Gender representation in Christian book covers: A case study

In this article, we described how gender is represented on two Christian book covers by popular author, John Eldredge, namely *Wild at Heart. Discovering the Secret to a Man’s Soul* (2001) and *Captivating. Unveiling the Mystery of a Woman’s Soul* (2005). Through semiotic visual analysis, we explored how the active male–passive female opposition functions on these covers. This opposition is constructed by visually associating the male figure on the cover of *Wild at Heart* with active outdoor adventurism and the female figure on *Captivating* with passive situatedness in nature. The titles of the two books also contribute to positioning the male as active and the female as passive. We further investigated how certain myths are created on these covers in support of an active male–passive female opposition and its underlying ideologies. The cover of *Wild at Heart* creates and also taps into the colonial myth of conquest. The cover of *Captivating* creates and taps into the myth of the fairytale and visually represents the female figure in a whimsical manner, thus constructing her as a representation of the spiritual or divine. The article questioned the role this information design plays in prescribing the expectations of gendered identity.

**Introduction**


John Eldredge, born 06 June 1960, is an author, counsellor and lecturer who, after a brief encounter with Eastern mysticism, Lao-Tzu and New Age spirituality, became a Christian. He was apparently inspired by the writings of the renowned Swiss apologist, Francis Schaeffer, whose philosophical musings led Eldredge to commit himself to the Christian faith. So compelled was Eldredge by Christianity, that he eventually got a master’s degree in counselling and practiced as a Christian counsellor in Colorado Springs before working for Focus on the Family, the American evangelical nonprofit organisation founded in 1977 by James Dobson. In July 2000, Eldredge left Focus on the Family to launch Ransomed Heart Ministries, a ministry devoted to furthering evangelical nonprofit organisation founded in 1977 by James Dobson. In July 2000, Eldredge left Focus on the Family to launch Ransomed Heart Ministries, a ministry devoted to furthering Christian culture. In spite of harsh criticism from many who felt uncomfortable with Eldredge’s easy reductionism and determined essentialism he joined forces with his wife to, in 2005, bring what he termed the sacred romance between God and man, a relationship apparently ‘lost and shrouded by religion’ (Ransomed Heart Ministries 2009:1 of 2). Since then John Eldredge (as well as his wife, Stasi Eldredge) has become fuel for the recent flame of what might be termed pop-Christianity or the on-going commercialisation of faith.

*Wild at Heart* primarily centres on the invitation that Eldredge extends to all Christian men to ‘recover their masculine heart, defined in the image of a passionate God’ (from the back cover, see image 2). In other words, it addresses the role of masculinity in contemporary evangelical Christian culture. In spite of harsh criticism from many who felt uncomfortable with Eldredge’s easy reductionism and determined essentialism he joined forces with his wife to, in 2005, bring out a companion version to *Wild at Heart* entitled, *Captivating. Unveiling the Mystery of a Woman’s Soul*. As the title suggests, this book concerns the captivating quality or nature of the feminine. It is difficult to explain the basic premises of these books without creating a straw man, but one might say that Eldredge attempts to ‘discover the secret of a man [or woman’s] soul’ as professed by the subtitle to *Wild at Heart*. Simply put, Eldredge (on the back cover of *Wild at Heart*) suggests that the secret to a man’s soul is that he desires a battle to fight and the secret to a woman’s soul is that she desires to be desirable. Simple as that.  

1 It is questionable whether this success is as a result of effective marketing or theological merit, as Brynn Camery-Hoggatt and NelsonMunn (2005:24) points out: ‘Eldredge’s immense popularity... must not be allowed to disguise the fact that his suggestions are often incongruent with the teachings of Jesus’. Blogger, Randy Brandt (2003) says that Eldredge is propagating a theologically suspect stream of thought called Open Theism, a movement which is known for denying God’s omniscience, omnipotence and sovereignty, ultimately resulting in the humanising of God.
This article is concerned with the Eldredges’ propensity to exaggerate and hype gendered notions of ‘calling’ or universal purpose. Critical reviewer, Colleen Carrol Campbell (2007:51), states that ‘the Eldredges devote most of their book [Captivating] to explaining and defending intrinsic differences between the sexes …’. Specifically, we would like to address the covers of the two books in question, namely Wild at Heart and Captivating. The case of these covers is relevant, because not much is available in academic literature about Wild at Heart and even less about Captivating.2 No critique or reading of these covers appears in the literature dealing with the books and it is this gap in available research which this article seeks to fill.

