Cation- π induced association and nano-structured aggregate formation of water-soluble [Pt^{II}(diimine)(Lⁿ-*S*,*O*)]⁺ complexes examined with high-resolution ¹H and DOSY NMR: Towards understanding their potential antimalarial activity

> By Izak Aldert Kotzé



Dissertation presented for the degree of Doctor of Chemistry in the Faculty of Science at Stellenbosch University

Promoter: Prof. Klaus R. Koch

December 2013

Declaration

By submitting this thesis electronically, I declare that the entirety of the work contained therein is my own, original work, that I am the owner of the copyright thereof (unless to the extent explicitly otherwise stated) and that I have not previously in its entirety or in part submitted it for obtaining any qualification.

Signed:

Izak Aldert Kotzé

August 2013

Copyright 2013 Stellenbosch University All rights reserved

Acknowledgements

I would sincerely like to thank:

My supervisor, Prof. Klaus Koch, for his motivation, enthusiasm and guidance throughout my studies.

Dr. Wilhelmus Gerber for his time, effort and knowledgeable insight.

Elsa Malherbe and Dr. Jaco Brand from the NMR lab for their friendship and technical assistance.

Dr. Vincent Smith for the SCXRD analysis.

Mr. Mohammed Jaffer from UCT for the TEM analysis.

Prof. Tim Egan for making his lab available for the β -haematin inhibition studies.

The technical staff at the Analytical Chemistry section, Shafiek Mohammed, Deidre Davids and Roger Lawrence.

All the present and past PGM group members for their friendship and creating a memorable working environment.

My wife Lize, friends and family for their love and support throughout the course of this work.

The University of Stellenbosch, Anglo Platinum and the National Research Foundation for financial support.

Publications

Izak A. Kotzé, Wilhelmus J. Gerber, Yu-Shan Wu and Klaus R. Koch, *Dalton Transactions*, **2013**,42, 3791-3801. (*Dalton Trans. cover feature*)

Izak A. Kotzé, Wilhelmus J. Gerber, Jean M. Mckenzie, and Klaus R. Koch, *European Journal of Inorganic Chemistry*, **2009**, 12, 1626–1633.

Conference Proceedings

2011	Euromar Conference (Frankfurt, Germany)	Poster Presented	
	European Magnetic Resonance Meeting		
	SACI 11 (South African Chemical Institute)	Poster Presented	
	Johannesburg		
2009	South African PhD Project Conference	Invited Delegate	
	Johannesburg		
	RSC SACI-Inorganic Conference	Poster Presented	
	Bloemfontein		
2008	Cape Organometallic Symposium	Delegate	
	Cape Town		
	SACI 08 (South African Chemical Institute)	Poster Presented	
	Stellenbosch		

Abstract

A series of mixed ligand $[Pt^{II}(diimine)(L^n-S,O)]Cl$ complexes (where diimine is 1,10-phenanthroline (phen) or 2,2'-bipyridyl (bipy) and L^n-S,O represents various chelating *N*,*N*-di(alkyl)-*N*'-acylthioureas) have been synthesized and characterized. The ¹H NMR spectrum of $[Pt^{II}(phen)(L^1-S,O)]Cl$ was unambiguously assigned using 1D NOESY experiments which confirms previous assignments of this complex. The effect of changing the metal centre from Pt^{II} to Pd^{II} in the $[M^{II}(phen)(L^1-S,O)]Cl$ complex on its antimalarial activity has been investigated.

The first crystal structure of $Pt^{II}(bipy)Cl_2$ which co-crystallized with a solvent molecule (acetonitrile) has been obtained. The crystal packing of the yellow $Pt^{II}(bipy)Cl_2 \cdot CH_3CN$ crystals show close contact between the Pt centers of adjacent molecules. This interaction is similar to the Pt^{...}Pt interaction observed for the red polymorph of $Pt^{II}(bipy)Cl_2$, which displays significant dz^2 -orbital overlap and the reason for the bathochromic or red-shift observed for this polymorph.

The synthesis of $[Pt^{II}(phen)(L^2-S,O)]Cl$ yielded significant quantities of novel *bis*-monodentate coordinted-L² complex, Pt^{II}(phen)(L²-S)₂ which could be synthesised in high yields after optimisation. The crystal structure of Pt^{II}(phen)(L²-S)₂ shows *intra*-molecular aromatic π -stacking interactions between the naphthoyl moiety of the coordinated L² and the coordinated phen ligand. This π -stacking interaction was found to be present in methanol- d_4 solutions using 1D NOESY experiments, which could account for the presence of Pt^{II}(phen)(L²-S)₂ in the synthesis of $[Pt^{II}(phen)(L^2-S,O)]Cl$. This monodentate *S* coordination was also observed for other *N*,*N*-di(alkyl)-*N'*-acylthioureas which form monodentate Pt^{II}(phen)(Lⁿ-S)₂ complexes in high yields, especially those with aroylthiourea ligands. The crystal structure of Pt^{II}(phen)(L⁴-S)₂ revealed *inter*-molecular π -stacking between the 1,10-pehnantrhroline ligands of two adjacent complexes, similar to the interaction postulated for the self-association Pt^{II}(phen)(L²-S)₂ in chloroform. The versatility of *N*,*N*-di(alkyl)-*N'*-acylthiourea ligands to coordinate in a monodentate fashion *via* the sulphur donor atom or as a chelate *via* the oxygen- and suphur donor atoms have been shown by the relatively high yields obtained for the synthesis of both cationic [Pt^{II}(phen)(Lⁿ-*S*,*O*)]Cl and neutral Pt^{II}(phen)(Lⁿ-*S*)₂ complexes.

The outer-sphere self-association of $[Pt^{II}(phen)(L^1-S, O)]^+$ in 0 - 100 % (v/v) D₂O:CD₃CN solutions has been investigated by means of significant concentration dependence of ¹H NMR chemical shifts as well as Diffusion Ordered Spectroscopy (DOSY NMR). $[Pt^{II}(phen)(L^1-S, O)]^+$ forms regiospecific non-covalent dimers, $2M^+ \rightleftharpoons \{M^+\}_2$, in 0 to 30 % (v/v) D₂O:CD₃CN solutions. The extent of dimerisation increases significantly as the D₂O content is increased, with the dimerisation constant (K_D) increasing from 17 ± 2 M⁻¹ in CD₃CN to 71 ± 8 M⁻¹ in 30% (v/v) D₂O:CD₃CN at 299.3K, presumably *via* non-covalent cation- π interactions ($\Delta_r G^0_{CD_3CN} = -7.0$ kJ.mol⁻¹; $\Delta_r G^0_{30\% D_2O:CD_3CN} = -10.4$ kJ.mol⁻¹). Experimental data are consistent with an 'offset' face-to-face cation- π stacking arrangement of the planar cationic complexes. However, in water-rich solvent mixtures from >30% (v/v) D₂O:CD₃CN to pure D₂O, the extent of aggregation significantly increases until a critical aggregation concentration (CAC) is reached, estimated to be 9.6 and 10.3 mM from ¹H NMR chemical shift concentration dependence and DOSY NMR measurements respectively. Above the CAC the formation of spaghetti-like nano-structures formulated as $\{[Pt^{II}(phen)(L^{1}-S,O)]^{+}\}_{n}C\Gamma_{y}$ (*n*, *y* > 2) is indicated. DOSY studies show a significant decrease of the average diffusion coefficient D_{obs} as a function of increasing concentration of $[Pt^{II}(phen)(L^{1}-S,O)]Cl$ in D₂O. The *aggregation number* (*N*) estimated from hydrodynamic volumes of the mononuclear $[Pt^{II}(phen)(L^{1}-S,O)]^{+}$ cation (V_H⁰), and those V_H estimated from D_{obs} ($N = V_{H}/V_{H}^{0}$) as a function of total complex concentration, ranges from ~2 to ~735 in pure D₂O. Above the CAC the well defined nano-structures, which may be loosely termed "metallogels", could be characterized by means of Transmission Electron Microscopy. As expected, the addition of NaCl appears to increase the extent of aggregation formation, presumably by stabilizing the formation of a nano-sized $\{[Pt^{II}(phen)(L^{1}-S,O)]^{+}\}_{n}C\Gamma_{y}$ aggregates thus preventing excessive positive electrostatic charge build-up.

The $[Pt^{II}(phen)(L^{1}-S,O)]^{+}$ cation forms relatively strong non-covalent 1:1 outer-sphere complexes with pyrene $(C_{16}H_{10})$, with association constants (37.9 M⁻¹) similar to that observed for the fluoranthene (39.7 M⁻¹) at 298.15 K. Pyrene was found to form a tighter 1:1 aggregate with $Pt^{II}(phen)(L^{1}-S,O)]^{+}$ with the corresponding $\Delta_{r}H_{(M/P)} = -18.1 \pm 3$ kJ.mol⁻¹ compared to fluoranthene $(\Delta_{r}H_{(M/F)} = -13.3 \pm 3$ kJ.mol⁻¹), which is postulated to be due to the larger aromatic π -surface of pyrene potentially forming a stronger cation- π interaction with the $[Pt^{II}(phen)(L^{1}-S,O)]^{+}$ cation.

A β-haematin (synthetic crystalline ferriprotoporpyhrin, Fe(III)PPIX) inhibition assay has been performed on the series of $[Pt^{II}(diimine)(L^n-S,O)]^+$ complexes, $[Pd^{II}(phen)(L^1-S,O)]^+$ and $[Pt^{II}Cl(dmso)(en)]^+$ to establish their potential for antimalarial activity. The series of $[Pt^{II}(diimine)(L^n-S,O)]^+$ complexes was found to significantly inhibit β-haematin formation, while the respective ligands were inactive. $[Pt^{II}(phen)(L^n-S,O)]^+$ complexes were found to be significantly better βhaematin inhibitors compared to the $[Pt^{II}(bipy)(L^n-S,O)]^+$ and $[Pd^{II}(phen)(L^1-S,O)]^+$ variations. $[Pt^{II}_2(phen)_2(L^9-S,O)]^{2+}$, where $L^9 = bis - (N,N-diethyl) - N'$ -adipoylthiourea consisting of two *S*,*O*coordination sites, was found to be the most efficient β-haematin inhibitor tested with a corresponding $IC_{50} = 8 \pm 1 \mu M$; roughly half the IC_{50} of the mono-functional complexes $[Pt^{II}(phen)(L^n-S,O)]^+$ and more efficient than the known antimalarials chloroquine $(34 \pm 2 \mu M)$ and amodiaquine $(13 \pm 1 \mu M)$. The interactions between $[Pt^{II}(diimine)(L^n-S,O)]^+$ complexes and the solution species of haematin are postulated to be mainly cation- π interactions while other possible contributing non-covalent interactions include ion-pairing, π -stacking, cation- π interactions and potential coordination of the acyl group of Lⁿ and the Fe(III) metal centre.

Samevatting

'n Reeks gemengde-ligand $[Pt^{II}(di-imien)(L^n-S,O)]Cl$ komplekse (waar di-imien 1,10-fenantrolien (phen) of 2,2'-bipiridiel (bipy) is en Lⁿ-S,O verskeie chelerende *N*,*N*-di(alkiel)-*N*'-asielthioureas verteenwoordig) was gesintetiseer en gekarakteriseer. Die ¹H KMR spektrum van $[Pt^{II}(phen)(L^1-S,O)]Cl$ was eenduidig toegedeel deur gebruik te maak van 1D NOESY eksperimente en het vorige toedelings van hierdie kompleks bevestig. Die effek wat die verandering van die sentrale metaal in die $[M^{II}(phen)(L^1-S,O)]Cl$ kompleks, van Pt^{II} na Pd^{II}, op die anti-malaria aktiwiteit daarvan het, word in hierdie studie ondersoek.

Die eerste kristalstruktuur van $Pt^{II}(bipy)Cl_2$, wat mede-kristalle met die oplosmiddel molekule (asetonitriel) gevorm het, is verkry. Die kristalpakking van die geel $Pt^{II}(bipy)Cl_2 \cdot CH_3CN$ kristalle toon dat daar noue kontak tussen die sentrale Pt-atome van aangrensende molekules is. Hierdie interaksie is soortgelyk aan die $Pt \cdots Pt$ interaksie wat vir die rooi polimorf van $Pt^{II}(bipy)Cl_2$ waargeneem is. Die rooi polimorf toon beduidende dz^2 -orbitaal oorvleueling wat die batochromiese of rooi-verskuiwing wat waargeneem is, teweeg gebring het.

Tydens die sintese van die $[Pt^{II}(phen)(L^2-S,O)]Cl$ kompleks is beduidende hoeveelhede van die nuutontdekte bis-monodentaat gekoördineerde-L² kompleks, $Pt^{II}(phen)(L^2-S)_2$, gevorm en na verdere optimalisering kon laasgenoemde kompleks in hoë opbrengste gesintetiseer geword het. Die kristalstruktuur van Pt^{II}(phen)(L²-S)₂ toon *intra*-molekulêre aromatiese π -stapel interaksies tussen die naftoiël groep van die gekoördineerde L² en die gekoördineerde phen ligand. 1D NOESY KMR eksperimente het gewys dat hierdie π -stapel interaksie in die metanol- d_4 oplossings plaasvind, wat dus die vorming van $Pt^{II}(phen)(L^2-S)_2$ tydens die sintese van $[Pt^{II}(phen)(L^2-S,O)]CI$ kan verduidelik. Soortgelyk was hierdie monodentaat-S koördinasie ook vir ander N,N-di(alkiel)-N'-asiellthioureas wat monodentaat- $Pt^{II}(phen)(L^n-S)_2$ komplekse in hoë opbrengste lewer, veral die met aroiëlthiourea ligande, waargeneem. Die kristalstruktuur van $Pt^{II}(phen)(L^4-S)_2$ het verder getoon dat daar *inter*-molekulêre π -stapel interaksies tussen die 1,10-fenantrolien ligande van die twee aangrensende komplekse is, soortgelyk aan die interaksie wat vir die self-assosiasie van $Pt^{II}(phen)(L^2-S)_2$ in chloroform gepostuleer is. Die veelsydigheid van N,Ndi(alkiel)-N'-asielthiourea ligande om of in 'n monodentate wyse via die swael donoratoom of as 'n chelaat via beide die suurstof en swael donoratome te kan koördineer, is getoon deur die relatiewe hoë opbrengste wat behaal is tydens die sintese van beide die kationiese $[Pt^{II}(phen)(L^n-S,O)]Cl$ en neutrale $Pt^{II}(phen)(L^n-S,O)]Cl$ S)₂ komplekse.

Die buite-sfeer self-assosiasie van $[Pt^{II}(phen)(L^1-S,O)]^+$ in 0 - 100 % (v/v) D₂O:CD₃CN oplossings is ondersoek deur die beduidende konsentrasie-afhanklikheid van die ¹H KMR chemiese verskuiwings te bestudeer, sowel as om van Diffusie Geordende Spektroskopie (DOSY KMR) gebruik te maak. $[Pt^{II}(phen)(L^1-S,O)]^+$ vorm regio-spesifieke nie-kovalente dimere, $2M^+ \rightleftharpoons \{M^+\}_2$, in 0 to 30 % (v/v) D₂O:CD₃CN oplossings. Die graad van dimerisasie neem aansienlik toe soos die persentasie D₂O verhoog, met die dimerisasiekonstante (K_D) wat van 17 ± 2 M⁻¹ in CD₃CN tot 71 ± 8 M⁻¹ in 30% (v/v) D₂O:CD₃CN by 299.3K toeneem, vermoedelik *via* nie-kovalente katioon- π interaksies ($\Delta_r G^0_{CD3CN} = -7.0$ kJ.mol⁻¹; $\Delta_r G^0_{30\%D2O:CD3CN} = -10.4$ kJ.mol⁻¹). Die eksperimentele data wat verkry is stem ooreen met 'n "offset" aangesig-tot-aangesig katioon- π stapel rangskikking van die planêr kationiese komplekse. Terwyl in waterryke mengsels van oplosmiddels waar die D₂O konsentrasie toeneem vanaf >30% (v/v) D₂O:CD₃CN tot suiwer D₂O, neem die graad van samebondeling ("aggregation") aansienlik toe totdat 'n kritiese samebondeling konsentrasie (CAC) beryk word, wat deur middel van ¹H NMR chemiese verskuiwing konsentrasie-afhanklikheid en DOSY KMR metings as 9.6 en 10.3 mM onderskeidelik, bepaal is. Bo die CAC is spaghetti-agtige nanostrukture waargeneem wat as {[Pt^{II}(phen)(L¹-*S*,*O*)]⁺}_nCl_y (*n*, *y* > 2) geformuleer is. DOSY KMR studies het gewys dat daar 'n beduidende afname in die gemiddelde diffusie koeffisiënt, D_{Obs}, is soos wat die konsentrasie van [Pt^{II}(phen)(L¹-*S*,*O*)]Cl in D₂O toeneem. Die *samebondeling nommer* (N), wat vanaf die hidrodinamiese volumes van die mononukleêre [Pt^{II}(phen)(L¹-*S*,*O*)]⁺ katioon (V_H⁰) en die V_H afgelei van die *D*_{obs}, bereken kan word ($N = V_H/V_H^{0}$), wissel van ~2 to ~735 in suiwer D₂O as 'n funksie van die totale konsentrasie van die kompleks. Bo die CAC is goed-gedefinieerde nanostrukture, wat losweg "metallogels" genoem kan word, met Transmissie Elektronmikroskopie gekarakteriseer. Soos verwag, het die toevoeging van NaCl die graad van samebondeling verhoog, vermoedelik deur die stabilisering van die vorming van 'n nano-grootte {[Pt^{II}(phen)(L¹-*S*,*O*)]⁺_h*C*L⁷_v bondel, wat die opbou van oortollige positiewe elektrostatiese lading voorkom.

Die $[Pt^{II}(phen)(L^1-S,O)]^+$ katioon vorm relatief sterk nie-kovalente 1:1 buite-sfeer komplekse met pireen (C₁₆H₁₀), en het 'n assosiasie konstante van 37.9 M⁻¹ wat soortgelyk is aan die wat vir fluorantheen (39.7 M⁻¹) by 298.15 K bepaal is. Verder is gevind dat pireen 'n nouer 1:1 aggregaat met Pt^{II}(phen)(L¹-*S*,*O*)]⁺ vorm ($\Delta_r H_{(M/P)} = -18.1 \pm 3 \text{ kJ.mol}^{-1}$) as fluorantheen ($\Delta_r H_{(M/F)} = -13.3 \pm 3 \text{ kJ.mol}^{-1}$). Daar word gepostuleer dat die rede hiervoor is dat die groter aromatiese π -oppervlak van pyreen waarskynlikheid 'n sterker kationiese π -interaksie met die Pt^{II}(phen)(L¹-*S*,*O*)]⁺ katioon vorm.

'n β-haematin (sintetiese kristallyn ferriprotoporpirien, Fe(III)PPIX) inhibisie toets is op die reeks $[Pt^{II}(diimine)(L^n-S,O)]^+$ komplekse, sowel as op $[Pd^{II}(phen)(L^1-S,O)]^+$ en $[Pt^{II}Cl(dmso)(en)]^+$ uitgevoer om hul potensiaal vir anti-malaria aktiwiteit vas te stel. Daar is gevind dat die $[Pt^{II}(di-mine)(L^n-S,O)]^+$ komplekse β-haematin formasie beduidend belemmer het, terwyl die onderskeie ligande onaktief was. $[Pt^{II}(phen)(L^n-S,O)]^+$ komplekse is gevind om aansienlik beter β-haematin inhibitors as die $[Pt^{II}(bipy)(L^n-S,O)]^+$ en $[Pd^{II}(phen)(L^1-S,O)]^+$ variasies te wees. $[Pt^{II}_2(phen)_2(L^9-S,O)]^{2+}$, waar $L^9 = bis - (N,N-dietiel) - N'-$ adipoylthiourea bestaande uit twee S,O-koördinasie posisies, is bevind as die mees doeltreffende β-haematin inhibitor met toetse wat bewys het dat $IC_{50} = 8 \pm 1 \mu M$ verkry is; sowat die helfte van die IC_{50} van die mono-funksionele $[Pt^{II}(phen)(L^n-S,O)]^+$ komplekse en meer doeltreffend as die welbekende antimalaria middels chloroquine $(34\pm 2 \mu M)$ en amodiaquine $(13\pm 1 \mu M)$. Die interaksie tussen $[Pt^{II}(diimine)(L^n-S,O)]^+$ komplekse en die spesies van haematin wat in oplossing is, word gepostuleer om hoofsaaklik katioon- π interaksies te wees, terwyl ander nie-kovalente interaksies soos ioon-paring, π -stapel, katioon- π interaksies en potensiële koördinering van die asiel groep van L^n en die sentrale Fe(III) metaal ook moontlike bydraes kan lewer.

Table of Content

Declaration	ii
Acknowledgements	iii
Publications	iv
Abstract	v
Samevatting	vii
Table of Contents	ix

Chapter 1 – General Introduction and Background

1.1	Biological activity of square planar platinum complexes	1
1.2	Antimalarial drug discovery	2
1.2.1	The life cycle of <i>Plasmodium falciparum</i>	4
1.2.2	Malaria treatment and Drug design	5
1.3	Non-covalent drug interactions	8
1.3.1	Hydrogen bonding	9
1.3.2	Ion-pairing	10
1.3.3	Cation- π interactions	11
1.3.4	Aromatic π -stacking interactions	13
1.3.5	Hydrophobic interactions	15
1.4	Objectives of this study	17
1.5	References	19

Chapter 2 – Ligand and Complex Synthesis, Characterization and Experimental

2.1	Introduction	24
2.2	$Synthesis of mixed ligand [Pt^{II}(diimine)(N,N-di(alkyl)-N'-acylthiourea)] Cl complexes and precursion of the second structure of the second struct$	or
2.2.1	Nomenclature for the ligands and complexes used in this study	26
2.2.2	Synthesis of the <i>N</i> , <i>N</i> -di(alkyl)- <i>N</i> '-acylthiourea ligands	26
2.2.3	Synthesis of Pt ^{II} Cl ₂ (1,10-phenanthroline)	30
2.2.4	Synthesis of $[Pt^{II}(1,10-phenanthroline)(N,N-di(alkyl)-N'-acylthiourea)]Cl complexes$	31
2.3	Detailed characterization of $[Pt^{II}(phen)(L^1-S, O)]Cl$ by ¹ H, COSY, HMBC and NOESY NMR	36
2.4	Synthesis of mixed ligand [Pt ^{II} (2,2'-bipyridyl)(N,N-di(alkyl)-N'-acylthiourea)]Cl complexes and	their
	precursors	42
2.4.1	Synthesis of Pt ^{II} (2,2'-bipyridyl)Cl ₂	42
2.4.2	Synthesis of mixed ligand [Pt ^{II} (2,2'-bipyridyl)(N,N-di(alkyl)-N'-acylthiourea)]Cl complexes	48
2.5	Synthesis of the mixed ligand $[Pd^{II}(phen)(L^1-S, O)]Cl$ complex and its precursors	51
2.5.1	Synthesis of Pd ^{II} Cl ₂ (1,10-phenanthroline)	51

2.5.2	Synthesis of $Pd_{3}^{II}(OAc)_{6}$	52					
2.5.3	Synthesis of Pd ^{II} (1,10-phenanthroline)(CH ₃ CO ₂) ₂						
2.5.4	Synthesis of the mixed ligand [Pd ^{II} (1,10-phenanthroline)(N,N-pyrrolidyl-N'-pivaloylthiour	ea)]Cl					
	complex	55					
2.6	Synthesis of [Pt ^{II} Cl(DMSO)(en)]Cl	56					
2.6.1	Synthesis of <i>cis</i> -[Pt ^{II} Cl ₂ (DMSO) ₂]	56					
2.6.2	Synthesis of [Pt ^{II} Cl(DMSO)(en)]Cl	57					
2.7	Interesting features of the ¹ H NMR spectra of platinum(II) diimine complexes	58					
2.7.1	¹⁹⁵ Pt coupling in the ¹ H NMR of square planar Pt ^{II} (diimine) complexes and the effect of chemica	l shift					
	anisotropy and quadrupolar coupling	58					
2.7.2	The second-order "roof" effect observed in the ${}^{1}H$ NMR of Pt ^{II} (phen) complexes	62					
2.8	Experimental Section	64					
2.8.1	Instrumentation	64					
2.8.2	Crystal and Structure Refinement Data for $Pt^{II}(bipy)Cl_2 \cdot CH_3CN$ and $cis-[Pt^{II}(L^2-S,O)_2]$	65					
2.8.3	Synthetic procedures of Pt ^{II} complexes and precursors	65					
2.8.3.1	Preparation of N,N-di(alkyl)-N'-acylthiourea	65					
2.8.3.2	Preparation of Pt ^{II} (diimine)Cl ₂	66					
2.8.3.3	Preparation of $([Pt^{II}(phen)(L^{n}-S, O)]Cl$	67					
2.8.3.4	Preparation of $[Pt^{II}(bipy)(L^n-S, O)]Cl$	70					
2.8.3.5	Preparation of <i>cis</i> -[Pt ^{II} Cl ₂ (DMSO) ₂]	70					
2.8.3.6	Preparation of [Pt ^{II} Cl(DMSO)(en)]Cl	71					
2.8.4	Synthetic procedures of Pd ^{II} complexes and precursors	71					
2.8.4.1	Preparation of $Pd^{II}Cl_2(phen)$	71					
2.8.4.2	Preparation of $Pd^{II}_{3}(OAc)_{6}$	72					
2.8.4.3	Preparation of Pd ^{II} (phen)(OAc) ₂	72					
2.8.4.4	Preparation of $([Pd^{II}(phen)(L^n-S, O)]Cl$	73					
2.9	References	74					

Chapter 3 – Understanding the synthesis and properties of the novel $Pt^{II}(phen)(N,N-di(alkyl)-N'-acylthiourea)_2$ complexes, an unusual coordination of N,N-dialkyl-N'-acylthiourea

3.1	Introduction	77
3.2	Results and discussion	79
3.2.1	The first evidence of the formation of $Pt^{II}(phen)(N, N-dibutyl-N'-naphthoylthiourea)_2$	79
3.2.2	Synthesis and characterization of $Pt^{II}(phen)(N,N-dibutyl-N'-naphthoylthiourea)_2$	81
3.2.2.1	Assignment of the ¹ H NMR spectrum of $Pt^{II}(phen)(N,N-dibutyl-N'-naphthoylthiourea)_2$	84
3.2.2.2	Assignment of the ${}^{13}C{}^{1}H$ NMR spectrum of $Pt^{II}(phen)(N,N-dibutyl-N'-naphthoylthiourea)_2$	87
3.2.3	Temperature and Concentration dependence of the ¹ H NMR of Pt ^{II} (phen)(N,N-dibutyl-N'-naph	thoyl-
	thiourea) ₂	92

3.2.3.1	The effect of increasing the temperature on the ¹ H NMR of Pt ^{II} (phen)(N,N-dibutyl-N'-naph	thoyl-
	thiourea) ₂	92
3.2.3.2	The concentration dependence of the ¹ H NMR of $Pt^{II}(phen)(N,N-dibutyl-N'-naphthoylthiourea)_2$	96
3.2.3.3	The effect of lower temperature on the ¹ H NMR of $Pt^{II}(phen)(N, N-dibutyl-N'-naphthoylthiourea)_2$	
	in CDCl ₃	98
3.2.4	Crystal structure of $Pt^{II}(phen)(N, N-dibutyl-N'-naphthoylthiourea)_2$	103
3.2.5	Probing solution interactions using the Nuclear Overhauser Effect	108
3.2.6	Comparison of HL^n structure on the preparation of various $Pt^{II}(phen)(N, N-dialkyl-N'-acylthiourea)$) ₂ 111
3.2.7	Ligand characteristics/effect on synthesis and stability	119
3.3	Conclusions	122
3.4	Crystal and Structure Refinement Data for $Pt^{II}(phen)(L^2-S)_2$ and $Pt^{II}(phen)(L^4-S)_2$	124
3.5	References	124

Chapter 4 – Cation- π induced aggregation of water-soluble $[Pt^{II}(diimine)(L^n-S, O)]^+$ complexes studied by ¹H DOSY NMR and TEM: from 'dimer aggregates' in acetonitrile to nano-aggregates ('metallogels') in water

4.1	Introduction	127	
4.2	Results and discussion	129	
4.2.1	The effect of solvent composition (0-30% (v/v) $D_2O:CD_3CN$) on aggregation of $[Pt^{II}(phen)(L^1-S,C)]$))]Cl	129
4.2.2	Aggregation behaviour of $[Pt^{II}(phen)(L^1-S,O)]Cl$ in water-rich mixtures >30% (v/v) D ₂ O:CD ₃ CN	133	
4.2.2.1	Effect of chloride ion concentration on $[Pt^{II}(phen)(L^1-S, O)]^+$ aggregation in water	139	
4.2.2.2	Diffusion Ordered NMR Spectroscopy	141	
4.2.2.3	Transmission Electron Microscopy (TEM)	148	
4.3	Hetero-association of $[Pt^{II}(phen)(L^1-S, O)]^+$ and pyrene	152	
4.4	Conclusions	163	
4.5	Experimental Section	164	
4.5.1.1	Computational Methods	164	
4.5.2	Analytical Instrumentation	165	
4.5.3	Synthesis of Complexes	166	
4.6	References	166	

Chapter 5 – Preliminary assessment of potential antimalarial activity of a series of $[Pt^{II}(diimine)(L^n-S,O)]^+$ complexes using a surfactant mediated β -haematin inhibition assay

5.1	Introduction	169
5.2	β -haematin Inhibition Assay	171
5.3	Results and Discussion	171
5.4	Conclusions	179
5.5	Experimental Section	180

5.6	References	185
Chapter	r 6 – Conclusions	186
Append	ix A – Additional Tables and Figures	191
Append	ix B – Publication from work from parts of Chapter 4	217
Append	ix C – Electronic Crystal data Files	CD

1

General Introduction and Background

1.1 Biological activity of square planar platinum complexes

The use of metal complexes as pharmaceuticals has great potential for development of drugs with novel/unique mechanisms of action.¹ Apart from the potential of the metal to take part in the binding to specific target sites, metal complexes have the advantage of large degree of structural variability, induced by numerous ligands and substituents on such ligands. Furthermore, complexes can be neutral or formally charged, depending on the oxidation state of the metal and the ligand system utilised. Cationic complexes for example interact more readily with a range of negatively charged biomolecules such as the negatively charged backbone of DNA.¹ Metal complexes also have the advantage of retaining their formal charge over a range of pH values in the matrix, provided the ligands themselves are not pH sensitive.

Platinum complexes have great potential for this application since the metal is almost kinetically inert compared to some other transition metals. The use of platinum complexes as bioactive agents escalated from the discovery of the famous anticancer drug *cisplatin* (*cis*-diaminedichloroplatinum(II)), by Rosenberg in 1965.² Platinum-based anticancer drugs are still being used over three decades after the discovery of *cisplatin*, with annual sales in the order of two billion U.S. dollars worldwide.³



Figure 1.1 Schematic showing the cytotoxic pathway for *cisplatin*.³

Following the determination/elucidation of the mechanism of complex interaction with DNA (Figure 1.1), extensive research has been conducted to develop complexes with the ability to bind/associate with DNA *via* a range of interactions such as direct coordination to DNA.³ Moreover, non-covalent interactions between transition metal complexes and DNA occur by intercalation (π -stacking), groove binding or electrostatic interactions (hydrogen bonding and ion-pairing).¹

Charged platinum(II) diimine complexes, where the diimine is 1,10-phenanthroline or substituted variations thereof, have been shown to have a range of antimicrobial⁴ and even antiviral⁵ activity, presumably as a result of DNA intercalation. Egan and Koch have shown that the cationic complexes, $[Pt^{II}(diimine)(N,N-di(alkyl)-N'-acylthiourea)]^+X^-$, display significant antimalarial activity in the chloroquine resistant strains of *Pasmodium falciparum*.⁶

The advantage of using platinum(II) complexes for medicinal applications is the unique chemistry associated with this metal centre, forming stable complexes with generally slow ligand exchange kinetics. This potentially allows for the drug molecule to reach the intended target site unaltered. Furthermore, the square planar geometry allows for direct non-covalent interactions such as intercalation with the metal centre while a wide variety of ligands with specific functionality for the required properties can be incorporated in the complex structure.⁷⁻⁹

1.2 Antimalarial drug discovery

Malaria is the fifth most lethal infectious disease in the world.¹⁰According to the World Health Organisation, worldwide there are more than 1 million deaths and 250 - 500 million infections of malaria annually.¹¹ The most affected areas are sub-Saharan Africa where the effective control of the disease is almost impossible due to additional economical and socio-economical challenges apart from the tropical environmental factors. The fight against malaria includes therapeutics, large scale pesticide applications as well as the distribution of mosquito nets.¹²

Malaria is caused by the *Plasmodium* (*P*.) parasite of which five strains are pathogenic to humans, *P. falciparum*, *P. vivax*, *P. ovale*, *P. malariae* and occasionally, *P. knowlesi*. The *Plasmodium falciparum* strain is the most studied parasite since it can be cultured and is believed to be responsible for 90% of the mortalities worldwide and also exhibits a significant increase in drug resistance.¹³

The two active compounds used against malaria before the time of modern pharmaceuticals were artemisinin and quinine (Scheme 1.1a and b), extracted from natural sources.



Scheme 1.1 The two ancient antimalarials, (a) artemisinin and (b) quinine extracted from the barks of the *Artemisia annua* and *Cinchona* trees respectively. (c) The well known synthetic antimalarial, chloroquine.

Artemisinin was initially extracted from the bark of the sweet wormwood tree (*Artemisia annua*) known from ancient Chinese medicine which makes it probably the oldest antimalarial.^{14,15} In 1979, artemisinin was scientifically proven to be an effective antimalarial drug and is currently still being used in the treatment of malaria, often in combination with other antimalarials.¹⁶

Quinine on the other hand, was extracted from the bark of the *Cinchona* tree and was used by the Incas and other Quechua people in South America as a treatment for fever and unknowingly for malaria, since fever is a symptom of malaria infection. Various synthetic derivatives of quinine became available in the last century with chloroquine (a 4aminoquinoline compound) being the first clinically available synthetic antimalarial drug in 1947 (Scheme 1.1c) which were less toxic and easier to produce than quinine.¹⁶ Furthermore, chloroquine is considered one of the most successful drugs ever produced because it is safe, cheap and has saved millions of lives to date.¹⁶

However, the effectiveness of the current treatment of malaria has drastically been compromised by the manifestation of drug resistance.¹⁷ The global distribution of malaria as well as the reported drug resistance to the two commonly used chloroquine and sulphadoxine-pyrimethamine drugs is shown in Figure 1.2.



Figure 1.2 The global malaria-endemic regions (in red) with reported drug resistance to commonly used antimalarial drugs, chloroquine and sulphadoxine-pyrimethamine, as indicated on the map.^{10,17}

1.2.1 The life cycle of *Plasmodium falciparum*

The life cycle of the malaria parasite consists of three major stages, which take place across two different hosts: the female mosquito and humans (Figure 1.3).¹⁸



Figure 1.3 The life cycle of the malaria parasite Plasmodium showing the stages of development of the parasite in the two hosts. (picture reproduced from MSN Encarta)¹⁸

The first stage involves transfer of sporozoites from the mosquito on biting of the human host, where they enter the blood stream (**A** on Figure 1.3). The sporozoites infect the liver (**B**) in which they multiply asexually for ~10 days to become merozoites. These merozoites subsequently enter the blood stream (**C**) and invade the red blood cells. This marks the onset of the second stage of the life cycle. The parasite matures further and reproduces asexually results in a drastic increase in the number of parasites in the host, which is cause of the symptoms presented in an infected human (**D**). The merozoites form sexual gamatocytes (**E**) which are transferred to the mosquito upon feeding on an infected human host (**F**) and is the onset of the third stage of the life cycle.

Sexual reproduction takes place in the gut of the mosquito resulting in zygotes which are ultimately responsible for the release of sporozoites in the salivary glands of the mosquito (**G**), completing the life cycle of the malaria parasite. The disease spreads by the transfer of sporozoites into another human host (**H**) where the whole cycle repeats itself. The disease spreads rapidly, since the life cycle of *Plasmodium falciparum* is so short (10-14 days). Current attempts to control the disease include minimising human exposure to mosquitos in general by spraying large areas with pesticides and using mosquito poison and nets in housing areas.

1.2.2 Malaria treatment and Drug design

Various drug targets have been identified in the fight against malaria over the past decade.^{10,16} The majority of antimalarial drugs target the parasite while it resides in the blood of the human host (the blood stage), since it is during this stage that the typical fever-like symptoms are observed and from which the disease is diagnosed.¹² The exact mechanism of action of most antimalarial drugs is still contentious, although most drugs target the blood stage of the life cycle of the parasite.¹⁹

During the blood stage when the parasite resides in the red blood cell (RBC), the haemoglobin of the host is used as a food source for the parasite. Haemoglobin (Hb) from the human red blood cell (Figure 1.4) is endocytosed into the cytoplasm (cyt; blue) of the parasite and is transported in the transport vecicle to the food vacuole (FV; white) where digestion takes place.



Figure 1.4 Representation from Egan *et al.* of the mode of action of chloroquine (CQ) in the malaria parasite in the human red blood cell which shows the transport of CQ to the food vacuole of the parasite where CQ accumulates as a result of the protonation of CQ to form $CQH2^{2+}$ to effectively inhibit haemozoin formation.¹⁹

Haemoglobin consists of protein and 4 prosthetic haem groups. The protein is digested to polypeptides (pp) and finally amino acids (aa) which are used as an energy source. Upon release, haem is oxidised to haematin (h) (ferriprotoporphyrin) which is a toxic by-product which causes the death of the parasite from its accumulation in the food vacuole. To prevent toxic levels of haematin, Fe(III)PPIX biomineralise through dimerisation to form the insoluble crystalline haemozoin (Hz) (Figure 1.5), that appears as black spots under a microscope and is commonly known as malaria pigment.^{12,20}



Figure 1.5 The packing arrangement of synthetic haemozoin or β -haematin which consists of cyclic dimers of haematin viewed along the c-axis.²¹ (*Picture reproduced from reference 12*)

Chloroquine (CQ) and other antimalarials are believed to be effective by inhibiting this detoxification step. Chloroquine for example, accumulates in the food vacuole of the parasite in the protonated (CQH₂²⁺) form through pH-trapping, which leads high concentrations of CQH₂²⁺ to effectively inhibit haemozoin formation, leading to the death of the malaria parasite due to toxic levels haematin.¹⁹ However, in chloroquine resistant strains, the PfCRT transporter protein in the membrane of the food vacuole has mutated to decrease the protonated chloroquine concentration, leading to ineffective haemozoin inhibition due to low concentrations of the drug molecule in the food vacuole.^{22,23}

The effective treatment of malaria in the future will ultimately rely on new anti-malarials to be discovered and these will have to be modified constantly to treat resistant strains of malaria. Egan and co-workers based on the above model of drug action proposed some essential properties for future potential drug compounds acting in the food vacuole of the parasite during the blood stage; these include effective accumulation of the drug in the food vacuole and strong association/complexation with haematin to effectively inhibit haemozoin formation as being of paramount importance.⁶

Antimalarial drugs acting as haemozoin inhibitors have the ability to strongly associates/interacts with haematin mainly through non-covalent interactions while covalent bonding are often the mode of interaction.²⁴

1.3 Non-covalent drug interactions

Non-covalent interactions play a central role in many chemical and biological systems.²³⁻²⁹ They are 'weak' (1-5 kcal.mol⁻¹)²⁵ relative to covalent bonding and complex in nature, which makes them especially difficult to study.²⁶ Nevertheless, these weak interactions are extremely important in biological processes and are essential for the functioning of living organisms which includes protein folding²⁷, enzyme catalysis, the function of DNA and RNA,²⁸ drug binding and molecular recognition.²⁹⁻³² Furthermore, non-covalent interactions are also responsible for self-association of molecules in solution and they play an important role in many chemical reactions.³³⁻³⁵ Physical properties of compounds and mixtures are also determined by non-covalent interactions as seen in melting and boiling points, viscosity, solvation, adsorption, condensation and crystallization.²⁸

The most common non-covalent interaction is the hydrogen bond, which holds our DNA double helix in place and gives water its unique properties, enabling it to be the 'solvent' of life. The structure of the DNA double helix is determined by hydrogen-bonding between the complementary nucleobases to keep the two strands together, while π -stacking interactions between the stacked bases is believed to be responsible for the helical structure of this macromolecule (Figure 1.6).



Figure 1.6 The importance of hydrogen bonding and π -stacking for the structural integrity of the double helix of DNA.²⁸

Most weak interactions in molecular assemblies are a combination of a range of weak noncovalent interactions which complicates the identification/characterization and contributions of the respective interactions.²⁶ The stabilisation energies of some non-covalent bonding interactions in proteins are shown in Table 1.1 as an example.

 Table 1.1
 Approximate stabilization energies of typical non-covalent bonding/interactions

 in proteins.³⁶

Interaction		Stabilization Energy kcal.mol ⁻¹	Interaction		Stabilization Energy kcal.mol ⁻¹		
С=0н- о о	—∾< —o—	lrogen bond	2-5		H ₃ C, CH ₃ CH CH ₂	Hydrophobic interaction of isobutyl groups	1.5
0 0 0	_{4₃N} — Ioni	c/Hydrogen bond	< 10	Ó	Ø	Aromatic π-stacking	1.5
CH3	CH₃ Hy │ int │ me	drophobic eraction of thyl groups	0.3	H₂CÑH₃ 	NH₂ 	Repulsive interaction between similar charged groups	< -5

The remarkably complex structure and behaviour of proteins can to some extent be ascribed to the relatively weak stabilization energies associated with the non-covalent interactions shown in Table 1.1. Other common non-covalent interactions relevant to our study include ion-pairing, aromatic- π stacking, cation- π interactions and hydrophobic effects which will be discussed in more detail.

1.3.1 Hydrogen bonding

The first mention of a hydrogen bond dates back to 1912 with Moore and Winmill ascribing the weaker basicity of trimethylammonium hydroxide compared to tetramethylammonium hydroxide to hydrogen bonding interactions.³⁷ Perhaps the most famous molecule with regards to hydrogen bonding is water, due to its unique properties resulting from extensive hydrogen bonding. Wilson Bentley was first to photograph various snowflake crystals back in 1885 with a total of 15 distinct polymorphs of ice known to date, due to variation in hydrogen bonding.³⁸

Hydrogen bonding entails the electrostatic dipole-dipole interaction between a hydrogen atom and the lone pair of electrons of a more electronegative atom. Hydrogen bonding is difficult to define explicitly and several types of hydrogen bonds are known.³⁹ The most elementary hydrogen bond of the type D-H^{...}A, is formed by the interaction of an electronegative donor atom (D) and a neighbouring proton acceptor with lone-pair electrons (A). Hydrogen bonds depend on the electronegativity of the acceptor atom and their strength ranges from very weak (1-2 kJ.mol⁻¹) to extremely strong (161.5 kJ.mol⁻¹) for [HF₂]^{-.40}

The energetically favoured orientation of the hydrogen bonding in water is shown in Figure 1.7a with the electrostatic potential surface of two hydrogen-bonded water molecules also shown (Figure 1.7b).⁴¹



Figure 1.7 Hydrogen bonding between water molecules with (**a**) the energetically stable 'tetrahedral' geometry and (**b**) the electrostatic surface of two hydrogen bonded water molecules.⁴¹

Hydrogen bonds can exist between atoms in the same molecule (intramolecular H-bonding) or between different molecules (intermolecular H-bonding). The particular structural conformations of molecules and complexes are often a result of hydrogen bonding as seen in the case of thermochroism of $[NH_3(CH_2)_2NH_3][CuCl_4]$, with the coordination geometry of $[CuCl_4]^{2-}$ changing from distorted square planar to tetrahedral as the temperature increases, which is ascribed to the decrease in hydrogen bonding as the temperature increases.⁴²

Hydrogen bonding often dictates molecular conformation and aggregation in chemical systems ranging from inorganic⁴³ to biological systems⁴⁴⁻⁴⁷ (i.e. protein folding and DNA base pairing).

