A Validated Stable HPLC Method for the Simultaneous Determination of Rifampicin and 25-O-Desacetyl Rifampicin – Evaluation of In vitro Metabolism
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A simple, efficient, and stable high-performance liquid chromatography (HPLC) separation method for a combination of rifampicin (RIF), its major metabolite 25-O-desacetyl rifampicin (25ODESRIF), and neostigmine (NEO) was developed and validated. The drugs individually, and in combination, were analyzed using a Waters Alliance 2695 HPLC coupled with 2996 photodiode array detector (PDA). Successful separation of combined drugs was achieved by gradient elution on a reverse-phase C-18 Phenomenex Luna column, using a mobile phase consisting of water and methanol at detection wavelength of 254 nm. The HPLC retention times were consistent at ±7.70 min, ±8.25 min, and ±10.70 min for RIF, 25ODESRIF, and NEO, respectively. The regression data for the calibration plots exhibited linear relationships \((R^2 = 0.995)\) in the range of 0–200 \(\mu M\) for both RIF and 25ODESRIF, and the lower limit of detection (LLOD) and lower limit of quantification (LLOQ) were calculated as 5.86 \(\mu M\) and 17.75 \(\mu M\) for RIF and 7.78 \(\mu M\) and 23.57 \(\mu M\) for 25ODESRIF, respectively. The method was evaluated using in vitro human liver microsomes (HLMs) assays, and linearity was established for the 15, 30, 45, and 60 min incubations \((R^2 = 0.999)\). The formation of 25ODESRIF was characterized by hyperbolic kinetics \((K_{m} = 48.23 \mu M, V_{max} = 1.233 \text{ pmol/min/mg protein}, \text{and } Cl_{int} = 0.026 \text{ ml/min/mg protein})\). The method was applied in HLM assays to understand the herb-drug interaction (HDI) potential of *Althaea officinalis*, a popular African herb consumed by tuberculosis (TB) patients, with RIF. None of the extracts of *A. officinalis* inhibited the esterase-mediated metabolism pathway of RIF, compared to the positive control nelfinavir \((IC_{50} = 9.59 \mu M)\). The method provides a tool for quantifying RIF and 25ODESRIF in in vitro drug metabolism assays as well as investigating herb–drug interactions (DDIs).
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Introduction

Rifampicin (RIF) \((3-[4\text{-methyl piperazinyl-iminomethyl}]\) rifamycin SV; rifampin) is a first-line antibiotic along with isoniazid (INH), pyrazinamide (PYR), ethambutol (ETB), and streptomycin (STP) in the treatment of pulmonary and extrapulmonary tuberculosis (TB) and has a unique role in the killing of semi-dormant tubercle bacilli (*Mycobacterium tuberculosis*) [1].

The World Health Organization recommends a 6-month regimen comprising RIF, INH, PYR, and ETB which are given together for the first 2 months followed by RIF and INH therapy for the next 4 months. RIF is mainly eliminated in the bile and then reabsorbed; hence, enterohepatic circulation ensues. The drug is deacylated into its microbiologically active metabolite 25-O-desacetyl rifampicin (25ODESRIF), by esterases or by enzymes present in microsomal cells [2]. 25ODESRIF is less absorbable as compared to the parent drug [3].

RIF is used as an inducer of cytochrome P450 3A4 (CYP 3A4) to analyze the effect of herbal medicine on the activity of this enzyme [4, 5]. It induces gut and liver (hepatic) CYP3A4 and autoinduces its own metabolism [6]. P-glycoprotein (P-gp), along with B-esteras, is involved in disposition of rifampicin [7].

Very few high-performance liquid chromatography (HPLC) methods have been developed for the quantification of RIF and its metabolite 25ODESRIF, in plasma [8–10] and urine [11–14]. These HPLC methods involve various procedures which can be time-consuming. This is of critical importance especially in assays involving HLMs and other liver fractions (S9, hepatocytes), to identify the metabolites and also quantify the rate of drug metabolism, as well as for major pharmacokinetic studies as well as herb–drug interaction (HDI) and drug–drug interaction (DDI) studies. Metabolites may interfere with the assay when analyzing parent drugs [15]. Rifampicin induces the metabolism of many drugs, including antiretroviral drugs (ARVs) such as nevirapine metabolized by the CYPs, predominantly CYP3A4 and CYP2B6 [16, 17]. Few in vitro studies have assessed \(K_{m}\) and \(V_{max}\) kinetic parameters of rifampicin in human liver microsomes.

