Å3), and only one of those compounds23 has been demonstrated to also include guests, albeit in different topologies. There are, however, a few reports of GS compounds exhibiting behavior related to porosity, including single-crystal-to-single-crystal (SC-SC) exchange of included solvents,23,24 SC-SC dehydration25 and even a report of supposed porosity.26 Nevertheless, the “burden of proof”—26 (demonstration of an open, empty structure that can be reversibly permeated by guests) has never been met. We report here unequivocal evidence of an evacuated, open, conventionally microporous phase of a quintessential GS compound, guanidinium 1,4-benzenedisulfonate (G-S,BDS or G,BDS, Figure 1), that reversibly sorbs gases. The porous phase (p-G,BDS) is found to be metastable relative to its “collapsed” and nonporous crystalline polymorph (np-G,BDS), yet a nearly two-decades-old sample of G,BDS was found intact as the open, porous form. Moreover, we show that the collapsed form can readily be returned to the porous form by application and release of gas pressure. This work places the long-known GS host framework compounds squarely into the family of contemporary p-HOFs, thereby broadening the potential applications of these materials to gas separation/storage, amphidynamic materials,27 etc.

Some of us have previously reported that G,BDS can crystallize in several topologically distinct forms, depending on the guest.13 Of these, the bilayer structure was targeted for study due to its relative rigidity and because it offers the smallest volume for inclusion of guests, suggesting that it may yield a kinetically and thermodynamically stable porous crystal form. The bilayer guest@G,BDS structure consists of pairs of the typical quasi-hexagonal 2D hydrogen-bonded sheets connected via aryl struts such that all the struts of each sheet project nearly orthogonally from the same side of the sheet (a topology with all organic groups “up” or all “down”, Figure 1a,b). The pillars leave space for guest molecules within the bilayers, which stack in 3D via ionic interactions. Precipitation of G,BDS from methanol by vapor diffusion of small antisolvents (e.g., THF,4 acetonitrile (AcMe)) gives bilayer-type solvates. The AcMe@G,BDS solvate was chosen for study as the high volatility and low surface tension of acetone suggested the material would be amenable to evacuation under mild conditions.20 Indeed, AcMe@G,BDS crystals desolvate fully at room temperature (RT, SI-2.1.2.) within a day, or within minutes at 120°C. In fact, obtaining a fully occupied AcMe@G,BDS structure requires rapid transfer of single crystals from the mother liquor to the 100 K variable-temperature N2 stream (VTN,S), whereas exposure of the crystals to air for even a couple of minutes during mounting resulted in structures that appeared to be only partially solvated. As the majority of those crystals seemed to retain single crystallinity, we sought to formally establish the SC-SC nature of the desolvation process and characterize the partially solvated and empty, porous p-G,BDS phases by monitoring several crystals by single-crystal X-ray diffraction (SCXRD). A freshly grown crystal of AcMe@G,BDS (Crystal 1) was quickly mounted in the VTN,S at 100 K. X-ray analysis revealed that the triclinic crystal adopts a bilayer architecture that is similar to that of the previously reported THF@G,BDS solvate, with inversion-disordered acetone molecules residing in the cavities (Figure 2a,b). When the same crystal was allowed to warm to RT, the crystal proved to be the fully desolvated p-G,BDS phase, adopting a higher symmetry monoclinic setting. The bilayer architecture is retained in p-G,BDS, however, and it is otherwise geometrically very similar to the 100 K AcMe@G,BDS phase, but the aryl struts were found to be highly—and almost certainly dynamically—disordered. SQUEEZE29 analysis (1 e /G,BDS) suggested that the crystal had completely lost its solvent (Figure 2c). The p-G,BDS structure exhibits a significant solvent-accessible void volume (static: 34%, continuous 2D pore network, or dynamic: 17%, 0D pores; SI-2.2.2.). The formal porosity of p-G,BDS became further apparent when Crystal 1 was slowly cooled back to 100 K under the VTN,S. Again, no loss of single crystallinity was observed. Structural analysis revealed that the cooled p-G,BDS crystal remained as the monoclinic bilayer structure (Figure 2d), but the aryl struts were found to be disordered over only two, nearly superimposable positions. Significant residual electron density within the pores suggests that the cavities at 100 K are largely occupied by N2, which was modeled over two positions as 0.92N2@G,BDS.
A second crystal of AcMe@G\textsubscript{BDS} (Crystal 2) was more slowly mounted into the 100 K VTN\textsubscript{S}, resulting in the structure of a partial solvate, 0.63AcMe@G\textsubscript{BDS}. The framework structure of 0.63AcMe@G\textsubscript{BDS} is more similar to 0.92N\textsubscript{a}@G\textsubscript{BDS} than fully solvated AcMe@G\textsubscript{BDS}, except that partially occupied AcMe molecules clearly reside in the pores at positions nearly identical to those in AcMe@G\textsubscript{BDS}. Warming the crystal to 350 K (SI-2.2.3.2.) resulted in a p-G\textsubscript{BDS} with no residual electron density in the pores (0e/\textsubscript{cell} by SQUEEZE).

