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ABSTRACT

Introduction: Major depressive disorder (MDD) is a leading cause of disease and disability globally and in South Africa. Epidemiological data for MDD are essential to estimate the overall disease burden in a country. The objective of the systematic review is to examine the evidence base for prevalence, incidence, remission, duration, severity, case fatality and excess mortality of MDD in South Africa from 1997 to 2015.

Methods and analysis: We will perform electronic searches in PubMed, PsycINFO, Scopus and other bibliographical databases. Articles published between January 1997 and December 2015 will be eligible for inclusion in this review. The primary outcomes will be prevalence, incidence, remission, duration, severity, case fatality and excess mortality of MDD. The secondary outcomes will be risk factors and selected populations for MDD. If appropriate, a meta-analysis will be performed. If a meta-analysis is not possible, the review findings will be presented narratively and in tables. Subgroup analyses will be conducted with subgroups defined by population group, rural/urban settings and study designs, if sufficient data are available.

Ethics and dissemination: The systematic review will use published data that are not linked to individuals. The review findings may have implications for future research prioritisation and disease modelling of MDD to estimate its morbidity burden in South Africa, and will be disseminated electronically and in print through peer-reviewed publications.

Trial Registration number: International Prospective Register of Systematic Reviews (PROSPERO) CRD42015024885.

INTRODUCTION

Major depressive disorder (MDD), a common mental disorder, is among the leading three causes of disease burden globally and in South Africa. Globally, the prevalence of MDD is estimated to have increased significantly by 53% from 1990 to reach over 253 million prevalent cases in 2013. On a similar scale, the years lived with disability (YLDs) for MDD globally increased significantly by 53% from 1990 to reach 52 million YLDs in 2013. In South Africa, too, the YLDs for MDD increased significantly by 58% from 1990 to reach 408 578 YLDs in 2013. Much of the increases arose from the growth and ageing of the population as well as the widespread under-resourcing of mental health services in South Africa, resulting in underdiagnoses and undertreatment of mental disorders (including MDD).

The first port of entry for South Africans is the primary healthcare setting. However, the literature shows that there are barriers to help-seeking and treatment-seeking for mental illnesses. Reasons in the literature include stigma, low health literacy levels (in terms of knowledge of the disease and its treatment) and financial
difficulties. In addition, comorbidity complicates help-seeking and treatment-seeking for mental illness. Moreover, mental disorders (including MDD) often go unrecognised by healthcare providers and therefore untreated in primary health settings. They are disabling and associated with a significant economic burden at both the individual and societal levels, resulting from a reduction in health-related quality of life, disrupted work and life roles, as well as increased morbidity and mortality.

Health in South Africa is characterised by an enormous and diverse burden of disease and comorbidity of MDD with a range of other conditions is a reason for concern. MDD, for example, is comorbid with infectious diseases, such as HIV/AIDS, which are pandemic in the country. MDD is also associated with non-communicable diseases, high rates of violence and injury and maternal and child illness. In addition, South Africans may be at risk for neuropsychiatric disorders given the high levels of unemployment and poverty, high rates of crime, inadequate social services and other potentially stressful living conditions such as poor and unstable housing. Further, evidence suggests that MDD is comorbid with a variety of psychiatric conditions and medical conditions as well as substance use disorders. It is therefore reasonable to argue that, with the high burden of disease and shortages in healthcare resources and the potentially adverse living conditions in South Africa, MDD is likely to be highly prevalent.

MDD in the Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders, Fifth Edition (DSM-V) is characterised by one or more major depressive episodes, lasting for at least 2 weeks. This closely resembles the criteria for recurrent depressive disorder in the existing International Statistical Classification of Diseases and Related Health Problems, 10th Revision (ICD-10). An MDD episode involves symptoms of depressed mood and/or loss of interest or pleasure in all or most activities, occurring most of the day and nearly every day, and/or loss of interest or pleasure in all or most activities, occurring most of the day and nearly every day, and/or inability to experience any positive feeling. They are disabling and associated with a significant economic burden at both the individual and societal levels, resulting from a reduction in health-related quality of life, disrupted work and life roles, as well as increased morbidity and mortality.

Health in South Africa is characterised by an enormous and diverse burden of disease and comorbidity of MDD with a range of other conditions is a reason for concern. MDD, for example, is comorbid with infectious diseases, such as HIV/AIDS, which are pandemic in the country. MDD is also associated with non-communicable diseases, high rates of violence and injury and maternal and child illness. In addition, South Africans may be at risk for neuropsychiatric disorders given the high levels of unemployment and poverty, high rates of crime, inadequate social services and other potentially stressful living conditions such as poor and unstable housing. Further, evidence suggests that MDD is comorbid with a variety of psychiatric conditions and medical conditions as well as substance use disorders. It is therefore reasonable to argue that, with the high burden of disease and shortages in healthcare resources and the potentially adverse living conditions in South Africa, MDD is likely to be highly prevalent.