RIF-induced CYP3A4 activation and expression models have been used for predicting the pharmacokinetics of CYP3A4 substrate drugs as well as clinically relevant DDI [18]. Most of the in vitro HDI studies have investigated the role of RIF as an inducer of CYP3A4 along with other drugs and herbal extracts; less information is available on the effect of herbal medicines on the esterase-mediated metabolism pathway of RIF to 25ODESRIF. Assessment of the metabolic disposition of RIF and of possible inhibitory/inducing effects of herbal medicines would be very important.

*Althaea officinalis* L. (Malvaceae), commonly called “marshmallow” (Baer-ul-Khtmk [African/Arabic]; Gulkhario [Pers.]), is a perennial species indigenous to Africa, which is used as an ornamental plant and a medicinal plant by TB patients [19–21].
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The present research describes an HPLC–PDA method for the detection, separation, and quantitation of RIF and its principle metabolite 25ODESRIF, which is robust with a shorter analysis time and selective in the presence of first-line anti-TB drugs as well as their metabolites. This study is also unique in the perspective of determining the kinetics of rifampicin ($K_m$ and $V_{max}$) based on the metabolite formation using HLM assays and is applied in investigating the HDI of RIF with the herbal extracts of *A. officinalis*, by measuring the enzyme kinetics of 25ODESRIF.

**Experimental**

**Materials and Reagents.** Pure 25ODESRIF and NEO were obtained from Clearsynth Labs Ltd. (Mumbai, India) while RIF and nelfinavir mesylate hydrate (NELF) were obtained from Sigma-Aldrich (Steinheim, Germany). HPLC-grade acetonitrile, methanol, and ethanol were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich (Steinheim, Germany) and ethyl acetate from BDH Chemicals (England). High purity water was prepared by passage through a Millipore system (Millipore, Billerica, MA, USA) and used as the mobile phase. The HLM screening was performed using pooled human liver microsomes (mixed gender) from Xenotech LLC, USA along with glucose-6-phosphate sodium salt, glucose-6-phosphate dehydrogenase, magnesium chloride, phosphate buffer solution of 1 M, and β-nicotinamide adenine dinucleotide phosphate hydrate (NADPH) from Sigma-Aldrich (Steinheim, Germany).

**Preparation of Standards.** The stock solutions for RIF, 25ODESRIF, and NEO were prepared at 4 mM concentrations, in methanol, and the dilutions were made from the same with diluents: a mixture of water and methanol in the ratio 50:50 v/v spiked with the internal standard NEO (20 μM) [22, 23] for the HPLC analysis. Dilutions were calculated from the stock solution of RIF for all the pharmacokinetic assays. All solutions were freshly prepared and filtered using 0.22 μm syringe filters, before being subjected to HPLC analysis.

**Plant Material and Extraction.** The dried roots of *A. officinalis* (Malvaceae) were obtained in packed form, from Pharma Germania, Benoni, Gauteng (certificate of analysis no. 471255).

Four grams of the powdered roots were exhaustively extracted with purified water after boiling and also with HPLC-grade methanol, ethanol, and ethyl acetate (made up to 500 mL for 9 days). The extract solutions were separately filtered and evaporated at 50 °C using a concentrator until reduced to 500 mL for 9 days. The extract solutions were separately filtered using 0.22 μm membrane filters (Merck Millipore, USA). The column oven temperature was maintained at 27 °C and the injection volume was 15 μL. A post runtime of 2 min was maintained between each injection.

**Table 1.** The HPLC-PDA parameters for separation method

| Structure | Column | Phenomenex Luna C-18 Column (150 × 4.6 mm, 5 μ) | Flow rate | 0.8 mL/min | Run time | 11.5 min | Injection | 15 μL | Mobile phase (gradient) | Water–methanol 90:10 (0 min) → 20:80 (5 min) → 5:95 (10 min) → 90:10 (11.5–12.5 min) | Temperature | 27 °C | Wavelength | 254 nm |

**HPLC Instrumentation and Chromatographic Conditions.** The Waters Alliance 2695 Separations Module with 2996 PDA Diode Array Detector HPLC System was used for method development and validation. The output signal (254 nm) was monitored and processed using QuickStart Empower Waters 2002 software. A Phenomenex Luna C-18 (150 × 4.6 mm, 5 μ) column was used for the HPLC studies and method development, as well as the pharmacological evaluation and the HDI analysis, at column oven temperature of 27 °C.