1.3.2 Ion-pairing

An ion pair is formed when oppositely charged ions are held together by Coulombic/electrostatic interaction without the formation of a covalent bond.⁴⁸ The degree and type of ion pairing is largely dependent on the magnitude of the charges, size of the ions and the polarity of the solvent. Ion pairs can be classified into two groups, contact/intimate ion pairs and loose ion-pairs, based on the extent of solvation of the respective ions. Contact ion pairs are solvated as one entity; solvent shared ion pair has only one solvent molecule separating the ions while solvent separated ion-pairs have more than one solvent molecule separating the ions in solution.

The nature of the solvation shell is generally not known with any certainty. Direct measurement of solvent-separated ion-pairs is not possible since the spectroscopic properties of such ion pairs are indistinguishable from those of the free ions, and indirect spectroscopic and conductivity measurements are generally used for their identification/characterization.⁴⁹ There is much interest in the effect of ion pairing on chemical and physical properties especially in the areas of marine chemistry⁵⁰, biology⁵¹ and pharmaceuticals⁵². Furthermore, outer-sphere coordination is in essence a contact ion pair between a ligand and a complex ion. For example, Westra and co-workers found strong interaction between the protonated amine ligand and the face of 3 chlorido-ligands in the [PtCl₆]²⁻ octahedral anion.⁵³

The use of ion-pairing is also exploited as in phase-transfer agents like Aliquat 336, a quaternary ammonium salt used to extract [Pt(SNCl₃)₅]³⁻ anions into an organic phase.⁵⁴ Furthermore, the importance of outer-sphere ion-pairing in catalysts has also gained significant interest since it has been shown that the contribution of this interaction to the exceptional superiority of enzymes over some synthetic transition metal-based catalyst, in terms of rate and selectivity.⁵⁵

1.3.3 Cation- π interactions

The attractive interaction between a formal cation and an aromatic moiety is known as a cation- π interaction. The cation- π interaction is believed to be of electrostatic nature since the positively charged cation interacts with the negative π -electron cloud of the π -system.⁵⁶⁻⁵⁸ Benzene for example, has no net dipole moment, but a substantial quadrupole moment (-29 ×10⁻⁴⁰C.m²) which allows for the electrostatic interaction with ions (Figure 1.8a).⁵⁹



Figure 1.8 (a) Schematic drawing of the charge distribution of benzene showing the positively charged σ -framework and negatively charged π -electron cloud forming a quadrupole moment and (b) the cation- π interactions showing the contact of the potassium cation (K⁺) and benzene.⁵⁹

Kebarle and co-workers were the first to study the interaction between the potassium cation (K⁺) and benzene experimentally using mass spectrometry and found K⁺ to have a slight preference to bind to benzene ($\Delta G = -19$ kcal.mol⁻¹) compared to water ($\Delta G = -18$ kcal.mol⁻¹) in the gas phase.⁶⁰



Dougherty and co-workers investigated cation- π interactions using theoretical calculations and emphasised the importance of this interaction experimentally in biological processes.⁶¹ The cation- π interaction has been widely exploited and utilised due to its occurrence in structural biology and fundamental importance in supramolecular chemistry. Moreover, its pivotal role in host-guest chemistry and molecular recognition has gained significant interest.⁶² Multinuclear NMR has been shown to be an excellent tool in establishing the presence of cation- π interactions in solution as marked shielding was observed for various NMR active nuclides upon addition of aromatic molecules.⁵⁹

Generally the π -stacking interactions between aromatic moieties (which will be discussed in the next section) are weaker compared to hydrogen bonding while cation- π interactions tend to be stronger.^{63,64} The combination of cation- π and aromatic π -stacking enhances the strength of both interactions and they are commonly observed together.⁵⁹ An example of this can be seen in the host-guest complex of the enzyme acetylcholinesterase and the Alzheimer drug Aricept[®] (Figure 1.9), where both OH- π and π - π -stacking are observed.



Figure 1.9 Combination of cation- π and aromatic π -stacking interactions observed in enzyme-drug complex of Aricept[®] and acetylcholinesterase from *Torpedo californica*.⁶⁵

1.3.4 Aromatic π -stacking interactions

The self-association or non-covalent 'dimer' formation of benzene has been central in the understanding the aromatic π -stacking interaction between aromatic moieties.²⁹ This aromatic interaction is believed to be a combination of dispersion, hydrophobic and electrostatic forces.^{29,66} The interaction between aromatic moieties plays a major role in determining structure and properties of molecular assemblies in biology and general chemistry prevalent in molecular recognition and crystal packing.²⁷⁻³¹

Hunter and Sanders developed an electrostatic model to describe aromatic π -stacking interactions by considering the π - and σ -systems separately as shown in the accompanying figure.⁶⁷ Aromatic molecules attract one another when the π -system of one arene interacts more strongly with the σ system of



the other, rather than the destabilizing π - π repulsion. The energy of the interaction between two π -system molecules is a combination of electrostatic, dispersion, induction and repulsion:

$$E_{Total} = E_{Electrostatic} + E_{Dispersion} + E_{Induction} + E_{Repulsion}$$

The electrostatic, induction and dispersion terms are normally attractive while the repulsion term destabilizes the interaction and becomes significant when the distance between the molecules is reduced beyond a certain point. This model of aromatic π -interactions between uncharged aromatic and/or quasi-aromatic molecules can take several conformations, as shown in Figure 1.10 for benzene as an example.⁶⁷

The three common conformations of two interacting benzene molecules include face-to-face, edge-to-face and offset conformations with the electrostatic potential surfaces of the molecules also shown.⁶⁸



Figure 1.10 The common types of aromatic π -stacking interactions which includes (a) edge-to-face, (b) offset and (c) face-to-face interactions.^{67,68}

The potential of aromatic moieties like benzene to π -stack is a result of electrostatic attraction between opposite charges, evident from its electric quadrupole moment as shown for the cation- π interaction (Figure 1.8), visually expressed by the electrostatic potential surfaces as shown in Figure 1.10. The red colour indicates electron rich environments while blue represents quasi-positive environments.⁶⁸

The aromatic π -stacking interaction manifests itself when the attraction between the π electron cloud and the positive σ -framework outweighs the unfavourable π - π electron repulsion. The offset aromatic π -stacking (Figure 1.10b) and edge-to-face stacking geometries (Figure 1.10a) are energetically more favourable in general, while the face-to-face geometry (Figure 1.10c) is sometimes favoured in polar environments where hydrophobic effects also contribute to the total stability of the aggregate. Therefore, the interpretation of aromatic π -stacking interactions in polar environments, as observed for protein folding²⁷ and drug intercalation into DNA,⁶⁹ should include the hydrophobic effect since aromatic molecules/moieties are hydrophobic by nature.

1.3.5 Hydrophobic interactions

Hydrophobic interactions involve the interaction of non-polar (hydrophobic) molecules, typically when they are mixed with water or polar solvents.⁷⁰ The two major contributions to the stabilization of this interaction are the increase in entropy as ordered water molecules are "released" upon association, and attractive London dispersion forces between the non-polar groups.⁷⁰

London dispersion forces are a result of polarization of the electron clouds of at least two non-polar groups which allows for attractive electrostatic interaction. The different physical properties of the structural isomers of pentane roughly illustrate the degree of this attractive interaction.⁷¹



Scheme 1.2 Structural isomers of pentane with markedly different boiling points.⁷¹

It is clear from Scheme 1.2 that *n*-pentane has a larger interaction surface with a neighbouring pentane compared to the other two isomers which results in larger total area of dispersion interaction between *n*-pentane molecules, which reasonably accounts for the higher boiling point of this isomer.

These weak hydrophobic interactions are very important; they are responsible for the formation of membranes, vesicles and micelles in aqueous solutions.⁷⁰



Figure 1.11 Illustration of hydrophobic interactions in the formation of micelles. The process involves (**a**) the solvation of lipid molecules in water which forms (**b**) aggregates which ultimately forms (**c**) ordered micelles. (**d**) The importance of hydrophobic interactions in molecular recognition.⁷⁰

Lipid molecules consist of a hydrophilic/polar 'head' and a non-polar hydrocarbon 'tail'. When these lipid molecules are solvated by water molecules in aqueous solutions, water does not readily interact with the tail, but rather forms a network of highly ordered hydrogen bonding (H-O-H^{...}H-O-H) surrounding the tail (Figure 1.11a).⁵⁵

The aggregation/interaction of lipid tails results in the 'release' of ordered water molecules (Figure 1.11b), which is energetically favoured from an entropy point of view. This aggregation of lipid molecules can result in highly sophisticated supramolecular structures such as micelles (Figure 1.11c), membranes and vesicles. Furthermore, hydrophobic interactions/bonding can be observed in the recognition of substrates (*e.g.* steroid hormones) and receptors (*e.g.* enzymes) as shown (Figure 1.11d).⁷⁰ Many drugs are designed to take advantage of this type of hydrophobic effect.

Other well known examples of hydrophobic interactions are the workings of surfactants. Lipids and surfactants are all ambiphilic molecules, widely used in detergents, wetting agents, emulsifiers, foaming agents and dispersants.⁷²

1.4 Objectives of this study

Koch and Egan have shown that mixed-ligand platinum(II) complexes with the general structure [Pt^{II}(diimine)(Lⁿ-*S*,*O*)]X, where diimine is 1,10-phenanthroline (phen) or 2,2'-bipyridyl (bipy), Lⁿ indicates various *N*,*N*-di(alkyl)-*N*'-acylthiourea ligands and X = PF₆⁻ or Cl⁻, display significant antimalarial activity.⁶ The *in vitro* anti-malarial activity of [Pt^{II}(diimine)(Lⁿ-*S*,*O*)]⁺ is postulated to arise by inhibition of haemozoin formation (blocking parasite's detoxification of haematin), presumably as a result of the cationic planar complex [Pt^{II}(diimine)(Lⁿ-*S*,*O*)]⁺ forming moderately strong outer-sphere aggregates with ferriprotoporphyrin IX (haematin). This hetero-association was demonstrated in 40% aqueous dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO) solution, and is thought to be through a combination of cation- π and aromatic π -stacking interactions.⁶ It has also been shown previously that such planar [Pt^{II}(diimine)(Lⁿ-*S*,*O*)]⁺ complexes have a tendency to self-associate in solution as well as to form relatively strong outer-sphere complex aggregates with the polyaromatic hydrocarbon fluoranthene.⁷³⁻⁷⁵

However, the mechanism of antimalarial activity of $[Pt^{II}(diimine)(L^n-S,O)]X$ is still contentious although it is thought to be a result from relatively strong non-covalent interaction between $[Pt^{II}(diimine)(L^n-S,O)]^+$ and haematin. A detailed investigation of the non-covalent interactions and solution behaviour of these complexes is therefore important.¹⁹

In this context, the current study involves:

 the synthesis of a series of novel square planar [Pt^{II}(phen)(Lⁿ-*S*,*O*)]⁺ complexes. The main structural variation is the *N*,*N*-dialkyl-*N*'-acylthiourea while 1,10-phenanthroline will be substituted for 2,2,-bipyridine and platinum(II) for palladium(II) (Scheme 1.3).



Scheme 1.3 Structural variations of the series of $[M^{II}(\text{diimine})(L^n-S, O)]Cl$ complexes synthesised.

A thorough investigation of the synthesis of this class of complexes will be conducted. The effect of the acylthiourea ligand on the characteristics of the complex will be investigated, since these ligands have been shown to have significantly different solution behaviour with small changes in the molecular structure⁷⁶ and have several coordination modes⁷⁷ which will also be investigated. The monodentate coordination of selected *N*,*N*-dialkyl-*N'*-acylthioureas which forms novel $Pt^{II}(phen)(L^n-S)_2$ complexes will be investigated in detail which could potentially be a new class of biological active compounds.

- the investigation of the behaviour of these complexes in aqueous solutions (self-association) since self-association (from a series of non-covalent interactions) will have a drastic effect on the association of [Pt^{II}(phen)(Lⁿ-*S*,*O*)]⁺ with haematin which is expected to effect the potential for antimalarial activity. These complexes showed interesting aggregation behaviour in water, which will be studied in detail using ¹H NMR, Diffusion Ordered spectroscopy (DOSY) and Transmission Electron Microscopy.
- the investigation of the outer-sphere complex formation of [Pt^{II}(phen)(L¹-S,O)]⁺ and pyrene as a model for the postulated coplanar stacking with haematin, using NMR spectroscopy. Pyrene was used as a model since haematin is paramagnetic and could not be used in the NMR study. These results will be compared to the previously studied hetero-association of [Pt^{II}(phen)(L¹-S,O)]⁺ with fluoranthene in acetonitrile.

• the investigation of potential haemozoin inhibition of the series of [Pt^{II}(phen)(Lⁿ-*S*,*O*)]⁺ complexes, since complexes of this class have been shown to display significant *in vitro* antimalarial activity.⁶ Haemozoin inhibition specifically will be considered as a potential antimalarial mechanism in this work and not DNA intercalation, since this class of complexes is already known to be significant DNA intercalators.⁴ Therefore, the series of complexes will be tested for β-haematin (synthetic haemozoin) inhibition using a surfactant-mediated β-haematin assay, to shed light on the postulated *in vitro* mechanism of antimalarial activity of this class of complexes to be haemozoin inhibition.\

1.5 References

- 1. J. Aldrich-Wright, *Metallointercalators: Synthesis and Techniques to Probe Their Interactions with Biomolecules*, Springer-Velag/Wien, Germany, **2011**, 28-30.
- 2. B. Rosenberg, L. Van Camp, T. Krigas, Nature, 1965, 205, 698-699.
- 3. R. A. Alderden, M. D. Hall, T. W. Hambley, J. Chem. Ed., 2006, 83, 728-734.
- 4. Y. S. Wu, K. R. Koch, V. R. Abratt, H. H. Klump, Arch. Biochem. Biophys., 2005, 440, 28-37.
- 5. N. Margiotta, A. Bergamo, G. Sava, G. Padovano, E. de Clercq, G. Natile, J. Inorg. Biochem., 2004, 98, 1385-1390.
- T. J. Egan, K. R. Koch, P. L. Swan, C. Clarkson, D. A. Van Schalkwyk, P. J. Smith, *J. Med. Chem.*, 2004, 47, 2926-2934.
- 7. D. A. Dougherty, Science, 1996, 271, 163-168.
- 8. D. Cuc, D. Canet, J. P. Morel, N. Morel-Desrosiers, P. Mutzenhardt, ChemPhysChem, 2007, 8, 643-645.
- 9. M. Howe-Grant K. C. Wu, W. R. Bauer, S. J. Lippard, Biochem., 1976, 15, 4339-46.
- 10. D. A. Fidock, P. J. Rosenthal, S. L. Croft, R. Brun, S. Nwaka, Nature Rev., 2004, 3, 509-520.
- 11. http://www.who.int/health-topics/malaria.htm.
- 12. I. Weissbuch, L. Leiserowitz, Chem. Rev., 2008,108, 4899-4914.
- 13. S. I. Hay, C. A. Guerra, A. J. Tatem, A. M. Noor, R. W. Snow, Lancet Infect. Dis., 2004, 4, 327-336.
- 14. N. J. White, Science, 2008, 320, 330-334.
- 15. L. W. Cui, X. Z. Su, Expert Rev. Anti-Inf. Ther., 2009, 7, 999-1013.
- 16. I. B. Müller, J. E. Hyde, Future Microbiol., 2010, 5, 1857-1873.
- 17. B. Greenwood, T, Mutabingwa, Nature, 2002, 415, 670-672.

- 18. http://encarta.msn.com/malaria.html
- 19. T. J. Egan, Trends Parasitol., 2006, 22, 235-237.
- 20. T. J. Egan, Drug Des. Rev., 2004, 1, 93-110.
- 21. S. Pagola, W. P. Stephens, D. S. Bohle, A. D. Kosar, S. K. Madsen, Nature, 2000, 404, 307-310.
- D. A. Fidock, T. Nomura, A. K. Talley, R. A. Cooper, S. M. Dzekunov, M. T. Ferdig, L. M. B. Ursos, A. B. S. Sidhu, B. Naude, K. W. Deitsch, *Mol. Cell*, 2000, 6, 861-871.
- R. A. Cooper, M. T. Ferdig, X. Su, L. M. B. Ursos, J. Mu, T. Nomura, H. Fujioka, D. A. Fidock, P. D. Roepe, T. E. Wellems, *Mol. Pharmacol.*, 2002, 61, 35-42.
- 24. A. Leed, K. DuBay, D. Sears, A.C. de Dios, P. D. Roepe, Biochem., 2002, 41, 10245-10255.
- 25. H. Lodish, A. Berk, S. L. Zipursky, Molecular Cell Biology 4th Ed., New York: W. H. Freeman, 2000.
- 26. K. Mueller-Dethlefs, P. Hobza, Chem. Rev., 2000, 100, 143-167.
- 27. C. R. Matthews, Annu. Rev. Biochem., 1993, 62, 653-683.
- D. Ghosh, D. Kosenkov, V. Vanovschi, C. F. Williams, J. M. Herbert, M. S. Gordon, M. W. Schmidt, L. V. Slipchenko, A. I. Krylov, *J. Phys. Chem. A*, 2010, **114**, 12739-12754.
- 29. E. A. Meyer, R. K. Castellano, F. Diederich, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed., 2003, 42, 1210-1250.
- B. Askew, P. Ballester, C. Buhr, K. S. Jeong, S. Jones, K. Parris, K. Williams, J. Rebek, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1989, **111**, 1082-1090.
- 31. C. A. Hunter, Chem. Soc. Rev., 1994, 23, 101-109.
- 32. H.-J. Schneider, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl., 1991, 30, 1417-1436.
- 33. P. Wu, R. Chaudret, X. Hu, W. Yang, J. Chem. Theory Comput., 2013, 9, 2226-2234.
- S. S. Sheiko, F. C. Sun, A. Randall, D. Shirvanyants, M. Rubinstein, H. Lee, K. Matyjaszewski, *Nature*, 2006, 440, 191-194.
- 35. G. A. DiLabio, P. G. Piva, P. Kruse, R. A. Wolkow, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2004, 126, 16048-16050.
- 36. R. Barker, Organic Chemistry of Biological Compounds, Prentice Hall, Englewood Cliffs, N. J. 1971, 103-110.
- 37. T. S. Moore, T. F. Winmill, J. Chem. Soc., Trans., 1912, 101, 1635-1676
- 38. C. G. Salzmann, P. G. Radaelli, B. Slater, J. L. Finney, Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys., 2011, 13, 18468-18480.
- 39. T. Steiner, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed., 2002, 41, 48-76.
- 40. J. Emsley, Chem. Soc. Rev., 1980, 9, 91-124.
- 41. http://chemistry.tutorvista.com/physical-chemistry/hydrogen-bonding.html
- 42. R. D. Willet, J. A. Haugen, J. Lebsack, J. Morrey, J. Inorg. Chem., 1974, 12, 2510-2513
- R. J. Warr, A. N. Westra, K. J. Bell, J. Chartres, R. Ellis, C. Tong, T. G. Simmance, A. Gadzhieva, A. J. Blake, P. A. Tasker, *Chem. Eur. J.*, 2009, **15**, 4836-4850.

- 44. N. J. Wheate, P. G. Anil Kumar, A. M. Torres, J. R. Aldrich-Wright, W. S. Price, *J. Phys. Chem. B*, 2008, **112**, 2311-2314.
- 45. A. M. Krause-Heuer, N. J. Wheate, M. J. Tilby, D. G. Pearson, C. J. Ottley, J. R. Aldrich-Wright, *Inorg. Chem.*, 2008, **47**, 6880-6888.
- 46. W Lu, D. A. Vicic, J. K. Barton, Inorg. Chem., 2005, 44, 7970-7980.
- 47. M. Cusumano, M. L. Di Pietro, A. Giannetto, Inorg. Chem., 2006, 45, 230-235.
- 48. A. D. McNaught, A. Wilkinson, *IUPAC. Compendium of Chemical Terminology, 2nd ed. (the "Gold Book")*. Blackwell Scientific Publications, Oxford ,**1997**.
- 49. K. Miyoshi, Bul. Chem. Soc. Japan, 1973, 46, 426-430.
- 50. P.M. May, Mar. Chem., 2006, 99, 62-69.
- 51. P. Sipos, M. Schibeci, G. Peintler, P.M. May, G. Hefter, Dalton Trans., 2006, 1858-1866.
- J. Irungu, D.S. Dalpathado, E.P. Go, H. Jiang, H.V. Ha, G.R. Bousfield, H. Desaire, *Anal. Chem.*, 2006, 78, 1181-1190.
- 53. K.J. Bell, A.N. Westra, R.J. Warr, J. Chartres, R. Ellis, C.C. Tong, A.J. Blake, P.A. Tasker, M. Schröder, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.*, 2008, **47**, 1745-1748
- 54. K. R. Koch, Mag. Res. Chem., 1992, 30, 158-162
- 55. W. J. Shaw, Cat. Rev. Sci. Eng., 2012, 54, 489-550.
- 56. A. S. Reddy, G. N. Sastry, J. Phys. Chem. A, 2005, 109, 8893-8903.
- 57. G. W. Gokel, S. L. De Wall, E. S. Meadows, Eur. J. Org. Chem., 2000, 17, 2967-2978.
- 58. G. W. Gokel, L. J. Barbour, S. L. De Wall, E. S. Meadows, Coord. Chem. Rev., 2001, 222, 127-154.
- 59. A. S. Mahadevi, G. N. Sastry, Chem. Rev., 2013, 113, 2100-2138.
- 60. J. Sunner, K. Nishizawa, P. J. Kebarle, J. Phys. Chem., 1981, 85, 1814-1820.
- 61. P. J. Gallivan, D. A. Dougherty, Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. U.S.A., 1999, 96, 9459-9464.
- 62. S. Yamada J. S. Fossey, Org. Biomol. Chem., 2011, 9, 7275-7281
- 63. C. A. Hunter, J. K. M. Sanders, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1990, 112, 5525.
- 64. M. O. Sinnokrot, E. F. Valeev, C. D. Sherrill, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2002, 124, 10887-10893.
- 65. G. Kryger, I. Silman, J. L. Sussman, J. Phys. Paris, 1998, 92, 191-194.
- 66. C.A Hunter, K. R. Lawson, J. Perkins, C. J. Urch, J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans., 2001, 2, 651-669.
- 67. C. A. Hunter, J. K. M. Sanders, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1990, 112, 5525-5534.
- D. W. Oxtoby, H. P Gillis, A. Campion, *Principles of Modern Chemistry*, 7th Ed., Brookes/Cole, Cengage Learning, Belmont, 2012, 284-285.
- 69. L. S. Lerman, J. Mol. Biol., 1961, 3, 18-30.

- D. L. Nelson, M. M. Cox, *Lehninger Principles of Biochemistry 5th Ed.*, W. H. Freeman and Company, New York. 2008.
- 71. J. Wei, Ind. Eng. Chem. Res., 1999, 38, 5019-5027.
- 72. M. J. Rosen, Surfactants and Interfacial Phenomena 2nd Ed., Wiley, New York, 1989.
- 73. I. A. Kotzé, MSc. Thesis, University of Stellenbosch, 2009.
- 74. K. R. Koch, C. Sacht, C. Lawrence, *Dalton Trans.*, 1998, 4, 689-695.
- 75. I. A. Kotzé, W. J. Gerber, J. M. Mckenzie, K. R. Koch, Eur. J. Inorg. Chem., 2009, 12, 1626-1633.
- 76. A. N. Mautjana, J. D. S. Miller, A. Gie, S. A. Bourne, K. R. Koch, Dalton Trans., 2003, 1952-1960.
- 77. K. R. Koch, Coord. Chem. Rev., 2001, 216-217, 473-488.

2

Synthesis and Characterization of $[Pt^{II}(diimine)(L^n-S,O)]Cl$ and $[Pd^{II}(phen)(L^1-S,O)]Cl$ complexes

This chapter describes the synthetic procedures, detailed characterization and interesting features of the ¹H NMR spectra of a series of $[M^{II}(diimine)(L^n-S,O)]Cl$ complexes and $Pt^{II}Cl_2(diimine)$ precursors, with M = platinum(II) or palladium(II), diimine = 1,10phenanthroline (phen) or 2,2-bipyridyl (bipy) and Lⁿ-S,O represents various N,N-di(alkyl)-N'acylthioureas. More specifically, the synthesis $[Pt^{II}(phen)(L^n-S,O)]Cl$ complexes and their precursors will be discussed followed by the detailed characterization of one of these complexes, $[Pt^{II}(phen)(L^1-S,O)]Cl$, where $L^1 = N$ -pyrrolidyl-N'-pivaloylthiourea. Thereafter, the synthesis of $[Pt^{II}(bipy)(L^n-S, O)]^+$ complexes and precursors will be described and the crystal structure of a new form (polymorph) of Pt^{II}(bipy)Cl₂ as an acetonitrile solvate, will be discussed. The synthesis and characterisation of $[Pd^{II}(phen)(L^1-S, O)]^+$ and its precursors, $Pd_{3}^{II}(OAc)_{6}$, $Pd^{II}Cl_{2}(phen)$ and $Pd^{II}(OAc)_{2}(phen)$ will be presented followed by the synthesis and characterisation of [Pt^{II}Cl(DMSO)(en)]Cl, a cation platinum(II) complex without a ligand containing a π -surface. A number of interesting features of the ¹H NMR spectra of these $[M^{II}(diimine)(L^{n}-S,O)]^{+}$ complexes will be presented and discussed. The last section of this chapter is the experimental section and contains the detailed synthetic procedures and characterization data. All these complexes will be tested for β -haematin inhibition, with the results shown in Chapter 5.
2.1 Introduction

The overall method of synthesis of platinum(II) complexes with the general structure $[Pt^{II}(diimine)(L^n-S, O)]X$, where diimine is 1,10-phenanthroline or 2,2'-bipyridyl, L^n various *N*,*N*-di(alkyl)-*N*'-acylthiourea and $X = PF_6^-$ or Cl⁻ is well documented.¹⁻⁵ The potential antimalarial activity of these mixed ligand complexes is thought to result from the combination of a electron-rich aromatic surface and cationic metal centre in one plane as a result of the coordination of the aromatic diimine moiety resulting in a preferred square-planar geometry of the platinum(II) complex.^{1,4} The diimine ligands are therefore thought to be the 'functional' ligand and the various *N*,*N*-di(alkyl)-*N*'-acylthiourea the ancillary ligand. Although it has recently been shown that some *bis-N*,*N*-di(alkyl)-*N*'-acylthiourea platinum complexes are not expected to have any anti-malarial activity, which has been confirmed previously.²

The central postulate in this thesis is that the antimalarial activity of $[Pt^{II}(diimine)(L^n-S,O)]X$ complexes arises from the non-covalent association of the square planar complex with haematin (iron porphyrin complex), a toxin present in the parasite from the digestion of haemoglobin.² Since it is known that the malaria parasite decreases the toxic levels of haematin by converting the haematin to insoluble haemozoin,⁷ any association of potential drug molecules to haematin to prevent this detoxification step is thought to ultimately lead to the death of the parasite. It was previously shown that $Pt^{II}(diimine)(L^n-S,O)]X$ complexes self-aggregate and associate to aromatic model compounds, which supports the potential cation- π binding of $Pt^{II}(diimine)(L^n-S,O)]X$ complexes with haematin.⁵ In this context, the interaction between $[Pt^{II}(diimine)(L^n-S,O)]^+$ and haematin is believed to be a combination of cation- π and aromatic π -stacking interactions.¹⁻³

Koch and co-workers found that the 1,10-phenanthroline variation of $Pt^{II}(diimine)(L^n-S,O)]X$ exhibit significantly stronger binding affinities to the synthetic polynucleotide, polydA-PolydT, and also has larger self-association constants in 50% (v/v) water:acetonitrile solutions.⁸ The Gibbs energy (ΔG) of the self-association of these complexes in acetonitrile increases with increments of 2.4 ± 0.4 kJ.mol⁻¹ per aromatic ring of the diimine moiety coordinated to the complexes.¹

In this work, focus was placed mainly on 1,10-phentroline as the diimine moiety and the nature of *N'*-acyl-*N*,*N*-dialkylthiourea ligand was varied. A series of $Pt^{II}(1,10-phenanthroline)(L^n-S,O)$]Cl complexes with the addition of two $Pt^{II}(2,2-bipyridine)(L^n-S,O)$]Cl variations were synthesised as well as $Pd^{II}(phen)(L^1-S,O)$]⁺ for comparison. The general reaction scheme for the synthesis of the series of platinum(II) complexes and precursors is shown in Scheme 2.1.



Scheme 2.1 General synthetic procedure for the synthesis of various $[Pt^{II}(diimine)(L^n - S, O)]Cl$ complexes, where L^n represents various acyl-dialkylthioureas.

The first step in the synthesis involves the addition of the appropriate diimine as a solid to an acidic aqueous solution of $K_2[Pt^{II}Cl_4]$ to yield an insoluble $Pt^{II}(diimine)Cl_2$ complex. The second step involves the reaction of the purified $Pt^{II}(diimine)Cl_2$ from suspension with the appropriate *N*,*N*-di(alkyl)-*N*'-acylthiourea, previously prepared, to yield the desired mixed ligand platinum(II) complex (Scheme 2.1).

2.2 Synthesis of mixed ligand [Pt^{II}(diimine)(*N*,*N*-di(alkyl)-*N*'-acylthiourea)]Cl complexes and precursors

2.2.1 Nomenclature for the ligands and complexes used in this study

In this study, the literature accepted common names or similar naming strategies for the ligands and complexes were used. For example, the ligand N-(diethylamino)-thioxomethylbenzamide, which are called N,N-di(ethyl)-N'-benzoylthiourea, and the corresponding $[Pt^{II}(1,10\text{-phenanthroline})(N-(diethylamino)-thioxomethyl-benzamidato)]^+$ complex are shown in Scheme 2.2 together with their correct systematic names.



Scheme 2.2 Alternative naming of the ligands and complexes used in this study.

The alternative naming of the acylthiourea ligands comprise of an acyl-part (benzoyl in this case), *N*-bound dialkyl- (*N*,*N*-di(ethyl-) and a thiourea-part as highlighted in Scheme 2.2. The abbreviation of this ligand is HL^4 in this study with HL indicating the protonated ligand and 4 an arbitrary number. Upon chelation/coordination of the deprotonated ligand *via* the sulphur and oxygen donor atoms, the notation becomes L^4 -*S*,*O* as shown for the $Pt^{II}(phen)(L^4-S,O)]^+$ complex (Scheme 2.2).

2.2.2 Synthesis of the N,N-di(alkyl)-N'-acylthiourea ligands

The synthesis of N,N-di(alkyl)-N'-acylthioureas was almost 90 years ago described by Douglas and Dains.⁹ This synthesis involves reaction of potassium thiocyanate with an acyl

chloride in which thiocyanate acts as a nucleophile, attacking the acyl chloride to release a chloride ion which precipitates with the K^+ in anhydrous acetone. Thiocyanate undergoes thermal isomerisation to form the isothiocyanate anion which is thought to be the 'active' form of the nucleophile for the synthesis of acylthiourea ligands.¹⁰

$$\bar{s}$$
—c=n $\xrightarrow{\Delta}$ \bar{n} =c=s

Scheme 2.3 Thermal isomerisation of thiocyanate and isothiocyanate¹⁰

The reaction of the thiocyanate isomer with acyl chloride yields an unstable product which rapidly reacts with the preferred isothiocyanate isomer to form mainly the acylisothiocyanate intermediate (Scheme 2.4).¹⁰



Scheme 2.4 Proposed reaction mechanism for the synthesis of N,N-di(alkyl)-N'-acylthiourea ligands. The dashed rectangle shows the desired product of the first step of the reaction. This product reacts with an amine to form the donor-aceptor intermediate indicated by (1).

The acylisothiocyanate intermediate can react with an amine which acts as an nucleophile and mainly attacks the thiocarbonyl carbon of acylisothiocyanate in polar solvents to yield the elusive donor-acceptor intermediate (1) (Scheme 2.4).¹¹ It has been suggested by Elmore and co-workers that the proton transfer takes place after the unstable donor-acceptor complex has been formed to yield the desired N,N-di(alkyl)-N'-acylthiourea ligand.¹¹

The ligand *N*-pyrrolidyl-*N*'-pivaloylthiourea (HL¹) was synthesised by the reaction of isothiocyanate with pivaloyl chloride to yield the crude pivaloylisothiocyanate intermediate to which pyrrolidine was added to yield a crude HL¹ which was obtained by re-crystallization (yield 76%). The ¹H and ¹³C NMR spectra of HL¹ in chloroform-d₁ are shown in Figure 2.1.



Figure 2.1 (a) ¹H NMR spectrum and assignments and (b) ¹³C NMR spectrum and assignments of *N*-pyrrolidyl-*N*'-pivaloylthiourea (HL¹) in CDCl₃.

The N-H proton could be easily assigned to the broad singlet observed at 7.88 ppm which is in the typical downfield chemical shift range of N-H protons of this type. The singlet at 1.23 ppm was assigned to $H^{1'}$ as it integrates for 9 H's. Interestingly, all the -CH₂- groups are magnetically inequivalent and 4 sets of signals are observed for the pyrrolidyl part of the molecule. This suggests significant restricted rotation around the bond between the thiocarbonyl carbon and the pyrrolidyl nitrogen as illustrated by Scheme 2.5.



Scheme 2.5 Restricted rotation in *N*,*N*-di(alkyl)-*N*'-acylthiourea ligands.

Therefore the 4 sets of resonance signals integrate for two protons each with the two more downfield quasi-triplets assigned to H^{a'} and H^a respectively and the two overlapping pentets assigned to H^b and H^{b'} as suggested previously by Koch and co-workers.¹²

Table 2.1 lists the *N*,*N*-di(alkyl)-*N*'-acylthioureas used in this study, together with their alternative names and numbering schemes.

Ligand name, numbering and abbrevia	tion	Ligand name, numbering and abbreviation			
N pyrrolidyl N' piyaloylthiourga	HL^1	$\begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} & & \\ 3' \\ & & \\ 4' \\ & & \\ 5' \\ & & \\ 6' \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} & \\ & \\ & \\ & \\ & \\ & \\ & \\ & \\ & \\ & $	HL ²		
$\begin{array}{c} 3' \\ 4' \\ 5' \\ 5' \\ 6' \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\$	HL ³	$\begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} 0 \\ 3' \\ 4' \\ 5' \end{array} \begin{array}{c} 0 \\ H \\ H \\ 5' \end{array} \begin{array}{c} 0 \\ H \\ H \\ a' \\ b' \end{array} \begin{array}{c} a \\ b' \\ b' \end{array}$	HL^4		
N,N-di(n-butyl)-N'-benzoylthiourea		N,N-diethyl-N'-benzoylthiourea			
2' N N a $bCl 5' 6' a' b'$	HL ⁵	7' $6'$ a' b'	HL ⁶		
N,N-diethyl-N'-4-chlorobenzoylthiourea		N,N-diethyl-N'-4-methoxybenzoylthioure	a		
$ \begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} \end{array}\\ \end{array}\\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \end{array}\\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\$	HL ⁷	$\begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} \\ \\ \\ \end{array} \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\$	HL ⁸		
N,N-dimethyl-N'-benzoylthiourea		N,N-diethyl-N'-4-nitrobenzoylthiourea			
$b \xrightarrow[b']{a'} N \xrightarrow[b']{H} 4' 2' 0 \xrightarrow{a'} s \xrightarrow{b'} a' b'$	HL ⁹	$\begin{array}{c} 2' \\ 3' \\ 4' \\ 5' \end{array} \xrightarrow{O} \\ 6' \\ H \\ H \\ a' \\ b' \\ c \end{array}$	HL^{10}		
<i>bis-(N,N-</i> diethyl)- <i>N</i> '-adipoylthiourea		<i>N</i> -piperidyl- <i>N</i> '-benzoylthiourea			
$\begin{array}{c} 1' \\ 1' \\ 1' \\ 1' \\ 1' \\ 1' \\ 1' \\ 1' $	HL^{11}		HL ¹²		
N-piperidyl-N'-pivaloylthiourea		N-morpholinyl-N'-pivaloylthiourea			
$\begin{array}{c} 1' \\ 1' \\ 1' \\ 1' \\ 1' \\ 1' \\ 1' \\ H \\ a' \\ c' \\ d' \\ d' \\ d' \\ d' \\ d' \\ d' \\ d$	HL ¹³	1' N N a' b'	HL ¹⁴		
N,N-di(n-butyl)-N'-pivaloylthiourea		N,N-diethyl-N'-pivaloylthiourea			

 Table 2.1
 Ligands numbering, alternative names and abbreviations used in this study

2.2.3 Synthesis of Pt^{II}Cl₂(1,10-phenanthroline)

The $Pt^{II}Cl_2(phen)$ precursor was synthesised according to the method described by Morgan and Burstall¹³ in which 1 equivalent of 1,10-phenanthroline was added to K₂[Pt^{II}Cl₄] in acidic (HCl) aqueous solution and heated to reflux for two hours, during which time the pure product precipitated (Scheme 2.4).



Scheme 2.6 Reaction between $[Pt^{II}Cl_4]^{2-}$ and 1,10-phenanthroline in acidified water to yield the yellow $Pt^{II}Cl_2(phen)$ as a precipitate.

The reaction time was extended to an overnight reaction (~14 hours) to ensure complete conversion with yields almost quantitative (90-98%). The role of the acid (HCl) in the synthesis of $Pt^{II}Cl_2(phen)$ was investigated since it seemed unnecessary, apart from suppressing the hydrolysis of $[Pt^{II}Cl_4]^{2-}$. In acidic solution the protonation of phen is expected to compete with the coordination reaction and consequently NaCl was used instead of HCl to minimize the hydrolysis of $[Pt^{II}Cl_4]^{2-}$ with no compromise to the reaction yield. In the absence of any additional Cl⁻ in solution (1,10-phenanthroline and $[Pt^{II}Cl_4]^{2-}$ only), the reaction also yielded the desired product with the typical yield (>90%). However, the presence of HCl significantly increases the solubility of the 1,10-phenanthroline ligand in water which shortens the reaction time slightly. The $Pt^{II}Cl_2(phen)$ product was characterized using ¹H NMR in dimethylsulfoxide-d₆ (Figure 2.2) and elemental analysis.

The ¹H NMR spectra of $Pt^{II}Cl_2(phen)$ and 1,10-phenanthroline monohydrate in dimethyl sulfoxide-d₆ reveals a retention of the symmetry of the ¹H signals of the ligand upon coordination while a large downfield shift of all the ¹H resonances is observed. This downfield shift is indicative of the change in the electronic structure of the ligand as a result of the nitrogens donating their unpaired electrons into the empty d-orbitals of the platinum metal centre.



Figure 2.2 The changes in the ¹H NMR resonances of the 1-10-phenanthroline moiety (**a**) in dimethyl sulfoxide-d₆ upon coordination to form the Pt^{II}Cl₂(phen) precursor (**b**) ${}^{3}J({}^{195}\text{Pt-}{}^{1}\text{H}) = 38 \text{ Hz}.$

Considering the ¹H NMR spectrum of $Pt^{II}Cl_2(phen)$ in dimethyl sulfoxide-d₆ (Figure 2.2b), the singlet resonance at 8.29 ppm could be assigned to H^{5+6} since no ³ $J(^{1}H^{-1}H)$ coupling was observed. The doublet of doublets at 8.17 ppm which is made up of two relatively large coupling constants (³J = 8.2 Hz and 5.5 Hz) could be assigned to H^{3+8} . The resonance at 9.70 ppm is also a doublet of doublets with small unresolved platinum satellites, ³ $J(^{195}Pt^{-1}H) = 38Hz$, as highlighted in the inset in Figure 2.2b and could be assigned to H^{2+9} , which is 3 bonds from the platinum metal centre. The remaining doublet of doublets at 9.04 ppm was assigned to H^{4+7} .

2.2.4 Synthesis of [Pt^{II}(1,10-phenanthroline)(*N*,*N*-di(alkyl)-*N*'-acylthiourea)]Cl complexes

A series of $[Pt^{II}(phen)(N,N-di(alkyl)-N'-acylthiourea)]Cl complexes and precursors have$ been synthesized and characterized with the abbreviations and numbering of the ligands andrespective complexes used in this study summarised in Table 2.2. The metal precursor, $<math>Pt^{II}Cl_2(phen)$, is used since the 1,10-phenanthroline coordinates strongly to the Pt(II) metal centre and remains coordinated to prevent more than one *N*,*N*-di(alkyl)-*N'*-acylthiourea (HLⁿ) to coordinate to Pt as happens for $[PtCl_4]^{2-}$. The synthesis of $[Pt^{II}(phen)(L^n-S,O)]Cl$ involves the reaction of $Pt^{II}Cl_2(phen)$ from suspension in acetonitrile with the *N*,*N*-di(alkyl)-*N*'-acylthiourea (Lⁿ) to form the desirable soluble $[Pt^{II}(phen)(L^n-S,O)]Cl$ complex (typical yields >70%). The proposed reaction pathway is shown in Scheme 2.7.



Scheme 2.7 Proposed reaction details for the synthesis of $[Pt^{II}(phen)(N,N-di(alkyl)-N'-acylthiourea)]Cl complexes from <math>Pt^{II}Cl_2(phen)$ and the appropriate N,N-di(alkyl)-N'-acylthiourea in acetonitrile with NaOAc as base.

It has been suggested that the sulphur atom of the acylthiourea replaces one of the chlorides of the Pt^{II}Cl₂(phen) (see intermediate (**2**) in Scheme 2.7) since sulphur is known to be more nucleophilic and has a strong affinity for platinum(II), which is considered a 'soft' metal according to Pearson's HSAB theory.¹⁴ The chelate is formed by the coordination of a lone pair of electrons of the oxygen after deprotonation of the ligand (HLⁿ \rightarrow Lⁿ) using sodium acetate (NaOAc). The displaced Cl⁻ forms insoluble NaCl, which precipitates as a fine white powder from the reaction mixture. After the completion of reaction, NaCl(s), traces of unreacted Pt^{II}Cl₂(phen) and excess NaOAc are filtered off. The [Pt^{II}(phen)(Lⁿ-*S*,*O*)]Cl complex can be precipitated from solution by the addition of cold diethyl ether. Excess ligand and conjugate acid (AcOH) formed during the reaction were removed by extensive washing with cold diethyl ether. Variation of the solvent in which this preparation is carried out, from acetonitrile, methanol and dichloromethane as well as substituting the base (NaOAc) with triethylamine results in no significant changes in the yields.

In this study the purification of this class of complexes proved to be difficult for the complexes with Cl⁻ as counter-ion, as compared to the PF_6^- salt as reported previously.⁸ Purification by column chromatography with Silica gel (SiO₂) as stationary phase failed since the $[Pt^{II}(phen)(L^n-S,O)]^+$ has such a strong affinity for the silica that neither methanol nor acidic water were able to elute the product. However, it was found that neutral to basic chromatographic aluminium oxide (Al₂O₃) works well with small amounts of methanol in the mixed eluents (methanol/dichloromethane or methanol/acetonitrile) to elute the desired complex from the column.

In some cases the acetonitrile of the reaction mixture is polar enough to elute the product with the starting materials and by-products, in which case the acetonitrile was evaporated by heating under reduced pressure, and redissolving the reaction mixture in less polar dichloromethane. The precipitate consisting of mainly NaOAc and NaCl was filtered off and the sample in dichloromethane was introduced onto the column. The pure $Pt^{II}(phen)(L^n-S,O)]Cl$ was obtained by eluting any unreacted $Pt^{II}Cl_2(phen)$ and HL^n together with unwanted $Pt^{II}(phen)(L^n-S)_2$ with dichloromethane while $[Pt^{II}(phen)(L^n-S,O)]Cl$ was retained. The pure $[Pt^{II}(phen)(L^n-S,O)]Cl$ was eluted by the addition of methanol to the eluent. The $[Pt^{II}(phen)(L^n-S,O)]Cl$ complex was isolated by removing the solvent with heating under reduced pressure and dried in a vacuum desiccator. Characterization of the complexes was done using various one- and two-dimensional NMR techniques (see Section 2.3) as well as Mass Spectrometry and Elemental Analysis. All $[Pt^{II}(phen)(L^n-S,O)]Cl$ complexes synthesised are listed in Table 2.2 with all corresponding names and abbreviations used.