In an attempt to slow the desolvation process and determine the RT structure of AcMe@G\textsubscript{BDS}, another crystal of AcMe@G\textsubscript{BDS} (Crystal 3) was coated with epoxy glue. The 100 K structure proved it to be the triclinic, fully occupied AcMe@G\textsubscript{BDS}. Crystal 3 was then warmed to RT and structural analysis revealed a monoclinic form of AcMe@G\textsubscript{BDS}. As with 0.63AcMe@G\textsubscript{BDS} at 100 K, the aryl struts of AcMe@G\textsubscript{BDS} at RT are disordered over two nearly superimposable positions, but the cavities are fully occupied by acetone (modelled over two positions). Cooling Crystal 3 again to 100 K yields the triclinic AcMe@G\textsubscript{BDS} form. Thus, cycling AcMe@G\textsubscript{BDS} between RT and 100 K induces a reversible monoclinic-to-triclinic phase transition due to freezing out the slight disorder of the aryl struts. There is otherwise almost no change in structure; considered in equal settings (SI-2.2.4.2.), the unit cells of the triclinic (100 K) and monoclinic (RT) forms of AcMe@G\textsubscript{BDS} do not differ by more than \( \pm 1.9\% \) in any parameter. Similarly, the RT lattice dimensions of AcMe@G\textsubscript{BDS} are within 1\% of those of p-G\textsubscript{BDS}, except for a 2.5\% decrease in the \( \beta \) angle that allows differentiation of the occupied AcMe@G\textsubscript{BDS} and empty p-G\textsubscript{BDS} phases by PXRD (Figure S9).

Crystal 3 was then cycled between RT and 100 K until a partially desolvated structure (monoclinic, 0.68AcMe@G\textsubscript{BDS}), was obtained at RT. The RT framework structure of 0.68AcMe@G\textsubscript{BDS} resembles p-G\textsubscript{BDS}, with aryl rings disordered over multiple positions, yet the pores remain partially occupied by acetone. Finally, a crystal of p-G\textsubscript{BDS} taken from the oven (120°C) was placed into acetone for about one minute and then analyzed by SCXRD at 100 K. The obtained structure—redundant with the fully occupied AcMe@G\textsubscript{BDS} form—demonstrates the reversibility of the SC-SC (de)solvation process.

The crystallographic results clearly establish the existence of the empty, p-G\textsubscript{BDS} bilayer phase and its permeability to acetone and dinitrogen. The degree of aryl strut disorder observed for RT \( \times \)AcMe@G\textsubscript{BDS} structures suggests that permeation of AcMe in/out of G\textsubscript{BDS} at RT occurs concurrently with turnstile-like rotation of the aryl struts. The turnstile mechanism also rationalizes how acetone molecules can leave the AcMe@G\textsubscript{BDS} material easily at RT, without significant deformation of the framework structure, despite the fact that AcMe resides in seemingly isolated cavities and not in continuous pores (SI-2.2.2.).

Bulk p-G\textsubscript{BDS} was prepared by drying AcMe@G\textsubscript{BDS} in an oven at 120°C, and was characterized by PXRD, NMR, TGA, DSC, and porosity experiments (SI-2.1.3., SI-2.5.1.). p-G\textsubscript{BDS} is formally ultramicroporous (SI-2.3.) and exhibits fully reversible Type I gas sorption isotherms (no hysteresis), with the amount of gas absorbed per G\textsubscript{BDS} at \( \approx \) 1 bar equal to 1.77 N\textsubscript{2} (111.5(2) cm\textsuperscript{3}\textsubscript{g}\textsuperscript{-1} (STP), 77 K), 0.550 CO\textsubscript{2} (34.54- (7) cm\textsuperscript{3}\textsubscript{g}\textsuperscript{-1} (STP), 298 K), and 0.756 Xe (47.50(5) cm\textsuperscript{3}\textsubscript{g}\textsuperscript{-1} (STP), 298 K). The N\textsubscript{2} sorption isotherm (Figure 3a) gives a BET surface area of 408.7(2) m\textsuperscript{2}\textsubscript{g}\textsuperscript{-1} and a pore volume of 102 Å\textsuperscript{3} per G\textsubscript{BDS}, suggesting that the rotation of aryl rings is at least partially hindered by the N\textsubscript{2} guest at 77 K (SI-2.2.2.2.), consistent with the 100 K 0.92N\textsubscript{a}@G\textsubscript{BDS} crystal structure. To explore the high-pressure sorption behavior of p-G\textsubscript{BDS}, we selected two single crystals of p-G\textsubscript{BDS} and mounted...
The crystals were eventually prepared by evaporation from solution and stored in glass vials under ambient conditions—revealed it to be the phase-pure, nonporous polymorph (SI-2.5.2.), Pure \( p\)-G\(_{4}\)BDS was eventually prepared by evaporation from methanol, allowing for SCXRD (SI-2.5.2.), DSC (Figure S26), and sorption analysis (Figure 3a).