Despite the fact that these books were published in 2001 and 2005, they still spark debate and receive reviews even in 2010. The books’ longevity in terms of eliciting critical attention, as well as the prolific sales figures they have reached internationally, justifies an investigation of this nature. Susan Hagenau (2009), brand manager for Thomas Nelson, publisher of Wild at Heart and Captivating, confidently declares that both books ‘are still selling well’. Indeed, both books remain to date an unavoidable presence on the contemporary Christian literary landscape.

Methods and theory

The visuality of Christian culture is worthy of analysis, not least because Christian visual media must influence Christian culture and not just the other way around. For this reason, the manner in which beliefs about gendered sex roles are communicated through the visual material promoting itself as ‘Christian’ in the hegemonic sense demands analysis from a secular (feminist) perspective. As early as 1935, Walter Benjamin, famously reflected on the feeling of strangeness that overcomes the actor before the camera and that this sense of estrangement is akin to that felt by anybody looking into the (now Lacanian) mirror. Benjamin (1935:9) mooted that through technology the reflected image has become separable, transportable. In answer to the question of where this image is transported, he comments, ‘Before the public’ (Benjamin 1935-9). The image reflected back to the viewer from a book cover is surely no different. It is a code that speaks to the viewer about their own identity and suggests to them how this may be performed in the public sphere.

According to Stuart Hall’s (1980) encoding/decoding communication model various phases or ‘moments’ can be identified in the process of communication. Chandler (1994) draws our attention to the fact that further elaboration on these ‘moments’ can be found in John Corner’s (1983) three definitions of the phases of encoding and decoding. The first phase is the encoding phase or the moment of writing. According to Corner (1983:266), this first phase is informed by ‘the institutional and organizational practices of production, governed by media policies and by the professional and medium-related conventions of language and image use.’ The second phase is identified as ‘the moment of the “text” itself’ and is, for Corner (1983:267), ‘the particular symbolic construction, arrangement and perhaps performance which is the product of media skills and technical and cultural practices.’ The moment of the test represents the form and content of the communication. Finally, the third phase, the moment of decoding is defined as the moment of reception or consumption of communication by readers, viewers or any audiences, who actively decode messages as opposed to merely passively receiving them. According to Corner (1983:267) this phase entails ‘the practices by which the reader/hearer/viewer, drawing on the particular linguistic and cultural competencies available and apparently appropriate, “makes sense” of, and realizes into coherent meaning’ the communication, its form and its message. According to Chandler (Chandler 1994), Hall (1980:128) also makes reference to various ‘linked but distinctive moments - production, circulation, distribution/consumption, reproduction’, which form part of what Hall theorises as the communication circuit.

We are concerned with the encoding and decoding of the semiotic images used in the design of the two book covers, but hope to treat these as fluid and acquiescent within our hypothetical matrix of meaning. It is our belief that visual representation is a means of revealing constructs of identity in terms of their performativity and we hope to demonstrate this through our discussion of the images used to sell these books.

Publishers, editors and media theorists alike agree that the cover art on books is imperative in procuring those all-important impulse buys. Malcolm Muggeridge famously proclaimed the Time cover spot as ‘post-Christendom’s most notable stain-glassed window’, indicating that in the media-scape of impetuous consumerism, covers communicate the ideological brand of the author. For those of us researching visual media, book covers implicate the author in a semiotic language or dialect that betrays his or her commitment to a certain set of visual ideologies or tropes. Within gender studies, book covers may be seen as referents to the gendered ideals of the author just as glossy men’s magazine covers, for instance, project the objectifying ‘body fascism’ (Nea 1992) that is the mainstay of these publications. Book covers are, thus, as important as codes of visual ideology as magazine covers and require critical problematising and demystifying. In that vein, we hope to analyse the covers of both Wild at Heart and Captivating.

It is noteworthy, that the covers have never changed since they were first published, indicating that the authors and publishers feel content with the manner in which the cover art represented the contents or ‘message’ of the books. Hagenau (2009) describes the creative process around these covers as follows:

As far as the imagery on the covers, the designers read the manuscript and covers are created from the imagery that comes to mind when reading and what appeals to the author and his audience.

