First-pass determination of the right ventricular ejection fraction using two regions of interest and the right anterior oblique view
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Summary
The right ventricular ejection fraction (RVEF) was determined on the right anterior oblique view in 9 patients during the first pass of a bolus of technetium-99m employing a gamma camera with high count-rate capability. The RVEF was calculated by using: (i) a fixed end-diastolic region of interest (ROI); and (ii) an end-diastolic and end-systolic ROI. Because of the movement of the tricuspid plane the first of these methods often gave low values, and agreement between the first two peaks was not as good as that when the second method was used. The mean for the second method was in agreement with that in a previous study using a gated first-pass technique and two ROIs but was somewhat higher than those reported by workers using either one ROI or the anterior view.

Evaluation of right ventricular performance in clinical medicine is often difficult. The clinical signs of lung disease characterized by hyperinflation overlap with those of failure and hypertrophy of the right ventricle. The presence of air between the heart and the thoracic wall makes echocardiographic evaluation of the heart impossible.1 The ECG changes due to right ventricular overload are frequently subtle in chronic obstructive pulmonary disease, and the patterns of systolic overload or right ventricular hypertrophy are rarely seen.2 The estimation of chamber size from chest radiographs is difficult in the presence of overinflation of the lungs.3

In view of this, radionuclide determination of the right ventricular ejection fraction (RVEF) has been examined and found useful. Marshall et al.4 studied 34 patients with chronic obstructive airway disease and found 17 with a reduced RVEF (38 ± 2%). In addition they found a clinical application, namely a significant increase in the RVEF in the presence of therapeutic blood levels of the bronchodilator aminophylline. Winzelberg1 has discussed the conditions in which a decreased RVEF may be observed.

Although the RVEF could be obtained from a gated blood pool study at equilibrium,5 first-pass radionuclide cardioangiography is preferred by many because of temporal and
anatomical separation of radioactivity within each ventricle during the first transit.\textsuperscript{10}

First-pass radionuclide studies of the right ventricle were performed by Steele \textit{et al.}\textsuperscript{11} and Tobinick \textit{et al.}\textsuperscript{6} using the right anterior oblique (RAO) view with a single region of interest (ROI), while Berger \textit{et al.}\textsuperscript{10} used the anterior view and a single ROI with a multicrystal camera. Maddahi \textit{et al.}\textsuperscript{9} also used the anterior view for their first-pass study. They found it important to use separate ROIs for end-systolic and end-diastolic images because at end-systole, when the right atrium has maximal radioactivity, it is partially pulled into the end-diastolic right ventricular ROI on the anterior view.

However, the best separation between right atrium and right ventricle is obtained on the RAO view.\textsuperscript{6} The purpose of our study was to evaluate the influence of tricuspid movement in determining the RVEF with an end-systolic and end-diastolic ROI from the RAO view using a camera with high count-rate capability (the Elscint Apex 415 in ‘fast’ mode).

**Methods**

Nine patients who were scheduled for bone scans in the nuclear medicine clinic were studied. The 15 mCi technetium-99m (\textsuperscript{99m}Tc)-pyrophosphate dose for bone scanning was given as a bolus in the right medial cubital vein. The first-transit data were acquired in the RAO 15\textdegree view with a 20\textdegree caudal tilt and the patient in the supine position. This gave the best separation between the right atrium and right ventricle (Fig. 1). A super-high-sensitivity collimator (the Elscint APC 1) with a large-field-of-view camera employing a 20\% window was used.

In order to test the linearity of the camera at high count rates, samples containing known masses of pertechnetate were counted under the camera, and a curve of observed count rate against \textsuperscript{99m}Tc activity was drawn.

Data were acquired for 30 seconds at 20 frames per second in a 64 x 64 byte matrix using a zoom factor of 2. On replay the images were displayed 64 at a time on a monitor, and an end-diastolic and an end-systolic image were chosen visually (Fig. 2) or by examining a time-activity curve obtained from a preliminary ROI around the right ventricle. The images between these two frames were added together two by two to improve statistics, zoomed four times, and shown in cine format to give optimal identification of the tricuspid and pulmonary valve planes. The tricuspid valve plane was well visualized by its movement towards the apex during systole. The pulmonary valve plane could be seen as a constriction or the boundary between regions of high activity in the pulmonary artery and regions of low activity in the right ventricle on the end-diastolic image. End-diastolic and end-systolic ROIs were drawn around the right ventricle (Fig. 3). Smoothed time-activity curves of these two regions were generated from the original set of frames. The RVEF was calculated in two ways: (i) by using the maxima and subsequent minima on the end-diastolic ROI curve (i.e. a single ROI); and (ii) by using the maxima on the end-diastolic ROI curve and the subsequent minima on the end-systolic ROI curve (points X and Y in Fig. 4) (i.e. utilizing two ROIs).