Importantly, \( np\)-G\(_{4}\)BDS does not adopt the typical quasi-hexagonal hydrogen-bonded network structure. Instead, the framework is collapsed such that each guanidinium cation is bound to five different sulfonate groups via N–H⋯O bonds (SI-2.5.2.) that collectively appear to be less favorable (slightly longer, and less linear) than those in \( p\)-G\(_{4}\)BDS. In addition, the ordered nature of \( np\)-G\(_{4}\)BDS suggests that it is less entropically favored than the dynamic \( p\)-G\(_{4}\)BDS form. On the other hand, \( np\)-G\(_{4}\)BDS (\( \rho = 1.61 \text{ gcm}^{-3} \)) is considerably more dense than \( p\)-G\(_{4}\)BDS (\( \rho = 1.12 \text{ gcm}^{-3} \)). As a result of the interplay of these effects, \( np\)-G\(_{4}\)BDS is the slightly more thermodynamically stable polymorph (in the range of RT–\( \approx 185^\circ\text{C} \)), as evidenced by the observed transformation and the fact that, occasionally, crystals of \( np\)-G\(_{4}\)BDS were observed to convert to \( np\)-G\(_{4}\)BDS spontaneously under ambient conditions.

Interestingly, despite being metastable relative to \( np\)-G\(_{4}\)BDS, \( p\)-G\(_{4}\)BDS exhibits remarkable kinetic stability; porous \( p\)-G\(_{4}\)BDS can crystallize from the melt, and single crystals can typically withstand a variety of conditions (gas sorption/evacuation, solvation/desolvation, and heating to at least 130°C). In fact, PXRD analysis of an 18-year-old sample of \( G\(_{4}\)BDS—originally prepared by precipitation of \( G\(_{4}\)BDS from MeOH with acetone—and stored in glass vials under ambient conditions—revealed it to be the phase-pure, porous \( p\)-G\(_{4}\)BDS form (Figures S1–S3)! Furthermore, due to the small energy difference between the \( p\)-G\(_{4}\)BDS and \( np\)-G\(_{4}\)BDS, and demonstrated affinity of the former towards polarizable gases at room temperature, we hypothesized that pressurization of \( np\)-G\(_{4}\)BDS with gases could induce a struc-
tural transformation to a gas-occupied p-G@BDS phase similar to that described for other porous molecular solids.[32] Indeed, pressurizing np-G@BDS with either 30 bar of CO$_2$ or 21.5 bar of Xe results in full conversion to the gas-occupied open forms, zCO$_2$@G@BDS or yXe@G@BDS (Figure S31), providing a reliable means to regenerate the p-G@BDS phase after collapse.

In conclusion, we have demonstrated persistent porosity and explored the rich phase behavior and gas sorption characteristics of G@BDS, one of the simplest members of an archetypal class of hydrogen-bonded frameworks, the guanidinium organosulfonates. Though p-G@BDS is metastable relative to its nonporous polymorph (np-G@BDS), it can be kinetically stable on a timescale of decades, and thereby formally predates most contemporary examples of p-HOFs. Even after collapse, the open p-G@BDS framework can be regenerated from np-G@BDS by simply applying gas pressure. This discovery opens the door for the well-established group of GS frameworks to be used in the fields of porous molecular solids, gas sorption/separations, and as amphidynamic crystals.
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[22] CSD entry QOWWII represents guest-free guanidinium octa-nedisulfonate (see ref. [4]), for which three inclusion compounds have also been reported, none of which adopt the architecture of the guest-free compound.
[25] A bilayer phase of guanidinium 1,5-naphthalenedisulfonate (G$_2$NDS) was reported to be a member of a “new class of porous proton-conductors”: A. Karmarak, R. Illathalavappil, B. Anothumakkool, A. Sen, P. Samanta, A. V. Desai, S. Karunog, S. K. Ghosh, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2016, 55, 10667; Angew. Chem. 2016, 128, 10825; The purported porous G$_2$NDS phase did not, however, show uptake of N$_2$ (77 K), H$_2$ (77 K), or O$_2$ (195 K) at low temperature/pressure. The PXRD patterns of the G$_2$NDS phases, including the purported porous G$_2$NDS phase, indicate the presence of impurities. Moreover, TGA analysis of the purported empty G$_2$NDS phase revealed a mass loss (4–5%), and the only reported crystal structure was that of an undefined G$_2$NDS inclusion compound (CSD ref. code: IWOYAW).
Data at 15 bar CO$_2$ is omitted because instrument access issues required an equilibration time that was longer than the time-frame over which the gas-tight nature of the gas cell could be guaranteed.