(Hagenau 2009)
As, mentioned before, the publishers feel that the books are still selling well and that there is thus no need for redesign of the covers or re-branding of the books (Hagenau 2009). The covers of Wild at Heart and Captivating have, therefore, to some degree become iconic of the Eldredges’ ideas regarding what it means to be either a man or a woman in Christian culture.

The primary fault that theorists and bloggers alike seem to find with both texts is the shaky theology employed by the Eldredges'. It seems fair to say the argument of either book is supported by popular media myths and fairytales rather than theological discourse. The books are also frequently criticised for their simplistic view on gender roles. Authors Sally Gallagher and Sabrina Wood (2005:157) state that; ‘[Wild at Heart] places a non-negotiable and dimorphous gender identity at the centre of the story.’ Similarly, Brynn Camery-Hoggatt and Nelson Munn (2005:24) note that, ‘Eldredge’s gender stereotypes present masculinity and femininity in a way that is incomplete, culturally dictated, and old-fashioned.’

Based on the previous discussion, this article has the following aims: Firstly, to describe how the Eldredges’ ideas translate into visual terms. Secondly, to describe how gender is represented on these covers and how the covers construct gendered Christian identity and thirdly, to investigate whether the covers participate in the propagation of gendered stereotypes found in mainstream, Christian culture. In order to address the above aims we employed an integrated semiotic analysis and discussion of the two book covers from within a Barthesian tradition, based on a description of connotations of signs, the construction of mythic meaning, as well as created and supported ideologies (Barthes 1972 & 1979). Specific denotative descriptions of the signs present on these covers are, where they are not discussed in conjunction with connotative meaning, generally omitted for the sake of remaining concise.

We adhered to a basic Barthesian semiotic analysis, focusing on how meaning is constructed through signs and their various combinations, the connotations these hold from our social experiences and the way in which ‘[m]yth takes hold of an existing sign and makes it function as a signifier on another level’ (Bignell 2002:17). Myth, in Barthes’ (1972:129) terms, makes socially and culturally determined and constructed messages appear common-sense and natural. Myth is thus a distortion of reality, but nevertheless hides nothing, as its function is to obscure (Barthes 1972:121). Barthes (1972:109) also declares that: ‘Myth is a type of speech’. In our subsequent analysis, we considered how the two covers construct meaning and produce their own mythic language or way of talking about Christian gender. We also explored the ideological implications thereof.

Discussion

Wild at Heart

The stallions hang out in bars; the geldings hang out in church’ (David Murrow, as quoted in O’Brien 2008:49).

The front cover of Wild at Heart (Image 1) shows a man in silhouette jumping from an elevated rock to a plateau that appears to be at the summit of a mountain. On either side of him are tall fir trees that create vertical drama within an otherwise tranquil scene. It appears to be either sunrise or sunset. Eldredge’s name appears as a banner above the sprinting silhouette with the titles of his other best-sellers written beneath his name. On the bottom half of the cover Wild at Heart is written in an oversized, pale blue font that is in high contrast with the dark mountainside that forms the backdrop to the title and subtitle. A somewhat old-fashioned, stoic, serifed typeface is used for all the copy that appears on the cover, possibly connoting traditional masculine associations of stability, logic and boldness.

We cannot hope to give a definitive meaning for this design, but may attempt to situate the cover art within the context of the book and Eldredge’s broader thesis in an effort to surmise potential readings of the image on the cover as a means of understanding one of many possible gender constructions within Christianity as a visual trope. The first question, then, is what Eldredge seems to be saying about Christian masculinity with this book. The book’s credo is spelt out as the following, ‘in the heart of every man is a desperate desire for a battle to fight, an adventure to live, and a beauty to rescue’ (Eldredge 2001:9).
Early on in the discussion, Eldredge (2001:41) quotes Henry David Thoreau as musing, more than 150 years ago, that: ‘The mass of men lead lives of quiet desperation.’

