Effect of Shading on the Performance of *Vitis vinifera* L. cv. Cabernet Sauvignon
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The effect of shading on the performance of Cabernet Sauvignon was studied. Significant different levels of canopy density were created using the growth of neighbouring vines, thus ensuring no artificial change in natural light composition. Light penetration in these canopies differed significantly between treatments. Berry mass, bunch mass and yield as well as skin colour were decreased with increasing levels of shading, while pH, K-concentration and TTA were increased. Tartaric acid decreased while malic acid increased with an increase in shading. Wine quality was negatively affected.

The effect of canopy microclimate on the quantitative and qualitative performance of grapevines has been the subject of various studies (Shaulis, Amberg & Crowe, 1966; Shaulis & May, 1971; Smart, 1973, 1974, 1985, 1987a, 1987b, 1987c; Carbonneau, Casteran & Le Clair, 1978; Kliewer, 1982; Smart, Shaulis & Lemon, 1982; Smart et al., 1985a & Smart, Dry & Loffler, 1987; Kliewer et al., 1988; Smart & Smith, 1988). Mainly because of the work done by Smart, the advantages of low canopy density for higher quantity and quality grapes are presently better understood. Smart, Smith & Winchester (1988) recently showed that not only light quantity but also light quality plays an important role in grape composition.

Canopy microclimate depends on the number and spatial distribution of the leaves. Although trellising systems affect canopy microclimate (Carbonneau et al., 1978; Carbonneau & Huglin, 1982; Carbonneau, 1987), canopy management practices such as defoliation (Peterson & Smart, 1975; Williams, Biscay & Smith, 1987; Bledsoe, Kliewer & Marois, 1988; Hunter & Visser, 1988b & 1988c), shoot positioning (Reynolds, Pool & Mattick, 1986; Smart, 1988), desuckering (Archer & Beukes, 1983) and topping (El-Zeftawi & Weste, 1970; Koblet, 1977) exert a great effect on the arrangement of leaves within the canopy. Most of the above-mentioned reports, high canopy density and thus shade are mentioned as producing negative characteristics. This study used the borrowed foliage technique, suggested to the authors by R. Smart as a means of quantifying the effects of natural shading on winegrapes in South Africa (see Smart, 1987a).

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Vineyard:** - A 10-year-old *Vitis vinifera* L. cv. Cabernet Sauvignon vineyard in the Stellenbosch district, grafted onto 99 Richter (*Vitis Berlandieri* var. Las Sorres x *Vitis rupestris* var. du Lot) and cordon trained onto a vertical trellising system (cordon height: 700 mm; foliage height: 1 800 mm) was used. Thirty representative vines were selected of which ten were used for each of three treatments, namely control, single and double shading. Individual vines served as replicates. The plant spacing in this vineyard was 2,7 m x 1,4 m. Vegetative growth in the vineyard could be described as vigorous and the vines produced approximately 18 shoots/m cordon.

For the single shading treatment one long cane from each adjacent vine was used and positioned directly beneath each cordon arm of the treatment vine, thus creating two “extra” long canes per vine. The double shading treatment on the remaining ten vines was created by using a total of four long canes (two from each adjacent vine) per treatment vine. These “extra” canes were treated with cyanamide ensuring maximum budding percentage and the flower clusters were removed before full bloom. All the treatment and control vines were spur pruned to 20 buds per vine and normal desuckering and shoot positioning were carried out.

**Measurements:** - Measurements were taken during the 1987/88 growth season. Radiant energy received at cluster level on a typical cloudless summer day was measured between 12:00 and 14:00 during ripening using a LI-COR 191 SB Line Quantum Sensor placed within the canopy in line with the cordon. Five measurements per vine were recorded. Canopy density during the same period was measured using the point quadrat method (Smart, 1988). Thirty probes per vine were made horizontally through the canopy at cluster level. Ten bunches per vine were randomly sampled at full ripeness for bunch mass recordings. All the berries from these bunches were used for a random selection of 100 berries per vine to record berry mass.

Skin colour densities were measured using the method described by Hunter & De la Harpe (1987). Must analyses were done using standard procedures employed by the Department of Oenology, University of Stellenbosch.

**Winemaking:** - Wine was made in triplicate from all three treatments by standard VORI procedures and an experienced panel of at least five members was used to rank the wines in order of preference, based on overall quality. This was done for two consecutive years.

**RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

**Radiant energy:** - The radiant energy, expressed as photosynthetic photon fluence rate (PPFR), received at cluster level, is given in Table 1. Although the PPFR values obtained
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for control vines were higher than the critical light compensation point (= 30 µE m⁻² s⁻¹) they were considerably lower than values measured in New Zealand by Smart (1988). This can probably be ascribed to differences in vegetative growth occurring between warm and cool climate regions. It is clear that the shading treatments significantly impaired radiant energy reception at cluster level. Single shading already induced similar PPFR values of between 20 and 35 µE m⁻² s⁻¹ which were frequently measured by the senior author in commercial South African vineyards. These low values of the treatment vines were clearly reflected by very early yellowing of interior basal leaves just before pea size stage was reached. This induced senescence is known to have a detrimental effect on the total photosynthetic efficiency of the canopy of vines (Hunter & Visser, 1988a & 1988c).