However, for a few of the HLⁿ ligands, the yields were significantly lower, especially for HL², HL³ (40-50%) and the reactions in methanol which was attributed to the formation of *bis*-monodentate-*N*,*N*-di(alkyl)-*N*'-acylthiourea 1,10-phenanthroline platinum(II) complexes (Pt^{II}(phen)(Lⁿ-*S*)₂); these reactions will be discussed in Chapter 3.



Table 2.2 Complexes numbering and abbreviated names used in this w	ork.
---	------



2.3 Detailed characterization of [Pt^{II}(phen)(L¹-*S*,*O*)]Cl by ¹H, COSY, HMBC, and NOESY NMR

The ¹H NMR spectrum of $[Pt^{II}(phen)(L^1-S,O)]Cl$ (Figure 2.3) is relatively uncomplicated compared to those of the other $[Pt^{II}(phen)(L^{2-14}-S,O)]Cl$ complexes since the 1,10-phenanthroline (phen) and *N*-pirrolidyl-*N'*-pivaloylthiourea (L¹) ¹H peak appear in different regions of the ¹H NMR spectrum. (see Appendix Figure A.1 to A.13).

The ¹H NMR spectrum of $Pt^{II}Cl_2(phen)$ (Figure 2.3a) was used as a starting point in assigning the aromatic protons in the ¹H NMR spectrum of $[Pt^{II}(phen)(L^1-S,O)]Cl$ Figure 2.3b. The ¹H NMR spectrum of $Pt^{II}Cl_2(phen)$ consist of only 4 sets of signals which accounts for the 8 H's as assigned previously (Figure 2.2b). In the $[Pt^{II}(phen)(L^1-S,O)]Cl$ complex the symmetry of the 1,10-phenanthroline ligand is lifted and one expects to see at least 8 sets of ¹H peaks in the aromatic region.



Figure 2.3 The ¹H NMR spectra of (**a**) the precursor $Pt^{II}Cl_2(phen)$, (**b**) the aromatic region (**c**) the aliphatic region of $Pt^{II}(phen)(L^1-S,O)$]Cl in dimethyl sulfoxide-d₆.

Using the features of the ¹H NMR spectrum of $Pt^{II}Cl_2(phen)$, two possible assignments for each set of 1,10-phenanthroline resonances in the $[Pt^{II}(phen)(L^{1}-S,O)]Cl$ complex could be considered (Figure 2.3b). The most downfield singlet at 1.33 ppm integrates for 9 H's and could be unambiguously assigned as the pivaloyl protons, H^{1'}. The multiplicities of the other aliphatic ¹H resonances were used to make assignments, with the resonances at 3.73 and 3.78 ppm either H^a or H^{a'} while the pentets at 2.12 and 2.03 ppm are H^b or H^{b'}. The *J*(¹H-¹H) coupling constants of these multiplets could not be used to further distinguish between the similar H's since these coupling constants are more or less of the same magnitude. Therefore, two-dimensional NMR techniques which give coupling information were required of which COSY (Homonuclear Correlation Spectroscopy) is commonly used for this purpose. This technique gives a two-dimensional plot with the ¹H NMR spectra on each dimension; crosspeaks off the diagonal (indicated by line in Figure 2.4) indicate relatively strong (e.g. ³J > 5.0 Hz) scalar coupling between the protons on the vertical and horizontal shown in Figure 2.4.



Figure 2.4 ¹H, ¹H COSY spectrum of $Pt^{II}(phen)(L^1-S,O)$]Cl in acetonitrile-d₃ where (**a**) is the COSY spectrum of the aromatic region and (**b**) the aliphatic region of the spectrum.



Figure 2.4 1 H, 1 H COSY spectrum of Pt^{II}(phen)(L 1 -*S*,*O*)]Cl in acetonitrile-d₃ where (**a**) is the COSY spectrum of the aromatic region and (**b**) the aliphatic region of the spectrum.

The COSY experiment was optimised for the typical ${}^{3}J$ proton couplings, with the result that all expected coupling correlations are observed. However, the unambiguous assignment of the ¹H NMR spectrum is not possible without an initial assignment. Previously the initial assignment was made based on the ¹⁵N chemical shift of N¹ and N² and its correlation (scalar coupling) with the nearby H's in the phen moiety.⁵ This information was obtained using the ¹H,¹⁵N indirect detected HMBC (Heteronuclear Multiple Bond Correlation) NMR experiment which gives the chemical shift of the ¹⁵N as well as the coupling correlation to the protons which could be optimised for ²J coupling between N¹-H² and N¹⁰-H⁹ respectively. The indirect detected HMBC method is preferred for obtaining ¹⁵N chemical shifts due to the indirect detection of ¹⁵N *via* the sensitive ¹H nuclide which makes it more sensitive than conventional ¹⁵N NMR. The ¹H,¹⁵N HMBC plot obtained for [Pt^{II}(phen)(L¹-*S*,*O*)]Cl in acetonitrile-d₃ (Figure 2.5) shows positive correlation peaks for 3 nitrogens.



Figure 2.5 1 H, 15 N HMBC plot of [Pt^{II}(phen)(L¹-*O*,*S*)]Cl showing the 1 H and 15 N correlations in acetonitrile-d₆. Nitrogen chemical shifts are reported relative to nitromethane.

The ¹⁵N and corresponding ¹H NMR chemical shifts obtained from the ¹H,¹⁵N HMBC experiment are summarised in Table 2.3.

Table 2.3 Summary of the ¹⁵N and ¹H NMR chemical shifts obtained $[Pt^{II}(phen)(L^1-S, O)]Cl$ from the ¹H,¹⁵N HMBC experiments and preliminary assignments.

	\mathbf{N}^{1}	H ²	H ³	N ¹⁰	H ⁹	\mathbf{H}^{8}	Ν	$\mathbf{H}^{\mathbf{b}+\mathbf{b}'}$
δ/ppm	-145.80*	9.01	8.15	-185.27*	8.66	7.84	-218.15*	2.16, 2.05
* 01 1	1.0 1 .		1					

*Chemical shifts relative to nitromethane.

The initial assignments of the nitrogen atoms to the various observed ¹⁵N signals in Table 2.3 were on the basis that the nitrogen trans to the sulphur atom is the most deshielded and consequently labelled N¹ which needs to be confirmed by NOESY spectroscopy (Nuclear Overhauser Enhancement Spectroscopy). The sulphur is a soft donor atom according to Pearson's HSAB principle compared to oxygen which is a typical hard donor atom due to its relatively high electronegativity.¹⁴ It may be expected that the sulphur atom would form a 'stronger' bond with the relatively soft platinum(II) metal centre compared to oxygen.

Therefore, the nitrogen *trans* to the sulphur is expected to be more deshielded. The relatively large chemical shift difference between $N^1 - N^{10}$ (37.47 pm) and $H^2 - H^9$ (0.35 ppm) reflects the significantly different electronic environments of the atoms trans to the Pt-S and Pt-O bonds. However, the unambiguous assignment of the ¹H spectrum of [Pt^{II}(phen)(L¹-*O*,*S*)]Cl requires NOE (Nuclear Overhauser Effect) data which will yield the through space correlation between nuclei in close proximity, i.e. due to cross-relaxation between the nuclei.¹⁵ Several attempts using 2D NOESY experiments failed possibly due to low complex concentrations and a relatively large distance between the nuclei of interest (small NOE). Furthermore, 2D NOESY is better for large slowly tumbling molecules such as proteins and peptides.

However, the one-dimensional gradient NOESY pulse is sufficient for small molecules (<700 daltons) with relatively long distant (4-6Å) NOE's and was consequently used to unambiguously assign the ¹H NMR spectra of $[Pt^{II}(phen)(L^1-S,O)]CI$. Positive NOE was observed between the protons of the 1,10-phenanthroline and *N*-pyrrolidyl-*N*'-pivaloylthiourea ligand as shown in Figure 2.6.



Figure 2.6 ¹H NMR signal NOE enhancements in a 1D gNOESY (gradient NOESY) experiment upon the irradiation of (**a**) the H¹ and (**b**) H² protons of $[Pt^{II}(phen)(L^1-S,O)]Cl$ respectively. This confirms the assignment of H² and H^{a'} unambiguously.

The 1D NOESY data shows two NOE enhancements observed upon selective irradiation of the pivaloyl protons $(H^{1'})$ which allows for the assignment of the most downfield proton to H^2 since it is closest to $H^{1'}$. Furthermore, the peak at 3.78 ppm is a result of a positive NOE effect upon radiation of $H^{1'}$ and could be labelled $H^{a'}$.

The 1D NOESY data in conjunction with the COSY results presented earlier allows for the first unambiguous ¹H NMR assignments of $[Pt^{II}(phen)(L^n-S,O)]X$ complexes and validates the previous assignments of $[Pt^{II}(phen)(L^n-S,O)]X$.



Figure 2.7 Full ¹H NMR assignment of $[Pt^{II}(phen)(L^1-S, O)]Cl$ in dimethyl sulfoxide-d₆.

2.4 Synthesis of mixed ligand [Pt^{II}(2,2'-bipyridyl)(*N*,*N*-di(alkyl)-*N*'acylthiourea)]Cl complexes and their precursors

2.4.1 Synthesis of Pt^{II}(2,2'-bipyridyl)Cl₂

The Pt^{II}(bipy)Cl₂ precursor was synthesised by a similar method as for Pt^{II}Cl₂(phen), described by Morgan and Burstall,¹³ in which 1 mole equivalent of 2,2'-bipyridine (bipy) was added to 1 mole K₂[Pt^{II}Cl₄] in HCl solution and heated to reflux for two hours whereby Pt^{II}(bipyl)Cl₂ precipitated. As was shown for the reaction of 1,10-phenanthroline (phen) and K₂[Pt^{II}Cl₄] in water, the addition of HCl increases the solubility of the diimine ligand. However, the reactions of 2,2'-bipyridine and K₂[Pt^{II}Cl₄] in the absence of HCl resulted in a highly insoluble orange-yellow precipitate, possibly a series of cluster complexes and/or coordination polymers with 2,2'-bipyridine and chlorides as bridging ligands.¹³ It is known that uncoordinated bipy adopts the '*trans*' conformation where the nitrogen donor atoms are anti relative to the linking C-C bond. In slightly acidic aqueous solutions however, bipy readily forms the '*cis*' conformation which is required to form the corresponding *cis*-chelated Pt^{II}(bipyl)Cl₂ (Scheme 2.8).¹⁶



Scheme 2.8 The change from the trans- to the cis-conformation of 2,2'-bipyridine in acidic aqueous solutions to form only the chelate complex with $[Pt^{II}Cl_4]^{2-}$.

The acidic medium was not needed for 1,10-phenathtroline since it does not have the conformational flexibility and usually more readily forms metal chelated complexes compared to bipy.¹⁶

The Pt^{II}(bipy)Cl₂ product was characterized using ¹H NMR in dimethyl sulfoxide-d₆ (Figure 2.8b), Elemental Analysis and Single Crystal X-Ray Diffraction (SCXRD). The ¹H NMR spectra of Pt^{II}(bipy)Cl₂ (Figure 2.8a) and bipy (Figure 2.8b) in dimethyl sulfoxide-d₆ show the large shift of all ¹H resonances which confirms the coordination to the Pt^{II}. Further proof for the coordination is the unresolved broad platinum satellites, ³J(¹⁹⁵Pt-¹H) = 24 Hz, visible at the base of the proton signal at 9.50 ppm, which could be assigned to H²⁺⁹ since these

protons are three bonds from the platinum centre and would exhibit scalar coupling to the 195 Pt. The doublet (d) observed at 8.58 ppm is assigned to H⁵⁺⁶ due to its multiplicity while the triplet of doublets (td) (8.42 ppm) and doublet of doublet of doublets (ddd) (7.84 ppm) were labelled H⁴⁺⁷ and H³⁺⁸ respectively.



Figure 2.8 ¹H NMR spectrum and assignment of (a) bipy and (b) $Pt^{II}(bipy)Cl_2$ in dimethyl sulfoxide-d₆ at 25°C

Single crystals suitable for SCXRD were obtained after overnight heating (14 hours) of $Pt^{II}(bipy)Cl_2$ in acetonitrile, after which the solution was allowed to cool to room temperature, and left in a fume hood to allow the acetonitrile to evaporate. Small yellow crystals were obtained of which SCXRD data were collected. The molecular structure is shown in Figure 2.9. The crystal and structure refinement data is shown in Section 2.8.2 and the CIF file is given on the electronic Appendix C, accompanying this thesis.



Figure 2.9 The molecular structure of $Pt^{II}(bipy)Cl_2$ with an acetonitrile solvent molecule.

Two polymorphs of $Pt^{II}(bipy)Cl_2$ are known which differ in colour as a result of the difference in crystal packing of the two polymorphs.^{17,18} The yellow polymorph is known to be significantly less stable compared to the red polymorph of $Pt^{II}(bipy)Cl_2$. Interestingly, our crystal structure shown in Figure 2.9 is novel with two molecules of acetonitrile included in the structure with two molecules of $Pt^{II}(bipy)Cl_2$; this is the first known crystal structure of $Pt^{II}(bipy)Cl_2$ containing a solvate molecule in the crystal lattice. Furthermore, the stacking motifs of the crystals containing the acetonitrile solvate and the two polymorphs of $Pt^{II}(bipy)Cl_2$ are shown in Figure 2.10.



Figure 2.10 The three forms of $Pt^{II}(bipy)Cl_2$ in the solid state with (**a**) yellow crystals with an acetonitrile solvate, (**b**) the yellow polymorph¹⁷ and (**c**) the red polymorph.¹⁸

Interestingly, the molecular packing in the yellow crystals isolated in this work shows a close resemblance to that of the red polymorph. This is interesting since this difference in colour of the two well known isomers was extensively studied previously and the red colour is believed to arise from the overlap of the dz^2 orbitals and the empty pz of the platinum metal centres in the close packing arrangement which is thought to lead to a bathochromic shift.^{19,20} Attempts were made to convert the yellow polymorph (Figure 2.10b) to the red polymorph by pressurising the crystals with the yellow crystals turning red at high pressure.²⁰ However, after pressure is applied, the crystals were no longer single and further crystal data could not be collected.

The crystal packing of the well studied yellow and red polymorphs is shown in Figure 2.11.^{17,18}



Figure 2.11 (a) The yellow polymorph¹⁷ of $Pt^{II}(bipy)Cl_2$ viewed along the c-axis (top) and b-axis (bottom). (b) The red polymorph¹⁸ of $Pt^{II}(bipy)Cl_2$ along the c-axis (top) and b-axis (bottom).

The yellow polymorph of $Pt^{II}(bipy)Cl_2$ (Figure 2.11a) shows the individual molecules to exhibit aromatic- π stacking interactions of the 2-2-bipyridine ligand of complexes above and below each other to form an 'infinite' π -stack along the c-axis of the unit cell. The red polymorph similarly exhibits an 'infinite' stacking motif, however with the non-covalent interaction being $Cl^{...}\pi$ type rather than aromatic- π type. This packing arrangement allows for overlap of the dz^2 orbitals of the Pt centres leading to a bathochromic shift observed when going from solution to this specific red crystalline form of $Pt^{II}(bipy)Cl_2$.

The crystal packing arrangement of the $Pt^{II}(bipy)Cl_2 \cdot CH_3CN$ isolated complex in this work is shown in Figure 2.12 viewed along the three axes of the unit cell. Two $Pt^{II}(bipy)Cl_2$

molecules stack in a similar fashion to the red polymorph of $Pt^{II}(bipy)Cl_2$ with CH_3CN separating/isolating these non-covalent 'dimer' pairs.



Figure 2.12 Crystal packing of the yellow $Pt^{II}(bipy)Cl_2 \cdot CH_3CN$ form in the crystal lattice.

From this structure significant overlap of the dz^2 orbitals of the Pt metal centres might be expected for Pt^{II}(bipy)Cl₂·CH₃CN. The three crystal structures will be compared and discussed using the Pt^{II}Pt distances and angles defined by Figure 2.13. The difference between selected bond lengths and angles of the three forms of Pt^{II}(bipy)Cl₂ in discussion are summarised in Table 2.4 with the atom numbers as in Figure 2.13.



Figure 2.13 Atom labels and centroids (Ct) used to compare the crystal structures of $Pt^{II}(bipy)Cl_2$ as listed in Table 2.4.

Table 2.4 Selected bond lengths, distances, angles and torsion angles for three crystal structures of $Pt^{II}(bipy)Cl_2$.

	Pt ^{II} (bipy)Cl ₂ ·MeCN	Pt ^{II} (bipy)Cl ₂ (red) ¹⁸	Pt ^{II} (bipy)Cl ₂ (yellow) ¹⁷
Bonds:	Å	Å	Å
Pt(1)-Cl(1)	2.2999	2.3017(4)	2.3017(4)
Pt(1)-Cl(2)	2.3073	2.3017(4)	2.2762(4)
Pt(2)-Cl(3)	2.3048	2.3017(4)	2.3017(4)
Pt(2)-Cl(4)	2.2992	2.3017(4)	2.2762(4)
Pt(1)-N(1)	2.0074	2.0091(4)	2.0247(4)
Pt(1)-N(2)	2.0097	2.0091(4)	2.0565(4)
Pt(2)-N(3)	2.0286	2.0091(4)	2.0247(4)
Pt(2)-N(4)	2.0206	2.0091(4)	2.0565(4)
Pt(1)-Pt(2)	3.4439(1)	3.449(1)	4.524(1)
Angles:			
Ct(1)-Pt(1)-Pt(2)	77.28°	80.51°	71.31°
Ct(2)-Pt(2)-Pt(1)	78.88°	80.51°	50.40°
*N(1)-Pt(1)-Cl(2)	2.15°	2.40°	3.56°
*N(2)-Pt(1)-Cl(1)	2.21°	2.09°	1.45°
*N(3)-Pt(2)-Cl(4)	2.53°	2.40°	3.56°
*N(4)-Pt(2)-Cl(3)	2.50°	2.09°	1.45°
Torsion Angles:			
Ct(1)-Pt(1)-Pt(2)-Ct(2)	179.70°	180.00°	108.22°

^{*} The 'out of plane' angle of N-Pt-Cl which is expected to be 0°.in a perfect square planar geometry.

The bond lengths and angles of $Pt^{II}(bipy)Cl_2 \cdot CH_3CN$ show close resemblance to the red $Pt^{II}(bipy)Cl_2$ polymorph as shown in Table 2.4. The only significant difference between these two structures is the Pt(2)-N(3) and Pt(2)-N(4) bond lengths. Interestingly, the Pt(1)-Pt(2) distance is almost identical within the error for $Pt^{II}(bipy)Cl_2 \cdot CH_3CN$ compared to the red- $Pt^{II}(bipy)Cl_2$ (3.444 and 3.449 Å respectively). These $Pt^{...}Pt$ distances are significantly shorter than of the yellow polymorph 4.524 Å and no dz^2 orbital is possible for the yellow polymorph. Furthermore, it is expected that $Pt^{II}(bipy)Cl_2 \cdot CH_3CN$ should show a similar bathochromic shift upon crystallization as the red polymorph which is not observed. Therefore, it is reasonable to postulate that the bathochromic shift is only observed for $Pt^{II}(bipy)Cl_2$ when the overlap of the dz^2 orbitals of the Pt metal centre is from both 'sides' and the metal centre is 'sandwiched' between two other $Pt^{II}(bipy)Cl_2$ molecules to form an infinite stack of complexes with all the Pt dz^2 orbital overlapping to from both sides. In our $Pt^{II}(bipy)Cl_2 \cdot CH_3CN$ crystal structure, dz^2 orbitals overlap only occur at one 'side' of the metal centre and the effect is apparently not sufficient to cause a visible change in colour.

Hot stage microscopy was done on a crystal of $Pt^{II}(bipy)Cl_2 \cdot CH_3CN$ in order to release CH₃CN from the crystal lattice with an expected colour change as the crystals are heated. However, up to 260 °C no acetonitrile loss was observed prior to decomposition of the crystals > 260 °C.

2.4.2 Synthesis of mixed ligand [Pt^{II}(2,2'-bipyridyl)(*N*,*N*-di(alkyl)-*N*'-acylthiourea)]Cl complexes

The synthesis of $[Pt^{II}(bipy)(L^{1,2}-S,O)]CI$ was accomplished using a similar method as described in Section 2.2.4 for $[Pt^{II}(phen)(L^n-S,O)]CI$. Although the reaction was allowed to proceed up to 14 hours, yields were significantly lower (typically 40-60%) compared to the synthesis of $[Pt^{II}(phen)(L^n-S,O)]CI$. Purification of $[Pt^{II}(2,2'-bipyridyl)(N,N-dibutyl-N'-naphthoyl-thiourea)]CI (<math>[Pt^{II}(bipy)(L^2-S,O)]CI$) was difficult as observed for the corresponding 1,10-phenanthroline complexes, and the pure product could be obtained by the addition of water to a solution of the crude product in acetonitrile and diethyl ether which resulted in the formation of a third phase which contained the pure complex. Upon addition of water, an ether layer separates from the aqueous phase while the product extracted into a new third phase which formed at the bottom of the flask below the water phase. The third phase was collected and ¹H NMR revealed that this phase consisted of only $[Pt^{II}(bipy)(L^2-S,O)]CI$ and acetonitrile. Hence, for repeated reactions the diethyl ether was omitted and it

was found that the third phase still formed, containing essentially a concentrated pure product. The ¹H NMR spectrum of $[Pt^{II}(bipy)(L^2-S,O)]Cl$ shows (Figure 2.14) the purity of this crude product obtained, together with the ¹H NMR assignments of the various proton resonances labelled on the spectrum.



Figure 2.14 ¹H NMR spectrum of $[Pt^{II}(bipy)(L^2-S, O)]Cl$ in chloroform-d₁ at 25°C.

The peaks assigned here are consistent with similar complexes previously made by Lawrence *et al.* for $[Pt^{II}(bipy)(N,N-dibutyl-N'-naphthoylthiourea)]PF_6$ in chloroform-d₁.¹ The ¹H NMR spectrum and assignments of the $[Pt^{II}(bipy)(N-pyrrolidyl-N'-pivaloylthiourea)]Cl variation are shown in$ **Error! Reference source not found.**in the Experimental Section**Error! Reference source not found.**

Attempts were made to synthesise $[Pt^{II}(bipy)(N,N-dibutyl-N'-naphthoylthiourea)]^+$ in higher yields by changing the counter ion from Cl⁻ to PF₆⁻, as found by Lawrence *et al.*¹ The reaction mixture was cooled to -18°C in an attempt to crystallize the pure $[Pt^{II}(bipy)(N,N-dibutyl-N'-naphthoylthiourea)]PF_6$ complex. Interestingly, bright yellow crystals formed after 5 days at - 18°C. The SCXRD however, revealed this to be the *cis-(N,N-di(n-butyl)-N'-naphthoylthiourea platinum(II)* complex or *cis-* $[Pt^{II}(L^2-S,O)_2]$ in short, as shown in Figure 2.15.



Figure 2.15 Crystal structure of $Pt^{II}(L^2-S,O)_2$ collected at 100K with (a) the molecular structure and (b) the asymmetric unit.

This complex is the *cis*-isomer, which is the most common form in which these *N*,*N*-di(alkyl)-*N*'-acylthiourea ligands coordinate.²¹ The *cis*-[Pt^{II}(Lⁿ-*S*,*O*)₂] complexes are predominantly formed while the *trans*-[Pt^{II}(Lⁿ-*S*,*O*)₂] complexes are rare. Only very few authenticated *trans*-[Pt^{II}(Lⁿ-*S*,*O*)₂] complexes have been characterised.²² Koch and co-workers managed to crystallize *trans*-[Pt^{II}(*N*,*N*-dibutyl-*N*'-naphthoylthiourea)₂] several years ago and were the first to report a *trans*-complex of acylthioureas.²² They later discovered that the *cis*↔*trans* interconversion is a photo-chemical process with the more common *cis*-isomer abundant at ambient conditions.²¹ Furthermore, Koch and co-workers studied this *cis*↔*trans* isomerisation of various complexes of *N*,*N*-di(alkyl)-*N*'-acylthioureas with Pt(II) and Pd(II) using a light source and separating the two isomers using HPLC.²¹ The *trans*-[Pt^{II}(L²-*S*,*O*)₂] complex crystallised by Koch and co-workers was crystallized in the presence of strong sunlight which was found to be the reason for the crystals forming.

In our case, the crystals of the *cis*-[Pt^{II}(L²-*S*,*O*)₂] formed in the dark, and crystallized at -18°C. The repeating unit or unit-cell is comprised of 4 molecules of Pt^{II}(L²-*S*,*O*)₂ and is highly unsymmetrical. Unfortunately, the error in the fit of the model to the experimental diffraction spots is rather large (R factor = 11) and unacceptable for publication. For our purpose of characterization however, it is clear that the *cis*-*N*,*N*-dibutyl-*N'*-naphtoylthiourea platinate complex was crystallized.

2.5 Synthesis of the mixed ligand [Pd^{II}(phen)(L¹-*S*,*O*)]Cl complex and its precursors

2.5.1 Synthesis of Pd^{II}Cl₂(1,10-phenanthroline)

The synthesis of $Pd^{II}Cl_2(phen)$ was carried out using a similar method as described for the platinum analogue, $Pt^{II}Cl_2(phen)$, by the reaction of $K_2[Pd^{II}Cl_4]$ and 1,10-phenanthroline in acidic (HCl) aqueous solutions. The complex formation reaction is significantly faster for $Pd^{II}Cl_2(phen)$ compared to $Pt^{II}Cl_2(phen)$ as expected for the generally kinetically labile Pd(II) coordination chemistry.¹⁴ On addition of equimolar quantities of reagents, the reaction was typically complete at room temperature after 1 hour resulting in a yield of 95-98%. The reaction mixture changed colour from a dark brown solution to a pale pink-yellow suspension within 5-7 minutes. This fast kinetics is characteristic of palladium(II) reactions since it is known that ligands coordinated to palladium are much more labile than its inert platinum(II) counterpart.¹⁴ The ¹H NMR spectrum of $Pd^{II}Cl_2(phen)$ is similar to the spectrum observed for $Pt^{II}Cl_2(phen)$ and ¹H resonances and assignments are shown in Figure 2.16.



Figure 2.16 ¹H NMR spectrum of $Pd^{II}Cl_2(phen)$ in dimethyl sulfoxide-d₆ at 25 °C.

As expected, the proton resonance at 9.35 ppm (Figure 2.16) shows no satellites resulting from coupling to the Pd centre, as was observed for Pt complexes (${}^{3}J({}^{195}\text{Pt}{}^{-1}\text{H})$ observed for Pt^{II}Cl₂(phen) While Pd has one NMR active isotope (${}^{105}\text{Pd}$ at 22,2% natural abundance), this isotope is a quadrupolar nucleus with a quantum number I = 5/2, and would result in broad unresolved multiple splitting if these are observable at all, in view of the probably rapid quadrupolar relaxation induced by the I = 5/2 nucleus.²³

2.5.2 Synthesis of Pd^{II}₃(OAc)₆

The low solubility of $Pd^{II}Cl_2(phen)$ in organic solvents made the synthesis of $[Pd^{II}(phen)(L^1-S,O)]^+$ difficult in organic solvents. Therefore, the more soluble precursor $Pd^{II}(1,10-phenanthroline)(CH_3CO_2)_2$ or $Pd^{II}(phen)(OAc)_2$, was synthesized. The $Pd^{II}(phen)(OAc)_2$ complex is normally synthesised from $Pd^{II}_3(OAc)_6$. However, the successful and reproducible synthesis of $Pd^{II}_3(OAc)_6$ in high yields has been contentious in the literature for some methods suggested and the yields could not be easily reproduced.²⁴ Murillo and co-workers have carefully studied the synthesis according to common literature methods and have presented a reproducible method to synthesise $Pd^{II}_3(OAc)_6$ in high yields.²⁵ Their improved synthesis involves the reaction of $Pd^{II}Cl_2$ with NaHCO₂ to reduce the Pd^{II} complex to Pd^0 . The Pd^0 is then filtered off and washed with water. The Pd^0 is re-oxidised to Pd^{II} with HNO₃ in glacial acetic acid to form the crude $Pd_3(OAc)_6$ with the ¹H NMR shown in Figure 2.17b for our synthesis according to the method described by Murillo and co-workers.²⁵ For comparison, the ¹H NMR spectrum of the commercially available $Pd_3(OAc)_6$ is also shown in Figure 2.17a.



Figure 2.17 ¹H NMR spectra of $Pd_3(OAc)_6$ in chloroform- d_1 . The material was (**a**) obtained commercially and (**b**) synthesised according to the method of Murillo and coworkers.²⁵ The species assignments are shown.

The assignments of ¹H NMR spectrum of $Pd_3(OAc)_6$ from this synthesis method were made previously by Murillo and Cotton.²⁵ The ¹H NMR spectrum of our prepared "Pd₃(OAc)₆" clearly show significant amount of $Pd_3(OAc)_5(NO_2)$ compared to the commercial sample, according to the assignments made by Murillo and Cotton. However, the 'unknown' peaks labelled in Figure 2.17 observed in our sample as well as the commercial sample were not assigned by Murillo and Cotton. A recent publication on the speciation of $Pd_3(OAc)_6$ by Hii and co-workers suggest three structures in solution as shown in Figure 2.18, which may account for the additional peaks observed.²⁶



Figure 2.18 Suggested structures of Pd(OAc)₂ in solution.²⁶

The trinuclear complex (1) is the major species in solution while the dinuclear (2) and mononuclear (3) complexes are present in lower quantities.²⁶ However, the presence of these two additional species (2) and (3), is not discussed by Murillo and Cotton and could account for the three additional signals observed in the ¹H NMR spectrum of our samples (Figure 2.17). The dinuclear complex (2) should show two singlets with equal intensity as a result of the acetate ligands being in two different chemical environments. One singlet ¹H resonance is expected for the mononuclear *bis*-acetonato complex (3). If both of these products are in solution, two signals are expected of equal intensity and a single peak with the total being three additional peaks. This is indeed what was observed and this two additional species (2) and (3) may account for the previously un-assigned peaks in the ¹H NMR spectrum of commercially available $Pd_3(OAc)_6$ and synthesised "Pd₃(OAc)₆".

2.5.3 Synthesis of Pd^{II}(1,10-phenanthroline)(CH₃CO₂)₂

The Pd^{II}(phen)(OAc)₂ complex was synthesised as a more soluble precursor for the synthesis of $[Pd^{II}(phen)(L^1-S,O)]^+$. An improved synthesis described by Milani and co-workers was used for the preparation of Pd^{II}(phen)(OAc)₂.²⁷ The synthesis involves the reaction of Pd^{II}₃(OAc)₆ in acetone with 1,10-phenanthroline at room temperature. The product precipitated as a yellow crystalline material in high yields (87%). Pd^{II}(phen)(OAc)₂ was characterised using ¹H NMR, with assignments based on the comparison with the assignments of the Pd^{II}(phen)Cl₂ complex in dimethyl sulfoxide-*d*₆ with the addition of a singlet at 1.97 ppm integrating for 6 protons and consequently labelled H^{1'}(Figure 2.19).



Figure 2.19 ¹H NMR spectrum of $Pd^{II}(phen)(OAc)_2$ in dimethyl sulfoxide-d₆ at 25 °C.

2.5.4 Synthesis of the mixed ligand [Pd^{II}(1,10-phenanthroline)(*N*,*N*-pyrrolidyl-*N*'pivaloylthiourea)]Cl complex

The synthesis of $[Pd^{II}(1,10\text{-phenanthroline})(N,N\text{-pyrrolidyl-}N'\text{-pivaloyl-thiourea})]^+$ or $[Pd^{II}(\text{phen})(L^1-S,O)]^+$ was carried out either using $Pd^{II}(\text{phen})(OAc)_2$ and $Pd^{II}Cl_2(\text{phen})$ as precursors. Initially a synthesis route in acetonitrile, similar to the $[Pt^{II}(\text{Phen})(L^1-S,O)]^+$ was attempted using $Pd^{II}Cl_2(\text{phen})$ as precursor which was unsuccessful. However, using $Pd^{II}(\text{phen})(OAc)_2$ as precursor it was possible to prepare the desired complex in reasonable to good yields (70%). However, a crude synthesis product consisted of a mixture of $[Pd^{II}(\text{phen})(L^1-S,O)]^+$ and $Pd^{II}(\text{phen})(OAc)_2$ required the separation of $[Pd^{II}(\text{phen})(L^1-S,O)]^+$ from the precursor using column chromatography with Al_2O_3 stationary phase and a dichloromethane/acetone mobile phase, with the pure $[Pd^{II}(\text{phen})(L^1-S,O)]^+$ complex eluting with an 80/20 (v/v) acetone/water mixture (70% yield). The ¹H NMR spectrum and assignments of pure $[Pd^{II}(\text{phen})(L^1-S,O)]^+$ in chloroform is shown in Figure 2.20.



Figure 2.20 ¹H NMR spectrum of $[Pd^{II}(phen)(L^1-S, O)]Cl$ in chloroform-d₁ at 25 °C.

The ¹H NMR spectrum of $[Pd^{II}(phen)(L^1-S,O)]CI$ shows the expected H² and H⁹ resonances with no coupling to Pd. The synthesis of $[Pd^{II}(phen)(L^1-S,O)]CI$ from the $Pd^{II}Cl_2(phen)$ precursor which was found to be unsuccessful in acetonitrile was possible by the reaction of $Pd^{II}Cl_2(phen)$ and HL^1 in a dichloromethane/water mixture containing NaOAc. A bright yellow precipitate of $[Pd^{II}(phen)(L^1-S,O)]CI$ accumulated at the interface which dissolves with the addition of acetonitrile to the mixture. The bottom acetonitrile/dichloromethane layer containing the $[Pd^{II}(phen)(L^1-S,O)]CI$ complex was separated from the aqueous phase, filtered and the $[Pd^{II}(phen)(L^1-S,O)]CI$ complex precipitated from solution by the addition of ether. Typical yields of 60-70% were obtained using this novel synthetic method. Furthermore, the water was found to be essential for the reaction to take place and postulate that water is required to solvate the Cl⁻ in solution. The solvation of Cl⁻ in water would be energetically more favourable than in organic solvents and may account for the reaction to occurring in the presence of water.

2.6 Synthesis of [Pt^{II}Cl(DMSO)(en)]Cl

2.6.1 Synthesis of *cis*-[Pt^{II}Cl₂(DMSO)₂]

The synthesis of *cis*-Pt^{II}Cl₂(DMSO)₂ precursor was done using the method described by Price and co-workers.²⁸ The pure product precipitates as a pale yellow crystalline material from a solution containing $K_2[Pt^{II}Cl_4]$ and dimethyl sulfoxide in water at room temperature (2hrs). Pt^{II}Cl₂(DMSO)₂ was characterized by ¹H NMR (Figure 2.21).



Figure 2.21 ¹H NMR spectrum of $Pt^{II}Cl_2(DMSO)_2$ in chloroform-d₁ at 25°C.

Interestingly the ¹H NMR spectrum show coupling between the -CH₃ protons of the coordinated dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO) and the ¹⁹⁵Pt metal centre as the broad doublet (H^{1'}) around the main singlet at 3.54 ppm with a coupling constant ${}^{3}J({}^{195}Pt-{}^{1}H) = 22.5$ Hz in CDCl₃. The singlet at 3.54 ppm corresponds to the DMSO coordinated to the NMR inactive Pt isotopes (*ca.* 67% natural abundance) and is labelled (H¹).

2.6.2 Synthesis of [Pt^{II}Cl(DMSO)(en)]Cl

[Pt^{II}Cl(DMSO)(en)]Cl was synthesised as an analogue to [Pt^{II}(phen)(Lⁿ-*S*,*O*)]Cl with the distinct difference of not having any aromatic groups. Therefore, this cationic complex could possibly form cation- π interactions with aromatic moieties without the capability of forming additional aromatic- π stacking interactions, as expected for [Pt^{II}(phen)(Lⁿ-*S*,*O*)]Cl. Moreover, cation- π interaction with aromatic moieties (pyrene or benzene) is thought to be of greater importance compared to aromatic- π stacking interactions in this case.

The synthesis of $[Pt^{II}Cl(DMSO)(en)]Cl$ involves the reaction of $Pt^{II}Cl_2(DMSO)_2$ with ethylenediamine (en) in methanol.²⁹ However, acetonitrile was used instead of methanol with the product precipitated as a white powder-like material. The ¹H NMR spectrum of $[Pt^{II}Cl(DMSO)(en)]Cl$ in D₂O (Figure 2.22) shows the presence of $[Pt^{II}(en)_2]^{2+}$ (*ca.* 10%) which is a side product which is always present for this synthesis.²⁹



Figure 2.22 ¹H NMR spectrum of $[Pt^{II}Cl(DMSO)(en)]Cl$ in D₂O at 25°C.

Interestingly, isotopologues of $[Pt^{II}Cl(DMSO)(en)]^+$ could be observed with the complex containing the NMR active ¹⁹⁵Pt as broad doublets for H¹ and H²⁺³ while the NMR inactive isotopes exhibit no coupling and singlets are observed for H¹ and H²⁺³. the ³*J*(¹⁹⁵Pt-¹H) coupling to H¹ and H²⁺³ is 20.3 and 42.3 Hz respectively and compares well with values of 23 and 42 Hz obtained by Tobe and co-workers.²⁹

2.7 Interesting features of the ¹H NMR spectra of platinum(II) diimine complexes

2.7.1 ¹⁹⁵Pt coupling in the ¹H NMR spectrum of square planar Pt^{II}(diimine) complexes and the effect of chemical shift anisotropy and quadrupolar coupling

The ¹H NMR spectra of the various Pt^{II} complexes exhibit scalar-coupling to the NMR active ¹⁹⁵Pt nuclei. The ability to observe the so-called platinum satellites in ¹H NMR depends largely on the spin-relaxation of the ¹⁹⁵Pt nuclei. If the relaxation rate is relatively slow, the ¹H lines of the ¹⁹⁵Pt-containing isotopic analogous are well resolved and split by the NMR active nucleus and coupling is observed. However, in the cases of fast relaxation of the ¹⁹⁵Pt nucleus, the satellites are expected to be broad and unresolved. In the case of extremely fast

relaxation of the *J*-coupling nucleus, no coupling is observed, a phenomenon known as selfdecoupling.³⁰ This effect is indeed visible for the Pd complexes (Figure 2.16-Figure 2.20), where no ¹⁰⁵Pd coupling is observed due to the extremely fast relaxation of the ¹⁰⁵Pd quadrupolar nucleus.³⁰ However, in the case of ¹⁰⁵Pd, the quadrupolar relaxation mechanism is dominant, while for ¹⁹⁵Pt in square planar complexes, chemical shift anisotropy (CSA) is believed to be the major relaxation mechanism which is dependent on the magnetic field strength (B₀).³¹

Chemical shift anisotropy refers the dependence of the local magnetic field experienced by a nucleus on the orientation of the molecule relative to the direction of the applied magnetic field B_0 , since the molecules are not spherical. Reorientation (tumbling) of the molecule in the applied field results in a change, or fluctuation, of the local field which result in spin-lattice relaxation of the ¹⁹⁵Pt nucleus.

This motion can be expressed as a function of the rotational correlation time, τ_c , which is loosely defined as the average time it takes a molecule to change its orientation or rotate by 1 radian.²³ Furthermore, as the viscosity of the solution increases, the τ_c becomes longer, which result in an increase in the importance of the CSA mechanism which results in reduced relaxation times.

This effect is observed in the ¹H NMR spectrum of $Pt^{II}(bipy)Cl_2$ in dimethyl sulfoxide-d₆ and acetonitrile-d₃ in which the ¹⁹⁵Pt satellites are significantly broader in dimethyl sulfoxide compared to the less viscous acetonitrile (Figure 2.23) at the same temperature and B₀. This broadening due to CSA relaxation can also be observed in the ¹H NMR spectrum of the square-planar $Pt^{II}Cl_2(DMSO)_2$ complex (Figure 2.21). A marked difference in the line width of the ¹⁹⁵Pt satellites of $Pt^{II}Cl_2(DMSO)_2$ and the parent line is observed with the peak width at half-height $\Delta v_{1/2} \approx 4.0$ Hz for the ¹⁹⁵Pt satellites compared to 0.6 Hz for the parent line.


Figure 2.23 The effect of solvent on the CSA relaxation due to the change in correlation time, τ_c , displayed in the broadness of the platinum satellites of H² observed in the ¹H NMR spectra of Pt^{II}(bipy)Cl₂ in acetonitrile-d₃ and dimethyl sulfoxide-d₆ at the same field (600 MHz).

However, broadening of the ¹⁹⁵Pt satellites is not only due to the increase in correlation time i.e slow tumbling, τ_c , but also the strength of the external magnetic field, B₀. CSA effects decrease at lower magnetic field strength since the CSA relaxation is directly dependant on the square of the applied field (B₀²).^{23,31} The relationship between the spin lattice relaxation time due to CSA is given by equation 2.1:³¹

$$T_1^{-1}(CSA) \propto \gamma B_0^2 (\Delta \sigma)^2 \tau_c \tag{2.1}$$

Where, $T_1^{-1}(CSA)$ is the spin lattice relaxation due to CSA, γ the gyromagnetic ratio of the observed nucleus and $\Delta\sigma$ the anisotropy term. The dependence of the CSA relaxation on the square of the magnetic fields strength (B_0^2) can be clearly seen by the broad ¹⁹⁵Pt satellites observed for Pt^{II}(phen)(L¹-*S*,*O*)]Cl at 600MHz compared to the 400 MHz ¹H NMR spectrum (Figure 2.24).



Figure 2.24 The ¹H NMR spectrum of $Pt^{II}(phen)(L^1-S,O)$]Cl showing broad unresolved ¹⁹⁵Pt satellites that are more visible (**a**) at 400 MHz (9.4 T) than (**b**) at a higher magnetic field of 600 MHz (14.1 T).

The ¹⁹⁵Pt *J*-coupling constant to H² and H⁹ in the Pt^{II}(phen)(L¹-*S*,*O*)]Cl complex is significantly different in magnitude and the signals are broad (Figure 2.24b). The platinum satellites of H² and H⁹ in the [Pt^{II}(bipy)(L¹-*S*,*O*)]Cl complex however, was significantly more resolved with the corresponding coupling constants ${}^{3}J({}^{195}Pt-H^{2}) = 23$ Hz and ${}^{3}J({}^{195}Pt-H^{9}) = 46$ Hz. (Figure 2.25)



Figure 2.25 ¹H NMR peaks of H² and H⁹ showing ${}^{3}J({}^{195}\text{Pt-}{}^{1}\text{H})$ coupling for (a) [Pt^{II}(bipy)(L¹-*S*,*O*)]Cl.

The difference in the magnitude of the ${}^{1}\text{H}{}^{-195}\text{Pt}$ coupling constants observed for H² and H⁹ can be rationalised on the basis of electron density in the Pt-N bonds trans to the donor atoms of the *N*,*N*-di(alkyl)-*N'*-acylthiourea. The Pt-S bond is thought to be the strongest and withdraws more electrons from the Pt-N bond *trans* to the sulphur atom. This leads to a loss of electron density in the region *trans* to the sulphur causing N¹ and H² to be the most deshielded. Less electron density implies that the coupling constant must decrease because of the 'through-bond' coupling nature of scalar J-coupling.³² The relationship between the J-coupling and the nature of the bonding between two atoms A and B is given by the Ramsey formula (equation 2.2):³³

$$J(A,B) \propto -\gamma_A \gamma_B |\psi_{ns}(0)_A^2| |\psi_{ns}(0)_B^2| \pi_{A,B}$$
(2.2)

where, γ is the gyromagnetic ratios of nucleus A and B, the $|\Psi_{ns}(0)^2|$ term represents the valence *s* electron density at the nucleus and π_{AB} the mutual polarizability.

