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Objectives. To evaluate the willingness of medical doctors working at a tertiary hospital to participate in HIV vaccine trials, their perceptions of patients' willingness to participate, and the major reasons underlying these views.


Setting. A tertiary care hospital in the Western Cape.

Subjects. All medical doctors listed on the hospital's staff directory.

Outcome measures. Willingness to participate in, and to recruit patients into, HIV vaccine trials, and the reasons for this.

Results and conclusions. Of the 289 individuals surveyed, 80% stated either that they would not be willing to participate in HIV vaccine trials or that they were unsure about their participation. Meanwhile, 37% stated that they would be willing to recruit patients into vaccine trials. The most common concerns with trial participation were the possibility of vaccine-induced infection and the possibility of testing positive for antibodies to HIV. The surprisingly low level of willingness to participate in trials in this sample of medical professionals highlights the importance of preparatory work to overcome substantial barriers to HIV vaccine trial participation.

METHODS

A self-administered postal survey was conducted at a tertiary care hospital in the Western Cape between May and July 2001. A list of all medical doctors working at the hospital (N = 488) was obtained from the provincial and hospital administration. The survey comprised two rounds — a first mailing, and then a second mailing sent to those who did not respond to the first mailing.

The documents sent out to each participant in the first mailing contained a covering letter explaining the purpose of the study, who the researchers were, and the process that would be followed regarding responses together with a three-page questionnaire. The completed, anonymous questionnaire was to be sent back in a blank envelope enclosed in a second, coded outer envelope. All returned envelopes were processed by an independent third party, blinded to the study purpose, who checked the envelopes received against the original mailing list. The third party then sent a second mailing to all non-responders, again without the researchers being aware of respondents' identities. After the second round was completed, 344 responses were received (a 70% overall response rate); 289 were valid responses, and the remaining 55...
were the result of invalid addresses (most of whom were no longer employed at the hospital).

The questionnaire itself contained two questions on respondents' willingness to participate in phase I HIV vaccine trials as healthy volunteers — the first and last questions of the survey instrument. The remaining questions investigated willingness to recruit their patients into vaccine trials (phase II), and the reasons underlying participants' statements. All questions referred to the hypothetical use of a DNA subunit vaccine, not a live attenuated vaccine.

Data were analysed using SAS version 8.0 (SAS Corporation, Cary, USA). We dichotomised participant age into less than or equal to 40 years (versus over 40 years) of age; the proportion of time spent in clinical practice was divided into part-time clinical practice (less than 75% of time spent seeing patients) versus active clinical practice (more than 75% of time spent with patients). Chi-square and Fisher's exact tests were used to test for differences between proportions. Multiple logistical regression was used to test associations after adjustment for various participant characteristics; such adjustments did not alter the study results substantially, and as a result the unadjusted associations are reported here. Ethical approval for the study was provided by Subcommittee C, the Research Ethics Committee of the Faculty of Health Sciences, University of Stellenbosch.

RESULTS

Table I describes the demographic and professional characteristics of the 289 physicians responding to the survey. The first question of the survey investigated subjects' willingness to participate in HIV vaccine trials. Twenty per cent of respondents (N = 58) stated that they would be willing to participate, 39% (113) indicated that they would not participate, and 41% (118) said they were unsure. Female doctors were significantly less likely to be willing to participate compared with male doctors (P = 0.001). Of the physicians who expressed willingness to participate, most (76%, N = 44) gave as their motivation concern over the magnitude of the HIV pandemic and the urgency of finding a solution via scientific research.

Table II. Specific concerns regarding HIV vaccine trials among the 289 responding physicians
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doctors reporting this concern, $P = 0.003$) and those in active clinical practice (with 73% of those in active practice versus 61% of those not in active practice citing this concern, $P = 0.044$).

Overall, 39% of respondents ($N = 113$) stated that they would not be willing to recruit patients, 24% (69) were uncertain about recruitment, and only 37% (107) were willing to recruit. Female doctors were less likely to be willing to recruit patients (22%, versus 44% for male doctors; $P = 0.002$). Willingness to participate in vaccine trials was strongly associated with willingness to recruit patients into trials — 77% of doctors willing to participate in the trials themselves were also willing to recruit patients, while only 27% of doctors not willing to participate stated that they were prepared to recruit patients ($P < 0.001$).

The final question of the survey asked again about subjects' willingness to participate in vaccine trials to evaluate whether the act of completing the questionnaire and thinking through trial-related concerns may change respondents' opinions. When respondents were asked again, willingness to participate in a vaccine trial decreased substantially to 11% of all respondents ($N = 33$) stating their willingness (compared with 20% at the start of the survey).

**DISCUSSION**

This study highlights the views on HIV vaccine trial participation among a sample of the medical profession in South Africa. There are a number of community education programmes underway to raise awareness of HIV vaccine trials and the potential for participation, including projects focused in rural KwaZulu-Natal and Soweto as well as a national campaign. However, there are few data available on the willingness of various potential study populations to participate in vaccine trials, and there are no initiatives that target medical doctors in particular. As important 'gatekeepers' within many communities, the attitudes of healthcare workers are important determinants of local responses to HIV vaccines and the possibility of trial participation.

Our finding of a decrease in willingness to participate in HIV vaccine trials after being given additional information or time to consider the decision has been documented in a number of studies from France, Thailand, and the USA. In addition, the concerns regarding HIV vaccines noted by the participants in this study parallel those expressed in similar studies from other settings. The main concern regarding both doctors' own participation, and their perceptions of patients' willingness to participate, relate to potential of the vaccine to cause infection. Clearly this is a central issue that requires attention in existing community education initiatives, as well as detailed explanation in the recruitment and informed consent materials given to potential trial participants.

Perhaps the most surprising aspect of these results is related to the study population involved. Medical doctors are likely to possess a much better understanding of the role scientific research plays in improving health care, and we had anticipated that the levels of willingness to participate in HIV vaccine trials would be relatively high in this sample. The low levels of willingness documented here emphasise the importance of targeting HIV vaccine awareness materials at medical doctors and other health care professionals, and recognising more broadly that even relatively well-educated populations with substantial biomedical knowledge are likely to have major misconceptions about experimental HIV vaccines. As the search for an HIV vaccine continues, and South Africa moves closer to large-scale HIV vaccine trials, this study underscores the importance of continued, intensive preparatory work to overcome barriers to HIV vaccine trial participation in any population.
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