4. American philosopher and naturalist Thoreau isolated himself at Walden Pond in Massachusetts from 1845 to 1847. His experiences during that time were published in Walden (1854). In a well-known passage, Thoreau stated his purpose: ‘I went to the woods because I wished to live deliberately, to front only the essential facts of life, and see if I could not learn what it had to teach, and not, when I came to die, discover that I had not lived. I did not wish to live what was not life, living is so dear; nor did I wish to practice resignation ...’ In the first essay, ‘Economy’, Thoreau comments that most men are slaves to their work and enslaved to those for whom they work. He concludes: ‘The mass of men lead lives of quiet desperation. What is called resignation is confirmed desperation ...’ (Wallachinsky & Wallace 2009).

This passage indicates that Thoreau identified a crisis in masculinity even then and related this to the manner in which Western male identity is connected to work or fiscal productivity. This connection would later be teased out by theorists such as Leanne Payne (1978) and Anthony Clare (2000).

5. From all accounts, it seems that the historical Jesus did, in fact, wear a ‘dress’.
that other answer to masculinity in crisis, the metrosexual. The metrosexual is born out of the 18th century flâneur and his consumption of the city. The term was first used in Britain in 1994 when social commentator, Mark Simpson, used the word to refer to the disposition of modern, urbane men who embrace the dandified accoutrement of self-beautification. Simpson (2004) describes the metrosexual as:

[A] young man with money to spend, living in or within easy reach of a metropolis – because that’s where all the best shops, clubs, gyms and hairdressers are. He might be officially gay, straight or bisexual, but this is utterly immaterial because he has clearly taken himself as his own love object and pleasure as his sexual preference.6

(Simpson 2004)

Eldredge seems to sketch this pattern as detrimental to male vitality and seems determined to draw men out of salons and clubs (and offices) back to nature. In doing so, however, he slips into the rhetoric of active masculinity and passive femininity, a binary articulation which reduces Christian men and women to cartoonish gendered types. It is worthy to note that no reference to the importance of a man’s appearance is made in Wild at Heart or on its cover. This tendency coincides with Eldredge’s above discussed negation of metrosexuality, which often emphasises male appearance above other things. The downplay of the relevance of outward appearance to masculine identity proves to be one of the most significant contrasts between the two books and their covers, as the importance of physical beauty to feminine identity is over-emphasised, as will be argued in the following section of this article. As for the cover of the book, one might now read the image of the leaping protagonist as one that affirms the notion of men actively pursuing their dreams. At this, the dawn of a new day, the masculine is cast as the heroic that must conquer his surroundings in an epic battle for his male soul. Our hero has a backpack on his back, indicating that he is prepared for the journey he now faces alone. His isolation from the rest of the world is accented by the untamed nature that surrounds him. Beneath him the dark mountain hints at the summit at the dawn of his new life. He is commanded to do many things and act according to his masculine will, to be wild, to fight, to live. But perhaps there is already a blotch on this blank folio, as he is symbolically and hegemonically bound to the patriarchal rhetoric of hyper-heterosexuality.

**Captivating**

The cover of Captivating is to some extent read in comparison to that of Wild at Heart, as Captivating can, arguably, be considered a supplementary text to Wild at Heart. Wild at Heart acts almost as a preface to Captivating, as Wild at Heart, already in Chapter 1, lays out the secrets of a woman’s soul (Eldredge 2001:14–18). Critical Christian blogger, Tim Challies (2005), points out that Captivating’s front cover (Image 3) clearly and pertinently refers to Wild at Heart, by citing Eldredge as ‘Best-selling author of Wild at Heart’. In a similar vein, the back cover of Captivating (Image 4) proclaims: ‘What Wild at Heart did for men Captivating will do for you’. Captivating furthermore quotes extensively from Wild at Heart and even includes a lengthy excerpt from Wild at Heart towards the end of the book. Moreover, the messages of the two books can be considered to be basically the same. Challies (2005:3 of 3) crystallises this fact with the following statement: ‘Overall, this book is little more than Wild at Heart written for women – with a soft, feminine cover in place of the harsh, masculine one’.

When specifically considering the book covers, one finds that unlike the hero myth that is found on Wild at Heart’s cover, Captivating’s cover makes pertinent reference to fairytale myths. Most of the formal elements, as well as constructed codes, on this cover point to this idea and function to connect fairytale myth with feminine identity construction.