TABLE 1
Effect of shading on the photosynthetic photon fluence rate received at midday in the canopy during ripening of Cabernet Sauvignon, Stellenbosch

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Treatment</th>
<th>Radiant energy (µE M⁻² s⁻¹)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Control</td>
<td>40,7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Single shading</td>
<td>24,7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Double shading</td>
<td>3,6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D-value (p ≤ 0,05)</td>
<td>15,8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Canopy density: Both shading treatments resulted in a significant increase in canopy density (Table 2). Although the values obtained were higher than reported in other studies (Smart, 1988), measurements by the senior author in several commercial South African vineyards produced values of between seven and eight. This indicated that too high canopy densities is a common problem in local vineyards.

TABLE 2
Effect of shading on canopy density during ripening of Cabernet Sauvignon measured by the point quadrat method, Stellenbosch

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Treatment</th>
<th>Canopy density (number of contacts)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Control</td>
<td>3,36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Single shading</td>
<td>7,20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Double shading</td>
<td>9,58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D-value (p ≤ 0,05)</td>
<td>1,54</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Yield: Shading resulted in a significant decrease in berry mass, bunch mass and yield per vine (Table 3). The decrease in berry mass and bunch mass resulted in a direct decrease in yield per vine with obvious economic implications. The morphology of the bunches was visually adversely affected (Fig. 1). Unfavourable microclimatic conditions in the canopy during full bloom and subsequent fruit set probably caused this morphological degeneration. No signs of rot were detected. Clearly, the endeavour to achieve optimal canopy density will result in a better economic performance for the wine producer.

TABLE 3
Effect of shading on berry mass, bunch mass and yield per vine of Cabernet Sauvignon, Stellenbosch

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Treatment</th>
<th>Berry mass (g)</th>
<th>Bunch mass (g)</th>
<th>Yield per vine (kg)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Control</td>
<td>1,09</td>
<td>125,4</td>
<td>4,62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Single shading</td>
<td>0,81</td>
<td>104,7</td>
<td>3,47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Double shading</td>
<td>0,63</td>
<td>77,2</td>
<td>2,44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D-value (p ≤ 0,05)</td>
<td>0,19</td>
<td>20,65</td>
<td>0,094</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

FIGURE 1
Effect of shading on the morphology of Cabernet Sauvignon bunches, Stellenbosch 1988.
Left: Double shading
Middle: Single shading
Right: Control

Grape and must composition: Shading significantly reduced the skin colour of Cabernet Sauvignon (Table 4). Skin colour of the control grapes was twice that of the double shading treatment grapes. This finding is supported by the well-known fact that the formation of anthocyanins is promoted by light and that it is mainly light in the shorter wavebands which was most effective (Bidwell, 1974).

Sugar concentration decreased while pH and K-concentration increased with an increase in shading (Table 5). Smart (1987a) and Smart et al. (1988) found that these increases might be ascribed to the inhibition of phytochrome driven enzyme reactions. The increase in the total titratable acid (TTA) was mainly the result of an increase in malic acid. This is in accordance with the results of Morrison (1988). Tartaric
Effect of shading

Effect of shading on the skin colour density of Cabernet Sauvignon, Stellenbosch.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Treatment</th>
<th>Skin colour density (520 nm)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Control</td>
<td>0,595</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Single shading</td>
<td>0,348</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Double shading</td>
<td>0,253</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D-value (p ≤0,05)</td>
<td>0,094</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Effect of shading on the must composition and wine quality of Cabernet Sauvignon, Stellenbosch.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Treatment</th>
<th>Sugar concentration(°B)</th>
<th>pH</th>
<th>K-concentration (mg/l)</th>
<th>TTA (g/l)</th>
<th>Tartaric acid (g/l)</th>
<th>Malic acid (g/l)</th>
<th>Wine quality ranking*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Control</td>
<td>23,6</td>
<td>3,30</td>
<td>1215</td>
<td>6,53</td>
<td>4,53</td>
<td>3,74</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Single shading</td>
<td>21,9</td>
<td>3,41</td>
<td>1520</td>
<td>7,21</td>
<td>4,26</td>
<td>4,32</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Double shading</td>
<td>20,4</td>
<td>3,86</td>
<td>1704</td>
<td>7,97</td>
<td>4,10</td>
<td>4,57</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Mean ranking for two consecutive years

CONCLUSIONS

Using foliage of adjacent vines to create shading seemed an appropriate technique to use when light quantity and quality effects are studied. Shading has marked negative effects on both production and on grape and wine quality. Not only was the morphology of the bunches reduced, but skin colour, sugar concentration, K-concentration, pH and tartaric acid were also negatively affected. These negative effects on grape composition culminated in lower wine quality.

Indications are that shading is a common phenomenon in South African vineyards because of excessive vegetative growth which results in high canopy densities. The negative effects thereof on canopy microclimate can be eventually reflected in sub-optimal quantitative and qualitative performance of such vineyards. The results of this study clearly points to the necessity for a well-planned canopy management programme. The quantitative and qualitative performance of vineyards with high canopy densities can be drastically augmented over the short term. Long term effects of shading on aspects such as bud fertility as well as the economy of grape farming will be investigated in future studies.
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