2.7.2 The second-order "roof" effect observed in the ¹H NMR spectrum of Pt^{II}(phen) complexes

The ¹H NMR spectra of all the Pt^{II}(phen) complexes showed an interesting second-order spin-spin coupling effect commonly known as the 'roof' effect, especially for protons H⁵ and H⁶. The doublets of H⁵ and H⁶ are distorted due to strong coupling between two nuclei which alters the transitional probabilities of the four NMR lines reflected in the intensity of the lines of the multiplets. The probabilities of the transitions corresponding to the inner lines are increased by this strong coupling, while those corresponding to the outer lines become less probable, as seen from the difference in intensities observed (Figure 2.26).³⁴ This effect is more pronounced for a smaller gap or difference in frequency (δv) between $\alpha_A\beta$ and $\beta_A\alpha_B$ relative to the scalar coupling (*J*) between the two nuclei A and B, where α and β are the two spin states (m = +1/2 and -1/2) of the respective nuclei (spin quantum number $I = \frac{1}{2}$ i.e. ¹H).

The two cases of weak- and strong coupling are displayed as conventional- and distorted doublets shown in Figure 2.26a with the corresponding energy level diagrams.



Figure 2.26 (a) Energy levels and the predicted spectra of two ¹H's in a weakly coupled system (left of diagram) and in the case of strong coupling to the right.³⁴ (b) The 'roof' effect observed of the strongly coupled H⁵ and H⁶ of Pt^{II}(phen)(L¹-*S*,*O*)]Cl in chloroform-d₁, where δv is the chemical shift difference between H⁵ and H⁶ and C denotes the separation of the two central states.

In the presence of strong coupling as observed for H^5 and H^6 , the separation of the two states increases from δv to $C = [(\delta v)^2 + J^2]^{1/2}$, while the doublets are no longer centred at the chemical shift positions ($\delta H^{5/6}$) as shown by the red lines in Figure 2.26b and Figure 2.27. The effect of the magnitude of the coupling constant relative to the chemical shift difference (δv) on the ¹H NMR spectra of various Pt^{II}(phen) complexes are shown in Figure 2.27 with δv ranging from 8.8*J* to 0. The coupling constant between H⁵ and H⁶ is constant at ³*J* = 8.9 Hz with the inner lines of the two doublets increase in intensity while the outer lines become weaker in intensity as the chemical shift differences (δv) decreases until $\delta v = 0$, where only a single line is observed for H⁵ and H⁶.



Figure 2.27 ¹H NMR spectra of various $Pt^{II}(phen)$ complexes showing a range of $\delta v/J$ values between 8.8 and zero for the strongly coupled protons H^5 and H^6 .

Interestingly, the difference between δv and C increases significantly from 0.5 Hz for weakly coupled Pt^{II}₂(phen)₂(L⁹-*S*,*O*)]Cl₂ complex to 3.2 Hz for the strongly coupled Pt^{II}(phen)(L¹-*S*,*O*)]Cl. Therefore, the chemical shift of strongly coupled nuclei such as H⁵ and H⁶ in Pt^{II}(phen)(L¹-*S*,*O*)]Cl is shifted from the 'centre' of the distorted doublets (blue dashed lines in Figure 2.26b) towards the more intense inner NMR lines of the doublets with the actual chemical shift of H⁵ and H⁶ (δ H⁵ and δ H⁶ indicated by the red lines) shifted by a value ¹/₂ (C - δv) = 1.9 Hz.

Therefore, for characterisation purposes, the chemical shifts of H^5 and H^6 are reported as a single value at the centre of the two distorted doublets since the actual chemical shift can only be obtained by the calculations discussed above.

2.8 Experimental Section

2.8.1 Instrumentation

¹H NMR and 2D NMR experiments were done in 5mm tubes using a Varian Unity Inova 400 MHz spectrometer operating at 399.95 MHz or a Varian Unity Inova 600 MHz spectrometer equipped with an inverse detection pulsed field gradient (idpfg) probe operating at 599.99

MHz. Melting points were determined using an Electrothermal IA9300 Digital Melting Point Apparatus. UV-VIS spectra were recorded on a single beam Agilent 8253E UV-visible spectrophotometer. The IR absorbance spectra were recorded on a Thermo Nicolet Nexus FT-IR spectrometer fitted with a Smart-ATR adaptor. Elemental analysis for C, H and N was done on an EA Euro 3000 Elemental Analyser. Electron spray mass spectra were obtained using a Waters Synapt G2 Mass Spectrometer with leucine enkephalin as lock mass.

	Pt ^{II} (bipy)Cl ₂ ·CH ₃ CN			
Empirical formula	$C_{10}H_8Cl_2N_2Pt$, 2(C_2H_3N)			
Formula weight	463.23			
Temperature (K)	100			
Wavelength (Å)	0.71073			
Crystal system	Monoclinic			
Space group	P21/n			
Unit cell dimensions (Å, °)	$a = 9.1069(2)$ $\alpha = 90$			
	$b = 22.7965(6)$ $\beta = 101.342(1)$			
	$c = 13.1246(4)$ $\gamma = 90$			
Volume (Å)	2671.53(12)			
Ζ	8			
Calculated density (g cm ⁻³)	2.303			
Absorption coefficient (mm ⁻¹)	10.888			
F_{000}	1728			
Crystal size (mm ³)	0.08 x 0.10 x 0.33			
θ range for data collection (°)	1.8 to 26.4			
Reflections collected	20604			
Independent reflections	5473 [$R_{\rm int} = 0.052$]			
Data / restraints / parameters	4557 / 0 / 327			
Goodness-of-fit on F^2	1.04			
Final <i>R</i> indices $[I > 2\sigma(I)]$	R1 = 0.0318, wR2 = 0.0666			
Largest diff. peak and hole (e Å ⁻³)	-0.98, 2.12			

2.8.2 Crystal and Structure Refinement Data for Pt^{II}(bipy)Cl₂·CH₃CN

2.8.3 Synthetic procedures of Pt^{II} complexes and precursors

2.8.3.1 Preparation of N,N-di(alkyl)-N'-acylthiourea

The synthesis of *N*-pyrrolidyl-*N*'-pivaloylthiourea (HL¹) was done using the method described by Douglas and Dains.⁹ The ligands $HL^2 - HL^{14}$ were previously synthesised and purified by co-workers and were used with their permission. The synthesis of HL^1 was carried out in anhydrous acetone which was collected from an acetone still with 4Å molecular

sieves. Vacuum oven dried KSCN (16 mmol) was dissolved in acetone (25 ml) to which pivaloyl chloride (15 mmol) was added drop-wise. The reaction mixture was heated to reflux for 45 minutes and cooled to room temperature before pyrrolidine (15 mmol) was drop-wise added. The reaction mixture was then heated to reflux for an additional 2 hours before it was poured into a beaker containing 50ml water at room temperature. The evaporation of the acetone over the course of 2 days in the fume hood resulted in the white crystalline product.

N-pyrrolidyl-*N*'-pivaloylthiourea (HL¹): 2.44 g, Yield 76 %, m.p. 136-137°C; ¹H NMR (399.95 MHz, DMSO-d₆, 25°C), δ =9.74 (s, 1H: NH), 3.63 (m, 2H: H^{a'}), 3.42 (m, 2H: H^a), 1.90 (m, 4H: H^b, H^{b'}), 1.16 (s, 9H: H^{1'}); ¹³C NMR (50.31 MHz, CDCl₃, 25°C), δ =27.16 (C^{3"}), 39.62 (C^{2"}), 54.43 (C^{3'}), 52.52 (C³), 26.16 (C^{4'}), 24.59 (C⁴), 176.66 (C^S), 174.38 ppm (C^O); UV/Vis: λ_{max} (ϵ) 216(13 399), 276 nm (14 792 dm³mol⁻¹cm⁻¹); Elemental analysis calculated (%) for C₁₀H₁₈N₂OS: C 57.8, H 8.5, N 13.1, S 14.96; found: C 57.0, H 8.8, N 13.3, S 14.8.

2.8.3.2 Preparation of Pt^{II}(diimine)Cl₂

The general method of Morgan and Burstall was used to prepare $Pt^{II}Cl_2(phen)$ and $Pt^{II}(bipy)Cl_2$.¹³ K₂[$Pt^{II}Cl_4$] (0.95g, 2.3 mmol) was dissolved in water (50ml) containing 6 ml of a 4M HCl solution. The diimine (phen/bipy) was added in a slight excess (2.32 mmol) and the solution heated to a gentle reflux for 2 to 14 hours. The yellow product precipitated from solution upon cooling to 4°C, was recovered by filtration and washed with cold deionized water followed by an acetonitrile and ether wash to remove unreacted [$Pt^{II}Cl_4$]²⁻ and diimine respectively and dried under vacuum. The product was obtained in high yields (87-96%).

Pt^{II}Cl₂(1,10-phenanthroline): 980 mg, Yield 96 %, m.p. > 350°C. ¹H NMR (399.95 MHz, DMSO-d₆, 25°C), δ =9.70 (dd, ⁴J(H,H)=1.3 Hz, ³J(H,H)=5.5 Hz, 2H; H⁹, H²), 9.04 (dd, ⁴J(H,H)=1.3Hz, ³J(H,H)=8.2 Hz, 2H; H⁴, H⁷), 8.29 (s, 2H; H⁵, H⁶), 8.17 ppm (dd, ³J(H,H)=5.5 Hz, ³J(H,H)=8.2 Hz, 2H; H³, H⁸). IR (ATR): *v* (cm⁻¹), 3083 (arom. C-H stretch), 3059 (arom. C-H stretch), 1427 (arom. C-C stretch), 1220 (asym. C-N stretch) 1208 (sym. C-N stretch); Elemental analysis calculated (%) for C₁₂H₈N₂Pt: C 32.30, N 6.28; found: C 32.6, N 6.0.

Pt^{II}(2,2-bipyridyl)Cl₂: 197 mg, Yield 90.6 %, m.p. > 350°C. ¹H NMR (399.95 MHz, DMSO-d₆, 25°C), δ =9.50 (dd, 2H; H⁹, H²), 8.58 (d, 2H; H⁵, H⁶), 8.42 (td, 2H; H⁴, H⁷), 7.84 ppm (ddd, H³, H⁸). Elemental analysis calculated (%) for C₁₀H₈N₂Pt: C 28.45, H 1.91, N 6.64; found (%): C 29.0, H 2.4, N 7.0.

2.8.3.3 Preparation of ([Pt^{II}(phen)(Lⁿ-S,O)]Cl

The general method for the synthesis of mixed-ligand $[Pt^{II}(diimine)(L^n-S,O)]PF_6$ complexes, described by Koch *et al.*¹ was modified for the preparation of $[Pt^{II}(phen)(L^1-S,O)]CI$. A suspension of $Pt^{II}Cl_2(phen)$ (0.045 g, 0.1 mmol) in 10 ml of acetonitrile was heated under reflux for 10 min, after which *N*-pyrrolidyl-*N'*-pivaloylthiourea (0.022 g, 0.101 mmol) in 2 ml acetonitrile was added dropwise and the mixture heated under reflux for 15 min. A suspension of sodium acetate (NaOAc) (0.028 g, 0.15 mmol) in acetonitrile was added and the mixture was heated under reflux *ca.* 14 hrs. The mixture was allowed to cool to room temperature and filtered through Celite to remove any NaCl precipitate formed. The filtrate was concentrated by evaporation to a volume of *ca.* 2 ml. Diethyl ether (100 ml) was added to precipitate the product and this precipitate was collected by centrifugation, resuspended several times with cold diethyl ether and centrifuged again. The yellow product was dried overnight under vacuum.

In some cases dichloromethane was used as solvent instead of acetonitrile while the NaOAc was replaced by triethylamine. The use of methanol as solvent was also investigated with NaOAc which is soluble in methanol. Reaction yields varied significantly for this series of complexes; these details will be discussed in the next chapter.

[**Pt^{II}(phen)(L¹-***S***,***O***)]Cl: 58 mg, Yield 93%, m.p. 134 – 135 °C ¹H NMR (599.99 MHz, CD₃CN), δ=9.01 (dd, ⁴J(H,H)=0.9 Hz, ³J(H,H)=4.5 Hz, 1H: H²), 8.85 (dd, ⁴J(H,H)=0.9 Hz, ³J(H,H)=7.7 Hz, 1H: H⁴), 8.77 (dd, ⁴J(H,H)=0.6 Hz, ³J = 7.8 Hz, 1H: H⁷), 8.66 (d, ³J(H,H)=5.3 Hz, 1H: H⁹), 8.15 (dd, ³J(H,H)=4.5 Hz, ³J(H,H)=7.7 Hz 1H: H³), 8.12 (m, 2H: H⁵, H⁶), 7.84 (dd, ³J(H,H)=5.3 Hz, ³J(H,H)=7.3 Hz, 1H: H⁸) 3.76 (t, 2H: H^{a'}), 3.74 (t, 2H: H^a), 2.11 (p, 2H: H^{b'}), 2.02 (p, 2H: H^b), 1.33 ppm (s, 9H: H^{1'}). IR (ATR): υ (cm⁻¹), 3086 (arom. C-H stretch), 3059 (arom. C-H stretch), 2969 (C-H stretch), 2927 (C-H stretch), 1482**

(C-O stretch), 1380 (CH₃ umbrella deformation), 1434 (arom. C-C stretch) 1264 (asym. C-N stretch) 1228 (sym. C-N stretch); (+) ESI MS: m/z 588.141 (M^+)

[**Pt^{II}(phen)(L²-***S***,***O***)]Cl**: 46 mg, Yield 41%, m.p. 121 - 123 °C ¹H NMR (399.95 MHz, DMSO-d₆), δ =9.06 (d, 2H: H⁴⁺⁷), 9.01 (d, 1H: H²), 8.93 (d, 1H: H⁹), 8.42 (d, 1H: H^{8'}), 8.33 (m, 2H: H⁵, H⁶), 8.19 (d, 1H: H^{2'}), 8.14 (m, 2H: H³,H⁸), 8.08 (m, 2H: H^{4'},H^{5'}), 7.63 (m, 2H: H^{6'},H^{3'}), 7.56 (m, 1H: H^{7'}), 3.92 (t, 2H: H^{a'}), 3.80 (t, 2H: H^a), 1.85 (p, 2H: H^{b'}), 1.68 (p, 2H: H^b), 1.50 (s, 2H: H^{c'}), 1.27 (s, 2H: H^c), 1.03 (t, 3H: H^{d'}), 0.83 ppm (t, 3H: H^d); (+) ESI MS: *m/z* 716.204 (*M*⁺)

[**Pt^{II}(phen)(L³-***S***,***O***)]Cl:** 20 mg, Yield 20%, m.p. 151 - 154 °C ¹H NMR (399.95 MHz, DMSO-d₆), δ =9.10 (dd, 1H: H²), 8.78 (dd, 1H: H⁴), 8.69 (m, 2H: H⁷, H⁹), 8.14 (dd, 1H: H³), 8.07 (m, 2H: H^{2'}, H^{6'}), 8.04 (m, 2H: H⁵, H⁶), 7.80 (dd, H: H⁸), 7.67 (t, 1H: H^{4'}) 7.52 (t, 2H: H^{3'}, H^{5'}), 3.76 (m, 4H: H^{a'}, H^a), 1.83 (p, 2H: H^{b'}), 1.70 (p, 2H: H^b), 1.52 (s, 2H: H^{c'}), 1.43 (s, 2H: H^c), 1.09 (t, 3H: H^{d'}), 0.98 ppm (t, 3H: H^d); (+) ESI MS: *m/z* 666.187 (*M*⁺)

[**Pt^{II}(phen)(L⁴-***S***,***O***)]Cl: 43 mg, Yield 75%, m.p. 218 - 221 °C ¹H NMR (399.95 MHz, DMSO-d₆), \delta=9.34 (dd, 1H: H²), 9.13 (d, 1H: H⁴), 9.06 (d, 1H: H⁷), 9.06 (d, 1H: H⁹), 8.43 (dd, 1H: H³), 8.35 (m, 2H: H⁵, H⁶), 8.26 (d, 2H: H^{2'}, H^{6'}), 8.11 (dd H: H⁸), 7.70 (m, 1H: H^{4'}) 7.58 (t, 2H: H^{3'}, H^{5'}), 3.97 (q, 2H: H^{a'}), 3.93 (q, 2H: H^a) 1.42 (t, 3H: H^{b'}), 1.29 ppm (t, 3H: H^b); (+) ESI MS:** *m/z* **610.124 (***M***⁺)**

[**Pt^{II}(phen)**(**L**⁵-*S*,*O*)]**Cl:** 50 mg, Yield 45 %, m.p. 208 - 209 °C ¹H NMR (399.95 MHz, DMSO-d₆), δ =9.38 (dd, 1H: H²), 9.16 (d, 1H: H⁴), 9.11 (d, 1H: H⁹), 9.09 (d, 1H: H⁷), 8.45 (dd, 1H: H³), 8.38 (m, 2H: H⁵, H⁶), 8.29 (d, 2H: H^{2'}, H^{6'}), 8.15 (dd H: H⁸), 7.63 (t, 2H: H^{3'}, H^{5'}), 4.00 (q, 2H: H^{a'}), 3.94 (q, 2H: H^a), 1.44 (t, 3H: H^{b'}), 1.30 ppm (t, 3H: H^b); (+) ESI MS: *m/z* 644.085 (*M*⁺)

[**Pt^{II}(phen)**(**L**⁶-*S*,*O*)]**Cl:** 104 mg, Yield 95%, m.p. 239 - 240 °C ¹H NMR (399.95 MHz, DMSO-d₆), δ =9.40 (dd, 1H: H²), 9.15 (d, 1H: H⁴), 9.09 (d, 1H: H⁹), 9.07 (d, 1H: H⁷), 8.43 (dd, 1H: H³), 8.37 (m, 2H: H⁵, H⁶), 8.27 (d, 2H: H^{2'}, H^{6'}), 8.15 (dd H: H⁸), 7.12 (t, 2H: H^{3'}, H^{5'}), 3.99 (q, 2H: H^{a'}), 3.93 (q, 2H: H^a), 3.89 (s, 3H: H^{7'}), 1.44 (t, 3H: H^{b'}), 1.30 ppm (t, 3H: H^b); (+) ESI MS: *m/z* 640.136 (*M*⁺)

[**Pt^{II}(phen)(L⁷-S,O)**]**Cl:** 103 mg, Yield 95%, m.p. 235 - 236 °C ¹H NMR (399.95 MHz, DMSO-d₆), δ =9.29 (dd, 1H: H²), 9.07 (d, 1H: H⁴), 9.00 (d, 1H: H⁷), 8.94 (d, 1H: H⁹), 8.39 (dd, 1H: H³), 8.30 (m, 2H: H⁵, H⁶), 8.20 (d, 2H: H^{2'}, H^{6'}), 8.05 (dd H: H⁸), 7.71 (m, 1H: H^{4'}) 7.57 (m, 2H: H^{3'}, H^{5'}), 3.44 (s, 3H: H^{a'}), 3.42 ppm (s, 3H: H^a); (+) ESI MS: *m/z* 582.092 (*M*⁺)

[**Pt^{II}(phen)**(**L**⁸-*S*,*O*)]**Cl:** 90 mg, Yield 84%, m.p.158 - 160 °C ¹H NMR (399.95 MHz, DMSO-d₆), δ =9.26 (dd, 1H: H²), 9.09 (d, 1H: H⁴), 9.00 (d, 1H: H⁷), 8.94 (d, 1H: H⁹), 8.38 (dd, 1H: H³), 8.29 (d, 2H: H⁵, H⁶), 8.14 (d, 2H: H^{2'}, H^{6'}), 8.07 (dd H: H⁸), 7.07 (t, 2H: H^{3'}, H^{5'}), 3.92 (q, 2H: H^{a'}), 3.86 (q, 2H: H^a), 1.42 (t, 3H: H^{b'}), 1.29 ppm (t, 3H: H^b); (+) ESI MS: m/z 640.137 (M^+)

[**Pt^{II}(phen)(L⁹-S,O)**]**Cl:** 93 mg, Yield 70%, m.p. 169 - 171 °C ¹H NMR (399.95 MHz, DMSO-d₆), δ =9.16 (dd, 1H: H²), 8.99 (dd, 1H: H⁴), 8.57 (dd, 1H: H⁷), 8.26 (dd, 1H: H³), 8.09 (d, 1H: H⁵), 7.96 (d, 1H: H⁹), 6.89 (s, 1H: H⁶), 7.55 ppm (dd, 1H: H⁸), 3.84 (q, 2H: H^{a'}), 3.82 (q, 2H: H^a), 1.38 (t, 2H: H^{b'}), 1.32 ppm (t, 2H: H^b); (+) ESI MS: *m/z* 561.117 (*M*²⁺)

[**Pt^{II}(phen)**(**L**¹⁰-*S*,*O*)]**Cl:** 87 mg, Yield 88%, m.p. 198 - 201 °C ¹H NMR (399.95 MHz, DMSO-d₆), δ =9.33 (dd, 1H: H²), 9.11 (dd, 1H: H⁴), 9.06 (dd, H: H⁹), 9.04 (dd, 1H: H⁷), 8.40 (dd, 1H: H³), 8.33 (m, 2H: H⁵, H⁶), 8.22 (m, 2H: H^{2'}, H^{6'}), 8.07 (dd, H: H⁸), 7.70 (m, 1H: H^{4'}) 7.57 (m, 2H: H^{3'}, H^{5'}), 4.18 (m, 4H: H^{a'}, H^a), 1.76 (m, 4H: H^{b'}, H^b), 1.69 ppm (m, 2H: H^c); (+) ESI MS: *m/z* 622.124 (*M*⁺)

[**Pt^{II}(phen)(L¹¹-S,O)**]**Cl:** 93 mg, Yield 96%, m.p. 128-132 °C ¹H NMR (399.95 MHz, DMSO-d₆), δ =9.23 (dd, 1H: H²), 9.15 (dd, 1H: H⁴), 9.09 (dd, H: H⁹), 9.07 (dd, 1H: H⁷), 8.44 (dd, 1H: H³), 8.37 (m, 2H: H⁵, H⁶), 8.11 (dd, H: H⁸), 4.17 (m, 2H: H^{a'}), 4.09 (m, 2H: H^a), 1.75 (m, 4H: H^{b'}, H^b), 1.65 (m, 2H: H^c), 1.35 ppm (s, 9H: H^{1'}); (+) ESI MS: *m/z* 602.155 (*M*⁺)

[**Pt^{II}(phen)(L¹²-S,O)**]**Cl:** 79 mg, Yield 82%, m.p. 130 - 133 °C ¹H NMR (399.95 MHz, DMSO-d₆), δ =9.19 (dd, 1H: H²), 9.15 (dd, 1H: H⁴), 9.07 (dd, 1H: H⁷), 9.05 (dd, H: H⁹), 8.43 (dd, 1H: H³), 8.36 (m, 2H: H⁵, H⁶), 8.10 (dd, H: H⁸), 4.20 (m, 2H: H^{a'}), 4.12 (m, 2H: H^a), 3.85 (m, 2H: H^{b'}), 3.75 (m, 2H: H^b), 1.34 ppm (s, 9H: H^{1'}); (+) ESI MS: *m/z* 604.134 (*M*⁺)

[Pt^{II}(phen)(L¹³-*S*,*O*)]Cl: 73 mg, Yield 70%, m.p. 132 - 135 °C ¹H NMR (399.95 MHz, MeCN-d₃), δ =9.06 (dd, 1H: H²), 8.86 (dd, 1H: H⁴), 8.79 (dd, 1H: H⁷), 8.78 (dd, H: H⁹), 8.16 (dd, 1H: H³), 8.13 (m, 2H: H⁵, H⁶), 7.88 (dd, H: H⁸), 3.82 (t, 2H: H^{a'}), 3.76 (t, 2H: H^a), 1.85 (p, 2H: H^{b'}), 1.70 (p, 2H: H^b), 1.52 (s, 2H: H^{c'}), 1.39 (s, 2H: H^c), 1.06 (t, 3H: H^{d'}), 0.98 (t, 3H: H^d), 1.35 ppm (s, 9H: H^{1'}); (+) ESI MS: *m/z* 646.219 (*M*⁺)

[**Pt^{II}(phen)(L¹⁴-S,O)**]**Cl:** 66 mg, Yield 67%, m.p. 125 - 130 °C ¹H NMR (399.95 MHz, DMSO-d₆), δ =9.23 (dd, 1H: H²), 9.15 (dd, 1H: H⁴), 9.07 (dd, 1H: H⁷), 9.05 (dd, H: H⁹), 8.44 (dd, 1H: H³), 8.37 (m, 2H: H⁵, H⁶), 8.13 (dd, H: H⁸), 3.93 (q, 2H: H^{a'}), 3.81 (q, 2H: H^a), 1.40 (t, 2H: H^{b'}), 1.35 (s, 9H: H^{1'}), 1.24 ppm (t, 2H: H^b); (+) ESI MS: *m/z* 590.154 (*M*⁺)

2.8.3.4 Preparation of [Pt^{II}(bipy)(Lⁿ-S,O)]Cl

The preparation of $[Pt^{II}(bipy)(L^n-S,O)]Cl$ complexes were done using the method described in Section 2.8.3.3 for the synthesis of $[Pt^{II}(phen)(L^n-S,O)]Cl$.

[**Pt^{II}(bipy)(L¹-***S***,***O***)]Cl:** 180 mg, Yield 98%, m.p. 132 - 134 °C ¹H NMR (399.95 MHz, MeCN-d₃), δ =8.97 (ddd, 1H: H²), 8.60 (ddd, 1H: H⁹), 8.43 (m, 2H: H⁵, H⁶), 8.37 (td, 1H: H⁴), 8.28 (td, 1H: H⁷), 7.29 (ddd, 1H: H³), 7.61 ddd, 1H: H⁸), 3.75 (t, 2H: H^{a'}), 3.71 (t, 2H: H^a), 2.12 (p, 2H: H^{b'}), 2.01 (p, 2H: H^b), 1.31 ppm (s, 9H: H^{1'}).; (+) ESI MS: *m/z* 564.140 (*M*⁺)

[**Pt^{II}(bipy)**(**L**²-*S*,*O*)]**Cl:** 170 mg, Yield 78%, m.p. 88 - 90 °C ¹H NMR (399.95 MHz, CHCl₃d₁), δ =8.80 (ddd, 1H: H²), 8.68 (ddd, 1H: H⁹), 8.60 (m, 2H: H⁵, H⁶), 8.40 (m, 2H: H⁸', H²'), 8.35 (ddd, 1H: H⁴'), 8.04 (d, 1H: H³'), 7.96 (m, 2H: H⁴, H⁵'), 7.66 (dd, 1H: H⁷), 7.54 (m, 4H: H³, H^{6'}, H^{7'}, H⁸), 3.88 (t, 2H: H^a'), 3.79 (t, 2H: H^a), 1.90 (p, 2H: H^b'), 1.73 (p, 2H: H^b), 1.55 (s, 2H: H^{c'}), 1.35 (s, 2H: H^c), 1.08 (t, 3H: H^{d'}), 0.92 ppm (t, 3H: H^d); (+) ESI MS: *m/z* 692.205 (*M*⁺)

2.8.3.5 Preparation of *cis*-[Pt^{II}Cl₂(DMSO)₂]

The neural *cis*-platinum dimethyl sulfoxide complex was prepared using the method of Price *et al.*²⁸ K₂[Pt^{II}Cl₄] (0.5 mmol) was dissolved in a minimum volume of deionized water (2 ml); to this solution dimethyl sulfoxide (1.5 mmol) was added and the solution stirred at room temperature for 2 hours. Upon addition of DMSO the colour of the solution changed instantly

from red to orange with a yellow precipitate. This Pt^{II}Cl₂(DMSO)₂ precipitate was filtered off and washed with water, ethanol and ether and was dried under vacuum to obtain a yield of 60%.

Pt^{II}Cl₂(DMSO)₂: 126 mg, Yield 60 %, m.p. 225 - 227 °C. ¹H NMR (399.95 MHz, chloroform-d₁, 25°C), δ =3.54 (s, 6H, H¹), 3.54 ppm (d, ³*J*(¹⁹⁵Pt-¹H) = 22.5 Hz, H¹').

2.8.3.6 Preparation of [Pt^{II}Cl(DMSO)(en)]Cl

The cationic $[Pt^{II}Cl(DMSO)(en)]Cl$ was prepared by the method described by Tobe and coworkers.²⁹ However, acetonitrile was used as solvent instead of methanol. Ethylenediamine (8.4 mg) was added to $Pt^{II}Cl_2(DMSO)_2$ (58.7 mg) in acetonitrile (5ml). The solution was stirred at room temperature for ~14 hrs. The white product precipitated immediately after addition of ethylenediamine. The precipitate was washed with ether and dried under vacuum.

[**Pt^{II}Cl(DMSO)(en)**]**Cl:** 232 mg, Yield 97.8 %, m.p. > 350°C. ¹H NMR (399.95 MHz, D₂O, 25°C), δ =3.50 (s, 6H, H¹), 3.50 (d, ³*J*(¹⁹⁵Pt-¹H) = 20.3 Hz, H², H³), 2.85 (s, 4H, H², H³), 2.85 ppm (d, ³*J*(¹⁹⁵Pt-¹H) = 42.3 Hz, H^{2'}, H^{3'}).

2.8.4 Synthetic procedures for Pd^{II} complexes and precursors

2.8.4.1 Preparation of Pd^{II}Cl₂(phen)

A method similar to that used for the synthesis of $Pt^{II}Cl_2(diimine)$ described in Section 2.8.3.2 was used for the synthesis of $Pd^{II}Cl_2(phen)$. However, the reaction time and temperature was decreased from over night (~14 hours at 95°C) to 1 hour at room temperature. $K_2[Pd^{II}Cl_4]$ (216.5 mg) was dissolved in water (50ml) containing 6 ml of a 2M HCl solution. The ligand, 1,10-phenanthroline was then added in a slight excess (132.6 mg) and the solution shaken for 1 hour. The pale yellow-pink product precipitated almost

immediately from solution when cooled to 4°C, filtered off and washed with cold deionized water followed by an acetone and ether wash to remove unreacted $[Pt^{II}Cl_4]^{2-}$ and diimine respectively and dried under vacuum. The product was obtained in high yields (95-98%).

Pd^{II}Cl₂(1,10-phenanthroline): 232 mg, Yield 97.8 %, m.p. > 350°C. ¹H NMR (399.95 MHz, DMSO-d₆, 25°C), δ =9.35 (dd, 2H; H⁹, H²), 8.98 (dd, 2H; H⁴, H⁷), 8.29 (s, 2H; H⁵, H⁶), 8.14 ppm (dd, 2H; H³, H⁸).

2.8.4.2 Preparation of Pd^{II}₃(OAc)₆

The method described by Murillo and Cotton was used to synthesise $Pd_3(OAc)_6$ from $PdCl_2$.²⁵ A solution of NaOH (3.02 g) and CH₃OONa (2.40 g) was added to a solution of $PdCl_2$ (1.57 g) in water (150 ml). The solution was stirred for 30 min while Pd^0 precipitated. The Pd^0 was collected by filtration and washed with water and acetone and dried under vacuum. The black Pd^0 powder was then suspended in glacial acetic acid (60 ml) and concentrated HNO₃ was added slowly to oxidize the metal to Pd^{II} . The mixture was heated to reflux for 30 min while N_2 gas was bubbled through the solution. The volume was reduced by slow evaporation with heating to a third of the volume after which the mixture was cooled to room temperature. The orange-brown crystalline material was isolated by filtration and washed with cold acetone.

Pd^{II}₃(**MeCO**₂)₆: 1.57 mg, Yield 76 %, m.p. 205 -208°C. ¹H NMR 399.95 MHz, Chloroformd₁, 25°C), δ =2.00 (s, 18H; H¹).

2.8.4.3 Preparation of Pd^{II}(phen)(OAc)₂

 $Pd^{II}(phen)(OAc)_2$ was synthesised according to the method described by Milani and coworkers.²⁷ $Pd^{II}_3(OAc)_6$ (102 mg) was dissolved in acetone (9 ml) and two drops of glacial acetic acid were added. The 1,10-phenanthroline ligand was dissolved in acetone (2 ml) and added to the $Pd^{II}_3(OAc)_6$ solution and stirred for ~1 hr at room temperature with the brown solution turning to yellow after which the product precipitated. The $Pd^{II}(phen)(OAc)_2$ was filtered off, washed with cold acetone and dried under vacuum.

Pd^{II}(1,10-phenanthroline)(**MeCO**₂)₂: 157 mg, Yield 87 %, decomposition temperature: 240 - 250 °C. ¹H NMR 399.95 MHz, DMSO-d₆, 25°C), *δ*=8.96 (dd, 2H; H⁹, H²), 8.49 (dd, 2H; H⁴, H⁷), 8.27 (s, 2H; H⁵, H⁶), 8.08 (dd, 2H; H³, H⁸), 1.97 ppm (s, 2H; H^{1'}).

2.8.4.4 Preparation of ([Pd^{II}(phen)(Lⁿ-S,O)]Cl

 $[Pd^{II}(phen)(L^{n}-S,O)]X$ was synthesised using two different Pd precursors, namely $Pd^{II}(phen)(OAc)_2$ and $Pd^{II}Cl_2(phen)$. In both synthetic procedures the product was further purified using column chromatography as discussed in Section 2.5.4 if the purity was not desirable.

Synthesis from $Pd^{II}(phen)(OAc)_2$:

Several methods were attempted to synthesise $Pd^{II}(phen)(OAc)_2$ with the use of acetone as solvent being the preferred method. $Pd^{II}(phen)(OAc)_2$ (25 mg) and NaOAc (8 mg) was suspended in acetone. HL^1 (19 mg) was added dropwise while the solution turned bright yellow as the reaction proceeded. After 1 hour the solution was filtered to remove the excess NaOAc and unreacted $Pd^{II}(phen)(OAc)_2$. The solvent was removed under reduced pressure and the precipitate washed with ether. Isolated yields were typically 60 - 70 %.

Synthesis from Pd^{II}Cl₂(phen):

Pd^{II}Cl₂(phen) (50 mg) and HL¹ (26 mg) were suspended in dichloromethane (10 ml). To this mixture was added NaOAc dissolved in water (5 ml). The mixture was shaken at room temperature for 30 min while the dichloromethane phase turned yellow while a bright yellow product precipitated at the water/dichloromethane interface. Acetonitrile was added (15ml) and the precipitate dissolved with the organic phase being bright yellow. The organic phase was separated and filtered and the solvent volume was reduced until the product precipitated. The isolated yields were in the range of 60 - 70%.

 $[\mathbf{Pd}^{II}(\mathbf{phen})(\mathbf{L}^{1}-S,O)]\mathbf{Cl}$: Yield 60 - 70%, m.p. 126 - 128°C ¹H NMR (399.95 MHz, Chloroform-d₁, 25°C), δ =9.13 (d, 1H: H⁴), 9.09 (d, 1H: H⁷), 8.97 (d, 1H: H²), 8.65 (d, 1H: H⁹), 8.34 (s, 2H: H⁵, H⁶), 8.20 (dd, 1H: H³), 8.18 (dd, 1H: H⁸), 3.85 (m, 4H, H^a, H^{a'}), 2.18 (p, 2H, H^{b'}), 2.07 (p, 2H, H^b), 1.33 ppm (s, 9H, H^{1'}).; (+) ESI MS: *m/z* 499.079 (*M*⁺)

2.9 References

- 1. K. R. Koch, C. Sacht, C. Lawrence, *Dalton Trans.*, 4, 1998, 689-695.
- T. J. Egan, K. R. Koch, P. L. Swan, C. Clarkson, D. A. Van Schalkwyk, P. J. Smith, J. Med. Chem., 2004, 47, 2926-2934.
- 3. Y. S. Wu, K. R. Koch, V. R. Abratt, H. H. Klump, Arch Biochem Biophys., 2005, 440, 28-37.
- 4. I. A. Kotzé, W. J. Gerber, J. M. Mckenzie, K. R. Koch, Eur. J. Inorg. Chem., 2009, 12, 1626-1633.
- 5. I. A. Kotzé, MSc. Thesis, *University of Stellenbosch*, 2009.
- 6. S. Saeeda, N. Rashida, M. Alib, R. Hussain, Eur. J. Chem., 3, 2010, 200-205.
- 7. T. J. Egan, Drug Design Rev. Online, 2004, 1, 93-110.
- 8. Yu-Shan Wu, PhD Thesis, University of Cape Town, 2002.
- 9. I. B. Douglass, F. B. Dains, J Am. Chem. Soc., 1934, 56, 719-721.
- 10. A. Takamizawa, K. Hira, K. Matsui, Bull. Chem. Soc. Japan., 36, 1963, 1214.
- 11. D.T Elmore, R. J. Ogle, J. Chem. Soc. 1958, 1141-1145.
- 12. A. N. Mautjana, J. D. S. Miller, A. Gie, S. A. Bourne, K. R. Koch, Dalton Trans., 10, 2003, 1952-1960.
- 13. G. T. Morgan, F. H. Burstall, J. Chem. Soc., 1934, 965-971.
- 14. J. E. Huheey, E. A. Keiter, R. L. Keiter, *Inorganic Chemistry-Principals of Structure and Reactivity 4th Edition*, HarperCollins, New York, 2003, **4**, 344-348.
- 15. T. Brand, E. J. Cabrita, S. Berger, Prog. NMR Spectr., 2005, 46, 159-196.
- 16. A. Göller, U.-W. Grummt, Chem. Phys. Lett. 321, 2000, 399-405.
- 17. A. J. Canty, M. G. Gardiner, R. C. Jones, Ma. Sharma, Aus. J. Chem., 64, 2011, 1355-1359.
- 18. R. S. Osborn, D. Rogers, Dalton Trans., 1974, 1002-1004.
- 19. V. H. Houlding, V. M. Miskowskib, Coord. Chem. Rev., 111, 1991, 145-152.
- R. Valiente, J. M. García-Lastra, P. García-Fernández, S. García-Revilla, O. S. Wenger, *Eur. J. Inorg. Chem.* 36, 2007, 5735–5742.
- 21. K. R. Koch, Coord. Chem. Rev., 216-217, 2001, 473-488.
- 22. K. R. Koch, J. du Toit, M. R. Caira, C. Sacht, Dalton Trans., 1994, 185-786.
- 23. T. D. W. Claridge, *High-Resolution NMR Techniques in Organic Chemistry, Tetrahedron Organic Chemistry* Series, Pergamon, Oxford, **19**, 1999.
- 24. I. P. Stolyarov, L. I. Demina, N. V. Cherkashina, Rus. J. Inorg. Chem., 2011, 56, 1611-1616.
- 25. V. I. Bakhmutov, J. F. Berry, F. A. Cotton, S. Ibragimov, C. A. Murillo, Dalton Trans., 11, 2005, 1989-1992.

- 26. L. A. Adrio, B. N. Nguyen, G. Guilera, A. G. Livingston, K. K. Hii, Catal. Sci. Technol., 2012, 2, 316-323.
- B. Milani, E. Alessio, G. Mestroni, A Sommazzi, F Garbassi, E. Zangrando, N. Bresciani-Pahora, L. Randaccio, *Dalton Trans.*, 1, 1994, 1903-1911.
- 28. J.H. Price, A.S. Williamson, R.S. Schramm, B.B. Wayland, Inorg. Chem., 11, 1972, 1280-1284.
- 29. R. Romeo, D. Minniti, S. Lanza, M. L. Tobe, Inorg. Chim. Acta, 22, 1977, 87-91.
- 30. V.I. Bakhmutov, Practical NMR Relaxation for Chemists, John Wiley & Sons Ltd., Chichester, U. K., 2004.
- 31. J. Y. Lallemand, J. Soulie, J. C. Chottard, Chem. Comm., 1980, 10, 436-438.
- 32. S. J. Wilkens, W. M. Westler, J. L. Markley, F. Weinhold, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2001, 123, 12026-12036.
- 33. J.A. Pople, D. P. Santry, Mol. Phys., 1964, 8, 1-18.
- 34. P.J Hore, Nuclear Magnetic Resonance, Oxford, New York, 1995, 32-33.

3

Understanding the synthesis and properties of the novel Pt^{II}(phen)(*N*,*N*-di(alkyl)-*N*'-acylthiourea)₂ complexes, an unusual coordination of *N*,*N*-dialkyl-*N*'-acylthiourea

The synthesis of a series of $[Pt^{II}(phen)(L^n-S,O)]^+$ complexes (as described in chapter 2) revealed the presence of an unknown compound forming in significant amounts. This chapter describes the full characterization of this coordination product. It was found that the N,Ndialkyl-N'-acylthiourea ligands (HLⁿ) tend to coordinate to the platinum (II) metal centre in the rare monodentate fashion via the sulphur atom to form neutral $Pt^{II}(phen)(L^n-S)_2$ complexes with a sulphur bound L^n denoted as L^n -S. This phenomenon will be discussed together with the Single Crystal X-ray Diffraction data, which reveals the postulated $Pt^{II}(phen)(L^n-S)_2$ complexes for N,N-dibutyl-N'-naphthoylthiourea (HL²) and N,N-diethyl-N'benzoylthiourea (HL⁴). The relative tendencies of the N,N-dialkyl-N'-acylthioureas to form monodentate sulphur-coordinated complexes with Pt^{II} depends on the structure of the N,Ndialkyl-N'-acylthiourea. Therefore, a series of selected N,N-dialkyl-N'-acylthioureas with specific structural variations will be discussed. $Pt^{II}(phen)(L^2-S)_2$ in particular forms in high yields (>90%) and will tested for β -haematin inhibition capabilities, since no bioactivity of this novel class of complexes are known (shown in chapter 5). These novel complexes could be a new class of bioactive compounds and opens the door for a new class of complexes to be studied.

3.1 Introduction

In the past large variation in isolated yields were observed for the synthesis of various $[Pt^{II}(diimine)(L^{n}-S,O)]^{+}$ complexes, where "diimine" refers to 2,2-bipyridine, 1,10-phenanthroline or substituted variations thereof and $L^{n}-S,O$ various *N*,*N*-dialkyl-*N'*-acylthioureas.¹⁻³ More specifically, the complexes of $[Pt^{II}(phen)(L^{2}-S,O)]^{+}$ and $[Pt^{II}(bipy)(L^{2}-S,O)]^{+}$ were found to have significant lower yields compared to the other acylthioureas (>80%) for the deceptively simple synthetic procedure.^{1,2}

The usual mode of coordination of *N*,*N*-dialkyl-*N'*-acylthioureas (L^n) to transition metals is a chelate *via* sulphur and oxygen.⁴ The mechanism of coordination to Pt^{II} and Pd^{II} in particular is thought to be a two step mechanism whereby the 'soft' sulphur atom coordinates to the metal centre due to its affinity for Pt^{II} and Pd^{II} .^{5,6} The second step involves the coordination of the oxygen donor atom, after deprotonation of the NH group, which increases the nucleophilicity of the O⁻ donor resulting in coordination to the metal.⁶ However, evidence of this chelate forming in acidic solutions suggests that the chelation effect drives the coordination even though the oxygen is considered as a 'hard' donor in the protonated ligand.⁷

Koch and co-workers have observed an interesting monodentate (through the sulphur donor atom) mode of coordination of mono-alkyl-acylthioureas in acidic aqueous solutions.^{8,9} This is ascribed to *intra*-molecular O[…]H hydrogen bonding as shown in Scheme 3.1.