As masculinity is strongly identified with adventurism, exploration and conquer on Wild at Heart’s cover, feminine identity is here identified with nature, beauty and the ephemeral. A duality is constructed between these two covers, which feeds on the existing active male-passive...
female binary in Sherry Ortner’s (1998) terms. In order to explain the universal subordination of women, Ortner (1998:29) applies the nature–culture duality to the male–female duality and aligns male to culture and female to nature. Although subversive of the nature or culture typology in one respect, on another level one can see that on the cover of Wild at Heart that this male–culture alignment appears intact to the extent that the solitary boulder-jumping male figure appears as an exploring and even conquering force in the landscape. The female figure on Captivating’s cover manifests quite differently than her male counterpart in this regard. A solitary female figure appears in the lower left-hand corner of the cover. Unlike the active male figure, she seems to be passively situated in nature, strolling peacefully about a field. She is not forcefully conquering the landscape she is placed in, but blends in to form a part of it. The inherent female passivity in Captivating is already foreshadowed in Wild at Heart, where it is stated that a man desires a beauty to rescue (Eldredge 2001:14). The female’s role is this transaction extends only as far as being that beauty. Camery-Hogatt and Munn (2005) colourfully captures the Eldredges’ imbedded ideology of female passivity and male activity in the following statement:

Whereas the Wild at Heart man is encouraged to pursue private adventures (his erstwhile damsel-in-distress, now a conjugal prop, is only along for the ride), a woman’s capabilities are evaluated strictly according to their effect upon her mate.

(Camery-Hogatt & Munn 2005:26)

Most of the visual elements on Captivating’s cover could be considered more ‘feminine’ than those found on Wild at Heart’s cover. The text used for the book’s title is elegant and flowing as opposed to the bold stoic text used for Wild at Heart’s title. Small fragments seem to be eroded from the lettering of the word Captivating giving the idea that the font is fading away, fleeting and tentative. This font attribute links to other whimsical associations created on the cover. The play of light cuts through the female figure, rendering her almost translucent. This gives the female figure a certain whimsical, transient quality, as though she is fading away, disappearing into the light. One could argue that this, as well as other whimsical elements on the cover, functions to associate the feminine with the transcendental or the spiritual.

The Eldredges construct femininity in monolithic, heterosexual terms, claiming truth for all women and placing heavy emphasis on the importance of infantile romantic fantasies in an adult woman’s life. This tendency already manifests in the earlier text of Wild at Heart, where Eldredge (2001:16) echoes the above-quoted passage: ‘Her childhood dreams of a knight in shining armor are not girlish fantasies, they are the core of the feminine heart and the life she knows she was made for’. One cannot help but to, again, recognise undertones of the classical Hollywood damsel-in-distress narrative.

What is interesting with regard to this feminine identity construction is that it is done in relation to that of male identity. It is the male heart, whether human or godly, which is already foreshadowed in Wild at Heart, where Eldredge and Eldredge (2005) associates the male with the supernatural or otherworldly, by referring to the male soul as the title suggests, which is that every woman desires to be desired. The blurb on the book’s back cover reads:

Every woman was once a little girl. And every little girl holds in her heart her most precious dreams. She longs to be swept up into a romance, to play an irreplaceable role in a great adventure, to be the Beauty of the story. Those desires are far more than child’s play. They are the secret to the feminine heart.

(Eldredge & Eldredge 2005)
Whereas *Wild at Heart*’s message to men is: ‘Be a real man and let them deal with your masculinity,’ (cf. Eldredge 2001:151) the message *Captivating* is sending to women seems to be: ‘You have to please men – deal with it’. Again, the feminine is already constructed in these terms in *Wild at Heart*, as Eldredge (2001:16) states: ‘Every woman wants an adventure to share ... A woman doesn’t want to be the adventure; she wants to be caught up into something greater than herself’. Implying a man’s adventure, we presume.

In *Captivating*, the Eldredges place conspicuous emphasis on feminine physical beauty or (passively) being the Beauty in the story, as previously mentioned. The Eldredges (2005:130) state: ‘The essence of a woman is Beauty. She is meant to be the incarnation ... of a Captivating God ... Beauty is what the world longs to experience from a woman’. This emphasis on what seems to be outward beauty is somewhat contradictory to mainstream Christian belief, which often downplays physical beauty in women to favor spiritual virtue. It seems as though the Eldredges are encouraging women to strive for physically captivating beauty. Resultantly, Camery-Hoggatt and Munn (2005:25) observes, This woman’s only qualifications, it seems, are her good looks and her helplessness – athleticism, artistic ability, erudition, and moral virtue are not taken into consideration’.