MDD in the Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders, Fifth Edition (DSM-V) is characterised by one or more major depressive episodes, lasting for at least 2 weeks. This closely resembles the criteria for recurrent depressive disorder in the existing International Statistical Classification of Diseases and Related Health Problems, 10th Revision (ICD-10). An MDD episode involves symptoms of depressed mood and/or loss of interest or pleasure in all or most activities, occurring most of the day and nearly every day, and/or inability to experience any positive feeling. They are disabling and associated with a significant economic burden at both the individual and societal levels, resulting from a reduction in health-related quality of life, disrupted work and life roles, as well as increased morbidity and mortality.

METHODS
Criteria for included studies
Types of studies
We will include population-based surveys, prospective or retrospective cohort, case–control and cross-sectional studies published in English, with more than 100 participants, that reported on the epidemiological parameters of interest in the general population and in specific populations such as those with chronic diseases (including HIV), pregnancy and those exposed to trauma or negative life experiences. Studies that report on cases which met diagnostic criteria for MDD as described in the DSM-V/DSM-IV-TR or ICD-10 will be included. Studies in which a measurement tool was used to screen for and diagnose MDD will also be included.

For prevalence, we will include studies reporting point prevalence (ie, current or past month prevalence) and period prevalence (ie, 6-month and/or 12-month prevalence). For incidence, we will include studies reporting on cumulative incidence rates (ie, number of new cases in a specified time period in a population at risk) or incidence rates (ie, number of new cases over total person-years of follow-up susceptible). For remission, we will include studies reporting on a recrudescent diagnosis of MDD (ie, DSM-V/DSM-IV-TR or ICD-10 criteria no longer met). For duration, we will include studies reporting on time to end of a major depressive episode. For severity, we will include studies reporting on severity (ie, mild, moderate or severe) based on DSM-V/DSM-IV-TR
or ICD-10 specifiers. For case fatality, we will include studies reporting on the percentage of persons diagnosed as having MDD who die as a result of the disease within a given time period, and for excess mortality, we will include studies reporting on relative risk (ie, deaths in individuals with MDD compared with individuals without MDD) or standardised mortality ratios (ie, deaths in individuals with MDD compared with deaths in the total population).

In addition, studies examining the reliability and/or validity of depression measurement tools will be selected for inclusion if they meet the aforementioned inclusion criteria. Studies using symptom-based instruments that mapped on the criteria proposed by DSM-V/DSM-IV-TR and ICD-10 will also be included. Randomised controlled trials, published in English, will be included in cases where both the experimental and control groups are selected from the same source population or the larger population of which they are ideally a representative sample.

Studies reporting on depressive symptoms, not specific to MDD, will be excluded. For prevalence, lifetime estimates will be excluded, as recall bias invalidates them as credible measures of disease burden. Finally, studies that report secondary outcomes and no primary outcomes will be excluded. The primary and secondary outcomes are listed in the ‘types of outcome measure’ section below.

Types of participants
We will include studies conducted on persons living in South Africa, regardless of age, gender and study setting. Studies conducted exclusively on recent immigrants or refugees will be excluded because they have a very different risk profile for major depression (usually elevated) compared with the non-immigrants and therefore will not be representative of the broader South African population.

Types of outcome measures
Primary outcomes
Prevalence. Point prevalence (ie, current or past month prevalence), 6-month or 12-month period prevalence of MDD.

Incidence. Cumulative incidence or incidence rate of a major depressive episode (ie, number of new cases over total person-years of follow-up susceptible).

Remission: Remission rate (ie, percentage of ‘untreated’ participants remitted at one or more follow-up time-points in the study).

Duration. Average or median duration of a major depressive episode.

Severity: Severity criteria (ie, mild, moderate or severe) of a major depressive episode according to DSM-V/DSM-IV-TR or ICD-10.

Case fatality. Case fatality rate (ie, percentage of persons diagnosed as having MDD who die as a result of the disease within a specified time period).

Excess mortality. Relative risk (ie, deaths in individuals with MDD compared with individuals without MDD) or standardised mortality ratios (ie, deaths in individuals with MDD compared with deaths in the total population).

Secondary outcome
Risk factors for MDD. Risk factors for this mood disorder cited in the literature are sociodemographic factors (such as age, gender, occupation, educational level, marital status, employment status, ethnicity, socioeconomic status), familial factors (such as family history of MDD, family conflict), stressful life events (eg, death, assault, marital discord, divorce), comorbidity (eg, substance use disorder, psychiatric disorders and medical illnesses) and early trauma (eg, early childhood maltreatment; early prolonged emotional, physical and sexual abuse and early death of a parent).

Search strategy
The search strategy aims to find published articles written in English, that described the prevalence, incidence, remission, duration, severity of, case fatality or excess mortality of MDD, that were conducted from January 1997 up to December 2015. The electronic databases that will be searched are CINAHL, JTSOR, Popline, PubMed, Science Direct, Scopus, Web of Science, PsycINFO, PsyArtICLES and PsychBooks (table 1). The time period was chosen because we have YLL estimates for this period which will be combined with the YLD estimates obtained through disease modelling to calculate the DALY.