Scheme 3.1 *Intra*-molecular hydrogen bonding in mono-alkyl-acylthioureas (H_2L) which exposes the sulphur for potential coordination to the metal (M). The dialkyl-acylthiourea ligands (HL) do not exhibit this hydrogen bonding.^{8,9}

Therefore, the mode of coordination of the acylthiourea to the platinum group metals can be separated into monodentate coordination through the sulphur donor atom for mono-alkyl-substituted acylthioureas and chelation for the *N*,*N*-dialkyl-*N'*-acylthiourea ligands.⁴ Monodentate coordination of *N*,*N*-dialkyl-*N'*-acylthioureas to Pt^{II} and Pd^{II} has been observed but only in strongly acidic solutions in the presence of CI^{-} or Γ ions (Scheme 3.2).¹⁰



Scheme 3.2 Coordination modes of acylthiourea complexes as a result of *intra*-molecular hydrogen bonding and deprotonation of the amido-proton with the molecular structure of *trans*-Pt^{II}(L³)I₂ highlighted.¹⁰

Initial 'activation' of ligand for coordination occurs by deprotonation of the acylthiourea ligand by the shift of electron density towards the oxygen and sulphur which significantly increases the nucleophilicity of the donor atoms, ensuring a relatively strong chelating capability of the acylthiourea ligands. However, the possibility of monodentate coordination of deprotonated N,N-dialkyl-N'-acylthiourea ligands does exist but is rare as observed for Cu(I) and Cu(II) cluster complexes being the only known examples as shown in Figure 3.1.^{11,12}



Figure 3.1 Two crystal structures showing (a) monodentate μ -S bridging of a deprotonated *N*,*N*-dimethyl-*N'*-ferocenylthiourea in a Cu(I) cluster and (b) monodentate coordination and μ -S bridging of *N*,*N*-dimethyl-*N'*-benzoylthiourea in Cu(II) cluster of [{CuL(HL)Cl}₂].^{11,12} (*Images reproduced from reference 12 and 13*)

Nevertheless a monodentate mode of coordination of deprotonated N,N-dialkyl-N'-acylthiourea to Pt^{II} has not been observed or studied to date. This relatively rare monodentate-S coordination of N,N-dialkyl-N'-acylthioureas to platinum(II)(1,10-phenanthroline) complexes was therefore investigated.

3.2 Results and discussion

3.2.1 The first evidence of the formation of $Pt^{II}(phen)(N,N-dibutyl-N'-naphthoylthiourea)_2$

The synthesis of $[Pt^{II}(phen)(L^2-S,O)]Cl$ and $[Pt^{II}(bipy)(L^2-S,O)]Cl$ as described in Chapter 2 yielded a crude product, the purification of which was challenging (Figure 3.2). Extensive washing with ether and extraction from CHCl₃ into an aqueous phase failed to yield the pure product since $[Pt^{II}(bipy)(L^2-S, O)]Cl$ in particular is only slightly soluble in water. The purification of $[Pt^{II}(bipy)(L^2-S, O)]CI$ was ultimately accomplished by an interesting third phase formation with an attempt by solvent extraction as discussed in Chapter 2 (Section 2.4.3). Upon addition of water to the organic phase consisting of the crude product in acetonitrile and ether, a dark red/orange third phased formed at the bottom of the flask. The ¹H NMR spectrum of such highly concentrated droplet reveals that the emulsion consists of only acetonitrile and the pure $[Pt^{II}(bipy)(L^2-S,O)]Cl$ complex. This method of purification was also successful for $[Pt^{II}(phen)(L^2-S,O)]Cl$ which was obtained by the formation of a third phase emulsion with the addition of water to an ether-acetonitrile solution of the crude product. This deep orange-red product separates as a droplet at the bottom of the vial while a vellow precipitate is left in the aqueous phase as a suspension; this precipitate contains the 'unknown' products in the synthesis. The ¹H NMR spectrum of the crude product reveals "unknown" peaks indicated by the * in Figure 3.2, which correspond to the yellow precipitate in the aqueous phase since the ¹H NMR spectrum of the pure $[Pt^{II}(phen)(L^2-S,O)]Cl$ is known (Figure 2.28).

The ¹H NMR spectrum of the 'unknown' product exhibits a doublet at 9.26 ppm, two doublets of doublets at 8.46 and 7.55 ppm, and singlet at 7.51 ppm in the aromatic region while broad unresolved peaks are observed more upfield (0.800 - 4.00 ppm). These 'unknown' peaks, which were also observed by others¹, cannot be uncoordinated 1,10-phenanthroline or L^2 since the chemical shifts do not correspond to those known for these

ligands, but should be a complex in which 1,10-phenanthroline is bound symmetrically to the metal Pt^{II} . This 'product' was not identified by others, since only trace amount was obtained as a by-product with no aliphatic proton resonances observable in the ¹H NMR spectrum. The symmetrically bound 1,10-phenanthroline peaks are not consistent with the $Pt^{II}Cl_2(phen)$ starting material and the solubility of $Pt^{II}Cl_2(phen)$ in chloroform is very low. This complex could potentially correspond to a symmetrically bound 1,10-phenanthroline complex with two monodentate coordinated *N*,*N*-dibutyl-*N*^{*}-naphthoylthiourea ligands, resulting in broad peaks observed in the aliphatic region, with relatively sharp naphthoyl ¹H peaks observed in the aromatic region. The integration of these peaks suggests two L² ligands to one symmetrical 1,10-phenanthroline in this complex.

In fact, it was found that the conventional synthesis of $[Pt^{II}(phen)(L^2-S,O)]CI$ in acetonitrile (Chapter 2) yields the two coordination products, even when the ligand HL² is not in excess. The synthesis of this postulated $Pt^{II}(phen)(L^2-S)_2$ complex was attempted after which the possibility of other *N*,*N*-dialkyl-*N'*-acylthioureas to coordinate in a similar fashion was investigated.



Figure 3.2 ¹H NMR spectra of the reaction mixture obtained for the synthesis of $[Pt^{II}(phen)(L^2-S,O)]^+$ containing a significant amount of the previously 'unknown' $Pt(phen)(L^2-S)_2$ with the ¹H NMR spectrum of the pure $[Pt^{II}(phen)(L^2-S,O)]^+$ in blue.

3.2.2 Synthesis and characterization of Pt^{II}(phen)(N,N-dibutyl-N'-naphthoylthiourea)₂

The synthesis of the postulated $Pt^{II}(phen)(L^2-S)_2$ was accomplished by the addition of a suspension of $Pt^{II}Cl_2(phen)$ in acetonitrile dropwise to 2.5eq. of HL^2 and 3.5eq. NaOAc in acetonitrile, after which the mixture was heated to reflux for 1 hour. An orange powder was obtained by the removal of the unreacted NaOAc and NaCl formed during the reaction by filtration and removal of the solvent under reduced pressure. The crude product was dissolved in dichloromethane and hexane was added (50:50 v/v). The products were separated chromatographically using neutral aluminium oxide (Al₂O₃) as stationary phase and

dichloromethane/hexane and acetonitrile as mobile phases. The unreacted L^2 and acetic acid formed in the reaction eluted with the 50:50 v/v dichloromethane:hexane mixture $Pt^{II}(phen)(L^2-S)_2$ eluted with 10:90 v/v acetonitrile:dichloromethane. From the ¹H NMR spectra (Figure 3.3) it is clear that the product obtained is similar to the complex of which the ¹H NMR resonances are labelled by the * in Figure 3.2. The numbering scheme of such a sulphur-coordinated $Pt^{II}(phen)(L^2)_2$ product is shown in Scheme 3.3 with the nomenclature for a sulphur bound L^2 denoted as L^2 -S.



Scheme 3.3 Structure and numbering scheme for $Pt^{II}(phen)(L^2-S)_2$.

Interestingly, the ¹H resonances of the *N*,*N*-dibutyl naphthoylthiourea are extremely broad $(v_{1/2} \text{ of H}^{a+a'} = 107 \text{ Hz})$ and these would probably not be observable in the ¹H NMR spectra if the complex was only present in small quantities. This may explain the previous oversight by others.^{6,13} The broad ¹H resonances of the alkyl substituents in 1-5 ppm range suggest slow to intermediate rotation of the dibutyl groups of the *N*,*N*-dibutyl-*N'*-naphthoylthiourea due to the partial double bond character of the N-C bond between the amino nitrogen and the thiocarbonyl carbon, and should resolve with an increase in temperature (Figure 3.3).



Figure 3.3 ¹H NMR spectra of $Pt^{II}(phen)(L^2-S)_2$ at 25°C and 50°C in chloroform-d₁.

The well resolved ¹H NMR resonances of the latter region obtained at 50 °C integrate for a 2:1 L² to 1,10-phenanthroline and suggest monodentate coordination of a deprotonated L² ligand most probably *via* the S-donor atom. It is reasonable to argue that the coordination would be through the thiocarbonyl rather than the carbonyl since platinum is considered a 'soft' metal according to Pearson's HSAB theory and would prefer the 'softer' sulphur atom compared to oxygen.⁵ Therefore a tentative assignment of the previously "unknown" ¹H resonance signals to the monodentate *bis*-acythiourea 1,10-phenanthroline platinum(II) complex, Pt^{II}(phen)(L²-*S*)₂, where, L²-*S* refers to a monodentate sulphur-coordinated *N*,*N*-dibutyl-*N*-naphthoyl-thiourea ligand is reasonable, and as will be seen below is confirmed.

3.2.2.1 Assignment of the ¹H NMR spectrum of Pt^{II}(phen)(*N*,*N*-dibutyl-*N'*-naphthoylthiourea)₂

The ¹H NMR resonances in the 5-12 ppm spectral region corresponding to a symmetrically coordinated 1,10-phenanthroline were identified by comparison of the relevant coupling constants and were labelled H^{2+9} , H^{4+7} , H^{3+8} and H^{5+6} consecutively. Interestingly, the base of the signal assigned to H^{2+9} is significantly broadened, suggestive of unresolved ¹⁹⁵Pt satellites as a result of the CSA relaxation at this high magnetic field strength (14 Tesla) as discussed in Chapter 2 for other Pt^{II}(phen) complexes.

By inspection one of the three triplet-like resonances represented as $H^{3'/6'/7'}$ could be assigned to $H^{3'}$ (6.94 ppm) since it shows resolved coupling to $H^{2'}$ and $H^{4'}$. The complete assignment of the L² protons could not be done without ¹H correlation spectroscopy (COSY) to obtain the necessary coupling partners. The COSY experiment was optimised for the typical ³*J* proton couplings (5-8 Hz) and only cross-peaks were observed for the aromatic protons with no correlations in the latter because of dynamic motion (Figure 3.4).

The ¹H NMR assignment of a symmetrically bound 1,10-phenanthroline is confirmed by the COSY spectra (Figure 3.4), with the most downfield proton previously assigned as H^{2+9} showing a strong correlation to the resonance assigned H^{3+8} and a weak cross-peak observed to the protons labelled H^{4+7} . Furthermore, the resonance H^{4+7} shows a strong correlation to H^{3+8} , while the correlation to H^{2+9} is weak due to the 4 bond distance between the nuclei. As expected from the singlet resonance labelled H^{5+6} , no coupling is observed in the ¹H NMR. The aromatic protons of the coordinated L^2 clearly show two separate ring systems, with the most upfield proton (6.19 ppm) showing strong coupling to the two protons at 8.41 (doublet) and 6.60 ppm (triplet-like multiplet) and additional weak coupling to the doublet at 7.00 ppm. This coupling pattern suggests a coupling system of 4 non-equivalent protons which should correspond to the protons labelled $H^{5-8'}$ in the naphthoyl moiety.

The *ChemDraw Ultra Edition* software was used to aid the complete assignment of the ¹H NMR spectrum; while these calculations are not always accurate, large difference in the chemical shift of $H^{5'}$ and $H^{8'}$ due to their very different position in the ring system is expected (Figure A.16). The calculations are based on empirically estimated effects of various substituents on the chemical shift of basic fragments/skeleton structures. The most downfield doublet in this set of H's could be assigned to $H^{8'}$ with the calculated chemical shift of $H^{5'}$ and $H^{8'}$ and $H^{8'}$ 9.19 and 7.73 ppm respectively. The experimental chemical shift of both $H^{5'}$ and $H^{8'}$ is overestimated by roughly 0.75 ppm with the predicted chemical shift difference between $H^{8'}$ and $H^{5'}$ (1.46 ppm) is almost identical to the experimentally obtained value (1.41ppm).



Figure 3.4 ¹H, ¹H COSY spectrum of $Pt^{II}(phen)(L^2-S)_2$ in chloroform-d₁ with only the aromatic protons exhibiting cross-peaks.

The cross-peaks observed in the COSY of $Pt^{II}(phen)(L^2-S)_2$ in chloroform allowed for the assignments of all aromatic protons while the broad aliphatic protons could be assigned using the multiplicity (*J*-coupling) and integration of the ¹H resonances of $Pt^{II}(phen)(L^2-S)_2$ at 50°C (Figure 3.3). The integration of the broad resonances observed indicate that the peaks at 3.76, 1.82 and 1.44 ppm correspond to 8 H's each, with the resonance at 1.01 ppm integrating for 12 H's. The most downfield resonance is significantly separated from the others as a result of the electron withdrawing effect of the amino-nitrogen and is assigned to $H^{a+a'}$. The resonance integrating for 12 H's could be unambiguously assigned to $H^{d+d'}$. These assignments were consistent with the calculated values and assignment shown in Figure A.16. However, the assignment of the remaining two resonances at 1.82 and 1.44 ppm could not be made with confidence using the calculated chemical shifts since the difference is predicted to be only 0.08 ppm. However, the multiplicities of the signals of $H^{b+b'}$ and $H^{c+c'}$ are expected to be a pentet and a sextet respectively if only first order coupling is observed. The broad peaks were well resolved when the temperature was increased from 25 to 50°C, as shown in Figure 3.3. This allowed for the unambiguous assignment of the resonances at 1.82 and 1.44 ppm to $H^{b+b'}$ and H^{c+c'} respectively. Figure 3.5 shows the full assignment of the ¹H NMR spectrum of $Pt^{II}(phen)(L^2-S)_2$ in chloroform-d₁.



Figure 3.5 ¹H NMR spectrum of $Pt^{II}(phen)(L^2-S)_2$ in chloroform-d₁ with complete assignment.

3.2.2.2 Assignment of the ¹³C{¹H} NMR spectrum of $Pt^{II}(phen)(N,N-dibutyl-N'-naphthoylthiourea)_2$

The ¹³C APT (attached proton test) was run in an attempt to assign the ¹³C{¹H} NMR spectrum of Pt^{II}(phen)(L²-*S*)₂ in chloroform. Figure 3.6 shows the APT obtained with the methine (CH) and methyl (CH₃) signals positive and the quaternary (C) and methylene (CH₂) signals negative. The information from the ¹³C APT spectrum leads to the assignment of the -CH₃ group of the butyl moieties (C^{d+d'}), the most downfield -CH₂- to C^{a+a'} and differentiate between C² and C^{1a} of the phenanthroline ring.



Figure 3.6 ¹³C APT of $Pt^{II}(phen)(L^2-S)_2$ with the methine (CH) and (CH₃) signals positive and the quaternary (C) and (CH₂) signals negative. The part of the spectrum highlighted in blue is expanded to clearly show all peaks in this region.

In addition the use of the ¹³C{¹H} NMR spectrum of the uncoordinated HL² in chloroform to aid the assignment of $Pt^{II}(phen)(L^2-S)_2$ as shown in Figure 3.7 is helpful to understand the ¹³C NMR spectrum of the complex. With the assignments of HL² known from literature,¹ it is possible to identify the minor impurity to be uncoordinated L² in the ¹³C{¹H} NMR spectrum of the complex shown in Figure 3.7. Furthermore, the known assignment of HL² was used to assign the aliphatic carbons of $Pt^{II}(phen)(L^2-S)_2$ as shown in Figure 3.7. The two isolated peaks at 149 and 146 ppm could be assigned to C² and C^{1a} using the ¹³C{¹H} NMR spectrum of HL² and the ¹³C APT spectrum of $Pt^{II}(phen)(L^2-S)_2$.



Figure 3.7 ¹³C{¹H} NMR spectra of HL² and Pt^{II}(phen)(L²-S)₂ in chloroform-d₁.

The carbonyl and thiocarbonyl were assigned based on the line width of the resonances, difference in hybridisation of the two groups in the monodentate complex as well literature assignments of acylthioureas Pt^{II} complexes.¹⁴ The line width of the thiocarbonyl is greater than the carbonyl as a result of two quadrupolar nitrogens bonded to the thiocarbonyl carbon which shortens the relaxation time and results in broadening. Furthermore, this broader peak shifts downfield relative to the ligand while the carbonyl shifts upfield. This is consistent

with the change in hybridization from sp^2 to sp^3 upon coordination of the sulphur which would result in shielding of the thiocarbonyl carbon. The carbonyl carbon exhibits significant deshielding which suggests more double bond character of the carbonyl and greater chemical shift anisotropy.

However, there are some ¹³C peaks which could not be assigned with only the ¹³C APT of $Pt^{II}(phen)(L^2-S)_2$ and ¹³C{¹H} NMR assignments of HL^2 necessitating the use of heteronuclear two-dimensional NMR spectroscopy. The widely used sequences to obtain ¹H-¹³C short bond coupling information are the ¹H,¹³C HETCOR (Heteronuclear Correlation) and ¹H,¹³C HSQC (Heteronuclear Single Quantum Coherence) sequences.

The HSQC sequence has to a large extent replaced the earlier HETCOR sequence due to its superior sensitivity. The HETCOR sequence is also known as C,H COSY (Correlation Spectroscopy) and is weak in sensitivity due to the observed nuclei being ${}^{13}C$ with a very low natural abundance (1.1%) and a receptivity 5870 times lower than that of ¹H. In contrast, the HSQC or H,C COSY is the reverse of HETCOR by first generating phase coherence in the channel corresponding to the insensitive nuclide (^{13}C) and then transferring the coherence to the sensitive nuclide (¹H) which is then observed.¹⁵ The enhancement gained from the polarisation transfer during the experiment is proportional to the ratio of the gyromagnetic ratios of the nuclide from which the polarisation is transferred over the gyromagnetic ratio of the observed nuclide (γ_C/γ_H). However, for the preferred HSQC experiment the enhancement is significantly lower with the enhancement 0.25 compared to 4 times for the 'normal' ¹³C detected HETCOR. The advantage of detecting the more sensitive nuclide together with the lower enhancement effect due to the effect of the gyromegnetic ratios of the two nuclides results in a total sensitivity gain of a factor of 2 for the HSQC (reverse) experiment over the HETCOR (normal) experiment with the corresponding time shortening of a factor of 4.¹⁵ The information gathered from the two experiments is similar with the advantage of higher sensitivity and thus shorter acquisition times.

The GHSQC (gradient-HSQC) sequence was used to obtain ${}^{1}\text{H}{}^{13}\text{C}$ correlations to assign all the resonances in the ${}^{13}\text{C}{}^{1}\text{H}$ NMR spectrum of $\text{Pt}^{II}(\text{phen})(\text{L}^{2}-S)_{2}$ (Figure 3.8). With all the assignments of the ${}^{1}\text{H}$ NMR spectrum of $\text{Pt}^{II}(\text{phen})(\text{L}^{2}-S)_{2}$ known, all the carbon atoms directly bonded to one or more hydrogen atoms could be readily assigned by correlating the ${}^{1}\text{H}$ resonance to the corresponding ${}^{13}\text{C}$ resonance cross-peaks. Cross-peaks were observed for all ${}^{1}\text{H}$ resonances, indicating that the GHSQC experimental were correctly optimised and that enough transients were collected. The correlations between the butyl H's and C's of L² could be observed (Figure 3.8), which confirmed the previous assignments of these carbons. Chapter 3



Figure 3.8 ¹H, ¹³C GHSQC plot of $Pt^{II}(phen)(L^2-S)_2$ showing the ¹H and ¹³C correlations in chloroform-d₁ with (**a**) the aromatic region and the aliphatic region of the plot.

Furthermore, the negative cross-peaks (in blue) observed for the CH_2 carbons and positive cross-peaks (in red) observed for the CH_3 and CH groups is indicative of the evolution period in the experiment set as the inverse of the scalar (*J*) coupling constant.

With the assignments from the ¹H,¹³C GHSQC, ¹³C APT experiments and the known assignments of HL², the ¹³C{¹H} NMR spectrum of $Pt^{II}(phen)(L^2-S)_2$ could be completely assigned. The full assignment of all ¹³C resonances is shown in Figure 3.9 while the minor additional peaks in the ¹³C{¹H} NMR spectrum correspond to unreacted L². A list of all 13C NMR chemical shifts and their assignments are shown in Table 3.1.



Figure 3.9 ¹³C{¹H} NMR spectrum and assignments of $Pt^{II}(phen)(L^2-S)_2$ in chloroform-d₁ at 25°C.

Assignment	Chemical	Assignment	Chemical	Assignment	Chemical
	Shift (ppm)		Shift (ppm)		Shift (ppm)
C=O	173.921	C ^{2'}	130.785	C ^{3'}	124.004
C-S	169.661	$C^{4'}$	129.571	C ^{6'}	123.960
C^2	148.666	C^{4a+6a}	129.036	$C^{a+a'}$	50.834
C^{1a}	145.843	C ^{5'}	126.627	$C^{b+b'}$	30.591
C ⁴⁺⁷	136.485	C ^{8'}	126.461	$C^{c+c'}$	20.354
$\mathbf{C}^{1'}$	134.984	C ⁵⁺⁶	125.908	$C^{d+d'}$	14.027
$C^{4b'}$	132.659	$C^{7'}$	124.693		
C ^{9'}	130.995	C ³⁺⁸	124.362		

Table 3.1 ¹³C NMR chemical shift assignments of $Pt^{II}(phen)(L^2-S)_2$ in chloroform-d₁.

3.2.3 Temperature and Concentration Dependence of the ¹H NMR Spectrum of Pt^{II}(phen)(*N*,*N*-dibutyl-*N*'-naphthoylthiourea)₂

3.2.3.1 The effect of increasing the temperature on the ¹H NMR spectrum of Pt^{II}(phen)(*N*,*N*-dibutyl-*N*'-naphthoylthiourea)₂

The effect of an increase in temperature on the ¹H NMR spectrum of $Pt^{II}(phen)(L^2-S)_2$ was investigated from 25 to 109°C. For this temperature investigation the solvent system was changed from the chloroform-d₁ used in the characterization to dimethyl sulfoxide-d₆ since the boiling point of chloroform-d₁ is only 61°C while dimethyl sulfoxide d₆ is a liquid between 20 and 190 °C. The ¹H NMR spectrum of $Pt^{II}(phen)(L^2-S)_2$ in dimethyl sulfoxide-d₆ (Figure 3.10) exhibits a similar intermediate exchange phenomenon for the butyl H's of L² as observed in chloroform-d₁ (Figure 3.3). The temperature was increased systematically, with the corresponding ¹H NMR spectra stacked in Figure 3.10.



Figure 3.10 ¹H NMR spectra of $Pt^{II}(phen)(L^2-S)_2$ as a function of temperature in dimethyl sulfoxide-d₆.

Interestingly, the ¹H NMR resonances of the butyl chains of L^2 sharpen significantly as the temperature increases, while the aromatic protons display no significant change in line-shape. This is indicative of the change from an intermediate exchange process to a fast exchange system with respect to restricted rotation around the C-N bond of the carbon of the thiocarbonyl and the butylamino nitrogen (Figure 3.11). The sharpening of the resonances of

 $H^{a+a'}$ and $H^{d+d'}$ were carefully studied by a line-fitting procedure using the Line Fitting capabilities of the MestreNova NMR processing software to obtain accurate line widths for the broad/unresolved ¹H multiplets at low temperatures. The fits to the experimental data as well as the errors and fitting parameters are shown in Figure A.17 and A.18 in the appendix.



Figure 3.11 Temperature dependence of $H^{a+a'}$ and $H^{d+d'}$ showing (**a**) sharpening of the resonances with an increase in temperature for $Pt^{II}(phen)(L^2-S)_2$ in dimethyl sulfoxide-d₆ displayed using a stack angle of 35° and (**b**) the line-width at half-peak height ($\Delta v_{1/2}$) of $H^{a+a'}$ and $H^{d+d'}$ as a function of temperature.

Interestingly the line width at 25°C is significantly lower for $H^{d+d'}$ (19 Hz) compared to $H^{a+a'}$ (107 Hz). This marked difference in line-width can be rationalised on the basis of $H^{a+a'}$ being close to the site where rotation is restricted (Figure 3.11) whereas $H^{d+d'}$ is 5 bonds away. This restricted rotation is presumably the result of π -back donation of the amino-nitrogen into the π -antibonding orbitals (π^*) of the thiocarbonyl.

The ¹H NMR spectrum of Pt^{II}(phen)(L²-*S*)₂ in dimethyl sulfoxide-d₆ (Figure 3.10) exhibits significant temperature dependence of the chemical shifts of the aromatic protons, while those of the aliphatic protons are less affected. Moreover δ (¹H) of H², H^{7'} and H^{8'} were the most sensitive towards a change in temperature with the chemical shift difference ($\Delta\delta$) for temperatures 25 and 109°C being $\Delta\delta$ H² = 0.152 ppm, $\Delta\delta$ H^{7'} = 0.217 ppm and $\Delta\delta$ H^{8'} = 0.216 ppm. The chemical shift temperature dependence of all aromatic protons is shown in Figure 3.12.



Figure 3.12 ¹H chemical shift temperature dependence of $Pt^{II}(phen)(L^2-S)_2$ in dimethyl sulfoxide-d₆.

While ¹H chemical shifts are normally affected by changes in solvent polarity and density/viscosity with temperature, the significant temperature dependence observed cannot be accounted for solely by changes in solvent characteristics. This could suggest self-aggregation or possible *intra*-molecular association/interactions in dimethyl sulfoxide
solutions. Chemical shift temperature and concentration dependence previously observed for the cationic $[Pt^{II}(phen)(L^{n},S,O)]^{+}$ complexes in acetonitrile solutions were postulated to be due to non-covalent dimer formation.¹ It was shown previously that $[Pt^{II}(phen)(L^{1}-S,O)]^{+}$ self-associates and forms non-covalent outer sphere complexes with the polyaromatic hydrocarbon fluoranthene (C₁₆H₁₀) in acetonitrile, presumably through cation- π and aromatic π -stacking interactions.¹⁶ Furthermore, the self-association of $[Pt^{II}(phen)(L^{1}-S,O)]^{+}$ increases drastically with the addition of D₂O to the solution and ultimately results in the formation of nano-aggregates in pure D₂O; this will be discussed in detail in Chapter 4. The polarity of the solvent has a drastic effect on the possibility and the extent of aggregation of charged or uncharged aromatic moieties as hydrophobic forces becomes more dominant with an increase in solvent polarity.¹⁷

Since the $Pt^{II}(phen)(L^2-S)_2$ complex is formally uncharged it may be capable of inter- or *intra*-molecular aromatic- π stacking interactions in polar solutions since the complex consist of a 1,10-phenantroline ligand and two naphthoyl moeieties of L^2 which amounts to a total of 7 aromatic rings. It is expected that the inter- or *intra*-molecular hydrophobic interactions would decrease significantly in less polar solvents. Indeed, the chemical shift temperature dependence for $Pt^{II}(phen)(L^2-S)_2$ is significantly less in chloroform-d₁ solutions (Figure 3.3) compared to dimethyl sulfoxide-d₆ solutions for the temperatures 25 and 50°C.

The ¹H NMR spectra of $Pt^{II}(phen)(L^2-S)_2$ at 4 different concentrations in chloroform-d₁ was obtained during the course of the study and set out to examine the extent/possibility of self-association of this complex.

3.2.3.2 The concentration dependence of the ¹H NMR spectrum of Pt^{II}(phen)(*N*,*N*-dibutyl-*N*'-naphthoylthiourea)₂

The change in ¹H chemical shift is found to be almost negligible when the concentration of $Pt^{II}(phen)(L^2-S)_2$ is 2.58 times higher (~3.4 mM) than the lowest concentration (~1.3 mM), but is significant for a concentration 87 times (~112 mM) the lowest concentration (Figure 3.13). However, the stacked spectra in Figure 3.13 are from different synthesis batches and were obtained over a period of months and were not obtained from serial dilution as seen from the impurities present in the ¹H NMR spectrum of N = 2.58.

The overall chemical shift concentration dependence of H^{5+6} , H^{4+7} and H^{3+8} is 0.396, 0.232 and 0.136 ppm over this concentration range respectively while the other protons exhibit

significantly less chemical shift concentration dependence with $\Delta\delta < 0.05$ ppm. Interestingly, the aliphatic protons of L² exhibit no significant change in chemical shift with an increase in concentration. This is consistent with a postulated self-association of Pt^{II}(phen)(L²-*S*)₂ by π -stacking in solution (*i.e.* aliphatic chains not directly involved).



Figure 3.13 ¹H NMR spectra of $Pt^{II}(phen)(L^2-S)_2$ of different concentrations in chloroform-d₁ with *N* the normalized concentration relative to the lowest concentration (~1.3 mM).

With the chemical shift trends observed it is reasonable to postulate an average aggregate structure which could account for the marked difference in chemical shift concentration dependence observed for the various protons. It is expected that the extent of self-association of $Pt^{II}(phen)(L^2-S)_2$ would be limited to dimer aggregates in chloroform and higher-order

aggregation is unlikely in this less polar solvent compared to acetonitrile where $[Pt^{II}(phen)(L^2-S,O)]^+$ is known to form only dimer aggregates in solution. Scheme 3.4 shows the proposed non-covalent dimers in solution which could account for the chemical shift trends observed, in which the protons of the 1,10-phenanthroline exhibit marked chemical shift concentration dependence compared to the protons of L².



Scheme 3.4 Proposed dimer structures of $Pt^{II}(phen)(L^2-S)_2$ in chloroform which could account for the chemical shift concentration dependence observed.

The overlap of the 1,10-phenanthroline moieties in the proposed average dimer structure of $Pt^{II}(phen)(L^2-S)_2$ in chloroform (Scheme 3.4), is expected to result in increased shielding of the corresponding phen H's from the external magnetic field resulting in an upfield shift in the ¹H NMR resonances as the equilibrium shifts toward more dimer formation. The driving force for such a postulated aggregation would be a favourable offset aromatic- π stacking interaction proposed by Hunter and Sanders in their study of porpyrin aggregation.¹⁸

However, with the limited experimental evidence, the formation of dimer aggregates is only speculative at present. A detailed study of the chemical shift and diffusion coefficient concentration dependence at various temperatures is required to which the appropriate aggregation models could be fitted to account for the experimental trend observed and is subject for future study.

3.2.3.3 The effect of lower temperature on the ¹H NMR spectrum of Pt^{II}(phen)(*N*,*N*-dibutyl-*N*'-naphthoylthiourea)₂ in CDCl₃

The effect of temperature on the ¹H NMR resonances of $Pt^{II}(phen)(L^2-S)_2$ in chloroform-d₁ was investigated (Figure 3.14). Interestingly, the broad aliphatic protons separate into two sets of signals while the multiplicity becomes better resolved with a decrease in temperature,

contrary to the aromatic protons which exhibit broadening as the temperature was decreased. The increase in the line-width of the aromatic protons could be due to previously proposed aggregation/*intra*-molecular interactions and/or as a result of an increase in the relaxation time of the respective protons due to slow molecular tumbling (long τ_c) at lower temperatures. Aggregation of phen H's is expected to result in an increase in τ_c , while the butyl H's probably are more freely rotating. This then accounts for the observed line-widths, assuming a dipole-dipole mechanism under these conditions.

The narrowing of the butyl ¹H resonances as the temperature decrease (Figure 3.14) is a result of the previously discussed restricted rotation around the thiocarbonyl carbon and the amino nitrogen (discussion of Figure 3.11). The transition from one broad signal to two well resolved multiplets as the temperature decreased is indicative of the transition from an intermediate- to a slow exchange system. Estimation of the rotational energy barrier is typically done using approximations by Gasparro and Kolodny and commonly used in dynamic NMR studies.¹⁹ Gasparro and Kolodny have used these approximations in the determination of the rotational barrier in N,N-dimethylacetatmide with results for the energy of activation, $E_a = 17$ kcal.mol⁻¹, closely comparable to the results obtained by Reeves *et al.* who simulated the spectra from the Bloch equations.²⁰

With two exchanging resonance frequencies v_A and v_B merging into one single peak and the decrease in line-width, the rate constant of the exchange/rotation, k, could be approximated several ways (Scheme 3.5).¹⁹ The distinct features of an exchanging process on the NMR spectra are a change in chemical shift ($\Delta\delta$) and a change in line width of the resonances. The line width at half-peak height, (Δv)_{1/2}, is easy to measure if the resonance signals are simple singlets or perfect first-order multiplets. However, this is rarely the case and the extraction of reliable (Δv)_{1/2} values from real NMR data remains challenging. The use of the intensity (I) to calculate the rate constants in accordance with equation 2 in Scheme 3.5, was not desirable since baseline correction drastically influenced the results obtained.

In contrast to the difficulty in accurate determination of $(\Delta v)_{1/2}$ and *I*, the chemical shift can easily be obtained accurately and the peak separation was consequently used to calculate the rate constants (*k*) using equation (1) in Scheme 3.5.



Figure 3.14 ¹H NMR spectra of $Pt^{II}(phen)(L^2-S)_2$ as a function of temperature in chloroform-d₁.



Scheme 3.5 Representation of the approximations for calculating the rate constant in a dynamic NMR experiment with Δv_0 the difference in the frequency of A (v_A) and B (v_B) with no exchange, Δv_e the difference in the frequency with exchange, (Δv)⁰_{1/2} the peak width at half-height of resonance A/B in the absence of exchange and (Δv)^e_{1/2} with exchange.¹⁹

Equation (1) of Scheme 3.5 is only valid for slow to intermediate exchange (peak overlap < 20%) and was used to calculate the rate constants for the rotation around the bond between the thiocarbonyl carbon and the amino-nitrogen for the temperature range 5.9 to -50.2 °C using resonances H^c and H^{c'} with the *k*-values reported in Table 3.2.

T (°C)	T (K)	Δv_{e} (Hz)	$k (s^{-1})$	$1/T (x10^{-3} K^{-1})$	$\ln(k)$
5.9	279.1	49.7	125.7	3.58	4.834
-3.4	269.7	57.8	107.3	3.71	4.676
-12.8	260.4	59.8	101.8	3.84	4.623
-22.1	251.0	61.3	97.1	3.98	4.576
-31.5	241.7	63.4	90.5	4.14	4.505
-40.9	232.3	66.3	79.3	4.31	4.373
-50.2	222.9	69.1	66.6	4.49	4.198

Table 3.2 Calculated restricted rotation rate constants at various temperatures (T) using resonances H^c and $H^{c'}$ and Equation (1) in Scheme 3.5.

The Arrhenius plot of $\ln(k)$ vs T⁻¹ can be used to estimate the activation energy (E_a) of the process from the derivation of the Arrhenius Equation:

$$k = Ae^{-E_a/k_BT}$$
$$\ln(k) = \ln(A) - \frac{E_a}{R} \left(\frac{1}{T}\right)$$

where, A denotes a pre-exponential factor which represents the total number of collisions per second, k_B Boltzmann constant and R the universal gas constant.



Figure 3.15 Arrhenius plot for the rotation around the thiocarbonyl and amino nitrogen bond estimated from the chemical shift temperature dependence of H^c and $H^{c'}$.

If a linear trend is fitted to the data shown in Figure 3.15, the $R^2 = 0.966$ with the corresponding energy of activation $E_a = 5.2$ kJ.mol⁻¹, which is significantly less than the rotational barrier in N,N-demethylacetamide ($E_a = 71$ kJ.mol⁻¹). This is expected since the deprotonated coordinated ligand (L^2) of Pt^{II}(phen)(L^2 -S)₂ should display significantly less π -back-donation from the amino nitrogen to the thiocarbonyl since the thiocarbonyl changed to a single C-S bond or at most a partial C-S double bond. Therefore, with the single bond character of the C-S bond, the π anti-bonding orbitals of the 'thiocarbonyl' are absent in the monodentate coordinated ligand. However, the newly formed double bond between the amino nitrogen to the C(S) carbon would have π anti-bonding orbitals into which the amino-nitrogen could to some extent donate its lone pair of electrons.

The Arrhenius plot clearly deviates from linearity in a specific manner which suggests that the rotation about the bond between the thiocarbonyl carbon and the amino-nitrogen is not the only process that influences the chemical shift and line-width of $H^{c+c'}$. It is reasonable to postulate additional reactions/processes in solutions to account for the deviation in the Arrhenius plot which could be possible self-association and/or *intra*-molecular interactions suggested earlier for the concentration and temperature dependence of $Pt^{II}(phen)(L^2-S)_2$. The ¹H resonances of H^a and H^{a'} (Figure 3.14) also exhibit additional 'separation' of the broad signals into 3 broad peaks, with an integral ratio roughly integrates for 2:4:2. Details of the self-association of $Pt^{II}(phen)(L^2-S)_2$ and the proposed *intra*-molecular association interactions are required to completely understand the behaviour of $Pt^{II}(phen)(L^2-S)_2$ in solution but were out of the scope of this study.

3.2.4 Crystal structure of Pt^{II}(phen)(*N*,*N*-dibutyl-*N*'-naphthoylthiourea)₂

Crystals suitable for single crystal X-ray diffraction analysis were obtained for a column purified fraction of $Pt^{II}(phen)(L^2-S)_2$ in acetonitrile confirming the previously proposed mode of coordination of L^2 (Figure 3.16). The crystal and structure refinement data are shown in Section 3.4, and the CIF file is given on the electronic Appendix C, accompanying this thesis.



Figure 3.16 $Pt^{II}(phen)(L^2-S)_2$ and the stacking of $Pt^{II}(phen)(L^2-S)_2$ in the crystal lattice along the c axis.

The crystal structure reveals interesting *intra*-molecular interactions where two monodentate coordinated L^2 ligands are bent in such a way that the naphthoyl moieties 'sandwich' the chelated phen ligand of the square planar complex (Figure 3.17).



Figure 3.17 Offset aromatic- π stacking between the 1,10-phenanthroline ligands in the crystal structure of Pt^{II}(phen)(L²-*S*)₂.

The naphthoyl moieties exhibit aromatic- π stacking interactions with the phen ligand in the characteristic offset manner proposed by Hunter and Sanders.¹⁸ Furthermore, it is evident from the crystal packing arrangement (Figure 3.16), the individual *intra*-molecular ' π -stacked' complexes do not π -stack to adjacent molecules to form linear chains of π -stacking but rather form individual *intra*-molecular associated complexes perpendicular to one another.

These *intra*-molecular π -stacking interactions observed in the crystal structure of Pt^{II}(phen)(L²-*S*)₂ were postulated earlier to partially account for the temperature dependence of the aromatic H's chemical shifts in the ¹H NMR spectrum of Pt^{II}(phen)(L²-*S*)₂ discussed in Section 3.2.3. These hydrophobic interactions are common and become more important in polar solvents. The crystals were obtained from acetonitrile containing naturally high levels of water since the solvent was not dried and the crystallization solution was open to air. This polar environment is believed to be necessary for the π -stacking interaction observed. A similar *intra*-molecular association could exist in solution, accounting (at least partially) for the deviation from linearity of the Arrhenius plot (Figure 3.15). Selected bond lengths, distances and angles are summarised in Table 3.3 with the atom numbering from Figure 3.18. The full list of bond distances can be found in Table A.1 in appendix A.



Figure 3.18 Molecular structure and numbering of $Pt^{II}(phen)(L^2-S)_2$ (Table 3.3). The centroids generated by 6 carbons of the aromatic moieties are indicated by Ct1-Ct4.

Bonds:	Å	Distances:	Å
PT1-S3	2.2837(2)	C21 phen-pl	3.244
PT1-N9	2.0566(2)	C24 phen-pl	3.225
PT1-N10	2.0554(2)	*Ct1 phen-pl	3.374
PT1-S1	2.3002(2)	*Ct2 phen-pl	3.500
S3-C18	1.7783(2)	[*] Ct3 phen-pl	3.604
C18-N26	1.2962(1)	*Ct4 phen-pl	3.445
S1-C47	1.7809(2)	Torsion angles:	
N8-C18	1.3522(1)	O4-C3-C21-C14	-6.97°
C3-O4	1.2246(1)	O5-C49-C24-C6	-17.36°
C24-C49	1.520(2)	Plane angles:	
C3-C21	1.5285(2)	O4=C3-N26 < S1-Pt-S4	13.48°
C3-N26	1.3741(2)	O5=C49-N1 < S1-Pt-S4	11.55°
O5-C49	1.2334(1)	N9-Pt-N10 < S1-Pt-S4	2.42°
N1-C47	1.2874(1)	*Ct1-Ct2 < phen-pl	5.5°
N1-C49	1.3596(2)	*Ct3-Ct4 < phen-pl	15.2°
C47-N3	1.3623(1)		

Table 3.3 Selected bond lengths, angles, torsion angles, plane angles and distances in the crystal structure of $Pt^{II}(phen)(L^2-S)_2$

**Ct* is centroids generated from the following carbons: *Ct*1=(*C*12,14,21,17,20,1), *Ct*2=(*C*13,14,12,19,33,42) *Ct*3=(*C*6,24,36,35,40,50) and *Ct*4=(*C*6,11,39,44,45,50).

Interestingly, the two sulphur-coordinated L^2 ligands exhibit similar interactions with the phen ligand with a marked difference in the angle of the π -stacking of the naphthoyl moieties with phen. The naphthoyl with centroids Ct1 and Ct2 is nearly parallel to the plane created by the atoms of the phenantroline (Ct1-Ct2 < phen-pl = 5.5°) compared to the naphthoyl ligand with centroids Ct3 and Ct4, which π -stacks with an angle of 15.2°. The distances between the aromatic moieties are 3.374 and 3.44Å measured from Ct1[…]phen-plane and Ct4[…]phen-plane respectively. These two significantly different π -stacking modes are stable interactions as suggested by a theoretical study of various modes of π -stacking of benzene with fluoranthene by Kobayashi and co-workers.²¹

They have calculated the optimum angle for π -stacking for this system to be 4°; one of the naphthoyl groups displays a 5.5° angle. Furthermore, they have calculated the offset geometry to be the energy minimum configuration of π -stacking which is also consistent with our findings. The bond lengths of the two ligands do not differ substantially (< 0.015 Å) with the only other major difference being the orientation of the naphthyl relative to the carbonyl measured as a torsion angle of O=C to the naphthyl group. The in-plane geometry of the

aroyl group is commonly known to be the stable and more common conformer if no sterical interference/effects change the free energy of this conformation.²² However, the L² exhibiting a more parallel stacking interaction displays a smaller torsion angle between the naphthyl and the carbonyl groups (-6.97°) compared to -17.36° for the other π -stacked naphthyl group. The geometry of the Pt coordination sphere is slightly distorted from the ideal square planar geometry with 2.42° difference in the angle between two planes created by the phen nitrogens to platinum (N-Pt-N) and the two sulphur atoms to platinum (S-Pt-S). The important bond lengths of Pt^{II}(phen)(L²-*S*)₂, *trans*-Pt^{II}(L²-*S*,*O*)₂²³ and HL² are shown in Table 3.4.

Table 3.4 Comparison of relevant bond lengths of $Pt^{II}(phen)(L^2-S)_2$, *trans*- $Pt^{II}(L^2-S,O)_2$ and HL^2 with the average bond lengths reported for $Pt^{II}(phen)(L^2-S)_2$.

Bond:	Pt ^{II} (phen)(L ² -S) ₂	<i>trans</i> -Pt ^{II} (L ² - <i>S</i> , <i>O</i>) ₂ ^[23]	HL ^{2 [24]}
	Å	Å	Å
Pt-S	2.292(2)	1.75(2)	
C=O	1.229(1)	1.28(3)	1.215(3)
C-S	1.780(2)	1.75(2)	1.662(2)
N-C(O)	1.367(2)	1.30(2)	1.376(4)
N-C(S)	1.129(1)	1.36(1)	1.420(4)
$(S)C-N(R_2)$	1.357(2)	1.34(3)	1.320(4)

The Pt-S bond of the *trans* complex is significantly shorter (1.75(2) Å) compared to that of Pt^{II}(phen)(L²-S)₂ (2.292(2) Å). This could be a result of the *trans*-complex having less electron density in the Pt-S bond since the complex is formally a cationic complex, while Pt^{II}(phen)(L²-S)₂ is neutral. The C-O bond length is in the order *trans*-Pt^{II}(L²-S,O)₂ > Pt^{II}(phen)(L²-S)₂ > HL². This is expected since the C=O bond in the free ligand would be almost a formal double bond while the monodentate complex is expected to exhibit longer/weaker C-O bond resulting from deprotonation of the ligand. The *trans*-Pt^{II}(L²-S,O)₂ complex on the other hand would have mainly single bond character for C-O upon coordination as reflected in the 0.065 Å increase in bond length compared to that of HL².