This tendency of *Captivating* and *Wild at Heart* to over-emphasise feminine beauty is significant as it provides an example of a case where Christian culture draws from mainstream culture rather than from accepted theological doctrine, as anticipated, for point of reference. The mainstream media often portrays women as powerful as a result of sexual power derived from their physical beauty. The Eldredges are alluding to the same idea when propagating the notion that a woman’s sole purpose is to be desired and to captivate the attention of the masculine. This feeds into mainstream gender stereotypes of female beauty and contradicts expectations of piety from Christian women, as described by Christina Landman (1994).

Reviewer for *Christianity Today*, Agnieszka Tennant (2006:60), believes that the beauty the Eldredges are alluding to is not the earth-shattering, mind-altering Beauty as found in classic and modern literature, as well as the discourse around the sublimity of beauty, but rather ‘mere prettification, a tendency towards sentimental adornment’. Tennant (2006:60) proposes that the Eldredges are limiting the source of beauty to women only and that their beauty is, in fact, ‘not wild enough’. In our opinion, the Eldredges are falling into the essentialist trap of aligning the feminine with decorative ornamentation, especially on the cover of *Captivating* as well as in both texts. We have already mentioned some of the decorative and whimsical elements on *Captivating*’s cover, but the female figure’s clothing serves as a case in point. On her skirt a decorative, seemingly flowery, pattern becomes visible and her head is adorned with a translucent, bridal veil-like scarf or head wrap. There is much discourse around the alignment of the female with decoration and craft or ‘low-culture’, versus the alignment of the masculine with abstraction (the opposite of decoration in artistic terms) and art or ‘high-culture’, which for the sake of brevity we will not venture into. It would suffice to say that the Eldredges are most visually participating in this binary alignment of the feminine to the decorative, which corresponds to the female-nature-passivity construct explored earlier. The female figure on *Captivating*’s cover and perhaps in the two texts as well, appears as decorative ornament, sentimentally floating through the landscape.

A final issue to be raised about *Captivating* is that of the sexual undertones of the proposed unveiling of the mystery of a woman’s soul. A few bloggers have picked up on *Wild at Heart* and *Captivating*’s sexualisation of the female, most notably Challies (2005) and Camery-Hoggatt and Munn (2005). Challies (2005) cites the following passage from *Captivating* (Eldredge & Eldredge 2005) in order to critique the Eldredges’ belief that ‘God has a deep, fiery passionate love for women and that he wishes to romance us [sic]’:

> Let’s go back for a moment to the movies that you love. Think of one of the most romantic scenes you can remember, scenes

that made you sigh. Jack with Rose on the bow of the Titanic, his arms around her waist, their first kiss. Wallace speaking in French to Murron, then in Italian: ‘Not as beautiful as you’.

Aragorn standing with Arwen in the moonlight on the bridge in Rivendell ... Now, put yourself in the scene as the Beauty, and Jesus as the Lover.

(Elredgree & Elredgree 2005:113)

The above passification, subordination and sexualisation of the feminine in Wild at Heart and Captivating, ultimately leads to gross negation of female agency and leads to dependence on and infatuation with the masculine, which mirrors the passification, subordination and sexualisation of the feminine in mainstream patriarchal ideology. Eldredge (2001:17) makes no secret of his alignment with an ideology of female passification: ‘The world kills a woman’s heart when it tells her to be tough, efficient and independent’. Surely the many feminist activists from Simone de Beauvoir to Lucy Irigaray would strongly disagree with this statement. Can women not also be ‘wild at heart’ or are they merely meant to be ‘wild at home’, as Camery-Hoggatt and Munn (2005:25) so eloquently put it?

One must acknowledge that Captivating does put itself ‘out there’ in attempting to provide guidance for Christian women in their expected gender roles. Campbell (2007:52), however, points to the fact that, ‘the book, like so many others in its genre, gives only perfunctory answers to the more vexing questions about women and religion today.’ Captivating does indeed almost entirely ignore issues around biblical gender egalitarianism, the feminist problematic of women’s roles and purpose in patriarchal churches, as well the subsequent turn to goddess worship and this seems to be a dramatic absence given the purpose of the book. It is also necessary to note that neither Wild at Heart nor Captivating considers alternative sexualities, such as homosexuality, bisexuality and transsexuality, as options for Christian men and women in their quest for answers regarding the nature of their sex roles in spiritual and secular society.