Experts in the field will be consulted to inform about possible non-journal literature and local data sources. We will also check reference lists of included studies and other relevant publications for additional studies.

Study selection
The titles and abstracts of the search output will be screened independently by two review authors in order to identify potentially eligible studies. Full-text articles of
these studies will be obtained and two authors will independently examine these for inclusion in the review using prespecified criteria. Any disagreements will be resolved by discussion and consensus involving the two authors, or a third review author who will arbitrate, if needed.

**Data extraction**

A standardised data extraction form will be used for data extraction. Two authors will independently perform data extraction. Any disagreements arising during the data extraction process will be resolved by discussion and consensus involving the two authors or a third review author will arbitrate, if needed. Information will be extracted regarding study title, author(s), year of study and publication; study design/data source; population characteristics such as age and sex; study setting and geographic location (such as rural, urban); details of outcome measures; and details necessary to assess the risk of bias. Authors of reports/articles will be contacted for additional information on unclear or missing data.

**Risk of bias assessment**

Two authors will independently assess the risk of bias and methodological quality for each study using a piloted checklist adapted from the risk of bias tool for population-based studies described by Hoy et al. and the Newcastle-Ottawa Scale for assessing the quality of non-randomised studies. The dimensions covered in the checklist include external validity (ie, representativeness, non-response bias and loss to follow-up) and internal validity (ie, case definition, measurement of cases and consistency of measurement of cases, uncertainty of estimation, appropriateness of time factor for outcome measure, appropriateness of numerator and denominator in calculation of estimate and confounding). This will be assessed qualitatively in terms of the anticipated impact on bias. In addition, total scores of the risk of bias will be taken into account which range from 1 to 20: a score of 1–6 will be rated high risk, 7–13 moderate risk, and 14–20 low risk. Differences between the two authors will be resolved by discussion and consensus and a third author will arbitrate, if needed.

**Data synthesis**

Separate meta-analyses will be performed for quantitative data on prevalence, incidence, remission, duration, severity, case fatality and excess mortality for MDD from studies rated as low or moderate risk using STATA 13 (StataCorp, College Station, Texas, USA). We will combine the study-specific estimates and obtain the overall summary estimate and 95% CI across studies. Clinical heterogeneity will be investigated by looking at the types of participants in each study. The $\chi^2$ test will be used to identify statistically significant heterogeneity. The latter will be considered to exist when $\chi^2$ p≤0.10. The $I^2$ statistic will be used to evaluate the degree of heterogeneity. If the study results are found to be statistically homogeneous (ie, when $\chi^2$ p>0.10), we will pool them using the fixed-effect meta-analysis. Otherwise, we will use random effects meta-analysis. Potential publication bias will be assessed by visual inspections of funnel plots. Where studies are found to be clinically and statistically heterogeneous, we will conduct a narrative synthesis including tables and figures. We anticipate that the paucity of published studies reporting on incidence, remission, duration, severity, case fatality and excess mortality of MDD and the heterogeneous nature of the reported results will preclude meta-analysis. In this event, only a narrative synthesis of results will be conducted.

For risk factors for MDD, in anticipation of the large variability in their investigation and reporting across studies, only a narrative synthesis of the evidence will be conducted, including tables. For studies rated low or moderate risk, we will report the measure of association used for each of the risk factors investigated with their corresponding 95% CI and indicate whether those measures were adjusted for confounders or not. Further, we will document the number of risk factors reported to be associated with MDD and tabulate the most commonly reported risk factors for MDD.

**Analysis of subgroups or subsets**

Where sufficient data are available, subgroup analyses will be performed by population group where identified, MDD assessment instrument, rural/urban settings, and study designs.

**Confidence in cumulative evidence**

The grading of recommendations, assessment, development and evaluation (GRADE) approach will be used to assess the certainty of the body of evidence. This method results in the assessment of the certainty of the body of evidence as high, moderate, low or very low. The evidence is considered of high certainty if further research very unlikely to change our confidence in the effect estimate; and moderate certainty if further research is likely to have an important impact on our confidence in the estimate of the effect and may change the estimate. The low certainty evidence implies that further research is likely to have an important impact on our confidence in the effect estimate and may change the estimate, and very low certainty implies that we have very little confidence in the effect estimate and there is uncertainty in the effect estimates.

**ETHICS AND DISSEMINATION**

The systematic review does not require ethics approval because published studies with non-identifiable data will be used. None of the data can be linked to an individual. This protocol complies with the ’Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis Protocols (PRISMA-P)’ guidelines and any amendments that prove necessary will be tracked, documented and reported transparently. In addition, the findings of...
the systematic review will be reported according to the PRISMA statement, and will have important implications for epidemiological modelling and research. The review will shed light on the evidence base for epidemiological studies on MDD and the availability of nationally representative local epidemiological data which are needed for the disease modelling of MDD to estimate YLDs; ultimately, these estimates will be combined with YLLs to calculate the DALYs which will inform policy.
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