Interestingly, the N-C(S) bond in $Pt^{II}(phen)(L^2-S)_2$ is very short (1.129(1) Å) indicating the double bond character expected for this bond from the monodentate S-coordination. This is significantly shorter than N-C(S) of *trans*-Pt^{II}(L²-*S*,*O*)₂ and HL² (1.36(1) and 1.420(4) Å respectively). This order is reversed for the N-C(O) bond length with *trans*-Pt^{II}(L²-*S*,*O*)₂ having the shortest N-C(O) ; consistent with a shift in electron density towards the O atom to allow for coordination to Pt.

3.2.5 Probing solution interactions using the Nuclear Overhauser Effect

The synthesis of $Pt^{II}(phen)(L^2-S)_2$ is typically done in polar solvents. As discussed earlier, the synthesis was most successful in methanol. The *intra*-molecular aromatic π -stacking interactions found in the SCXRD structure of $Pt^{II}(phen)(L^2-S)_2$ is expected to also be prevalent in polar solvents, as found for the self-association of $[Pt^{II}(phen)(L^1-S,O)]^+$ in water/acetonitrile mixtures which will be discussed in the next chapter. Furthermore, if this aromatic π -stacking interaction occurs in solution, it is expected to contribute to the stability of the aroyl complexes which will be absent in the unstable pivaloyl complexes. NOE experiments were conducted to investigate this phenomenon. The information obtained from such an NOE experiment allows for the assignment of nuclei that are close in space.

ROESY and NOESY1D experiments were attempted, with the best results obtained using the NOESY1D setup. For the NOESY1D experiment, the resulting ¹H enhancements as a result of the NOE of another ¹H nucleus that is close in space are monitored. The offresonance irradiated spectrum is subtracted from this NOE enhanced ¹H NMR spectrum and the resultant spectrum displays the saturated peak as a negative signal while the other peaks observed correspond to nuclei close in space, i.e. experiencing the NOE.

To have a better understanding of this phenomenon, consider a simple two spin system A and X which represents two ¹H resonances having no scalar (J) coupling interaction (Figure 3.19).



Figure 3.19 Changes in the population ratios in an NOE experiment. With (a) the initial equilibrium populations and (b) the populations when the two A transitions of equal energy are saturated.¹⁵ The different energy states are labelled N_{I-4} while α and β are the two spin state of the nuclei.

In general, A and X could be ¹H and ¹³C or any other two nuclides, but in our case the basic principles of NOE will be discussed in terms of two non-coupled ¹H resonances which represents the NOESY1D experiment performed by us.¹⁵ When the nuclear spins A and X are close in space, they have a direct magnetic dipole-dipole interaction. This interaction affects the energies of the spin states of this two-spin system in a manner shown in Figure 3.2.

The transitions between states $N_1 \leftrightarrow N_3$ and $N_2 \leftrightarrow N_4$ are the spin transitions of nucleus A, while those between $N_1 \leftrightarrow N_2$ and $N_3 \leftrightarrow N_4$ are those of nucleus X. These transitions are spectroscopically allowed and are observed as singlets for the resonance of A and X in an NMR experiment.

In the NOE experiment the transition of spin A is saturated which alters the populations of the energy states, $N_{1'.4'}$ in Figure 3.19b. The population of the spin states before (Figure 3.19a) and after selective excitation of A (Figure 3.19b) are indicated by the thickness of the bars; the thicker the bar (grey and black), the greater the population. The population contributions which have been transferred from $N_1 \rightarrow N_3$ and $N_2 \rightarrow N_4$ as a result of the selective excitation of A are indicated by light grey bars. At the stage immediately after the excitation of spin A, the population differences $N_{1'} - N_{2'}$ and $N_{3'} - N_{4'}$ are equal to the equilibrium differences $N_1 - N_2$ and $N_3 - N_4$ and the enhancement is not observed immediately. However, the system tries to restore the new populations (N_{1-4}) to the thermal equilibrium populations (N_{1-4}) through various relaxation processes indicated by W in Figure 3.19b with W_{1X} and W_{1A} the single quantum transition while W_0 and W_2 are zero and double quantum transitions respectively. W_{1X} and W_{1A} are observed spectroscopically and are typically a result of spin-lattice relaxation (T₁). W_0 and W_2 however, are spectroscopically forbidden but are allowed in relaxation and are typically related to dipole-dipole interactions.

The relaxation times of W_{1X} and W_{1A} (T₁ = several seconds) are much longer than the relaxation indicated by W_0 and W_2 (< 1 sec). The relaxation *via* W_2 results in a larger difference in $N_{1'} - N_{2'}$ and $N_{3'} - N_{4'}$ which determines the intensity of the X-transitions. It follows that W_2 leads to an enhancement effect while W_0 has the opposite effect by decreasing the population difference between the X-transitions. The mixing time which represents the time for the two coupled spins to interact, is optimised in a NOE experiment to have the maximum NOE effect with either W_0 or W_2 dominating in the specific conditions of the NOE experiment. The magnitude of the contributions of W_0 and W_2 determines whether the intensity increases, decreases or is zero ($W_0 = W_2$).

The relaxation through W_0 and W_2 is mostly dependent on the rotational correlation time, τ_c , (molecular tumbling) which is dependent on many factors including molecular size and solvent viscosity. For small molecules and low viscosity solvents τ_c is short and W_2 dominates while W_0 dominates for macromolecules, resulting in negative NOEs.

NOESY1D experiments of $Pt^{II}(phen)(L^2-S)_2$ in methanol-d₄ were conducted to probe *intra*-molecular aromatic π -stacking interactions in solution. A temperature dependence study (-8.1 to 43.7 °C) of the ¹H NMR spectrum of $Pt^{II}(phen)(L^2-S)_2$ in methanol (Figure A.21) was conducted to determine which temperature displays the appropriate interactions for the NOE experiment. No significant changes were observed for the temperature dependence and 25 °C was used for the NOESY1D experiments. The resulting ¹H NMR spectra upon irradiation of H², H^{3'}, H^{5'}, H^{6'} and H^{7'} are shown in Figure 3.20. Strong NOE enhancements were observed for the nuclei 3 bonds away from the saturated nuclei. However, we are interested in long range NOEs between nuclei that are close in space without *J* coupling to each other. A small NOE was observed for H⁵⁺⁶ upon irradiation of H^{7'}, H^{6'}, H^{3'} and H^{5'} as highlighted in Figure 3.20.



Figure 3.20 NOESY1D spectra of $Pt^{II}(phen)(L^2-S)_2$ in methanol-d₄ at 25°C. Mixing time was 500 ms, nt = 64, increments = 1024.

No NOE was observed for H^{5+6} upon irradiation of H^2 or $H^{3'}$ which suggests that the small peak observed is not an artefact. The NOE correlations are proof of the naphthoyl and phen moieties to be close in space (< 5Å) which can only be if they exhibit significant π -stacking interactions. Therefore, it is reasonable to argue that the aromatic- π stacking interaction does exist in methanol and could partially account for the marked stability and significant amounts of $Pt^{II}(phen)(L^2-S)_2$ present in the synthesis of $[Pt^{II}(phen)(L^2-S,O)]^+$.

3.2.6 Comparison of HL^n structure on the preparation of various $Pt^{II}(phen)(N,N-dialkyl-N'-acylthiourea)_2$

The possibility of other *N*,*N*-dialkyl-*N'*-acylthiourea ligands to coordinate in a monodentate fashion *via* the sulphur atom was investigated. The effect of the different groups on the acylthiourea is believed to influence the coordination behaviour due to potential weak non-covalent interactions, steric and electronic structure effects. The following structural variations were chosen (Scheme 3.6).



Scheme 3.6 Ligands used for the attempted synthesis of $Pt^{II}(phen)(L^n-S)_2$ complexes with the abbreviations from Chapter 2 used (Table 2.1).

With the ligands chosen, the difference in aroyl and pivaloyl functionality would be apparent as well as the effect of the *N*,*N*-dialkyl group with regard to coordination products and stability of $Pt^{II}(phen)(L^n-S)_2$.

The initial synthesis involved addition of 4 equivalents of ligand to platinum(II) precursor, $Pt^{II}(phen)Cl_2$, with 3.8 eq. NaOAc (sodium acetate) as base in acetonitrile (solvent). Significant amounts of $Pt^{II}(phen)(L^n-S)_2$ complexes were obtained for the aroylthioureas in Scheme 3.6. The reactions were repeated with a ligand ratio of 2.02eq relative to $Pt^{II}Cl_2(phen)$ with no significant effect on the yields. However, NaOAc is only sparingly soluble in acetonitrile and the reactions were also carried out in methanol which completely dissolves the NaOAc. The yields of the coordination products for the reaction of 2.02 eq HLⁿ in acetonitrile and methanol are shown in Table 3.5.

	Acetonit	rile Synthesis	Methanol Synthesis		
Ligand	$Pt^{II}(phen)(L^n-S)_2$	$[Pt^{II}(phen)(L^{n}-S,O)]^{+}$	$Pt^{II}(phen)(L^n-S)_2$	$[Pt^{II}(phen)(L^n-S,O)]^+$	
HL ²	90%	10%	100%	0%	
HL ³	75%	25%	99%	1%	
HL ⁴	60%	40%	100%	0%	
	0%	100%	9%	91%	
HL ¹³	10%	90%	60%	40%	

Table 3.5 Yields of the coordination products yields for the reaction of HL^n with $Pt^{II}Cl_2(phen)$ in acetonitrile and methanol.

Yields were determined using ¹*H NMR spectrum of the reaction mixture in a mixed deuterated dimethyl sulfoxide/acetonitrile solvent system and are not isolated yields.*

Interestingly, all the aroylthioureas in Scheme 3.6 have the *bis*-monodentate complexes as the major product. The pivaloylthioureas form little of this coordination product while the cationic complex is the only product for L^1 in acetonitrile. It is also clear that the formation of the *bis*-monodentate product is more favoured in methanol compared to acetonitrile.

Methanol is therefore the preferred solvent for the formation of the *bis*-monodentate complex which could be attributed to the high solubility of NaOAc in methanol.

The solubility of the base is postulated to be important since the conjugate acid (acetic acid in this case) is soluble in acetonitrile, presumably affecting the amount of ligand which will be deprotonated. However, changing the base to tri-ethylamine did not have a significant effect on the yield of the *bis*-monodentate thiourea complexes in acetonitrile. Therefore, the higher yields in methanol were attributed to the stronger hydrogen bonding capabilities of methanol which could stabilize the oxygen atom and prevent its coordination to the Pt.

Furthermore, the 1D NOESY experiments revealed the *intra*-molecular π -stacking present in methanol as shown in Figure 3.20. Such *intra*-molecular interactions could result in significant stabilisation of the monodentate Pt^{II}(phen)(L²-*S*)₂ complex. All attempts to observe this *intra*-molecular interaction in chloroform using NOE experiments were unsuccessful. Therefore, a more polar solvent (like methanol) is believed to be necessary for the *intra*-molecular π -stacking. This is consistent with proposed aggregation behaviour of Pt^{II}(phen)(L²-*S*)₂ in dimethyl sulfoxide-d₆ as well as the aggregation behaviour of [Pt^{II}(phen)(L¹-*S*,*O*)]⁺ which will be discussed in the next chapter.

The synthesis for mono-substituted acylthioureas HL^5 and HL^6 was attempted which have a chlorine and methoxy group in the *para* position of the acylthiourea (respectively). The yields in acetonitrile revealed the *bis*-monodentate complex as the major product with yields 88% for $Pt^{II}(phen)(L^5-S)_2$ and 79% for $Pt^{II}(phen)(L^6-S)_2$. The aroylthiourea mixtures are stable while the pivaloylthiourea samples were only stable for a few hours after which they turned dark brown. Figure 3.21 shows the ¹H NMR spectrum of a mixture of $[Pt^{II}(phen)(L^1-S)_2]^+$ and $Pt^{II}(phen)(L^1-S)_2$ from the synthesis in methanol.



Figure 3.21 ¹H NMR spectrum of the reaction mixture of 2.02 eq. HL^1 and $Pt^{II}Cl_2(phen)$ after all $Pt^{II}Cl_2(phen)$ was reacted.

The $Pt^{II}(phen)(L^1-S)_2$ complex is very unstable and several attempts to isolate this product were unsuccessful. The stable $Pt^{II}(phen)(L^n-S)_2$ complexes of the aroylthioureas were isolated from the reaction mixtures. First attempts were made by extracting the monodentate complex in ether since the cationic chelate complex is only sparingly soluble in ether. However, this method proved to be inefficient since the cationic complex was always present and significant amounts of free ligand. The purification was ultimately done using chromatography with Al₂O₃ as stationary phase. The use of SiO₂ was abandoned since the cation complex is too strongly retained on the silica gel and could not be removed from the column. Neutral aluminium oxide was found to be an excellent choice for this purpose since less polar solvents eluted the monodentate complexes while the cationic complex elutes with the addition of methanol. The procedure for purification includes removal of the reaction solvents since these (acetonitrile/methanol) are too polar. The reaction solvent mixture was first removed using a rotavap. The solid mixture was then re-dissolved in dichloromethane and the insoluble NaCl and NaOAc filtered off. Petroleum ether was added before loading onto the column. The free ligand, conjugate acid (acetic acid/triethylamonium chloride) and unreacted Pt(phen)Cl₂ were eluted with a dichloromethane-petroleum ether mixture while $[Pt^{II}(phen)(L^{n}-S,O)_{2}]^{+}$ and the desired $Pt^{II}(phen)(L^{n}-S)_{2}$ were retained on the column. These were selectively eluted with dichloromethane-acetonitrile mixtures and methanol.

The ¹H NMR spectrum of $Pt^{II}(phen)(L^4-S)_2$ is shown in Figure 3.23 with assignments. The aromatic region displays resonances of a symmetrically coordinated phen ligand similar to those observed for $Pt^{II}(phen)(L^2-S)_2$, and could be assigned based on the assignment of the $Pt^{II}(phen)(L^2-S)_2$ spectrum. The benzoyl protons could be assigned based on the relative integrals and multiplicities of the three sets of signals. Broad resonances are observed for the diethyl protons with the broad triplet assigned to $H^{b+b'}$ and broad peak at 3.75 ppm to $H^{a+a'}$. These assignments are consistent with the integrals of the resonances.

Single crystals suitable for single crystal x-ray diffraction were obtained for $Pt^{II}(phen)(L^4-S)_2$. The $Pt^{II}(phen)(L^3-S)_2$ complex crystallised with the crystals obtained not being single. The molecular structure of $Pt^{II}(phen)(L^4-S)_2$ is shown in Figure 3.23.



Figure 3.22 ¹H NMR spectrum of $Pt^{II}(phen)(L^4-S)_2$ in a mixture of deuterated dimethyl sulfoxide and acetonitrile.



Figure 3.23 $Pt^{II}(phen)(L^4-S)_2$ and the asymmetric unit.

The structure postulated and deduced using ¹H NMR spectroscopy of $Pt^{II}(phen)(L^4-S)_2$ could be confirmed with the SCXRD data (Figure 3.23). The crystal and structure refinement data are shown in Section 3.4 and the CIF file is given on the electronic Appendix C, accompanying this thesis. Interestingly, one water molecule crystallizes with $Pt^{II}(phen)(L^4-S)_2$, hydrogen bonding with the acyl oxygen as shown in Figure 3.24b.



Figure 3.24 The stacking of $Pt^{II}(phen)(L^4-S)_2$ in the crystal lattice with (**a**) along the a axis and (**c**) the view along the c axis. The offset aromatic- π stacking between the 1,10-phenanthroline ligands in the crystal structure of $Pt^{II}(phen)(L^4-S)_2$ is shown in (**b**) and (**d**).

The crystal packing (Figure 3.24) shows interesting intermolecular aromatic π -stacking of the phen ligands of adjacent Pt^{II}(phen)(L⁴-*S*)₂ complexes to form an infinite stack (Figure 3.24a) of two different sets of parallel stacked molecules (interaction indicated by A and B) with these sets π -stacking by interaction C (Figure 3.24c). The aromatic π -stacking is again in an offset manner with half of the phen associated on each sides of the planar ligand (Figure 3.24d). Similar aromatic stacking interactions have been postulated earlier to explain the concentration dependence of Pt^{II}(phen)(L²-*S*)₂ in chloroform-d₁ (Scheme 3.4). Selected bond lengths, distances and angles for Pt^{II}(phen)(L⁴-*S*)₂·H₂O are summarized in Table 3.6.



Figure 3.25 Atom numbering and phenyl group numbers of $Pt^{II}(phen)(L^4-S)_2$ for Table 3.6. The encircled structure is a different view of the adjacent molecular structure to show all atom numbering in the two views with the phenyl numbering 1-4 used Table 3.6.

Table 3.6 Selected bond lengths, angles, torsion angles, plane angles and distances in the crystal structure of $Pt^{II}(phen)(L^4-S)_2$

Bonds:	Å	Bonds:	Å	Distances:	Å
PT1-S5	2.2800(7)	N24-C41	1.3495(5)	C69 phen-pl	4.314
PT1-S7	2.2808(8)	C12-N14	1.3348(5)	C62 phen-pl	3.566
PT1-N1	2.0620(7)	N17-C75	1.3587(5)	*A phen-pl phen-pl	3.215
PT1-N5	2.0505(7)	N6-C5	1.3536(4)	[*] C phen-pl phen-pl	3.006**
PT2-S4	2.2836(7)	N21-C41	1.3187(5)	[*] B phen-pl phen-pl	3.333
PT2-S6	2.2790(6)	N21-C53	1.3608(5)	Torsion angles:	
PT2-N2	2.0633(6)	N7-C12	1.3118(4)	01-C8-C35-C80	-20.84
PT2-N4	2.0528(6)	N7-C6	1.3409(6)	O3-C66-C69-C49	-14.11
S5-C41	1.7459(6)	N38-C66	1.3511(6)	O4-C6-C3-C2	-22.51
S7-C12	1.7551(8)	N38-C75	1.3165(4)	O6-C53-C34-C37	-17.54
S4-C75	1.7511(8)	N3-C8	1.3311(5)	Plane angles:	
S6-C5	1.7386(7)	N3-C5	1.3220(5)	N1-Pt1-N5 < S5-Pt1-S7	9.30°
O6-C53	1.2392(4)	C6-C3	1.5189(4)	N2-Pt2-N4 < S4-Pt2-S6	11.67°
O4-C6	1.2335(4)	C66-C69	1.5224(5)	*A phen-pl < phen-pl	0.00°
O3-C66	1.2399(4)	C34-C53	1.5068(5)		

O1-C8	1.2409(4)	C35-C8	1.4925(5)	[*] C phen-pl < phen-pl	5.57°
				[*] B phen-pl < phen-pl	0.00°
				Ph(1) < phen-pl (Pt1)	63.14°
				Ph(2) < phen-pl (Pt1)	61.88°
				Ph(3) < phen-pl (Pt2)	60.33°
				Ph(4) < phen-pl (Pt2)	50.81°

A*, *B*, and *C* indicates two 1,10-phenanthroline stacking as shown in Figure 3.24. ^{} The distance of the two closest atoms of the corresponding planes generated from all the atoms of the phen ligand. Figures A.24 and A.25 contain more images with measured angles and distances to clarify the nomenclature used.

The aromatic π -stacking of the 1,10-phenanthroline moieties show two parallel stacked interactions with gap A and B as shown in Figure 3.24c (0.00° angle with distances 3.215 and 3.333 Å for A and B respectively). The sets of parallel stacked molecules are connected by an π -stacking interaction with an angle of 5.57° and the closest point 3.006 Å. Interestingly, this angle is almost identical to the π -stacking angle of 5.50° observed for the naphthyl group and phen in the crystal structure of Pt^{II}(phen)(L²-*S*)₂ and the 4° predicted by by Kobayashi and co-workers for related systems.²¹ Selected bond lengths of Pt^{II}(phen)(L⁴-*S*)₂·H₂O are compared to Pt^{II}(phen)(L²-*S*)₂ and their free ligands HL⁴ and HL² (Table 3.7).

Table 3.7 Comparison of relevant bond lengths and angles of $Pt^{II}(phen)(L^4-S)_2 \cdot H_2O$, $Pt^{II}(phen)(L^2-S)_2$ and their ligands, HL^4 and HL^2 with the average bond lengths reported for $Pt^{II}(phen)(L^4-S)_2 \cdot H_2O$ and $Pt^{II}(phen)(L^2-S)_2$.

Bond avg: Å	$Pt^{II}(phen)(L^2-S)_2$	Pt ^{II} (phen)(L ⁴ -S) ₂ ·H ₂ O	HL^{2 [24]}	HL ^{4 [25]}
Pt-S	2.292(2)	2.281(8)		
Pt-N	2.056(2)	2.057(7)		
C-0	1.229(1)	1.238(4)	1.215(3)	1.219(1)
C-S	1.780(2)	1.748(8)	1.662(2)	1.677(1)
N-C(O)	1.367(2)	1.346(6)	1.376(4)	1.387(1)
N-C(S)	1.129(1)	1.317(6)	1.420(4)	1.418(2)
$(S)C-N(R_2)$	1.357(2)	1.349(5)	1.320(4)	1.326(1)
C(O)-Ar	1.524(2)	1.510(5)		1.495(2)
Torsion angles:				
O-C-C-*Ar1	-6.97°	-15.53°		
O-C-C-*Ar2	-17.36°	-21.68°		
Plane angles:				
N-Pt-N < S-Pt-S	2.42°	10.49°		
Ar < phen-pl	5.50°	56.35°		
Ar2 < phen-pl	15.20°	61.74°		

A marked increase in bond lengths of C-O and C-S is observed between the ligands HL^2 and HL^4 and their corresponding complexes $Pt^{II}(phen)(L^2-S)_2$ and $Pt^{II}(phen)(L^4-S)_2$. This is expected since the bond character changes dramatically from carbonyl and thiocarbonyl in the ligands to more single bond character upon coordination to the metal centre. The average C-N bond length of the ligands and complexes shown in Table 3.7, consistent with a partial C-N double bond since all C-N bonds of the ligands are shorter than the average C-N single bond (1.472(5) Å).²⁶

The only significant difference between the two crystal structures of $Pt^{II}(phen)(L^2-S)_2$ and $Pt^{II}(phen)(L^4-S)_2 \cdot H_2O$, apart from the water of crystallization and the π -stacking of the phen, is the N-C(S) bond length, stacking angles of the aroyl to phen planes and the tortion angle of the aroyl group. The bond length of N-C(S) in $Pt^{II}(phen)(L^2-S)_2$ is significantly shorter (1.129(1) Å) than the N-C(S) bond length in $Pt^{II}(phen)(L^4-S)_2$ (1.317(6) Å). The square planar geometry of $Pt^{II}(phen)(L^4-S)_2$ is more distorted (10.49°) compared to $Pt^{II}(phen)(L^2-S)_2$ (2.42°) which is closer to the 0.00° ideal square planar angle between the planes created by N-Pt-N and S-Pt-S fragments. Furthermore, the aroyl groups of $Pt^{II}(phen)(L^2-S)_2 \pi$ -stack much more parallel with phen than in $Pt^{II}(phen)(L^4-S)_2$ (Ar < phen-pl = 5.50° compared to 56.35°). The benzoyl groups also show a larger torsion angle between O-C-C-Ar1 (-15.53°) compared to the naphthoyl group (-6.97°).

3.2.7 Ligand characteristics/effect on synthesis and stability

Distinct variation in the yields and stabilities of $Pt^{II}(phen)(L^n-S)_2$ complexes were observed. The complexes of the pivaloylthioureas (HL¹ and HL¹³) were found to be unstable while the aroylthioureas (HL², HL³ and HL⁴) formed stable *bis*-monodentate complexes as the only product in methanol. Therefore, the ligand characteristics were investigated using ¹³C{¹H} NMR, IR Spectroscopy and SCXRD data in an attempt to establish the relationship between stability and structure. It was previously mentioned that *intra*-molecular aromatic π -stacking interactions as a possible stabilizing factor for the stability observed for the aroylthioureas. Electronic effects due to the distinctly different properties of an aryl and the ^{*t*}butyl functionality will also be considered.

The ¹³C{¹H} NMR spectrum is believed to give an indication of the different chemical environments of the carbonyl and thiocarbonyl, providing insight in the electronic structures of the various ligands. The ¹³C{¹H} NMR spectra of HL¹, HL², HL³ and HL¹³ are shown in Figure 3.26.



Figure 3.26 ${}^{13}C{}^{1}H$ NMR spectra of HL¹, HL², HL³ and HL¹³ in chloroform-d₁.

Interestingly, distinct differences are observed for the two groups of ligands (pivaloyl and aroyl). The resonance of the carbonyl carbons of the aroylthioureas are shifted more than 9 ppm upfield compared to those of HL^1 and HL^{13} . Furthermore, the carbons labelled C^a and $C^{a'}$ of the acylthioureas are almost equivalent while C^a and $C^{a'}$ of the pivaloylthioureas have significantly different chemical shifts. The ${}^{13}C{}^{1}H{}$ NMR chemical shifts as well as FT-IR data and bond lengths from crystal data are summarised in Table 3.8.

Ligand		¹³ C NM	R (ppm)	FT-IR (cm ⁻¹)	bo	nd length	is (Å) ^[24,25,2]	7,28,29]
		C=O	C=S	C=O	C=O	C=S	C-C(O)	N-C(S)
S H H H	HL ²	165.3	179.3	1680	1.215	1.662	-	1.420
O S H H	HL3	163.7	179.8	1684	1.214	1.684	1.492	1.326
N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N	HL^4	-	-	1650	1.219	1.677	1.495	1.326
N N N	HL^1	174.5	176.7	1678	1.221	1.677	1.523	1.320
N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N	HL ¹³	174.5	180.0	1653	1.222	1.668	1.540	1.321

Table 3.8 Comparison to ¹³C{¹H} NMR, FT-IR and SCXRD data of the series of ligands.

No systematic differences were observed for the carbonyl stretching frequencies for the series of ligands. However, the C=O bond length estimated from crystal data show a slightly

longer/weaker bond for the pivaloyl ligands. This is expected to be a result of the inductive effect (+*I*) of the ^tbutyl group which pushes the electron density towards the carbonyl carbon through the σ -bond C-C(O). Furthermore, this bond is also longer for the pivaloylthioureas which is consistent with the carbonyl carbon bonded to a sp³ carbon compared to a sp² carbon for the acylthioureas. The N-C(S) bond on the other hand is shorter for the pivaloylthioureas which implies more double bond character which is also reflected in the two distinct signals observed for C^a and C^{a'} in the ¹³C{¹H} NMR spectra of the various ligands.

Interesting electronic resonance structures were calculated for various HL^n ligands by Mengstu and co-workers.³⁰ The probability of the mesomeric resonance structures of HL^1 and HL^2 involving a negative charge on the sulphur atom are shown in Figure 3.27, since HL^2 forms mainly $Pt^{II}(phen)(L^2-S)_2$ while HL^1 forms exclusively $[Pt^{II}(phen)(L^1-S,O)]^+$ in acetonitrile.



Figure 3.27 Three most significant resonance structures of HL^1 and HL^2 concerning the sulphur atom as calculated from Natural Resonance Theory Analysis.³⁰

The resonance is significantly more shifted towards the sulphur atom for HL^2 with a total of 43.1% compared to 31.1% for HL^1 . ³⁰ The mesomeric effect of the aromatic substituent on the magnetic shielding of the carbonyl carbon needs to be considered since it is not possible for the ^tbutyl group. The pivaloyl group only exhibits an inductive effect which is positive, while the aroylthioureas have a mesomeric as well as an inductive effect on the carbonyl functionality. The mesomeric structures of the aryl group are shown in Figure 3.28.



Figure 3.28 Proposed mesomeric effect of the naphthoyl moiety of HL^2 .

Pople and co-workers have shown that the planar configuration of substituted benzoyl is the most stable configuration as a result of a positive mesomeric (+*M*) effect while the sp^2 hybridization of the aromatic carbon leads to a negative inductive effect (-*I*).²² They have also concluded that the carbonyl is more stabilised by aromatic substituents compared to methyl and other sp^3 carbon groups. This is consistent with what was observed experimentally; the carbonyls are close to co-planar of the aromatic moieties which allows for extensive resonance of the conjugated π -electrons of the aryl and carbonyl in the aroyl functionality.

The steric effect of the relatively bulky naphyl group compared to ^tbutyl would significantly influence the chelation of the oxygen since the conformation drawn in Figure 3.28 is also observed in the crystal structures of HL^2 , $Pt^{II}(phen)(L^2-S)_2$ and *trans*- $Pt^{II}(L^2-S,O)_2$. The naphthoyl group is almost flat with the naphthyl pointing in the same direction as the carbonyl C=O bond which allows for a weak hydrogen bonding between H⁸ and the carbonyl oxygen to form a favourable six membered ring as shown in Figure 3.28.

3.3 Conclusions

In conclusion, monodentate $Pt^{II}(phen)(L^n-S)_2$ complexes was successfully synthesised, the first example of these types of complexes. The monodentate sulphur coordination of deprotonated *N*,*N*-dialkyl-*N'*-acylthioureas is extremely rare with only HL⁴ known to coordinate in this fashion in two examples of Cu(I) and Cu(II) cluster complexes.¹¹ The $Pt^{II}(phen)(L^n-S)_2$ complexes could be synthesised in high yields (>90%) for complexes with aroylthioureas while the pivaloylthioureas seem to be unstable, formed in markedly lower yields <60%.

It was found that $Pt^{II}(phen)(L^2-S)_2$ exhibits significant chemical shift concentration dependence in chloroform- d_1 which is consistent with coplanar π -stacking of the 1,10-phenanthroline ligands of two complexes in solution. Furthermore, the temperature dependence of $Pt^{II}(phen)(L^2-S)_2$ in chloroform- d_1 and dimethyl sulfoxide- d_6 suggests a combination of self-association and/or *intra*-molecular π -stacking between the naphthoyl moiety of L^2 and 1,10-phenanthroline.

Crystal structures were obtained for $Pt^{II}(phen)(L^2-S)_2$ and $Pt^{II}(phen)(L^4-S)_2$ which showed interesting *intra*-molecular π -stacking interactions, consistent with the NMR concentration and temperature dependence data. The two naphthoyl moieties of the *S*-coordinated L^2 of the $Pt^{II}(phen)(L^2-S)_2$ complex are 'clipped' around the bound 1,10-phenanthroline ligand to form favourable offset π -stacking interactions. This *intra*-molecular π -stacking interaction between the ligands of $Pt^{II}(phen)(L^2-S)_2$ were attributed to the occurrence and stability of this complex. This complex in particular, forms in the synthesis of $[Pt^{II}(phen)(L^2-S,O)]CI$ with only 1 mole equivalence of HL^2 to Pt. The existence of this *intra*-molecular π -stacking could be confirmed, which is believed to stabilise this complex significantly in methanol solutions, using 1D-NOESY data.

The crystal structure of $Pt^{II}(phen)(L^4-S)_2$ revealed the co-crystallization of one H₂O molecule per $Pt^{II}(phen)(L^4-S)_2$ which hydrogen bonds to the benzoyl-oxygen atom of one of the coordinated L⁴ ligands. Furthermore, intermolecular π -stacking interactions between the bound 1,10-phenanthroline ligands of neighbouring complexes are observed which is similar to the π -stacking postulated for the self-association of $Pt^{II}(phen)(L^4-S)_2$ in chloroform.

The carbonyl and aromatic group of the acylthiourea in the crystal structures are co-planar, suggesting extensive delocalization of the electron density between the two groups. This is reflected in the ${}^{13}C{}^{1}H$ NMR chemical shifts of the ligands as well as the crystallographic C-O bond lengths.

The aromatic moiety withdraws electron density from the carbonyl group as a result of the inductive effect of the sp² hybridisation (-*I*) in contrast to the positive mesomeric effect (resonance) which results in shielding of the carbonyl carbon as the π -electrons is shifted towards the oxygen. This is consistent with the carbonyl chemical shifts in the free ligands which is significantly more upfield (164-169 ppm) compared to the pivaloylthioureas (177-180 ppm).

	$Pt^{II}(phen)(L^2-S)_2$	Pt ^{II} (phen)(L ⁴ -S) ₂ ·H ₂ O
Empirical formula	$C_{52}H_{58}N_6O_2PtS_2$	$C_{36}H_{36}N_6O_2PtS_2,C_{36}$
		H ₃₈ N ₆ O ₂ Pt S ₂ , 2(H ₂ O)
Formula weight	1058.25	1725.88
Temperature (K)	100	100
Wavelength (Å)	0.71073	0.71073
Crystal system	Orthorhombic	Triclinic
Space group	Pca21	P-1
Unit cell dimensions (Å, °)	a = 32.089(5)	13.203(6) $\alpha = 102.474(5)$
	b = 10.5036(15)	14.849(7) $\beta = 108.801(5)$
	c = 13.786(2)	19.502(9) $\gamma = 94.644(5)$
Volume (Å)	4646.6(12)	3487(3)
Ζ	4	2
Calculated density (g cm ⁻³)	1.513	1.644
Absorption coefficient (mm ⁻¹)	3.158	4.189
F_{000}	2152	1724
Crystal size (mm ³)	$0.12\times0.17\times0.28$	0.08 x 0.12 x 0.28
θ range for data collection (°)	1.9 to 28.9	1.4 to 25.1
Reflections collected	28874	33590
Independent reflections	10255 [$R_{\rm int} = 0.030$]	12306 [$R_{int} = 0.065$]
Data / restraints / parameters	9243 / 0 / 572	8821/0/901
Final <i>R</i> indices $[I > 2\sigma(I)]$	R1 = 0.0222, wR2 = 0.0456	R1 = 0.0429, wR2 = 0.1006
Largest diff. peak and hole (e $Å^{-3}$)	-0.52, 0.69	-2.05, 1.37

3.4 Crystal and Structure Refinement Data for $Pt^{II}(phen)(L^2-S)_2$ and $Pt^{II}(phen)(L^4-S)_2 \cdot H_2O$

3.5 References

- 1. K. R. Koch, C. Sacht, C. Lawrence, *Dalton Trans.*, 4, 1998, 689-695.
- 2. Y. S. Wu, K. R. Koch, V. R. Abratt, H. H. Klump, Archives of Biochem. Biophys., 2005, 440, 28-37.
- T. J. Egan, K. R. Koch, P. L. Swan, C. Clarkson, D. A. Van Schalkwyk, P. J. Smith, J. Med. Chem., 2004, 47, 2926-2934.
- 4. K. R. Koch, Coord. Chem. Rev., 216-217, 2001, 473-488.
- 5. R. G. Pearson, Science, 151, 1966, 172-177.

- 6. P. L. Swan, MSc. thesis, University of Cape Town, 2002
- 7. K.R. Koch, A. Irving, M. Matoetoe, Inorg. Chim. Acta, 206, 1993, 193-199.
- 8. K. R. Koch, T. Grimmbacher, C. Sacht, Polyhedron, 17, 1998, 267-274.
- 9. K. R. Koch, Y. Wang, A. Coetzee, J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans., 1999, 1013-1016.
- 10. K.R. Koch, S. Bourne, J. Molecular Structure, 441, 1998, 11-16.
- 11. D. Che, X. Yao, G. Li, Y. Hong Li, J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans., 11, 1998, 1853-1856.
- 12. D. Che, G. Li, X. Yao, Y. Zhu, D. Zhou, J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans., 16, 1999, 2683-2687
- 13. C. J. Lawrence, MSc. thesis, University of Cape Town, 1997
- 14. M. C. Matoetoe, K. R Koch, Mag. Res. Chem., 29, 1991, 1158-1160.
- 15. H. Friebolin, Basic One- and Two-Dimensional NMR Spectroscopy, Wiley-VCH, Wheinheim, 2005
- 16. I. A. Kotzé, W. J. Gerber, J. M. Mckenzie, K. R. Koch, Eur. J. Inorg. Chem., 2009, 12, 1626-1633.
- 17. T. Förster, Pure Appl. Chem., 7, 1963, 73-78.
- 18. C. A. Hunter, J. K. M. Sanders, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1990, 112, 5525-5534.
- 19. F. P. Gasparro, N. H. Kolodny, J. Chem. Ed., 54, 1977, 258-261
- 20. L. W. Reeves, R. C. Shaddick, K. N. Shaw, Can. J. Chem., 49, 1971, 3683-3691.
- S. Enomoto, K. Kumagai, T. Tamura, M. Hasegawa, K. Nakada, T. Hoshi, M. Kobayashi, *Monatshefte fuer Chemie*, 2004, 135, 471-481.
- 22. W. J. Hehre, L. Radom, J. A. Pople, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 94, 1972, 1496-1504.
- 23. K.R. Koch, J. du Toit, M.R. Caira, C. Sacht, J. Chem. Soc. Dalton Trans., 1994, 785-786
- 24. K. R. Koch and C. Sacht, unpublished results.
- L.R. Gomes, L.M.N.B.F. Santos, J.A.P. Coutinho, B.Schroder, J.N. Low, *Acta Crystallogr., Sect.E*, 2010, 66, 870
- 26. *CRC Handbook of Chemistry and Physics*, 64th edn., ed. R.C. Weast, CRC Press, Boca Raton, Florida, 1983, p. F-171.
- 27. N. Gunasekaran, R. Karvembu, Seik Weng Ng, E. R. T. Tiekink, Acta Cryst., E66, 2010, 2601
- 28. J. Dillen, M. G. Woldu, K. R. Koch, Acta Cryst., E62, 2006, 5228–5229
- 29. J. Dillen, M. G. Woldu, K. R. Koch, Acta Cryst., E62, 2006, 4819-4820
- 30. Ghebreysus Woldu Mengstu, MSc. Thesis, Stellenbosch University, 2004

4

Cation- π induced aggregation of water-soluble $[Pt^{II}(diimine)(L^n-S,O)]^+$ complexes studied by ¹H DOSY NMR and TEM: from 'dimer aggregates' in acetonitrile to nano-aggregates ('metallogels') in water

Results of this chapter have been published in Dalton Transactions 2013 (Appendix B)

This chapter describes the interesting self-association behaviour of some $[Pt^{II}(diimine)(L^n-S,O)]^+$ complexes in solution. This behaviour illustrates the tendency of these cationic complexes to form relatively strong non-covalent interactions in solution. Therefore, we propose $[Pt^{II}(diimine)(L^n-S,O)]^+$ complexes to be β -haematin inhibitors *via* relatively strong non-covalent interactions between the complex cation and haematin. More specifically, the self-association of $[Pt^{II}(phen)(L^1-S,O)]^+$ will be studied in D₂O:CD₃CN mixtures ranging from pure CD₃CN to D₂O only, towards understanding the non-covalent self-association phenomenon in detail. The effect of NaCl to the extent of aggregation of $[Pt^{II}(phen)(L^1-S,O)]^+$ complexes with pyrene (C₁₆H₁₀), will be studied by ¹H and DOSY NMR spectroscopy, as a crude model for complex/haematin interaction, since haematin is paramagnetic and could not be studied by NMR spectroscopy.

4.1 Introduction

The 'self-association' of transition metal complexes, which display biological activity of potential pharmaceutical use, has been the subject of extensive interest in the last decade since their detailed physiochemical behaviour particularly in aqueous solution may have important implications on their mode of action.¹⁻⁵ Our interest in the chemistry of planar, cationic mixed-ligand Pt^{II} complexes of the general type $[Pt^{II}(diimine)(L^n-S, O)]^+$ (where diimine is 2,2-bipyridine or 1,10-phenanthroline and HLⁿ-S,O are various chelating N-acyl-N,N-dialkylthioureas), arises from their interesting biological activity ranging from potential anti-malarial activity,⁶ to DNA-intercalation and demonstrable *in vivo* activity toward bacterial E. coli AB1886 (uvr A) cultures.⁷ It was previously shown that [Pt^{II}(2,2'bipyridyl)(*N*,*N*-di(2-hydroxyethyl)-*N*'-benzoylthiourea)]Cl undergo interesting DNAtemplated 'biomineralization'.⁸ The *in vitro* anti-malarial activity of $[Pt^{II}(diimine)(L^n-S,O)]^+$ is postulated to arise by inhibition of β -hematin formation (synthetic haemozoin or malaria pigment) presumably as a result of the cationic planar complex $[Pt^{II}(diimine)(L^n-S,O)]^+$ forming moderately strong outer-sphere aggregates with ferriprotoporphyrin IX, as can be demonstrated in 40% aqueous dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO) solution, possibly through noncovalent cation- π interactions.⁶ Moreover the ¹H NMR spectra of the series of cationic $[Pt^{II}(diimine)(N,N-di(n-butyl)-N'-benzoylthiourea]^+$ complexes (as PF₆ salts where diimine = 1,10-phenanthroline, 4,7-diphenyl-1,10-phenanthroline, 2,2-bipyridyl, 4,4-di-tert-butyl-2,2bipyridyl and 4,4-dimethyl-2,2-bipyridyl) in acetonitrile at room temperature show significant concentration dependence, consistent with the formation of non-covalent dimer aggregates $2M^+ \rightleftharpoons \{M^+\}_2$ (where $M^+ = [Pt^{II}(dimine)(L^n - S, O)]^+).$

This concentration dependence of the ¹H NMR chemical shifts can be used to estimate the association constants of such an aggregation process, while the relative spatial orientation of the molecules undergoing non-covalent association may be inferred from the extent of the relative changes in ¹H NMR chemical shifts induced as a function of concentration.⁹⁻¹² A recent, detailed study of the water-soluble [Pt^{II}(1,10-phenanthroline)(*N*-pyrrolidyl-*N*-(2,2-dimethylpropanoyl)-thiourea)]C1 ([Pt^{II}(phen)(L¹-*S*,*O*)]C1) in acetonitrile showed that in addition to the non-covalent aggregation of the cationic [Pt^{II}(phen)(L¹-*S*,*O*)]⁺ complexes to result in dimer aggregates $2M^+ \rightleftharpoons \{M^+\}_2$ in solution (Scheme 4.1), the [Pt^{II}(phen)(L¹-*S*,*O*)]⁺ cation certainly forms non-covalent *hetero*-aggregates with aromatic molecules such as

fluoranthene (F) corresponding to $M^+ + F \rightleftharpoons M^+F$ in acetonitrile, with an estimated association constant $K_B \sim 67 \pm 7 \ M^{-1}$ at 273.4 K.¹³ Moreover, in water-rich acetonitrile solutions the ¹H NMR spectra of the [Pt^{II}(phen)(L¹-*S*,*O*)]⁺ become progressively broader as the relative amount of water increases. This corroborates observations of extremely broad, almost featureless ¹H NMR spectra obtained in D₂O at room temperature of the highly watersoluble complex [Pt^{II}(diimine)(*N*,*N*-di(2-hydroxyethyl)-*N'*-benzoylthiourea)]Cl (Figure A.36).¹⁴ These interesting NMR spectra suggest formation of larger nano-scale aggregate structures in water of such cationic complexes,¹⁴ the detailed nature of which has not been established to date.



Scheme 4.1 Postulated 'average' structure of a $\{[Pt^{II}(phen)(L^1-S, O)]^+\}_2$ dimer aggregate in solution based on ¹H NMR shielding trends as a function of concentration.

A study of the non-covalent aggregation behaviour of $[Pt^{II}(phen)(L^1-S,O)]^+$ cations in acetonitrile/water mixtures ranging from pure acetonitrile to pure water by means of the concentration dependence of the ¹H NMR and Diffusion Ordered Spectroscopy (DOSY) techniques, supplemented by Transmission Electron Microscopy, to elucidate the nature of these phenomena and the structure of the nano-aggregates which appear to form in water is presented here. The hetero-association of $[Pt^{II}(phen)(L^1-S,O)]^+$ and polyaromatic hydrocarbon pyrene was also investigated using ¹H and DOSY NMR.

