REALIZATION OF ABSTRACT CONVEX GEOMETRIES BY POINT CONFIGURATIONS

KIRA ADARICHEVA AND MARCEL WILD

A closure space (J, -) is called a convex geometry (see, for example, [1]), if it satisfies the anti-exchange axiom, i.e.

$$x \in \overline{A \cup \{y\}}$$
 and $x \notin A$ imply that $y \notin \overline{A \cup \{x\}}$ for all $x \neq y$ in J and all closed $A \subseteq J$.

Given a closure space, one can associate with it the lattice of closed sets Cl(J, -). It is well known that the lattice of closed sets of a *finite* convex geometry is join-semidistributive. The latter property is defined by

$$(\forall x, y, z \in L) \quad (x \lor y = x \lor z \quad \Rightarrow \quad x \lor y = x \lor (y \land z))$$

The following classical example of convex geometries shows how they earned their name. Given a set of points X in Euclidean space \mathbb{R}^n , one defines a closure operator on X as follows: for any $Y\subseteq X$, $\overline{Y}=convex\ hull(Y)\cap X$. One easily verifies that such an operator satisfies the anti-exchange axiom. Thus, (X,-) is a convex geometry. Denote by $\mathbf{C}o(\mathbb{R}^n,X)$ the closure lattice of this closure space, namely, the lattice of convex sets relative to X.

The current work was motivated by the following problem raised in [1]: which lattices can be embedded into $\mathbf{Co}(\mathbb{R}^n, X)$ for some $n \in \omega$ and some finite $X \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$? Is this the class of all finite join-semidistributive lattices?

On the way to answer the above questions, one can address the associated problem raised in [2], and known as the

Edelman – Jamison Problem: Characterize those finite convex geometries that are realizable as $\mathbf{C}o(\mathbb{R}^n, X)$.

In the current paper we restrict ourselves to the case of n=2 and point configurations in *general position*, i.e. where no 3 different points belong to one line. We formulate the hypothesis that a finite convex geometry is realizable by a point configuration on a plane, if two properties of very lucid geometrical nature hold: the so-called *splitting rule* and the *carousel rule*.

In one of major results of the paper we prove the hypothesis for all point configurations that have at most 2 points inside the n-gon. This extends the description of $\mathbf{C}o(\mathbb{R}^2, X)$ for the point configurations X that have one point inside a n-gon, given in [3]. We also confirm the hypothesis for all 6-point configurations on the plane.

In another part of our paper we discuss the connection between the Edelman-Jamison Problem and the Order Type Problem.

Following [4], call $t: J[3] \to \{1, -1\}$ an order type on J, if there is a function $f: J \to \mathbb{R}^2$ such that for all (a, b, c) in J[3] one has

$$t(a,b,c) = \operatorname{sign}(f(a),f(b),f(c))$$

The point configuration X = f(J) is then said to *realize* the order type t. In brief, t is an order type, if it represents the orientation of triples of some suitable point configuration.

The Order Type Problem: Given any function $t: J[3] \to \{1, -1\}$, recognize whether it is an order type and, if it is, find some realizing point configuration.

It is known that the Order Type Problem is NP-hard: that follows from the famous Mnëv's Universality Theorem [5]. We investigate whether the Order Type Problem can be polynomially reduced to Edelman-Jamison Problem.

It turns out that each order-type t generates a unique convex geometry C(t), associated with its point realization. On the other hand, a realizable convex geometry C may have many realizations whose order-types are non-equivalent. The set of such non-equivalent order-types is denoted Order-Types(C).

We build a series of examples of convex geometries L_p to demonstrate the following:

Corollary 0.1. The growth of $|Order\text{-}Types(L_p)|$ of convex geometries L_p of size O(p) cannot be p-polynomially bounded.

This does not allow to straightforwardly reduce the Order-type Problem to Edelman-Jamison Problem.

On the positive side, we introduce the natural notion of a *simple* convex geometry, for which we can prove:

Theorem 0.2. Given natural number l, let C(l) be the class of all finite simple convex geometries of depth $\leq l$, and let $\mathcal{J}(l)$ be the class of all candidate order-types whose unique associated convex geometry is in C(l). Then the polynomial time decidability of the realizability of $t \in \mathcal{J}(l)$ is equivalent to the polynomial time decidability of the realizability of $(J, -) \in C(l)$.

Here, the *depth* of the convex geometry indicates the number of its *layers*. The first *outside* layer L_1 of geometry C = (J, -) is just a set of its *extreme* points. Considering the restriction of closure operator to $J \setminus L_1$, one obtains a convex geometry C_1 , whose set of extreme points is the second layer L_2 of C etc.

Two points x, y of the same layer are called *equivalent*, if $z \in \overline{\{x, u, v\}}$ iff $z \in \overline{\{y, u, v\}}$, for any u, v from the same layer. The geometry is called *simple*, if all its layers, except innermost, do not have equivalent points.

A candidate order type is a function $t: J[3] \to \{-1,1\}$ for which an associated convex geometry can be defined. We show that it can be decided in polynomial time whether a given function t is a candidate order type. Besides, it can be checked in polynomial time, whether a given convex geometry is simple.

References

- [1] K.V. Adaricheva, V.A. Gorbunov, and V.I. Tumanov, Join-semidistributive lattices and convex geometries, Adv. Math., 173 (2003), 1–49.
- [2] P.H. Edelman and R. Jamison, The theory of convex geometries, Geom. Dedicata 19(1985), 247-274
- [3] P. Edelman and D. Larman, On characterizing collections arising from N-gons in the plane, Geom. Dedicata 33(1990), 83–89.
- [4] J.E. Goodman and P. Pollack, Multidimentional sorting, SIAM J.Computing 12(1983),484– 503
- [5] N.E. Mnëv, The universality theorem on the classification problem of configuration varieties and convex polytopes varieties, in Topology and Geometry-Rohlin Seminar (O.Ya.Viro, editor), v.1346 of Lecture Notes in Math., Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1988, 527–544.