Even if more than two peaks were present analysis was limited to the first and second peaks, since the third and later peaks were generally less well defined and identification of the end-diastolic and end-systolic points was not so accurate. Counts were also determined in a background area around the perimeter of the apex of the ventricle.

A time-activity curve was also drawn using a small ROI around the superior vena cava (SVC) in order to assess the quality of the bolus. The transit time of the bolus in the SVC was calculated by measuring the full width of the time-activity curve at the 0.369 level of the maximum.\textsuperscript{12}
Fig. 4. Time-activity curves obtained from end-diastolic (ED) and end-systolic (ES) ROIs. The RVEF is calculated from points X and Y. Curve BKG indicates background from an area around the perimeter of the ventricular apex, normalized to end-diastolic area.

Results

The maximum count rate observed during acquisition in the field of view of the camera was typically 130 000 counts per second. At this count rate the camera showed a 15% loss of counts in the fast mode using a 20% window. The transit time of the bolus in the SVC was less than 1.7 seconds in all cases.

Ejection fractions calculated by the two methods are given in Table I for the first and second peaks on the time-activity curve. For each method the absolute percentage difference from the mean obtained from the first and second peaks is given. The mean ejection fraction (± SE) obtained using a single ROI was 34.1 ± 2.5% (range 22.9 - 51.2%), while the value obtained when both an end-diastolic and an end-systolic ROI were used was 63.9 ± 1.84% (range 54.1 - 72.0%).

Discussion

Count rates of the order of 130 000 counts per second over the full-field view were obtained using a super-high-sensitivity collimator giving on the average 3.7 K counts in the end-diastolic ROI at the first peak and 1.3 K counts at the subsequent minimum. This gives a typical standard error of 1.1 in the ejection fraction percentage. At 130 000 observed counts per second a 15% loss in counts is present. However, since the total counts in the field of view during the period of analysis were constant to within 12%, dead-time did not introduce any significant error.

The right ventricle is described by Strauss et al. as follows: ‘The pyramidal shaped right ventricle has its base situated at the tricuspid valve plane. In a 70 kg adult, end-diastolic volume of the chamber is approximately 165 ml. During ventricular systole, the tricuspid valve plane moves towards the left ventricle while the apex of the right ventricle moves slightly towards the base of the right ventricle. The pulmonary outflow tract contracts in some patients.’ The movement of the tricuspid valve plane is often seen in gated blood pool scans from the anterior view.

When one ROI is used, the right atrium is therefore drawn into this region at end-systole to a variable extent (Fig. 5). Accordingly the end-systolic counts become falsely high with a concomitant decrease in the ejection fraction,

\[
EF = \left[ 1 - \frac{ES}{ED} \right] \times 100, 
\]

where \( EF \) = the ejection fraction, \( ES \) = end-systolic counts, and \( ED \) = end-diastolic counts.

It is possible that a portion of the right atrium is also included when the end-diastolic ROI is drawn; this would falsely elevate the ejection fraction. However, the right atrial contribution to the end-diastolic ROI would be much smaller than that to the end-systolic ROI.

When two ROIs were used the mean RVEF was therefore significantly higher and showed less fluctuation between the two values obtained from the first two peaks. Although the use of two ROIs has been mentioned in the literature, its importance is

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Patient</th>
<th>1st peak</th>
<th>2nd peak</th>
<th>Absolute difference (%)</th>
<th>1st peak</th>
<th>2nd peak</th>
<th>Absolute difference (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>32.0</td>
<td>43.8</td>
<td>31.0</td>
<td>57.0</td>
<td>58.4</td>
<td>2.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>27.7</td>
<td>37.7</td>
<td>30.6</td>
<td>59.2</td>
<td>65.3</td>
<td>6.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>51.2</td>
<td>48.9</td>
<td>4.6</td>
<td>65.0</td>
<td>61.7</td>
<td>3.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>26.0</td>
<td>41.0</td>
<td>44.8</td>
<td>68.8</td>
<td>66.6</td>
<td>2.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>30.7</td>
<td>29.8</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>67.5</td>
<td>66.6</td>
<td>0.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>22.9</td>
<td>29.7</td>
<td>25.9</td>
<td>68.8</td>
<td>67.7</td>
<td>1.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>30.1</td>
<td>32.9</td>
<td>8.9</td>
<td>54.1</td>
<td>51.5</td>
<td>4.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>36.7</td>
<td>33.9</td>
<td>7.9</td>
<td>67.4</td>
<td>63.7</td>
<td>3.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>26.0</td>
<td>32.7</td>
<td>22.8</td>
<td>72.0</td>
<td>68.8</td>
<td>3.2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Mean RVEF (± SE) 34.1 ± 2.5% 63.9 ± 1.84%

Mean absolute difference between peaks 19.9% 4.3%
often not realized. For example, in a recent publication 15 a protocol for calculating the RVEF using only a single ROI was recommended.