**Detection and Separation Method.** Satisfactory separations were achieved on the C-18 Phenomenex Luna column (150 × 4.6 mm, 5 μ), by gradient elution using mobile phase comprising of water (A)–methanol (B) at a flow of 0.8 mL/min. The gradient solvent program was set as $T_{min}$/%solution B at 0/10, 5/80, 10/95, 9/80, 11.5/10, and 12.5/10. The detection wavelength was maintained at 254 nm (Table 1). All mobile phases were filtered using 0.22 μm membrane solvent filters (Merck Millipore, USA). The column oven temperature was maintained at 27 °C, and the injection volume was 15 μL. A post runtime of 2 min was maintained between each injection.

**Method Validation.** The method was validated for accuracy, linearity, and precision. LLOD and LLOQ were determined based on signal-to-noise ratio of 3 and 10, respectively, as per International Conference on Harmonization (ICH) guidelines [24].

**In Vitro Evaluation of Rifampicin Kinetics.** The method was validated using HLM assay, by incubating RIF as the substrate. Briefly, a standard 200 μL incubation mixture containing liver microsomes (0.25 mg/mL protein concentration), RIF (100 μM) in 0.2 M phosphate buffer, pH 7.4, at 37 °C was incubated for 15, 30, 45, and 60 min, in duplicates. The reactions were initiated with NADPH (final concentration 1.3 mM) along with the cofactors magnesium chloride (final concentration 3.3 mM), glucose-6-phosphate (final concentration 1.3 mM), and glucose-6-phosphate dehydrogenase (1 U/mL) and then terminated with 200 μL of chilled acetonitrile spiked with the internal standard NEO (20 μM). The samples were centrifuged using 0.22 μm polypropylene centrifuge tube filters (Spine-X® Costar, USA) at 13,000 rpm for 5 min, and the supernatants were subjected to HPLC analysis.

For determining the kinetics of RIF, various concentrations in the range of 0–150 μM were incubated with HLM for
30 min using the same procedure as above; the reactions were termin-
ated using 200 μL of chilled acetonitrile spiked with the internal
standard and centrifuged, and the top layer of the supernatant
was subjected to HPLC analysis. The optimal incubation time
(30 min) and the concentration of HLM (0.25 mg/mL protein)
for the kinetics study was selected based on the rate of metabo-
lite formation from the time-variant incubations (Figure 3b).
Apparent kinetic constants (Km and Vmax) were estimated by fit-
ting formation rates of metabolite versus substrate concentra-
tions to the appropriate kinetic equations by nonlinear
regression analysis using GraphPad Software Inc. (San Diego,
CA; www.graphpad.com) Prism version 5.00 for Windows.

**In Vitro Inhibition Screening Assays — HLM, Herbal Extract, and Rifampicin.** The method was applied for analyzing herb–drug interactions of RIF with *A. officinalis*. The inhibitory potential of the extracts (aqueous, methanol, ethanol, and ethyl acetate) of *A. officinalis* was assessed using a two-
point screening with HLM, maintaining the final concentra-
tions of each extract at 20 and 200 μg/mL. Based on
the kinetic constant (Km) determined and the optimal
incubation conditions, 48 μM RIF was used as substrate to
determine the formation of 25ODESRIF, with 20 μM NEO as
the internal standard. Briefly, a standard 200 μL incubation
mixture containing HLM and substrate (0.25 mg/mL protein
concentration for 48 μM RIF) in 0.2 M phosphate buffer
(pH 7.4) and the plant extract (final concentration of 20 and
200 μg/mL, dissolved in <1% solvent) at 37 °C was incubated
for 30 min, in duplicates; the reactions were initiated using the
same cofactor mix composition as described earlier. The
reactions were terminated using 200 μL of chilled acetonitrile
spiked with the internal standard and centrifuged, and the top
layer of the supernatant was analyzed using HPLC. NELF
(10 and 75 μM) was used as inhibitor control for the RIF
metabolic pathway, based on the assumption that certain CYP
inhibitors could also inhibit esterases [25].

The amount of 25ODESRIF formed relative to the control
was expressed in percentage remaining activity and calculated
as in equation below:

\[
\% \text{ residual activity} = \frac{(\text{test} - \text{test control})}{(\text{control} - \text{control blank})} \times 100%
\]

Statistical analysis of the half inhibitory concentration (IC50)
was done based on the two-point screening. The percentile of
residual activity was plotted against the log-transformed concen-
trations (of the herbal extract or the positive control). Non-linear

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameters</th>
<th>RIF</th>
<th>25ODESRIF</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Linearity range (μM)</td>
<td>0–200</td>
<td>0–200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Correlation coefficient</td>
<td>0.9932</td>
<td>0.9976</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Standard error</td>
<td>1.6181</td>
<td>2.1669</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intercept coefficient</td>
<td>16.9659</td>
<td>21.669</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LLOD (μM)</td>
<td>5.86</td>
<td>7.78</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LLOQ (μM)</td>
<td>17.75</td>
<td>23.57</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 2. Regression statistics of RIF and 25ODESRIF**

Figure 1. HPLC chromatographic separation of RIF, 25ODESRIF, and NEO using the C-18 column at 4 mM concentrations of the drug, metabo-
lite, and internal standard

Figure 2. HPLC chromatographic separation of RIF, 25ODESRIF, and NEO using the C-18 column at 100 μM concentrations of the drug and its metabo-
lite, 20 μM internal standard
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regression analysis was done to obtain sigmoidal plots of enzyme kinetic data for IC\textsubscript{50}, using GraphPad Software.