¹H Diffusion Ordered Spectroscopy is a suitable technique for studying aggregation behaviour in solution since diffusion coefficients, which are very sensitive towards changes in the molecular/aggregate size, while the number of individual molecules which constitute an aggregate may be approximately estimated using the Stokes-Einstein equation.^{15,16} The aim is to mimic the biological media in which such complex cations may be active, particularly in the context to their potential anti-malarial activity *in vitro* and/or *in vivo*.¹⁷

4.2 Results and discussion

4.2.1 The effect of solvent composition $(0-30\% (v/v) D_2O:CD_3CN)$ on aggregation of $[Pt^{II}(phen)(L^1-S,O)]Cl$.

The ¹H NMR chemical shift concentration dependence trends obtained in pure acetonitrile and 10% (v/v) D₂O:CD₃CN (Figure 4.1a), as well as diffusion coefficients obtained by DOSY NMR (*vide infra*) of [Pt^{II}(phen)(L¹-*S*,*O*)]Cl can satisfactorily be accounted for by means of an aggregation model resulting in essentially exclusive formation of a $\{[Pt^{II}(diimine)(L^n-S,O)]^+\}_2$ dimer, consistent with a non-covalent cation- π association of [Pt^{II}(phen)(L¹-*S*,*O*)]⁺ as demonstrated previously for related complexes.^{9,13} However, by increasing the water content in these solutions, the ¹H NMR resonances as a function of [Pt^{II}(phen)(L¹-*S*,*O*)]Cl concentration at 299.3 K become significantly broader as shown for 100% D₂O in Figure 4.1b.



Figure 4.1 ¹H NMR spectra (599.99 MHz) of $[Pt^{II}(phen)(L^1-S,O)]^+$ showing the chemical shift dependence of the 1,10-phenanthroline protons on the concentration of $[Pt^{II}(phen)(L^1-S,O)]^+$ in solutions containing (a) 10% (v/v) D₂O:CD₃CN (0.3 – 26.4 mM, 299.3K) and (b) D₂O (0.3 – 25.0 mM, 309.6K)

Moreover, all the ¹H NMR peaks of the diimine moiety of the platinum complex show relatively larger upfield chemical shift displacements (peaks become more shielded) as the water content of the solutions increases, as well as on increasing the concentration of $[Pt^{II}(phen)(L^1-S,O)]^+$ for a given acetonitrile/water mixture. Since only one set of resonance signals is observed in the ¹H NMR spectra for the $[Pt^{II}(phen)(L^1-S,O)]^+$ complex/aggregate under any conditions, these complexes are probably in fast to intermediate exchange on the NMR timescale for the temperature range of 267.1 to 309.6 K. The relative upfield displacements of the $\delta_{obs}(H^2)$ and $\delta_{obs}(H^9)$ resonances (δ /ppm) of the diimine moiety of the $[Pt^{II}(phen)(L^1-S,O)]^+$ cation are significantly more pronounced compared to the ¹H NMR

signals of the *N*-acyl-*N*,*N*-dialkylthiourea moiety with increasing concentration (Figure 4.1a) and increasing water content of the solvent mixture (Figure 4.1b).

The relative changes of $\delta_{obs}(H^{2/9})/ppm$ induced as the concentration of $[Pt^{II}(phen)(L^{1}-S,O)]CI$ increases from 0.34 - 10.3 mM are significantly larger in pure D₂O compared to acetonitrile ($\Delta^{max}\delta_{MeCN} = 0.28$ ppm to $\Delta^{max}\delta_{D2O} = 0.41$ ppm). Similar trends have been reported for the related $[Pt^{II}(diimine)(N,N-di(n-butyl)-N'-benzoylthiourea]^+$ cation (Figure A.36).¹⁴ The experimental trends of $\delta_{obs}(H^2)$ as a function of $[Pt^{II}(phen)(L^1-S,O)]CI$ concentration in solutions up to 30% (v/v) D₂O:CD₃CN at various temperatures are shown in Figure 4.2. Non-linear least squares fitting of the experimental $\delta_{obs}(H^2)$ data to a dimer aggregate model $2M^+ \rightleftharpoons \{M^+\}_2$ ($M^+ = [Pt^{II}(phen)(L^1-S,O)]^+$) results in excellent agreement , allowing for estimated K_D (RSD_{max} < 13%) values in 0, 10, 20 and 30% (v/v) D₂O:CD₃CN



Figure 4.2 Excellent agreement between the dimer model least-squares fits and the experimental (symbols) chemical shift dependence of the 1,10-phenanthroline H^2 proton a concentration probe of [Pt^{II}(phen)(L¹-*S*,*O*)]Cl in (**a**) 0:100 (**b**)10:90, (**c**) 20:80 and (**d**) 30:70 (v/v) D₂O:CD₃CN mixtures. *(Calculated monomer and dimer chemical shifts available in Appendix A)*

Standard reaction enthalpy ($\Delta_r H^0$) and entropy ($\Delta_r S^0$) values were estimated by fitting the Van't Hoff equation 4.1 to the temperature dependent K_D data resulting in the Van't Hoff plots shown in Figure 4.3; the good linear plots of lnK_D vs 1/T are consistent with only a dimer 2M⁺ \Rightarrow {M⁺}₂ equilibrium and rule out other possible competing association processes or equilibria, such as ion-pairing and/or higher order aggregate formation for these solvent compositions (\leq 30% (v/v) D₂O:CD₃CN).



Figure 4.3 Van't Hoff Plots of the dimerization of $[Pt^{II}(phen)(L^1-S, O)]^+$ in solutions 0-30% (v/v) D₂O:CD₃CN.

The increase in K_D by a factor of 4 - 5 as the solvent composition is changed from pure acetonitrile to 30% (v/v) $D_2O:CD_3CN$ mixtures indicates that the dimer aggregate $\{[Pt^{II}(phen)(L^1-S,O)]^+\}_2$ is clearly favoured with increasing water (D₂O) content, as might be anticipated due to the expected hydrophobicity of such planar complex cations.

The process $2M^+ \rightleftharpoons \{M^+\}_2$, is clearly enthalpy driven $(\Delta_r H^0 < 0)$ while a negative standard reaction entropy $(\Delta_r S^0 < 0)$ is consistent with an aggregation/association process (Table 4.1).¹⁸ Interestingly the enthalpy of the dimer formation $(\Delta_r H^0)$ decreases somewhat on passing from pure acetonitrile $(\Delta_r H^0 = -25.1 \text{ kJ.mol}^{-1})$ to a 10% (v/v) D₂O:CD₃CN ($\Delta_r H^0 = -25.1 \text{ kJ.mol}^{-1}$) to a 10% (v/v) D₂O:CD₃CN ($\Delta_r H^0 = -25.1 \text{ kJ.mol}^{-1}$) to a 10% (v/v) D₂O:CD₃CN ($\Delta_r H^0 = -25.1 \text{ kJ.mol}^{-1}$) to a 10% (v/v) D₂O:CD₃CN ($\Delta_r H^0 = -25.1 \text{ kJ.mol}^{-1}$) to a 10% (v/v) D₂O:CD₃CN ($\Delta_r H^0 = -25.1 \text{ kJ.mol}^{-1}$) to a 10% (v/v) D₂O:CD₃CN ($\Delta_r H^0 = -25.1 \text{ kJ.mol}^{-1}$) to a 10% (v/v) D₂O:CD₃CN ($\Delta_r H^0 = -25.1 \text{ kJ.mol}^{-1}$) to a 10% (v/v) D₂O:CD₃CN ($\Delta_r H^0 = -25.1 \text{ kJ.mol}^{-1}$) to a 10% (v/v) D₂O:CD₃CN ($\Delta_r H^0 = -25.1 \text{ kJ.mol}^{-1}$) to a 10% (v/v) D₂O:CD₃CN ($\Delta_r H^0 = -25.1 \text{ kJ.mol}^{-1}$) to a 10% (v/v) D₂O:CD₃CN ($\Delta_r H^0 = -25.1 \text{ kJ.mol}^{-1}$) to a 10% (v/v) D₂O:CD₃CN ($\Delta_r H^0 = -25.1 \text{ kJ.mol}^{-1}$) to a 10% (v/v) D₂O:CD₃CN ($\Delta_r H^0 = -25.1 \text{ kJ.mol}^{-1}$) to a 10% (v/v) D₂O:CD₃CN ($\Delta_r H^0 = -25.1 \text{ kJ.mol}^{-1}$) to a 10% (v/v) D₂O:CD₃CN ($\Delta_r H^0 = -25.1 \text{ kJ.mol}^{-1}$) to a 10% (v/v) D₂O:CD₃CN ($\Delta_r H^0 = -25.1 \text{ kJ.mol}^{-1}$) to a 10% (v/v) D₂O:CD₃CN (\Delta_r H^0 = -25.1 \text{ kJ.mol}^{-1})) to a 10% (v/v) D₂O:CD₃CN (v/v) D₂O:CD₃
19.7 kJ.mol⁻¹) mixture, after which the reaction enthalpy remains essentially constant within experimental error for 20 and 30% (v/v) $D_2O:CD_3CN$ solutions.

By contrast the $\Delta_r S^0$ becomes systematically less negative as the D₂O content increases, Table 4.1. Doty and Myers attributed similar trends in $\Delta\Delta_r S^0$ for dimerization of protein moieties, to the dehydration of charged groups upon aggregation, while Kauzmann and Scheraga suggested that this trend may be due to non-covalent hydrophobic interaction of non-polar groups increasing the degree of freedom of the water molecules close to hydrophobic groups.¹⁹⁻²¹ It is thus reasonable to postulate that the trends in $\Delta\Delta_r S^0$ observed in this study may be attributed to the "hydrophobicity" of the coordinated 1,10-phenanthroline moiety, the effects of which become more significant as the solvent polarity increases with increasing water content of the solvent mixture (dielectric constant, $\varepsilon_{water} = 78.5$ and $\varepsilon_{acetonitrile}$ = 37.5).^{22,23}

Table 4.1 Thermodynamic data for the self-association of $[Pt^{II}(phen)(L^1-S,O)]^+$ in 0-30% (v/v) D₂O:CD₃CN solutions as calculated from ¹H NMR chemical shift concentration and temperature dependence.

% (v/v) D ₂ O:CD ₃ CN	Temperature (K)	$K_D(M^{-1})$	$\Delta_r H^0$ (kJ.mol ⁻¹)	$\begin{array}{c} \Delta_{r}S^{0}\\ (J.mol^{-1}.K^{-1})\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} \Delta_r G^0 \\ (kJ.mol^{-1}) \end{array}$
0	309.6 299.3 291.6 282.6	12 (± 1) 17 (± 2) 22 (± 2) 29 (± 3)	-25.1 (± 3.1)	-61 (± 11)	-7.0
10	309.6 299.3 291.6 282.6	$\begin{array}{c} 20 \ (\pm \ 2) \\ 27 \ (\pm \ 3) \\ 33 (\pm \ 3) \\ 41 (\pm \ 5) \end{array}$	-19.7 (± 2.4)	-40 (± 7)	-8.0
20	309.6 299.3 291.6 282.6	$29 (\pm 3) 39 (\pm 4) 43 (\pm 4) 64 (\pm 7)$	-20.1 (± 2.5)	-38 (± 7)	-8.6
30	309.6 299.3 291.6 282.6	54 (± 5) 71 (± 8) 87 (± 9) 109 (± 10)	-18.9 (± 2.3)	-27 (± 5)	-10.4

The $\delta({}^{1}\text{H})$ trend differences for ${}^{1}\text{H}$ peaks of the 1,10-phenanthroline moiety as compared to butyl and *N*-pyrrolidyl ${}^{1}\text{H}$ signals of $[Pt^{II}(phen)(L^{1}-S,O)]^{+}$ as a function of concentration and temperature are entirely consistent with a *regiospecific* face-to-face stacking arrangement of the $[Pt^{II}(phen)(L^{1}-S,O)]^{+}$ cations in a dimer (Scheme 4.1) in these solutions up to 30% (v/v) $D_{2}O/CD_{3}CN$ as previously postulated in pure acetonitrile.^{9,13} The two planar complex cations interact with one another through cation- π interactions in a characteristic 'offset' stacking configuration consistent with the model proposed by Sanders *et al* ²⁴ in their study on the nature of " π -stacking interactions" based on porphyrin-porphyrin aggregation. The observed shielding trends as a function of concentration particularly of the H² and H⁹ protons of the coordinated dimine moiety, clearly rule out a possible "T-shaped" cation- π interaction in these solutions.²⁴

4.2.2 Aggregation behaviour of $[Pt^{II}(phen)(L^1-S,O)]Cl$ in water-rich mixtures >30% (v/v) D₂O:CD₃CN.

In water-rich acetonitrile mixtures (> 30% (v/v) D₂O:CD₃CN) significantly broader ¹H NMR resonances are observed for all the ¹H peaks associated with the diimine moiety in $[Pt^{II}(phen)(L^{1}-S,O)]^{+}$ (Figure 4.1), eventually resulting in poorly resolved ¹H NMR spectra compared to solutions < 30% (v/v) D₂O:CD₃CN. Additionally, the even more pronounced shielding of the H² and H⁹ protons of diimine moiety with increasing $[Pt^{II}(phen)(L^{1}-S,O)]^{+}$ concentrations, suggests increased aggregation or large scale aggregates in solution. The application of a simple dimer $2M^{+} \rightleftharpoons \{M^{+}\}_{2}$ model to the experimentally observed ¹H NMR shielding trends fails to account for these satisfactorily, particularly as the water content of the solvent increases to pure D₂O.

The significant line-broadening of ¹H NMR peaks in D₂O may be associated with a decrease in the T₂ relaxation times as estimated from ¹H NMR peak width at half-height $(\Delta v_{1/2})$ under optimum magnetic field homogeneities:^{25,26}

$$\Delta v_{1/2} = \pi \left(\frac{1}{T_2} + \frac{1}{T_{2(\Delta B_0)}} \right)$$
(4.2)

Where, $T_{2(\Delta B^0)}$ refers to the contribution of the magnetic field in homogeneity to the observed line-width. The measured ¹H NMR resonance half-height ($\Delta v_{1/2}$) of the H^{2/9} resonances in

 $[Pt^{II}(phen)(L^1-S,O)]^+$ increases from 0.9Hz in pure CD₃CN to 18Hz in pure D₂O at constant The pronounced ^{1}H NMR temperature. extremely broadening observed for [Pt^{II}(diimine)(N,N-di(2-hydroxyethyl)-N'-benzoylthiourea)]Cl (Figure A.36) in D₂O, and an inverse dependence of line-width on temperature¹⁴, undoubtedly indicates that whatever the nature of the aggregate structure(s) formed in aqueous solution must have significantly larger average molecular weights.²⁷ The increase in line-width (fast T₂) observed with increasing D₂O content is postulated to be a result of the formation of nano-sized aggregates by noncovalent intermolecular interactions; these nano-sized aggregates are expected to have longer τ_c times, resulting in the observed decrease in T₂ an increase in $\Delta v_{1/2}$ commonly associated with macromolecules.²⁶⁻²⁸ The greater degree of shielding of *inter alia* H^{2/9} with increasing $[Pt^{II}(phen)(L^1-S,O)]^+$ complex concentration in D₂O (due to the chemical shift anisotropy (CSA) phenomenon) illustrated by the data in Figure 4.4 for several temperatures due is consistent with more extensive cation- π aromatic-ring stacking expected for the planar quasiaromatic $[Pt^{II}(phen)(L^1-S,O)]^+$ cation.



Figure 4.4 The ¹H NMR chemical shift dependence of H² on $[Pt^{II}(phen)(L^1-S,O)]Cl$ concentration in D₂O at temperatures 299.3, 309.6, 319.9, and 331.5 K. (*Note: The dotted lines are aids for trend visualization.*)

Despite our best efforts, the ¹H shielding trends in D₂O and water rich solutions (> 30% (v/v) D₂O:CD₃CN) could also not be satisfactorily accounted for by a simple or even higher order aggregation models such as trimer-, tetramer formation *etc*. Therefore, a multiple aggregate formation model leading to the formation of structures formulated as{[Pt^{II}(phen)(L¹-S,O)]⁺}_nCl⁻_y, (n and y variable but > 2) similar to a model proposed for procyanidin aggregation in a wine-like medium described by Pianet *et al* is proposed.²⁹ This aggregation model is illustrated in Scheme 4.2.

(a)
$$\begin{bmatrix} \vdots \\ \vdots \\ i \end{bmatrix}_{i}^{i} + \cdots + \begin{bmatrix} K_{i+1} \\ \vdots \\ \vdots \\ i \end{bmatrix}_{i+1}^{i}$$

(b) $n \begin{bmatrix} \vdots \\ \vdots \\ i \end{bmatrix}_{i+1}^{i} \frac{CAC}{i} \begin{bmatrix} \vdots \\ \vdots \\ i \end{bmatrix}_{n(i+1)}^{i}$
 $\begin{bmatrix} f_{N_{i}} \\ f_{N_{i$

Scheme 4.2 Postulated aggregation model of $[Pt^{II}(phen)(L^1-S,O)]Cl$ in aqueous solutions consisting of two major equilibrium processes with (**a**) an accumulative aggregation with K_i the respective association constant corresponding to the ith monomer associating to the aggregate and (**b**) the formation of nano-sized aggregates after a specific critical aggregation concentration (CAC).

The experimental NMR data in D₂O is consistent with the model described in Scheme 4.2, in which at initially relatively low total complex concentrations, (a) the self-association of $[Pt^{II}(phen)(L^{1}-S,O)]^{+}$ cations results in dimer aggregates, which however eventually lead to the formation of $\{[Pt^{II}(phen)(L^{1}-S,O)]^{+}\}_{n}CI_{y}$ structures *via* an unspecified number of sequential equilibria (K_{i+1}), as the total complex concentration increases; (b) above a certain critical concentration of $[Pt^{II}(phen)(L^{1}-S,O)]^{+}$, which may for convenience be termed a 'critical aggregation concentration' (CAC), similar to the well-known critical micelle concentration by Cl⁻ ions to offset excessive positive charge build-up as a result of the formation of a highly charged 'cation-aggregate' (Scheme 4.2b).²⁹

In support of such a CAC model, a plot of $\delta_{obs}(H^2)$ of $[Pt^{II}(phen)(L^1-S,O)]^+$ against $1/[M]_T$ ($[M]_T = total [Pt^{II}(phen)(L^1-S,O)]Cl$ concentration) results in two quasi-linear regions (Figure 4.5), the intercept of such lines gives an estimate of the critical aggregation concentration^{30,31} in D₂O for the $[Pt^{II}(phen)(L^1-S,O)]^+$ complex as listed in Table 4.2. The estimated CAC for $[Pt^{II}(phen)(L^1-S,O)]^+$ increases with temperature as may be expected given that the aggregation process is enthalpy driven ($\Delta_r H^0 < 0$), suggested by data in Table 4.1.



Figure 4.5 The observed ¹H chemical shift of H² at temperatures 299.3 - 331.5 K against $1/[M]_T$, were $[M]_T = \text{Total } [\text{Pt}^{II}(\text{phen})(\text{L}^1-S,O)]$ Cl concentration in D₂O. The expansions and extrapolations of the ¹H NMR chemical shift concentration dependence of all temperatures are displayed in Figure A.37. (*Note: The dotted lines are aids for trend visualization.*)

Table 4.2 Estimated critical aggregation concentrations (CAC) in D₂O from concentration dependence $\delta_{obs}(H^2)$ data at various temperatures, as well as diffusion coefficient (D_{obs}) dependence on concentration at 299.3K.

Temperature (K)	299.3	309.6	319.9	331.5
δ, CAC mM	9.6 (± 0.6)	12.0 (± 0.7)	13.9 (± 0.9)	14.9 (± 0.9)
D, CAC mM	10.3 (± 1.5)			
δ log plot, CAC mM	11.5			

Luchetti and co-workers have determined CAC using the general mass action law model (relation 4.3) to describe the aggregation of surfactants in solution of unknown aggregation numbers.³⁰

$$n M \xrightarrow{K_a} A$$

$$K_a = \frac{[A]}{[M]^n}$$
(4.3)
(4.4)

where *n* is the aggregation number, K_a the equilibrium constant and *M* and *A* are the monomer and aggregate form of $[Pt^{II}(phen)(L^1-S,O)]Cl$ respectively. The simplest expression for the observed chemical shift of an aggregating system which displays one set of signals due to fast exchange in chemical shift on the NMR time scale is given by equation 4.5. The chemical shift of nuclei in the monomer δ_M and aggregate δ_A can be estimated using δ_{obs} versus $[M]_T$ and δ_{obs} versus $1/[M]_T$ plots respectively where $[M]_T$ is the total $[Pt^{II}(phen)(L^1-S,O)]Cl$ concentration. The aggregation number *n*, which represents the number of molecules in an aggregate can be determined from equation 4.6 obtained by combining equations 4.4 and 4.5:

$$\delta_{\rm obs} = \mathbf{x}_M \delta_M + \mathbf{x}_A \delta_A \tag{4.5}$$

$$\log[\mathbf{M}]_{\mathrm{T}}(\delta_{M} - \delta_{\mathrm{obs}}) = n \log[\mathbf{M}]_{\mathrm{T}}(\delta_{\mathrm{obs}} - \delta_{A}) + \log(n K_{a}) + (1 - n) \log(\delta_{M} - \delta_{A})$$
(4.6)

where, X represents the mole fraction of the species. If the aggregation is correctly described by equation 4.6, a plot of $\log\{[M]_T(\delta_M - \delta_{obs})\}$ versus $\log\{[M]_T(\delta_{obs} - \delta_A)\}$ should exhibit a linear relationship with the slope equal to the aggregation number *n*. Interestingly, the plot of $\log\{[M]_T(\delta_M - \delta_{obs})\}$ versus $\log\{[M]_T(\delta_{obs} - \delta_A)\}$ with δ_{obs} the observed chemical shift of H², displays *two* linear regions (see Figure 4.6).



Figure 4.6 Plot of equation 4.6 of H^2 in acetonitrile-d₃.

The presence of two linear portions is an indication of at least two aggregation equilibria in solution with the slopes of the two regression lines equal to the aggregation numbers of these equilibria. For the lower concentration range n = 1.97 and the higher concentration n = 6.5 - 8.2. The intersection of the two regression lines would be the concentration where the equilibrium changes from presumably dimerization to a higher aggregation equilibrium (*ca.* 8 molecules in an aggregate);³⁰ a reasonable critical aggregation concentration of 11.5 mM was obtained for the data at 299.3 K. However, the validity of using the simple aggregation model (eq. 4.3) is not evident since the chemical shift of H² in a dimer aggregate is not expected to be equivalent to the H² chemical shift of a higher aggregate and the aggregation process is not likely to be isodesmic as the electrostatic potential will increase with the addition of more monomers to the aggregate. Furthermore, equation 4.5 would need additional terms to compensate for the difference in chemical shift of the two or more aggregated states as shown by equation 4.7,

$$\delta_{obs} = x_M \delta_M + x_D \delta_D + x_A \delta_A + \dots x_{Ai} \delta_{Ai}$$
(4.7)

where x_M , x_D and x_{Ai} are the mole fractions and $\delta_M \delta_D$ and δ_{Ai} the chemical shifts of molecules in the monomer, dimer and ith aggregate form respectively. Since the number of aggregation equilibriums in solution is unknown, the observed chemical shift δ_{obs} can

comprise of many terms making calculations extremely complicated and impractical at this stage.

4.2.2.1 Effect of chloride ion concentration on $[Pt^{II}(phen)(L^1-S,O)]^+$ aggregation in water.

The extent of aggregation of cationic $[Pt^{II}(phen)(L^1-S,O)]^+$ complexes to form dimer $\{M\}_2^{2+}$ type structures in mainly acetonitrile, and the postulated nano-scale aggregate structures $\{[Pt^{II}(phen)(L^1-S,O)]^+\}_n$ in water is likely to result in electrostatic positive charge build-up. These highly charged structures are probably stabilised in solution by ion-pairing of Cl⁻. Thus the effective Cl⁻:cation ratio may be expected to stabilize and/or affect the formation of larger aggregate structures in D₂O. This is confirmed by the significant shielding induced in the $\delta_{obs}(H^2)$ peak of the 1,10-moiety of $[Pt^{II}(phen)(L^1-S,O)]^+$ on a 4.54 mM solution of $[Pt^{II}(phen)(L^1-S,O)]^+$ (below the CAC) with addition of NaCl, increasing the effective [Cl⁻] from 10.5 to 346.7 mM, as illustrated in Figure 4.7a, corresponding to a Cl⁻ : cation ratio of ca. 2 to 77. The dependence $\delta(^1H)$ on NaCl concentration in D₂O is shown in Figure 4.7b.

Further increases to a Cl⁻ : cation ratio of > 80, leads to precipitation of a yellow solid from solution. The upfield shift of $\delta_{obs}(H^{2/9})$ as a result of increasing the Cl⁻ : cation ratio cannot be solely due to ionic strength increases since the corresponding ¹H NMR chemical shifts of the butyl and *N*-pyrrolidyl protons are comparatively small compared to those of the diimine protons, while the residual solvent peak and the signals of any minor impurities in the ¹H NMR spectrum remain essentially unaffected over the titration range. These trends suggest that the nano-scale aggregates {[Pt^{II}(phen)(L¹-*S*,*O*)]⁺}_nCl⁻_y become larger (*n*,*y* increase) or are at least stabilized with increasing Cl⁻ : cation ratio, until precipitation from solution occurs, akin to the well-known "salting-out" phenomenon.

Thus in water, or at least in water-rich acetonitrile mixtures above 30% (v/v) D_2O/CD_3CN , the proposed positively charged aggregate structures of the $[Pt^{II}(phen)(L^1-S,O)]^+$ complex cation (M⁺) as envisaged in Scheme 4.2, may be reasonably represented by the following equation:

$$\{M^+\}_n Cl_y^- + x M^+ + zCl^- \longrightarrow \{M^+\}_{(n+x)} Cl_{(y+z)}^-$$
(4.8)



Figure 4.7 Variation of (a) $\delta_{obs}(H^2)$ and (b) all ¹H resonances as a function of Cl⁻ concentration using a total [Pt^{II}(phen)(L¹-*S*,*O*)]Cl concentration [Z] = 4.50 mM and a final Cl⁻ concentration of 346.7 mM.

4.2.2.2 Diffusion Ordered NMR Spectroscopy

A semi-quantitative estimate of the effective number of complex cations (n) which constitute the proposed nano-sized aggregate structure, would lend convincing support to this model. The translational diffusion of such aggregates in solution should depend significantly on their effective 'size' as suggested by the concentration dependence of ¹H NMR shielding data. The diffusion coefficient is a measure of the natural diffusion of a molecule in solution. This can be estimated by measuring the attenuation of the NMR signals in a pulsed field gradient experiment as shown in Figure 4.8.



Figure 4.8 Attenuation of the ¹H NMR signals of $[Pt^{II}(phen)(L^1-S,O)]Cl$ in acetonitrile-d₃ during a typical DOSY experiment with increasing gradient strength (0.0107-0.449 G.m⁻¹).

The attenuation of the resonance signal can be explained by a derivation of the Stejskal-Tanner equation and the diagram shown in Scheme 4.3.²⁵ The pulsed-field gradient experiment (PFGE) can be explained by considering two molecules of different sizes A and B with A being the smaller of the two which diffuses faster (i.e. greater distance) than B during the same diffusion delay Δ . In the simplest case, the experiment consists of two pulsed field gradients (PFGs) which are the exact inverse of each other and the diffusion delay Δ separating these two opposing PFGs. These PFGs scramble the magnetisation in a specific way and because the second PFG is the inverse of the first, the magnetisation will be completely refocused if the diffusion delay is zero.



Scheme 4.3 Graphical representation of the diffusion of two hypothetical molecules A and B during the diffusion delay Δ . The signal intensity during a PFGE is defined by a derivation of the Stejskal-Tanner equation (right).

However, the local magnetic field is spatially dependent because of the field gradient (G_z) that is used. Therefore, a molecule that diffuses after the diffusion delay between the two gradient pulses will experience a different local magnetic field when the second PFG is applied which leads to only partial refocusing of the magnetization, resulting in the attenuation of the resonance signal. The signal attenuation follows an exponential decay as illustrated in Figure 4.8 and is a function of the magnetic gradient pulse amplitude/strength (G) and the diffusion coefficient (*D*) as shown by the equation in Scheme 4.3. A least-squares fit of this equation to the attenuation of the signal intensities allows for the calculation of the diffusion coefficients which is displayed as a two-dimensional plot with the ¹H NMR spectrum on the one axis and the calculated diffusion coefficient on the other (Figure 4.9).



Figure 4.9 ¹H DOSY plot of $Pt^{II}(phen)(L^1-S,O)$]Cl in acetonitrile-d₃. ($\Delta = 30 \text{ ms}, \delta = 2 \text{ ms}, G = 0.0107-0.449 \text{ G.m}^{-1}$)

All resonance signals belonging to the same molecule exhibit diffusion coefficients that are similar. It is clear from Figure 4.9 that $Pt^{II}(phen)(L^1-S,O)]^+$, HDO and CHD₂CN have significantly different diffusion coefficients with the order $Pt^{II}(phen)(L^1-S,O)]^+ < CHD_2CN <$ HDO. This can also be intuitively seen from the attenuation spectra (Figure 4.8) where the water signal as well as acetonitrile resonance disappear when subjected to strong gradients while $Pt^{II}(phen)(L^1-S,O)]^+$ is still observed.

Data from DOSY NMR experiments in the concentration range 0.34-76.08 mM $[Pt^{II}(phen)(L^1-S,O)]^+$ at 299.3 K in D₂O and the NaCl titration data are shown in Figure 4.10 and Table 4.4.



Figure 4.10 (a) $[Pt^{II}(phen)(L^1-S,O)]Cl$ diffusion coefficient (D_{obs}) and average aggregation number (N) $(N = V_H/V_H^{0})$ as a function of $[Pt^{II}(phen)(L^1-S,O)]Cl$ concentration in pure D₂O. **(b)** The effect of Cl⁻ addition (NaCl) on the diffusion coefficient of $[Pt^{II}(phen)(L^1-S,O)]Cl$ (concentration indicated as [Z]) and the calculated average number of molecules (N) with n_{Cl}-/n_{M+} the mole ratio of Cl⁻ over $[Pt^{II}(phen)(L^1-S,O)]^+$ in D₂O.

Table 4.3 Variation of $\delta_{obs}(H^2)$ and D_{obs} of a 4.5 mM [Pt^{II}(phen)(L¹-*S*,*O*)]Cl solution as a function of NaCl concentration with the calculated hydrodynamic radii (r_H), volumes (V_H) and aggregation numbers (*N*).

Mole Ratio n _{Cl-} :n _{M+}	$\delta_{obs}(H^2)$ (ppm)		$D (10^{-10} \text{m}^2.\text{s}^{-1})$	r _H (Å)	V _H (Å ³)	$\frac{N}{(V_{\rm H}/V_{\rm H}^{0})}$
1	7.95	1	1.70	11.9	7060	7.0
3.32	7.84	2.33	1.43	14.2	11904	11.8
5.65	7.74	4.66	1.23	16.5	18660	18.5
7.97	7.69	8.15	1.05	19.4	30503	30.3
10.30	7.69	10.8	0.96	21.1	39221	39.0
12.53	7.65	18.3	0.80	25.4	68242	67.8
14.94	7.64	29.1	0.69	29.5	107824	107
25.35	7.59	43.0	0.63	32.3	140543	140
38.80	7.57	50.6	0.61	33.4	155640	155
58.02	7.56	61.4	0.58	34.9	177484	176
77.88	7.57					

Table 4.4 Diffusion coefficient (D) concentration dependence data, calculated hydrodynamic radii (r_H) and average aggregation numbers (*N*), with $N = V_H/V_H^{0}$ where V_H is the volume calculated from r_H and V_H^{0} the estimated volume of a monomer at infinite dilution.

Concentration	D_{10} 2 1	r _H	V_{H}	N_{0}
$(10^{-3} \text{ mol.dm}^{-3})$	$(10^{-10} \text{m}^2.\text{s}^{-1})$	(A)	(A^3)	$(\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{H}}/\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{H}}^{0})$
76.08	0.36	56.1	739692	735
59.72	0.52	39.1	250561	304
45.14	0.61	32.9	148577	148
28.84	0.85	23.7	55532	55.2
17.31	1.14	17.7	23345	23.2
11.54	1.35	14.9	13818	13.7
9.230	1.47	13.7	10708	11.1
6.922	1.55	13.0	9120	9.06
4.615	1.67	12.1	7335	7.29
3.462	1.77	11.4	6182	6.35
2.307	1.84	10.9	5458	5.42
1.154	2.05	9.84	3990	4.08
0.721	2.16	9.34	3415	3.39
0.481	2.42	8.32	2415	2.40
0.337	2.59	7.76	1961	1.95
0a	3.24	6.22	1007	1

^{*a*} *Extrapolated to infinite dilution using the* D_{obs} *vs.* $1/[M]_T$ *plot*

A single exponential decay function fits the attenuation of the ¹H DOSY NMR data very well, and indicates that the observed diffusion coefficients (D_{obs}) is an average of that of the mononuclear [Pt^{II}(phen)(L¹-*S*,*O*)]⁺ and *all* aggregate species in solution.

$$D_{\rm obs} = \alpha_{\rm m} D_{\rm m} + \dots \alpha_{\rm i} D_{\rm i} \tag{4.9}$$

The D_{obs} for the $[Pt^{II}(phen)(L^1-S, O)]^+$ in water shows a significant decrease as a function of concentration (Figure 4.10a), consistent with a higher order aggregate formation.



Figure 4.11 $[Pt^{II}(phen)(L^1-S,O)]^+$ diffusion coefficient at 299.3 K against $1/[M]_T$, were $[M]_T$ = Total $[Pt^{II}(phen)(L^1-S,O)]$ Cl concentration. (*Note: The dotted lines are aids for trend visualization.*)

The Stokes-Einstein equation 4.9 may be used to estimate the hydrodynamic radii (r_H) of species from the measured diffusion coefficients (*D*),

$$D = \frac{kT}{6\pi\eta r_H} \tag{4.9}$$

where *k* is the Boltzmann constant, η the solvent viscosity, and r_H the hydrodynamic radius. Since the diffusion coefficient obtained for $[Pt^{II}(phen)(L^1-S,O)]^+$ is the average between all species in solution, the r_H is also an average value. Although the Stokes-Einstein equation is only a crude approximation for estimating the 'size' of a square planar $[Pt^{II}(phen)(L^1-S,O)]^+$ complex, the changes in the average r_H as a function of concentration may provide support for the proposed aggregation model. The r_H of a single monomer (r_H^0) has been estimated by extrapolating the D_{obs} to infinite dilution from the plot of D_{obs} vs. $1/[M]_T$, Figure 4.11. An estimate of the CAC of *ca*. 10.3 ± 1.5 for this complex may also be obtained from this plot; this value is in satisfactory agreement with the CAC values obtained by the simple $\delta(H^2)$ concentration dependence data shown in Table 4.2.

The extent of aggregation can be estimated by considering the *aggregation number* (*N*) calculated using the hydrodynamic volumes of the monomer (V_H^{0}) and V_H estimated from D_{obs} ($N = V_H/V_H^{0}$).³² Table 4.4 lists the data obtained for this system from the ¹H DOSY NMR experiments at 299.3 K. The average aggregate number in solution increases from $N \sim 1.95$ at the lowest practically measureable concentration by DOSY NMR of 0.34 mM of $[Pt^{II}(phen)(L^1-S,O)]^+$ with an estimated hydrodynamic radius of *ca*. 7.8 Å and V_H 1961 Å³, to a maximum $N \sim 735$ ($[M]_T = 76.1$ mM) corresponding to a 'size' of *ca*. 735 nm³ for the postulated nano-aggregate structure of { $[Pt^{II}(phen)(L^1-S,O)]^+$ }_nCl⁻_y structure(s) in solution.

Significant changes in D_{obs} are seen in D₂O for a 4.5 mM [Pt^{II}(phen)(L¹-*S*,*O*)]⁺ solution ([Z] dashed line in Figure 4.10a) upon increasing the Cl⁻: cation ratio by means of 'titration' with NaCl. The 4.5mM concentration was chosen well below the CAC value of 9.6 mM to show maximum effect. In this way the calculated average aggregation number (*N*) of [Pt^{II}(phen)(L¹-*S*,*O*)]⁺ increased from 8 to a maximum of *ca*. 176 for the highest practical Cl⁻: cation ratio (n_{Cl}/n_{M+}), before precipitation occurs (Figure 4.10b). The increase in NaCl concentration up to a maximum of ~ 342 mM, might be expected to increase the viscosity of the solution significantly, although the estimated overall change in viscosity is at most *ca*. 0.02 mPa.s, which results in a difference of only *ca*. 1.8-2% in the calculated diffusion coefficients.³³ This data satisfactorily confirms the effect of increasing the Cl⁻: cation ratio on the postulated nano-aggregate ("metallogel") formation of {[Pt^{II}(phen)(L¹-*S*,*O*)]⁺}_nCl⁻_y type structures in water, as summarized by the equilibrium (1) above. Such nano-aggregate structures are likely to be well within a nano-size range, thus possibly observable by means of Transmission Electron Microscopy (TEM).

4.2.2.3 Transmission Electron Microscopy (TEM)

TEM images obtained from 10-15 mM [Pt^{II}(phen)(L¹-*S*,*O*)]Cl solutions in water and stained with uranyl acetate revealed the presence of well-defined 'spaghetti-like' aggregate structures with a diameter of *ca*. 20 nm, as shown in Figure 4.12a. Similar TEM images have been obtained for the series of related highly water-soluble complexes [Pt^{II}(diimine)(*N*,*N*-di(2-hydroxyethyl)-*N*'-benzoyl-thiourea)]Cl from unpublished studies¹⁴, of which a representative image is shown in Figure A.38.



Figure 4.12 TEM image of $[Pt^{II}(phen)(L^1-S,O)]Cl$ in (a) water (b) acetonitrile* and (c) freshly diluted water with uranyl acetate as a stain. *Staining in acetonitrile was done with uranyl acetate in ethanol.

The maximum diameter of the spaghetti-like aggregates observed in the TEM images of $[Pt^{II}(phen)(L^1-S,O)]Cl$ appears to be limited to *ca*. 20 nm, with the uranyl acetate stain accumulating at the surface/edges of these aggregates. Images obtained from $[Pt^{II}(phen)(L^1-S,O)]Cl$ from pure acetonitrile solutions confirms that the extent of aggregation in such solutions is significantly less pronounced, resulting in only poorly defined irregular structures of variable and smaller average size (Figure 4.12b).

In keeping with the findings of Pianet and co-workers for the self-association of synthetic procyanidins,²⁹ solutions of $[Pt^{II}(phen)(L^1-S,O)]^+$ in water also show a time dependent colloid formation process, resulting in micron-size structures from solutions of high $[Pt^{II}(phen)(L^1-S,O)]^+$ concentration after aging > 7 days, as observed in TEM image shown in Figure 4.13.



Figure 4.13 TEM images of $[Pt^{II}(phen)(L^1-S,O)]Cl$ in water showing the presence of micron-size colloid particles in aged solutions (>7 days).

Preliminary Tyndall light-scattering experiments also confirm such an aging effect for concentrated solutions. Furthermore, Atomic Force Microscopy (AFM) images of a spin-

dried droplet of $[Pt^{II}(phen)(L^1-S,O)]Cl$ dissolved in acetonitrile reveals the presence of micron-sized "spaghetti-like" structures, remarkably similar in overall appearance and morphology to those obtained from TEM images (Figure 4.14).



Figure 4.14 Atomic Force Microscopy (AFM) image of a spin-dried droplet of $[Pt^{II}(phen)(L^1-S, O)]Cl$ in acetonitrile on a silicon oxide disk.

The possibility of a helical secondary structure was considered since the TEM images suggest that the aggregates have a distinct size and shape. High-resolution TEM of samples prepared on a carbon-coated grid immediately after dilution of a solution containing nano-aggregates at concentrations above the CAC, shows that the secondary structure appears to form from the agglomeration of 'strands' of $\{[Pt^{II}(phen)(L^1-S,O)]^+\}_n C\Gamma_y$ aligned parallel to one another, with a diameters of *ca*. 2 nm (Figure 4.12c and Figure 4.15). TEM images obtained for samples diluted and left to 'age' (±2h) do not show any structures in the nano-range such as those that can be obtained from more concentrated freshly prepared samples. Evidently upon

dilution a type of dis-aggregation into presumably monomer and dimer species of $[Pt^{II}(phen)(L^1-S, O)]Cl$ appears to take place.



Figure 4.15 TEM images prepared from a freshly diluted sample of $[Pt^{II}(phen)(L^1-S,O)]CI$ water showing the agglomerated 'strands' arise from the secondary structure of the nanosizes aggregates.

On the basis of all the experimental data, it is tempting to postulate a qualitative aggregate growth model for the non-covalent association of $[Pt^{II}(phen)(L^1-S,O)]^+$ in water or water-rich solutions. The data is consistent with a *regio-specific* aggregation process of the hydrophobic planar $[Pt^{II}(phen)(L^1-S,O)]^+$ cations postulated in Scheme 4.1, strongly indicating a preferred cation- π "stacking" orientation, as also suggested in previous studies with related compounds.^{9,13} The driving force for the self-association or "stacking" of $[Pt^{II}(phen)(L^1-S,O)]^+$

 $[S,O]^+$ is most likely the result of a combination of cation- π interactions accentuated by hydrophobic effects. Despite numerous efforts, suitable single crystals for x-ray diffraction analysis could not be obtained.

An estimation of the approximate dimensions of the planar $[Pt^{II}(phen)(L^1-S,O)]^+$ cation from data obtained from crystal structures of the related $[Pt^{II}(en)(phen)]Cl_2^{34}$ and cis- $[Pt^{II}(L^1 (S,O)_2$ ³⁵ complexes yields a diameter of *ca*. 1.5 ± 0.2 nm, suggesting that a single 'strand' of $[Pt^{II}(phen)(L^1-S,O)]^+$ in a parallel co-planar stacking arrangement does not completely account for the *ca*. 20 nm nano-sized "spaghetti-like" structures observed in the TEM images. It is therefore postulated that the nano-aggregates form by means of agglomeration of single strands of presumably individually stacked $[Pt^{II}(phen)(L^1-S,O)]^+$ cations in an offset cation- π arrangement, most probably stabilized by negatively charged chloride counter ions which may coil into the tube-like super-structures observed in Figure 4.12c and Figure 4.15. The aggregation of the individual strands of co-planar cation- π stacked complexes may be facilitated by the chloride counter ion layers around the strands to form a positively charged "core" and a negatively charged outer layer of chloride ions to which the next positively charged strand may align due to electrostatic attractions. The overall diameter of the observed tube-like structures in TEM images is limited to ± 20 nm in diameter. The apparent preferred accumulation of the cationic uranyl stain on the outer surface of the nano-structures in the TEM images is consistent with the aggregate formation model postulated here, in which the uranyl cations ion-pair with a negatively charged chloride 'layer' on the surface of the "stacked" cations $[Pt^{II}(phen)(L^1-S,O)]^+$.

4.3 Hetero-association of $[Pt^{II}(phen)(L^1-S,O)]^+$ and pyrene

The self-association of $[Pt^{II}(phen)(L^n-S,O)]^+$ the hetero-association of $[Pt^{II}(phen)(L^n-S,O)]^+$ with the polyaromatic hydrocarbon pyrene $(C_{16}H_{10})$ was investigated in view of the cation- π and aromatic- π stacking capabilities of the $[Pt^{II}(phen)(L^1-S,O)]^+$ complex in acetonitrile. ¹H and DOSY NMR were used to probe this phenomenon and the results compared to a previous study of the hetero-association of $[Pt^{II}(phen)(L^1-S,O)]^+$ with the fluoranthene studied only by ¹H NMR.^{13,36} The complexes $[Pt^{II}(phen)(L^1-S,O)]^+$, $[Pt^{II}(bipy)(L^1-S,O)]^+$ and $[Pt^{II}(bipy)(L^2 <math>S,O)]^+$ was considered but the hetero-association of $[Pt^{II}(phen)(L^1-S,O)]^+$ and pyrene was chosen to be studied in some detail. Preliminary work showed significant changes in the ¹H NMR chemical shifts of the three mentioned complexes upon addition of pyrene to an acetonitrile solution containing arbitrary concentrations of the Pt complexes. Interestingly, $[Pt^{II}(bipy)(L^2-S,O)]^+$ exhibits significant broadening of the ¹H NMR signals of the coordinated bipy immediately after the addition of pyrene as shown in Figure 4.16. This broadening was also observed for $[Pt^{II}(bipy)(L^1-S,O)]^+$, but to a lesser extent, while $[Pt^{II}(phen)(L^1-S,O)]^+$ exhibits only chemical shift changes. Therefore, the ¹H NMR spectrum of $[Pt^{II}(bipy)(L^2-S,O)]^+$ was acquired with a pre-acquisition delay after mixing $[Pt^{II}(bipy)(L^2-S,O)]^+$ and pyrene at -6°C (Figure 4.16).