When two ROIs were used, we believe that the first peak gave the most reliable values since it had the highest counts, was generally well defined, and was free of any significant background contribution. Background subtraction made no significant difference to the ejection fraction obtained from the first peak, as might be expected. Although the average ejection fraction derived from the second peak was not significantly different from that derived from the first, in 2 patients background subtraction yielded values which differed significantly from the first, suggesting that in these cases the background ROI was not truly representative of the right ventricle. On the other hand, in 4 patients the third peak yielded ejection fraction values significantly different from the first two, with or without background subtraction. This is due to the fact that the third peak is not as well defined as the first, so that the end-diastolic and end-systolic points are not so easily identified.

Accordingly, in this study determination of the RVEF was limited to the first two cycles on the time-activity curve without background correction, since during this time background activity makes a negligible contribution. Using a camera with high count-rate capability this is preferable to applying a background correction, since during this time background activity contributes. Using a camera with limited to the first two cycles on the time-activity curve without high count-rate capability this is preferable to applying a background correction, since during this time background activity makes a negligible contribution. Using a camera with limited to the first two cycles on the time-activity curve without high count-rate capability this is preferable to applying a background correction, since during this time background activity makes a negligible contribution. Using a camera with limited to the first two cycles on the time-activity curve without high count-rate capability this is preferable to applying a background correction, since during this time background activity makes a negligible contribution. Using a camera with limited to the first two cycles on the time-activity curve without high count-rate capability this is preferable to applying a background correction, since during this time background activity makes a negligible contribution. Using a camera with limited to the first two cycles on the time-activity curve without high count-rate capability this is preferable to applying a background correction, since during this time background activity makes a negligible contribution. Using a camera with limited to the first two cycles on the time-activity curve without high count-rate capability this is preferable to applying a background correction, since during this time background activity makes a negligible contribution. Using a camera with limited to the first two cycles on the time-activity curve without high count-rate capability this is preferable to applying a background correction, since during this time background activity makes a negligible contribution. Using a camera with limited to the first two cycles on the time-activity curve without high count-rate capability this is preferable to applying a background correction, since during this time background activity makes a negligible contribution. Using a camera with limited to the first two cycles on the time-activity curve without high count-rate capability this is preferable to applying a background correction, since during this time background activity makes a negligible contribution. Using a camera with limited to the first two cycles on the time-activity curve without high count-rate capability this is preferable to applying a background correction, since during this time background activity makes a negligible contribution. Using a camera with limited to the first two cycles on the time-activity curve without high count-rate capability this is preferable to applying a background correction, since during this time background activity makes a negligible contribution. Using a camera with limited to the first two cycles on the time-activity curve without high count-rate capability this is preferable to applying a background correction, since during this time background activity makes a negligible contribution. Using a camera with limited to the first two cycles on the time-activity curve without high count-rate capability this is preferable to applying a background correction, since during this time background activity makes a negligible contribution. Using a camera with limited to the first two cycles on the time-activity curve without high count-rate capability this is preferable to applying a background correction, since during this time background activity makes a negligible contribution. Using a camera with limited to the first two cycles on the time-activity curve without high count-rate capability this is preferable to applying a background correction, since during this time background activity makes a negligible contribution. Using a camera with limited to the first two cycles on the time-activity curve without high count-rate capability this is preferable to applying a background correction, since during this time background activity makes a negligible contribution. Using a camera with limited to the first two cycles on the time-activity curve without high count-rate capability this is preferable to applying a background correction, since during this time background activity makes a negligible contribution. Using a camera with limited to the first two cycles on the time-activity curve without high count-rate capability this is preferable to applying a background correction, since during this time background activity makes a negligible contribution. Using a camera with limited to the first two cycles on the time-activity curve without high count-rate capability this is preferable to applying a background correction, since during this time background activity makes a negligible contribution. Using a camera with limited to the first two cycles on the time-activity curve without high count-rate capability this is preferable to applying a background correction, since during this time background activity makes a negligible contribution. Using a camera with limited to the first two cycles on the time-activity curve without high count-rate capability this is preferable to applying a background correction, since during this time background activity makes a negligible contribution. Using a camera with limited to the first two cycles on the time-activity curve without high count-rate capability this is preferable to applying a background correction, since during this time background activity makes a negligible contribution. Using a camera with limited to the first two cycles on the time-activity curve without high count-rate capability this is preferable to applying a background correction, since during this time background activity makes a negligible contribution. Using a camera with limited to the first two cycles on the time-activity curve without high count-rate capability this is preferable to applying a background correction, since during this time background activity makes a negligible contribution. Using a camera with limited to the first two cycles on the time-activity curve without high count-rate capability this is preferable to applying a background correction, since during this time background activity makes a negligible contribution. Using a camera with limited to the first two cycles on the time-activity curve without high count-rate capability this is preferable to applying a background correction, since during this time background activity makes a negligible contribution. Using a camera with limited to the first two cycles on the time-activity curve without high count-rate capability this is preferable to applying a background correct