Results and Discussion

Development and Optimization of the HPLC Method.
The separation of the three analytes was not satisfactory when using an isocratic method, especially due to interference from cofactors and secondary metabolites in the HLM assays; hence, gradient elution was selected [26]. Method optimization was done by different logical modifications such as change in column length (100 mm, 150 mm, and 250 mm), inner diameter (2.6 mm and 4.6 mm), particle size (3.5 μ and 5 μ), and change in the elution gradient.

The separation of all three analytes was consistent and reproducible in the C-18 Luna column and had a shorter run time (Figures 1 and 2). The HPLC retention times were consistent at ±7.70 min, ±8.25 min, and ±10.70 min for RIF, 25ODESRIF, and NEO, respectively, for the C-18 column. The overall runtime per sample analysis was 11.5 min.

At millimolar concentrations of both drugs, the peaks were more broadened and tailing, due to the retention affinity of rifampicin and its metabolite to the column. The peak resolutions were adequate in the concentration range relevant for drug metabolism interaction studies (0–150 μM).

The C-18 Luna column provided good measurable separation and consistent results for lower concentrations of both RIF and 25ODESRIF.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Variation</th>
<th>RIF</th>
<th>25ODESRIF</th>
<th>NEO</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Flow rate</td>
<td>0.6 mL/min</td>
<td>8.21</td>
<td>9.03</td>
<td>11.31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.7 mL/min</td>
<td>7.91</td>
<td>8.53</td>
<td>10.98</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.8 mL/min</td>
<td>7.78</td>
<td>8.25</td>
<td>10.71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Temperature</td>
<td>27 °C</td>
<td>7.73</td>
<td>8.27</td>
<td>10.72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>30 °C</td>
<td>7.74</td>
<td>8.25</td>
<td>10.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>34 °C</td>
<td>7.75</td>
<td>8.24</td>
<td>10.79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wavelength</td>
<td>250 nm</td>
<td>7.75</td>
<td>8.28</td>
<td>10.68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>254 nm</td>
<td>7.78</td>
<td>8.25</td>
<td>10.70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>257 nm</td>
<td>7.79</td>
<td>8.26</td>
<td>10.69</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3. Robustness evaluation parameters and retention time of the analytes for the HPLC method

Figure 3. a. HPLC chromatographic separation of RIF, 25ODESRIF, and NEO using the C-18 Luna column, for the in vitro HLM–RIF incubation sample (75 μM RIF). b. Linearity graph for time variant incubations with $R^2 = 0.9901$

Figure 4. Kinetic analyses of RIF by human liver microsomes and formation of 25ODESRIF versus RIF
Method Validation

**Linearity, LOD, and LOQ.** A linear response was obtained in the concentration range of 0–200 μM for both RIF and 25ODESRIF ($R^2 = 0.995$). LLOD and LLOQ were calculated at 5.86 μM and 17.75 μM for RIF and 7.78 μM and 23.57 μM for 25ODESRIF, respectively. The results of the system suitability tests assure the adequacy of the proposed HPLC method for

### Table 4. Kinetics of rifampicin metabolism

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>HLMs</th>
<th>$K_m$</th>
<th>$V_{max}$</th>
<th>$CL_{int}$ ($V_{max}/K_m$)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>H0610, H0620, H0630, H0640 (Pooled HLM – Mixed, Xenotech)</td>
<td>48.23</td>
<td>1.233</td>
<td>0.026</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$V_{max}$, pmol/min/mg protein or pmol/min/pmol P450; $K_m$, μM; $CL_{int}$, μL/min/mg protein or μL/min/pmol P450.