8This quotation refers to Eldredgee’s (2001:191–192) passage on Ruth’s seduction of Boaz, where he antithetically sates: ‘This seduction is pure and simple – and God holds it up for all women to follow when he not only gives Ruth her own book in the Bible but also names her in the genealogy’.

Conclusion

Both of the images on the book covers discussed are photographic and perhaps it is worth taking a moment to discuss the theoretical implications of this medium being used here. Susan Sontag (2008:4) has noted that ‘Photographic images do not seem to be statements about the world so much as pieces of it, miniatures of reality that anyone can make or acquire.’ For her, then, ‘photographs furnish evidence’ (Sontag 2008:5). ‘The camera record justifies’ (Sontag 2008:5).

Perhaps this means that the book covers discussed in this article are not merely statements about the authors intent regarding the meaning of gender, but statements about the manner in which gender functions in reality. They are not just codes but are a reality themselves, a coded moment of reality meant, in Hall’s terms to be decoded and thus, internalised. Again, Sontag (2008: 8) deems photography ‘a social rite, a defence against anxiety, and a tool of power’. She comments that through photographs families construct a portrait chronicle of themselves – ‘a portable kit of images that bears witness to its connectedness’ (Sontag 2008:8).

In Homi Bhabha’s (1994) terms, photographic imagery may thus serve as a connective narrative binding together families or, as in this case, a community. And herein lies the rub. Do the Eldredges’ book covers provide a portrait of the Christian family that is stereotypical at best and prescriptive at worst?

This article posed the question whether the visual semiotics of the cover art of Wild at Heart and Captivating further enshrine the gender stereotypes found in mainstream popular Christian media. Through an analysis of these visual texts it was found that the codes used in the covers continue the binary reading of gender that is established within secular media and do so within the seemingly authoritative context of the sublime. These books and their covers thus serve as prescriptive texts on how Christian gender should be performed in society. We also found that, in this case, popular secular mainstream media influences popular Christian media to a great extent, as the Eldredges’ ‘theology’ is rather based on Hollywood media. Through an analysis of these visual texts it was found that the codes used in the covers continue the binary reading of gender that is established within secular media and do so within the seemingly authoritative context of the sublime. These books and their covers thus serve as prescriptive texts on how Christian gender should be performed in society. We also found that, in this case, popular secular mainstream media influences popular Christian media to a great extent, as the Eldredges’ ‘theology’ is rather based on Hollywood media. Through an analysis of these visual texts it was found that the codes used in the covers continue the binary reading of gender that is established within secular media and do so within the seemingly authoritative context of the sublime. These books and their covers thus serve as prescriptive texts on how Christian gender should be performed in society. We also found that, in this case, popular secular mainstream media influences popular Christian media to a great extent, as the Eldredges’ ‘theology’ is rather based on Hollywood media.

As mentioned earlier, we do not suggest a definitive reading of these covers, but a reading, amongst many possible readings, about the meanings constructed, ideologies conveyed and realities created by these covers at Hall (1980) and Corner’s (1983) moment of encoding, moment of the text and moment of decoding, which strictly speaking implies the position of the reader, but is also already forecast by the text’s encoding and own textuality.

For the purpose of this article we were interested in the way the Eldredges’ theses are translated into visual terms on the covers of the two books in question, as well as in how gender is represented on the covers and how they are constructing gendered Christian identity. As mentioned earlier, the visuality of Christian culture is important subject matter for media theorists, not least because Christian visual media
must influence Christian culture and not just the other way around. In conclusion, we maintain that the manner in which beliefs about gendered sex roles are communicated through the visual material promoting itself as ‘Christian’ in the hegemonic sense demands further analysis from a secular (feminist) perspective as well as in terms of the cultural ‘meanings’ of visual imagery within Western society. For us, both book covers are complicit in making the gendered reality represented in these books atomic, manageable and opaque.

‘It is a view of the world which denies interconnectedness, continuity, but which confers on each moment the character of a mystery’ (Sonntag 2008:23).
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