---

**Figure 5.**

- **a.** Two-point screening of the aqueous (AoAq), methanolic (AoMeOH), ethanolic (AoEtOH), and ethyl acetate (AoEtOAc) extracts of *A. officinalis* against RIF metabolism pathway, with nelfinavir (NELF) as the positive control.
- **b.** Statistical plot (IC$_{50}$) of the positive control NELF (5.44 μg/mL, 9.59 μM) against percentage remaining activity of rifampicin metabolism.
- **c.** HPLC chromatographic separation of RIF, 25ODESRIF, and NEO using the C-18 Luna column, for the in vitro HLM–RIF incubation samples (48 μM RIF): A, test control (negative) incubate with no herb extract; B, assay incubate with 200 μg/mL aqueous extract of *A. officinalis*
routine analysis of RIF and 25ODESRIF alone or in combination. The regression statistics are as outlined in Table 2.

Statistically Designed Robustness Experiments. Robust analytical methods using HPLC are required in quality control laboratories for routine use, as well as in experiments involving HDI/DDI studies. After finalizing the mobile phase composition and column, statistically designed experiments were performed to screen robustness of the analytical method and to select the appropriate system suitability parameters (Table 3).

Specificity. The applicability of the validated HPLC method for pharmacokinetic studies was tested using in vitro human liver microsomal incubation assay. For all four time periods (15, 30, 45, and 60 min, in duplicates), the metabolite 25ODESRIF was detected using this method at consistent retention times along with RIF and NEO, and the peak area of NEO was relatively constant (Figure 3a).

Linearity was established for the 15–60 min incubation range based on the ratio of the metabolite to the internal standard, with \( R^2 = 0.99 \) (Figure 3b).

Kinetic Analyses of Rifampicin and Its Metabolite. The kinetics for the formation of 25ODESRIF from RIF was determined in several HLM incubations for concentrations in the range of 0–150 μM. Representative Michaelis–Menten kinetic plots from all assays are depicted in Figure 4, and the kinetic parameters are summarized in Table 4.

Two-Point Screening of Herbal Extracts with Rifampicin. The potential of the extracts of A. officinalis to inhibit the metabolism pathway of RIF was investigated. The solvent extracts were screened at two concentrations (20 μg/mL and 200 μg/mL), and the observed remaining activity was expressed as percentage of the negative control (no inhibitor). For both enzymes, less than 1% solvent was used for the total incubation, as per the assay standard guidelines; methanol inhibited rifampicin metabolism by 20% at 2 μL per 200 μL incubation concentration, while ethanol and ethyl acetate had no effect on the enzyme activity.

None of the extracts of A. officinalis showed inhibition of RIF metabolism at high and low concentrations (Figure 5a) compared to NELF which inhibited the activity by about 30% at 75 μM. NELF was further screened in the concentration range of 1–100 μM, and it was observed that, at higher concentrations, it inhibited rifampicin metabolism in HLM and had an IC\(_{50}\) value of 9.59 μM (5.44 μg/mL) (Figure 5b).

Conclusions

A specific, precise, and robust HPLC method was developed which could separate as well as accurately quantify RIF and its major metabolite 25ODESRIF. The assay yielded well-resolved peaks for RIF, 25ODESRIF, and NEO, with good accuracy, precision, and recovery, within a short run time. The suitability of the method for pharmacokinetic drug metabolism studies was validated using HLM incubations, and the kinetics of RIF were analyzed. The \( K_m \) value expressed for the formation of 25ODESRIF in HLM was calculated to be 48.23 μM. Previously reported values of rifampicin \( K_m \) in transporters SLC01B1 (OATP1B1, OATP-C, OATP2, LST-1) in HeLa-OATP1B1, OATP1B1-expressing oocytes, and SLC01B3 (OATP1B3, OATP8) in OATP1B3-expressing oocytes were 1.5 μM, 13 μM, and 2.3 μM [27, 28], respectively.

In a study on Staphylococcus aureus, based on the accumulation of \(^{14}\)C-rifampicin, the \( K_m \) value expressed was 0.06 μM [29].

The in vitro data showed that 25ODESRIF is the primary metabolite, which concurs with previous studies [30, 31]. Possible interactions of RIF with herbal medicines have been reported previously [32–34]. However, not much research has been done on the effect of herbal medicine on the metabolism pathway of RIF to 25ODESRIF, which is critical because inhibition of this metabolism pathway may result in toxicity, including mortality [35, 36]. This HPLC method was specifically used for analyzing the variations in the metabolic profile of RIF, with or without various solvent extracts of A. officinalis. The gradient elution provided clear separation of the secondary plant metabolites from the major metabolite of RIF and the internal standard with precision and consistency (Figure 5c), and the amount of 25ODESRIF formed for each assay was quantified relative to the negative control. A. officinalis did not cause any inhibition of this pathway.

In conclusion, this HPLC–PDA analytical method is suitable for in vitro metabolism studies of RIF, including herb or drug interaction studies.
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