The ¹H NMR spectrum of the mixture in acetonitrile- d_3 was acquired at time intervals of 5 minutes after the addition of pyrene to investigate possible kinetic effects or high-order aggregation (Figure 4.16).



Figure 4.16 ¹H NMR spectra of $[Pt^{II}(bipy)(L^2-S,O)]Cl$ (6.5 mM, 0.7ml) in acetonitrile-d₃ upon addition of pyrene (3.9 mg). ¹H NMR of $[Pt^{II}(phen)(L^1-S,O)]^+$ and pyrene at -6°C in acetonitrile-d₃ straight after mixing (bottom) and 90 min after mixing (top). $(n_{Pt}:n_{Pyr}=1:4.25)$

A significant increase in resolution of the ¹H NMR peaks was observed for the spectrum acquired 90 min after mixing, with no additional broadening thereafter. This broadening is clearly due to slow kinetics of the aggregation of $[Pt^{II}(bipy)(L^2-S,O)]^+$ and pyrene and not

large aggregate formation (with slow molecular correlation time) since the signals resolve with time.

However, even at -6 °C the line-broadening effect was too small to estimate reliable rate constants for the proposed hetero-association. It is reasonable to exclude insufficient mixing of the sample as a reason for the broad lines since this will affect all Hs of the same molecule and broadening was only observed for the 2,2'-bipyridyl protons and not for the coordinated L^2 . Furthermore, insufficient mixing would probably result in an oriented phase in the NMR experiment as shown in Figure A.35 for a freshly made sample of $[Pt^{II}(phen)(L^1-S,O)]^+$ which was not mixed sufficiently, which appears rather different.

The hetero-association was found to be significantly slower for $[Pt^{II}(bipy)(L^2-S,O)]^+$ and $[Pt^{II}(bipy)(L^1-S,O)]^+$ compared to $[Pt^{II}(phen)(L^1-S,O)]^+$. With the primary focus on the extent of aggregation and the additional complication with the slow kinetic phenomenon here, it was found to be more practical to investigate the reaction/association of $[Pt^{II}(phen)(L^1-S,O)]^+$ and pyrene at equilibrium in detail. The ¹H NMR spectra of a mixture of 5.0 mM $[Pt^{II}(phen)(L^1-S,O)]^+$ and various amounts of pyrene is shown in Figure 4.17.



Figure 4.17 ¹H NMR spectra of a $[Pt^{II}(phen)(L^1-S,O)]Cl$ (5.0 mM) and pyrene mixture in acetonitrile-d₃ at 25°C as a function of the pyrene concentration.

The significant changes in the shielding as reflected by the chemical shift of the phen protons of $[Pt^{II}(phen)(L^1-S,O)]^+$ are observed, in particular for H² and H⁹ ($\Delta \delta = 0.63$ and 0.65 ppm respectively) are remarkable. The shielding of H² was used to 'probe' the proposed heteroassociation between $[Pt^{II}(phen)(L^1-S,O)]^+$ and pyrene, in view of its sensitivity to pyrene concentration and this resonance does not overlap with the pyrene ¹H resonances. A previous study of the hetero-association between $[Pt^{II}(phen)(L^1-S,O)]^+$ and fluoranthene revealed a 1:1 aggregate of $[Pt^{II}(phen)(L^1-S,O)]^+$ and fluoranthene in acetonitrile solutions which is expected to be similar for pyrene.^{13,36} an attempt was made to fit a 1:1 aggregation model to $\delta(H^2)$ data while taking the non-covalent dimerisation of $[Pt^{II}(phen)(L^1-S,O)]^+$ into account. These simultaneous equilibria can be expressed by the following equations,

$$K_A = P_2 \tag{4.11}$$

$$K_{B}$$

$$M + P \rightleftharpoons MP \qquad (4.12)$$

$$K_{D}$$

$$M + M \rightleftharpoons M_{2} \qquad (4.13)$$

where, P = pyrene monomer, $P_2 = pyrene$ 'dimer', $M = [Pt^{II}(phen)(L^1-S,O)]^+$, $MP = [Pt^{II}(phen)(L^1-S,O)]^+/pyrene dimer, <math>M_2 = \{[Pt^{II}(phen)(L^1-S,O)]^+\}_2$ and K = the association constants of the respective reactions. The self-association of pyrene was taken to be negligible within the concentration range used since very small chemical shift and diffusion coefficient dependence on concentration were observed (Figure 4.18).



Figure 4.18 ¹H NMR chemical shifts and diffusion coefficient of pyrene in acetonitrile- d_3 as a function of pyrene concentration.

The diffusion coefficients obtained from the ¹H DOSY show large degree of scatter in the data. This was a result of the system struggling to lock properly during the pulsed field gradient experiment (PFGE) for all solutions containing pyrene. The peak shape was significantly compromised by this problem, especially with an increase in gradient strength; this problem could be an instrumental problem on the system, but has not been resolved. The problem was minimised using a maximum lock power with the best results shown in Figure 4.19.



Figure 4.19 ¹H DOSY spectrum of pyrene with variation of gradient strength.

Various post acquisition processing methods were tried including line-broadening, FIDDLE (line-shape correction algorithm) and absolute value phasing without reliable diffusion coefficients measured. The diffusion coefficients obtained for $[Pt^{II}(phen)(L^1-S,O)]^+$ at various pyrene concentrations are shown in Figure 4.20. These data were obtained using absolute value phasing and an exponential line broadening of 1 Hz. Considerable scattering of the data suggests large errors in the measurements as a result of the lock problem discussed earlier. Notwithstanding these difficulties, a marked decrease in the diffusion coefficient of $[Pt^{II}(phen)(L^1-S,O)]^+$ is observed as the pyrene concentration increases. This is indicative of increase in molecular size as the equilibrium shifts towards more $[Pt^{II}(phen)(L^1-S,O)]^+/pyrene$ aggregate formation.



Figure 4.20 Diffusion coefficient of $[Pt^{II}(phen)(L^1-S, O)]^+$ (5.0 mM) as a function of pyrene concentration. *(dashed line only aid in trend visualization)*

Trends in diffusion coefficients were previously used to calculate the dimerisation constant of $[Pt^{II}(phen)(L^{1}-S,O)]^{+}$ in acetonitrile-d₃ with results close to the K_D calculated from the ¹H NMR chemical shift data $(\delta({}^{1}H))$.¹³ The large scatter in the diffusion data for $[Pt^{II}(phen)(L^{1}-S,O)]^{+}$ as a function of pyrene concentration does not allow for reliable calculation of the association constant of $[Pt^{II}(phen)(L^{1}-S,O)]^{+}$ and pyrene. Therefore, the dependence of the ¹H NMR chemical shift of H², $\delta(H^{2})$, on the concentration of pyrene was employed to probe the aggregation phenomenon. A series of solutions containing 5.0 mM $[Pt^{II}(phen)(L^{1}-S,O)]^{+}$ and various amounts of pyrene in acetonitrile-d₃ was prepared after which the ¹H NMR spectra were acquired at various temperatures. Excellent agreement between the experimental data and 1:1 $[Pt^{II}(phen)(L^{1}-S,O)]^{+}$ to pyrene model in conjunction with the known dimerisation of $[Pt^{II}(phen)(L^{1}-S,O)]^{+}$ was obtained (Figure 4.21).



Figure 4.21 H^2 chemical shift dependence on pyrene concentration at temperatures 293.0 - 312.7 K.

The excellent fit of the multiple equilibria $2M \rightleftharpoons M_2$ (4.12) and $M + P \rightleftharpoons MP$ (4.13) to the chemical shift data of H^2 allows for the calculation of the association constant K_B . The calculated association constant for the 1:1 $[Pt^{II}(phen)(L^1-S,O)]^+/pyrene$ aggregation (K_B) at various temperatures could be used to calculate the reaction enthalpy ($\Delta_r H$), entropy ($\Delta_r S$) and the standard reaction Gibbs energy ($\Delta_r G$) using the Van't Hoff equation (4.1). The Van't Hoff plot will only be linear if the model used to calculate the equilibrium constants is accurate. Therefore, the linear trend observed in the Van't Hoff plot further validates the model used. The Van't Hoff plot of the two simultaneous reactions (4.12) and (4.13) as well as the hetero-association constant of $[Pt^{II}(phen)(L^1-S,O)]^+$ and fluoranthene (M + F \rightleftharpoons MF)¹³ are shown in Figure 4.22.

The linear relationship observed for the 1:1 $[Pt^{II}(phen)(L^1-S,O)]^+/pyrene$ aggregation model (4.13) validates the model used with close resemblance to the $[Pt^{II}(phen)(L^1-S,O)]^+/fluoranthene data$. This is also reflected in the thermodynamic data calculated from the Van't Hoff plot as summarised in Table 4.5.



Figure 4.22 Van't Hoff plot for the self-association and hetero-association of $[Pt^{II}(phen)(L^1-S, O)]^+$ with pyrene and fluoranthene¹³ in acetonitrile-d₃.

Table 4.5 Thermodynamic data for the self-and hetero-association of $[Pt^{II}(phen)(L^1-S, O)]^+$ (M) with pyrene (P) and fluoranthene (F) in acetonitrile- d_3 .

Temp / K	K_{B} / M^{-1}	$\Delta_{\mathbf{r}} \mathbf{H}^{\mathbf{o}}$ / kJ.mol ⁻¹	$\Delta_r S^o$ / J.mol ⁻¹ .K ⁻¹	$\Delta_r G^o$ / J.mol ⁻¹
293.0	43.5 (± 5)	-18.2 (± 3)	-31 (± 11)	-9166
299.5	35.9 (± 4)			-8965
306.1	31.5 (± 4)			-8764
312.7	27.0 (± 3)			-8562
$M + M \rightleftharpoons M_2^{13}$				
298.15	14.5	-25.1 (± 3)	-69 (± 11)	-6630
$M + P \rightleftharpoons MP$				
298.15	37.9	-18.1 (± 3)	-31 (± 10)	-9008
$M + F \rightleftharpoons MF^{13}$				
298.15	39.7	-13.3 (± 3)	-14 (± 9)	-9126

The $[Pt^{II}(phen)(L^1-S,O)]^+/pyrene$ aggregation significantly increases as the temperature decreases. The aggregation reaction is spontaneous ($\Delta_r G < 0$) and clearly enthalpy driven

since $\Delta_r H \gg \Delta_r S$. Interestingly, the hetero-association is energetically more favourable ($\Delta_r G = -9.0 \text{ kJ.mol}^{-1} \text{ at } 25^{\circ} \text{C}$) compared to the self-association of $[Pt^{II}(phen)(L^1-S,O)]^+$ ($\Delta_r G = -6.6 \text{ kJ.mol}^{-1} \text{ at } 25^{\circ} \text{C}$). It is reasonable to argue that the charge repulsion in the self-association of $[Pt^{II}(phen)(L^1-S,O)]^+$ would be less favourable than the aggregation of the neutral pyrene and the cation complex. The association constant (K_B) estimated for the hetero-association of $[Pt^{II}(phen)(L^1-S,O)]^+$ and pyrene are within experimental error of the constant obtained for the $[Pt^{II}(phen)(L^1-S,O)]^+$ /fluoranthene aggregation. However, the reaction enthalpy ($\Delta_r H$) and entropy ($\Delta_r S$) is significantly different for the two hetero-association reactions. Pyrene forms a stronger 1:1 aggregate with $Pt^{II}(phen)(L^1-S,O)]^+$ with the corresponding $\Delta_r H_{(M/P)} = -18.1 \pm 3 \text{ kJ.mol}^{-1}$ compared to $\Delta_r H_{(M/F)} = -13.3 \pm 3 \text{ kJ.mol}^{-1}$ calculated for the $[Pt^{II}(phen)(L^1-S,O)]^+$ /fluoranthene aggregation. This is expected since pyrene has a large aromatic π -surface while fluoranthene has two π -systems.



Scheme 4.4 The molecular structure of pyrene (with one π -system) and fluoranthene (two π -ring systems)

Pyrene with the larger π -surface is expected to form a 'stronger' aggregate *via* cation- π interaction with the Pt metal centre and has better π -stacking capabilities with the bound 1,10-phenanthroline ligand compared to fluoranthene. However, the reaction entropy for the Pt^{II}(phen)(L¹-*S*,*O*)]⁺/pyrene association is more negative $\Delta_r S_{(M/P)} = -31 \pm 10 \text{ J.mol}^{-1} \text{.K}^{-1}$ compared to fluoranthene, $\Delta_r S_{(M/F)} = -14 \pm 9 \text{ J.mol}^{-1} \text{.K}^{-1}$. This difference in reaction entropy is the reason for the similar association constants obtained for M + P \rightleftharpoons MP and M + F \rightleftharpoons MF although pyrene forms the stronger 1:1 aggregate with Pt^{II}(phen)(L¹-*S*,*O*)]⁺. The negative reaction entropy ($\Delta_r S$) is indicative of an association reaction and the positive contribution ($\Delta \Delta_r S > 0$) to the $\Delta_r S$ of the association of Pt^{II}(phen)(L¹-*S*,*O*)]⁺ and fluoranthene (M + F \rightleftharpoons MF) relative to M + P \rightleftharpoons MP, could be attributed to fluoranthene being more solvated than pyrene in acetonitrile. This would result in more solvent molecules being released upon aggregate formation for fluoranthene/Pt^{II}(phen)(L¹-*S*,*O*)]⁺ compared to pyrene/Pt^{II}(phen)(L¹-*S*,*O*)]⁺ which would be an positive contribution to the overall negative $\Delta_r S$.

The aggregate structure between pyrene and $Pt^{II}(phen)(L^1-S,O)]^+$ could be elucidated using the variation in ¹H chemical shift concentration dependence observed for the $[Pt^{II}(phen)(L^1-S,O)]^+$ and pyrene. Figure 4.23 shows the ¹H chemical shift dependence of $[Pt^{II}(phen)(L^1-S,O)]^+$ and pyrene on pyrene concentration.



Figure 4.23 ¹H NMR chemical shift dependence of (a) $[Pt^{II}(phen)(L^1-S,O)]^+$ and (b) pyrene as a function of pyrene concentration in a 5.0 mM solution of $[Pt^{II}(phen)(L^1-S,O)]Cl$ at 25°C. (c) The proposed 1:1 aggregate structures of $[Pt^{II}(phen)(L^1-S,O)]^+/pyrene$ in solution.

Significant changes in the $\delta({}^{1}\text{H})$ of phen protons are observed while the L¹ protons exhibit almost no dependence of $\delta({}^{1}\text{H})$ on pyrene concentration. All the protons of pyrene show more or less the same degree of shift dependence, suggesting that the whole molecule π -stacks and/or form cation- π interactions with [Pt^{II}(phen)(L¹-*S*,*O*)]⁺. The chemical shift concentration dependence of H² and H⁹ shows that these protons are the most affected by the addition of pyrene to the solution which suggests that the pyrene interacts with [Pt^{II}(phen)(L¹-*S*,*O*)]⁺ in such a way that these protons are the most shielded by the pyrene molecule with the proposed aggregate structures shown in Figure 4.23.

4.4 Conclusions

 $[Pt^{II}(phen)(L^1-S,O)]^+$ cations (M⁺) 'self-associate' by non-covalent intermolecular cation- π interactions in acetonitrile solutions and water-acetonitrile mixtures of up to 30% (v/v) D₂O:CD₃CN to form essentially dimer aggregates according to the $2M^+ \rightleftharpoons \{M^+\}_2$ model. This process is strongly favoured in more polar water-rich solutions ($\Delta_r G^0_{CD3CN} = -7.0 \text{ kJ.mol}^{-1}$; $\Delta_{\rm r} G^0_{30\% D20; CD_3CN} = -10.4 \text{ kJ.mol}^{-1}$), with the corresponding K_D increasing from 17 ± 2 to $72 \pm 10.4 \text{ kJ.mol}^{-1}$ 8 M⁻¹ at 299.3 K from acetonitrile to 30%D₂O:CD₃CN mixtures. The experimental data obtained suggest that the primary driving force for such phenomena is consistent with mainly cation- π stacking interactions, with the increase in the K_D attributed to a favourable contribution to a negative $\Delta_r S^0$ as a result of the "hydrophobicity" of the quasi-aromatic nature of these complex cations. Increasing the water content from > 30% to 100% (v/v) D₂O:CD₃CN, results in a significant increase in the extent of aggregation as a function of the [Pt^{II}(phen)(L¹-S,O)]Cl concentration, culminating in the formation of nano-sized structures ("metallogels") consisting of up to ca. 735 mononuclear cations, as estimated by diffusion coefficients obtained by means of DOSY NMR spectroscopy, above a critical aggregation concentration (9.6 - 10.3 mM at 299.3K). Experimental data suggests that in water, excessive positive electrostatic charge build-up in such structures may be partially offset by extensive cation- π interactions as well as by ion-pairing with Cl⁻ anions. Uranyl acetate stained TEM images from freshly prepared samples of $[Pt^{II}(phen)(L^1-S,O)]Cl$ and the related $[Pt^{II}(diimine)(N,N-di(n-butyl)-N'-benzovlthiourea]Cl compounds,¹⁴ provides convincing$ visual confirmation of the formation of extensive spaghetti-like structures, ca. 20 nm in diameter.

The $[Pt^{II}(diimine)(L^n-S, O)]^+$ complexes showed significant interaction with pyrene in acetonitrile. The $[Pt^{II}(phen)(L^1-S, O)]^+$ cation in particular, forms non-covalent 1:1 aggregates with pyrene (C₁₆H₁₀) with association constants (37.9 M⁻¹ at 298.15 K) similar to what was observed for fluoranthene (39.7 M⁻¹ at 298.15 K). Pyrene was found to form a tighter 1:1 aggregate with $[Pt^{II}(phen)(L^1-S, O)]^+$ with the corresponding $\Delta_r H_{(M/P)} = -18.1 \pm 3 \text{ kJ.mol}^{-1}$ compared to fluoranthene ($\Delta_r H_{(M/F)} = -13.3 \pm 3 \text{ kJ.mol}^{-1}$), which is postulated to be due to the larger aromatic π -surface of pyrene potentially forming a tighter cation- π interaction with the $[Pt^{II}(phen)(L^1-S, O)]^+$ cation. This relatively strong association of $[Pt^{II}(phen)(L^1-S, O)]^+$ with pyrene and fluoranthene suggests that this class of complexes may form non-covalent interactions with haematin, which has a significant π -surface from the porphyrin ligand. This interaction is expected to result in β -haematin inhibition as discussed in the next chapter.

4.5 Experimental Section

4.5.1.1 Computational Methods

¹H NMR as well as ¹H DOSY data were used to probe the solution behaviour of $[Pt^{II}(phen)(L^1-S,O)]Cl$ and pyrene. Using the average observed ¹H chemical shift (δ_{obs}) or diffusion coefficient (D_{obs}), equations 4.14 and 4.15 (where $\alpha_i =$ mole fraction of species i), the reactions defined in the text the equilibrium constant(s), K_i, and chemical shifts, δ_i , of individual species (monomers, dimer aggregates, trimer aggregates, ion-pairs, etc) were calculated.

$$D_{obs} = \sum_{i=n} \alpha_i D_i \qquad (4.14) \qquad \qquad \delta_{obs} = \sum_{i=n} \alpha_i \delta_i \qquad (4.15)$$

This particular type of non-linear least squares optimisation calculation can be solved in several ways.³⁷ A program called, DIMER-K_D, written by Koch and co-workers was used to fit data with a dimerization model⁹ (the program utilizes the algorithm by Horman and co-workers¹⁰). When dealing with multiple equilibria, the program Dynafit version 3 was used.³⁸ However, Dynafit version 3 uses the concentration of the species, c_i and not mole fraction in the mass balance equations and signal response calculations. This can be corrected by multiplying equation 3.5 with the total concentration, C_T, of the reagent which results in equation 4.16:

$$C_T \delta_{obs} = \sum_{i=n} c_i \delta_i \tag{4.16}$$

With these signal responses, the association constants could be calculated. The dimer model fitted with the program $DIMER-K_D$ can be explained as follows:

The dimerisation reaction with M the monomer and M_2 representing the dimer can be expressed by eq 4.17 with the dimerisation constant K_D defined by equation 4.18.

$$K_{D}$$

$$M + M \rightleftharpoons M_{2}$$

$$K_{D} = [M_{2}] / [M]^{2}$$

$$(4.18)$$

where [M] is monomer concentration, $[M_2]$ the dimer concentration, $[M]_0$ the total concentration. The total concentration, $[M]_0$, can be expressed by equation 4.19:

$$[M_0] = [M] + 2[M_2] \tag{4.19}$$

Combining and rearranging equations 4.18 and 4.19 result in an equation that is dependent on K_D and the mole fraction of M present as the dimer $M_2 (2[M_2] / [M]_0)$:

$$\frac{1}{\left(2K_{\rm D}[{\rm M}]_{0}\right)^{2}} = \frac{2[{\rm M}_{2}]}{[{\rm M}]_{0}} + \frac{[{\rm M}]_{0}}{2[{\rm M}_{2}]} - 2$$
(4.20)

The average signal position (δ) of the monomer and dimer due to fast exchange on the NMR time scale is the weighted average between the monomer (δ_m) and dimer chemical shifts (δ_d).

$$\delta_{\rm obs} = \alpha_{\rm m} \, \delta_{\rm m} + \alpha_{\rm d} \, \delta_{\rm d} \tag{4.21}$$

The assumption is made that the fraction of M present as the dimer ($\alpha_d = 2[M_2] / [M]_0$) is related to the measured chemical shift δ_{obs}

$$\alpha_{d} = \left(\delta_{m} - \delta_{obs}\right) / \left(\delta_{m} - \delta_{d}\right) \tag{4.22}$$

or

$$\delta_{obs} = \delta_m - \alpha_d \left(\delta_m - \delta_d \right) \tag{4.23}$$

A straight line should be obtained for the observed chemical shift (δ_{obs}) versus the fraction of dimer present (α_d). For a series of concentrations, [M₀], different sets of α_d are calculated for each K_D. The value of K_D is varied and the final K_D value is defined as the best straight line fit for δ_i vs α_d . K_D is calculated from equations 4.20 and 4.22 by iterating the K_D and α_d to best fit the experimental data.

4.5.2 Analytical Instrumentation

¹H NMR and DOSY experiments were recorded in 5-mm tubes using a Varian Unity Inova 400 MHz spectrometer operating at 399.95 MHz or a Varian Unity Inova 600 MHz spectrometer equipped with an inverse-detection pulsed field gradient (idpfg) probe operating at 599.99 MHz. ¹H NMR chemical shift referencing was done using the corresponding solvent peak with the HDO signal showing no chemical shift changes as a function of complex concentration. Diffusion coefficients were calculated using the Varian vnmrj software (version 2.1b) with a line broadening of 1.0 Hz. Experimental parameters: Pulse sequence: Dbppste_cc (Bipolar Pulse Pair Stimulated Echo with Convection Compensation),

¹H spectral width: 11 ppm, number of acquisitions varied from sample, recycling delay: 2 s, diffusion delay 50 ms, Gradient-pulse duration 3.5 or 4.0 ms, 25 different values of *G*, the gradient magnitude, varying between 0.0107 and 0.449 Gm⁻¹ calibrated using the diffusion coefficient of HDO in D_2O .³⁹ Transmission Electron Microscopy imaging was done on a Zeiss 912 OMEGA EFTEM with a resolution of 0.35nm and high resolution images were recorded with a High Resolution FEI/Tecnai F20 Cryo TWIN FEGTEM.

4.5.3 Synthesis of Complexes

The synthesis and detailed characterisation of the various complexes and ligands is discussed in Chapter 2.

4.6 References

- 1. J. A. A. W. Elemans, A. E. Rowan, R. J. M. Nolte, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2002, 124, 1532-1540.
- 2. W. Lu, D. A. Vicic, J. K. Barton, Inorg. Chem., 2005, 44, 7970-7980.
- 3. A. M. Krause-Heuer, N. J. Wheate, M. J. Tilby, D. G. Pearson, C. J. Ottley, J. R. Aldrich-Wright, *Inorg. Chem.*, 2008, **47**, 6880-6888.
- 4. F. H. Stootman, D. M. Fisher, A. Rodgerc, J. R. Aldrich-Wright, *Analyst*, 2006, 131, 1145-1151.
- 5. S. Rafique, M. Idrees, A. Nasim, H. Akbar, A. Amin, Biotech. Mol. Bio. Rev., 2010, 5, 38-45.
- Egan, T. J.; Koch, K. R.; Swan, P. L.; Clarkson, C.; Van Schalkwyk, D. A.; Smith, P. J. J. Med Chem., 2004, 47, 2926-2934.
- 7. Y.-S. Wu, K. R. Koch, V. R. Abratt, H. H. Klump, Arch. Biochem. Biophys., 2005, 440, 28-37.
- 8. H. H. Klump, K. R. Koch, C. T. S. Lin, Afr. J. Chem., 2006, 102, 264-266.
- 9. K. R. Koch, C. Sacht, C. Lawrence, *Dalton Trans.* 1998, 4, 689-695.
- 10. I. Horman, B. Dreux, Helv. Chim. Acta, 1984, 67, 754-764.
- 11. L. Fielding, Tetrahedron, 2000, 56, 6151-6170.
- A. Macchioni, A. Romani, C. Zuccaccia, G. Guglielmetti, C. Querci, *Organometallics*, 2003, 22, 1526-1533.
- 13. I. A. Kotzé, W. J. Gerber, J. M. Mckenzie, K. R. Koch, Eur. J. Inorg. Chem., 2009, 12, 1626-1633.
- 14. Y.-S. Wu, PhD dissertation, University of Cape Town, 2002
- 15. P. S. Pregosin, Prog. Nucl. Magn. Reson. Spectrosc., 2006, 19, 261-288.

- F. Song, S. J. Lancaster, R. D. Cannon, M. Schormann, S. M. Humphrey, C. Zuccaccia, A. Macchioni, M. Bochmann, *Organometallics*, 2005, 24, 1315-1328.
- 17. T. J. Egan, Trends in Parasitology, 2006, 22, 235-237.
- 18. I. Z. Steinberg, A. H. Scheraga, J. of Bio Chem., 1963, 238, 172-181.
- 19. P. Doty, G. E. Myers, Discussions Faraday Soc., 1953, 13, 51-58.
- W. Kauzmann; C. B. Anfinsen, M. L. Anson, K. Bailey, J. T. Edsall, *Advances in Protein Chem.*, 1959, 14, 1-63.
- 21. H. A. Scheraga, J. Phys. Chem., 1961, 66, 1071-1072.
- C. H. Wohlfarth, Landolt-Börnstein Group IV Physical Chemistry, Springer, Berlin Heidelberg, 2008, 17, 117-121.
- 23. H.-J. Schneider, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl., 1991, 30, 1417-1436.
- 24. C. A. Hunter, J. K. M. Sanders, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1990, 112, 5525-5534.
- 25. T. D. W. Claridge, *High-Resolution NMR Techniques in Organic Chemistry Tetrahedron Organic Chemistry Series*, Pergamon, Oxford, 1999, **19**.
- 26. H. Friebolin, Basic One- and Two-Dimensional NMR Spectroscopy, Wiley-VCH, Wheinheim, 2005
- 27. P. J. Hore, Nuclear Magnetic Resonance, Oxford, 1995
- 28. V. I. Bakhmutov, Practical NMR Relaxation for Chemists, John Wiley and Sons Ltd. London, 2004.
- I. Pianet, Y. André, M. A. Ducasse, I. Tarascou, J. C. Lartigue, N. Pinaud, E. Fouquet, E. J. Dufourc, M. Laguerre, *Langmuir*, 2008, 24, 11027-11035.
- 30. L. Luchetti, G. Mancini, Langmuir, 2000, 16, 161-165.
- 31. H. J. Hwang, S. K. Lee, S. Lee, J. W. Park, J. Chem. Soc. Perkin Trans. 2, 1999, 6, 1081-1086.
- 32. G. Bellachioma, G. Ciancaleoni, C. Zuccaccia, D. Zuccaccia, A. Macchioni, *Coord. Chem. Rev.*, 2008, 252, 2224-2238.
- 33. H.-L. Zhang, G.-H. S.-J. Chen, Han, J. Chem. & Eng. Data, 1997, 42, 526-530.
- 34. M. Kato, J. Takahashi, Acta Crystallogr. Sect. C: Cryst. Struct. Commun., 1999, C55, 1809-1812.
- 35. A. N. Mautjana, J. D. S. Miller, A. Gie, S. A. Bourne, K. R. Koch, Dalton Trans., 2003, 10, 1952-1960.
- 36. I. A. Kotzé, MSc. Thesis, University of Stellenbosch, 2009.
- 37. M. Meloun, J. Havel, E. Högfeldt, Computation of Solution Equilibria A Guide to Methods in Potentiometry, Extraction, and Spectrophotometry, Ellis Horwood, Chichester, 1987.
- 38. P. Kuzmic, Anal. Biochem. 1996, 237, 260-273.
- 39. B. Antalek, Concepts Magn. Reson., 2002, 14, 225-258
5

Preliminary assessment of potential antimalarial activity of a series of $[Pt^{II}(diimine)(L^n-S,O)]^+$ complexes using a surfactant mediated β -haematin inhibition assay

This chapter describes the testing of all the complexes synthesised in this study for β -haematin inhibition. This will be done using a surfactant (NP-40) mediated β -haematin inhibition assay and the results compared to two well known anti-malarials, chloroquine and amodiaquine. The β -haematin inhibition of $[Pt^{II}(diimine)(L^n-S,O)]^+$ complexes is believed to be a result of strong interaction with haematin via non-covalent interactions in view of the observed self- and hetero-association of $[Pt^{II}(diimine)(L^n-S,O)]^+$ cations with pyrene and fluoranthene.

5.1 Introduction

Plasmodium falciparum is responsible for 90% of all malaria mortalities reported annually. During its life cycle the parasite resides in the red blood cell (RBC) of the human host where in digests haemoglobin, leaving the toxic heam (ferroprotoporphyrin IX or Fe(II)PPIX) in its food vacuole as discussed in Chapter 1. This haem is rapidly oxidised to ferriprotoporphyrin (Fe(III)PPIX) which has several forms in solution which includes monomeric, μ -oxo dimers and mainly π - π dimers.^{1,2} The π - π dimers associate to form haemozoin crystals at the lipid interface through a biomineralization/crystallization process (Figure 5.1).²



Figure 5.1 Proposed schematic representation of the processes involved in haemozoin formation in *Plasmodium falciparum* based on recent studies.^{2,3} In aqueous solution Fe(III)PPIX forms a π - π dimer (g) which is delivered (h) to a lipid body (LB) where it converts to the haemozoin dimer (j) by displacement of the axial water ligands of H₂O–Fe(III)PPIX together with formation of the Fe(III)–propionate bonds. In the absence of competing hydrogen bonding to the lipid body, these dimers can start to nucleate haemozoin by hydrogen bonding to each other (k), finally assembling the haemozoin crystal (l). *(The TEM image was reproduced from reference 3)*

This process of haemozoin production is a critical vulnerability of the parasite, since free haematin build-up is highly toxic as discussed earlier. This detoxification step is the target of a number of antimalarial drugs. For example, chloroquine (CQ) inhibits haemozoin formation

by binding to the free haematin and/or to the haemozoin crystal surface to rapidly reduce the crystal growth.⁴ The repeating unit of the haemozoin crystal is shown in Figure 5.2.



Figure 5.2 Molecular structure of the repeating unit of the haemozoin crystal. These units interact by means of hydrogen bonding between the free propionic groups.⁵ *(image reproduced from reference 6)*

Haemozoin inhibition is an attractive target for new antimalarials since symptoms of malaria infections manifest when the parasite is in the blood stage of its life cycle, and detection is easily done using a microscope (visible malaria pigment/haemozoin crystals). Furthermore, CQ and other quinolines have been shown to be highly effective drugs in targeting this detoxification process and are fast-acting and specific to the parasite. However, the wide occurrence of drug resistance (by decreasing CQ concentrations as discussed in Chapter 1) calls for new drugs to be discovered.

It has been shown that $[Pt^{II}(diimine)(benzoylthiourea)]^+$ exhibit significant *in vitro* antimalarial activity. The mechanism is however contentious since these complexes are also known to be DNA intercalators having demonstrable antibacterial properties.⁷ The $[Pt^{II}(bipy)(N,N-di(2-hydroxyethyl)-N'-benzoylthiourea)]^+$ complex was shown to have the tendency to undergo DNA-mediated biomineralisation with nucleation/seed-crystal forming due to interaction with DNA.⁸ However, Egan and co-workers have shown that $[Pt^{II}(diimine)(benzoylthiourea)]^+$ associates with haematin in 40% aqueous dimethyl sulfoxide solution, which suggests haemozoin inhibition as the mechanism for the antimalarial activity.⁹ This mechanism was therefore investigated by testing a series of complexes $[Pt^{II}(diimine)(L^n-S,O)]^+$ using a synthetic haemozoin (β -haematin) inhibition study.

It is expected that this class of $[Pt^{II}(diimine)(L^n-S-O)]^+$ complexes to have the capability to bind/interact with these solution precursors of haemozoin, since the complexes also have the tendency to self-associate and form non-covalent complexes with poly aromatic

hydrocarbons through π - π stacking and cation- π interactions in solution. These complexes appear to engage in non-covalent interactions in solution, probably in a manner similar to that found for haematin.

5.2 β-haematin Inhibition Assay

The surfactant (NP-40) mediated β -haematin assay described by Carter and co-workers was used to investigate β -haematin inhibition potential of the series of $[Pt^{II}(diimine)(L^n-S-O)]^+$ complexes with synthesis described in Chapter 2.¹⁰

The well known antimalarials chloroquine (CQ) and amodiaquine (AQ) were used as positive controls in this assay. The experiments were carried out in 96-well plates consisting of 8 rows and 12 columns as shown in Figure 5.3 and all additions and serial dilutions were made by hand using a multi-channel pipette. The procedure and measurements were done in duplicate. Wells 2-12 contained solutions of test compound in various concentration; well 12 contained the highest concentration and wells 11 to 2 serial dilutions (50% of the previous well consecutively) while well 1 was the blank control.

To wells 1 to 11 was added 100 μ L of a solution containing 70:20:10 (v/v) % H₂O:NP-40 solution (305.5 μ M):dimethyl sulfoxide. To well 12 was added 140 μ L H₂O and 40 μ L NP-40 solution (305.5 μ M). The test compounds were then added to wells 12 (20 μ L of a 20 mM test compound in dimethyl sulfoxide) and mixed. From well 12, 100 μ L of the mixture was drawn and added to well 11 as the start of the serial dilution. The solution in well 11 was mixed and 100 μ L was drawn and added to well 10 and mixed and so on. The process was continued until well 2 where the 100 μ L drawn was discarded since well 1 is the blank control. To all wells 100 μ L of a suspension consisting of 178.8 μ L haem solution (1mM in dimethyl sulfoxide) and 20 mL 1M acetate buffer (pH 4.75 - 4.90) was subsequently added. The plates were covered and placed in the incubator for 5 hours at 37°C.

Analysis of the assay was done using the colourimetrical pyridine-complex method described by Ncokazi and Egan.¹¹ To all wells were added 32 μ L of a solution containing 20:20:10:50 (v/v) % H₂O:Acetone:2M HEPES buffer:pyridine after which 60 μ L acetone was added.

The solutions were mixed and the absorbances of the plate wells were measured at 405 nm on a SpectraMax plate reader.

5.3 **Results and Discussion**

The resultant plates of the compounds tested are shown in Figure 5.3. The orange/pink colour

(as observed for CQ and AQ in plate 1) indicates the pyridine-Fe(III)porphyrin complex which serves as a colourimetic indicator of the haematin not in the β -haematin crystalline state. This 'free' haematin which forms the pyridine-Fe(III)porphyrin complex is presumably not in the β -haematin crystalline state as a result of drug/haem interaction (β -haematin inhibition).



Crystalline β -haematin could be observed at all concentrations of inactive compounds (indicated by the red crosses) as well as for low concentrations of the active compounds. The sigmodal dose response curves were fitted to the absorbance-concentration data using GraphPad Prism version 6.02, from which the half-maximal inhibitory concentration (IC₅₀) values were calculated. Selected dose-response curves are shown in Figure 5.4 with the IC₅₀ values at the inflection point of each curve. All the raw data and fitting parameters are shown in Tables 5.2 and 5.3 of Section 5.5. The IC₅₀ concentrations and chemical structures of all compounds tested are shown in Figure 5.5.



Figure 5.4 Selected test compounds concentration response curves of β -haematin assay.

The two well known antimalarials, chloroquine (CQ) and amodiaquine (AQ) (shown as dashed lines in Figure 5.4) were used as positive standards and are commonly used to determine the success of the assay as well as serving as standards to the IC₅₀ values of the tested compounds. Interestingly, $[Pt^{II}(phen)(L^1-S,O)]^+$ and its palladium analogue $[Pd^{II}(phen)(L^1-S,O)]^+$ display IC₅₀ values (activity) that are between those of CQ and AQ while the bipy variation $[Pt^{II}(bipy)(L^1-S,O)]^+$ displays activity only at higher concentration (IC₅₀ = 41 ±5 µM). By contrast, the ligands phen and HL¹ display only a slight absorbance at the highest concentration (1000 µM) and thus these are considered to be inactive for all practical purposes. The binuclear complex $[Pt^{II}_2(phen)_2(L^9-S,O)]^{2+}$, on the other hand (highlighted in Figure 5.5), is highly active with an IC₅₀ value of only 8 ±1 µM, which is well below that of both known and commonly used antimalarials CQ (34 ±2 µM) and AQ (13 ±1 µM).



phen Inactive

Figure 5.5 IC₅₀ values of the compounds tested for the β -haematin assay.

The binuclear complex $[Pt^{II}_{2}(phen)_{2}(L^{9}-S,O)]^{2+}$ has two $Pt^{II}(phen)$ moieties in addition to the (2+) charge so that in can be speculated that its low IC_{50} value may indicate that the complex interacts with haematin *via* two of the $Pt^{II}(phen)$ groups of the complex. The IC_{50} values of all the complexes tested are summarised in Table 5.1 in order of most to least active.

Active Compounds	$IC_{50}(\mu M)$	Inactive Compounds
$[Pt^{II}_{2}(phen)_{2}(L^{9}-S,O)]^{2+}$	8 (±1)	$[Pt^{II}(phen)(L^2-S,O)]^+$
$[Pt^{II}(phen)(L^{10}-S,O)]^+$	13 (±2)	$[Pt^{II}(bipy)(L^2-S,O)]^+$
$[Pt^{II}(phen)(L^6-S,O)]^+$	13 (±1)	$[Pt^{II}(phen)(L^3-S,O)]^+$
AQ	13 (±1)	$[Pt^{II}(phen)(L^5-S,O)]^+$
$[Pt^{II}(phen)(L^4-S,O)]^+$	15 (±2)	$[\operatorname{Pt}^{II}(\operatorname{phen})(\operatorname{L}^{13}\text{-}S,O)]^+$
$[Pt^{II}(phen)(L^8-S,O)]^+$	16 (±2)	$[Pt^{II}Cl(dmso)(en)]^+$
$[Pt^{II}(phen)(L^7-S,O)]^+$	16 (±1)	$Pt^{II}(phen)(L^2-S)_2$
$[Pt^{II}(phen)(L^1-S,O)]^+$	18 (±2)	phen
$[Pt^{II}(phen)(L^{14}-S,O)]^+$	19 (±4)	HL^2
$[Pt^{II}(phen)(L^{11}-S,O)]^+$	21 (±3)	HL^{1}
$[Pt^{II}(phen)(L^{12}-S,O)]^+$	22 (±2)	
$[\mathrm{Pd}^{\mathrm{II}}(\mathrm{phen})(\mathrm{L}^{1}-S,O)]^{+}$	26 (±2)	
CQ	34 (±2)	
$[Pt^{II}(bipy)(L^1-S,O)]^+$	41 (±5)	
Pd ^{II} (phen)(OAc) ₂	57 (±4)	

Table 5.1 List of all active and inactive β -haematin inhibitor.

Interestingly, in general almost all $[Pt^{II}(phen)(L^n-S,O)]^+$ complexes were better β -haematin inhibitors than CQ except for the inactive complexes which contained *N*,*N*-dibutyl-*N*acylthiourea ligands $([Pt^{II}(phen)(L^2-S,O)]^+, [Pt^{II}(bipy)(L^2-S,O)]^+, [Pt^{II}(phen)(L^3-S,O)]^+$ and $[Pt^{II}(phen)(L^{13}-S,O)]^+)$. The inactivity of $[Pt^{II}(phen)(L^5-S,O)]^+$ may be attributed to its low solubility. The inactivity of all the complexes containing *N*,*N*-dibutyl-*N*-acylthioureas is probably due to the presence of the butyl groups. It is expected that these butyl groups could potentially interact with the nonyl group of the surfactant, which could be driven by hydrophobic effects (Scheme 5.1). It is tempting to postulate such hydrophobic interactions in view of the well known interaction in ion-pairing chromatography where the ion-pairing reagent with hydrocarbon chain groups (i.e. tetrabutylammonium chloride) and the alkylfunctionalised reverse phase column (i.e. C_{18} or C_9 etc.) result in a strong affinity for each other in polar/aqueous solutions. Indeed, the observed affinity of the β -haematin crystals for the walls of the plates at high concentration of complexes containing dibutyl groups, imply that the surfactant is not functioning as expected and this is consistent with the postulate above. Moreover, at low concentrations of complexes containing dibutyl-groups, the surfactant seems to be functioning as expected, since the precipitate (β -haematin) does not show an affinity for the walls of the plates and remains a homogeneous suspension.



Scheme 5.1 Postulated hydrophobic interaction between the nonyl group of NP-40 and the dibutyl groups of the complexes.

The neutral $Pd^{II}(phen)(OAc)_2$ complex shows activity only at a relatively high concentrations $(IC_{50} = 57 \pm 4 \mu M)$, while the cationic $[Pd^{II}(phen)(L^1-S,O)]^+$ is a much better β -haematin inhibitor $(IC_{50} = 26 \pm 2 \mu M)$. It appears that the cationic nature (or protonatibility) of these complexes is a requirement for β -haematin inhibition activity since most of the cationic complexes shows activity, while similar but neutral complexes are found to be inactive. The activity of $Pd^{II}(phen)(OAc)_2$ could possibly result from being partially protonated in the slightly acidic solutions (pH = 4.7-4.9) or loss of acetate.

In general, the 1,10-phenanthroline complexes exhibit significantly higher activity compared to their 2,2-bipyridine analogues $(IC_{50} \text{ of } [Pt^{II}(phen)(L^1-S,O)]^+ = 18 \pm 2 \mu M$ compared to IC_{50} of $[Pt^{II}(bipy)(L^1-S,O)]^+ = 41 \pm 5 \mu M$). These results are therefore consistent with the effect of the diimine functionality postulated by Egan and Koch where diimines with more π -electrons display higher binding constants with haem.⁹ Furthermore, the aromatic diimine functionality appears to be a requirement for the antimalarial activity of these complexes since $[Pt^{II}Cl(dmso)(en)]^+$ displays no β -haematin inhibition.

The abovementioned findings, together with the interesting finding that the bipodal complex, $[Pt^{II}_{2}(phen)_{2}(L^{9}-S,O)]^{2+}$, displays more or less twice the activity of the other $[Pt^{II}(phen)(L^{n}-S,O)]^{+}$ complexes, we now propose that two structural properties are required for this class of complexes to interact with haematin. Firstly, the diimine ligands with π -electrons are required, with an increase in activity for $[Pt^{II}(phen)(L^{1}-S,O)]^{+}$ compared to $[Pt^{II}(bipy)(L^{1}-S,O)]^{+}$. Secondly, the ancillary ligand (dialkyl-acylthioureas in this case), should not contain any hydrophobic butyl or longer chain alkyl groups. It is also found that cationic complexes display significant activity compared to the similar neutral complexes.

It has been shown that haematin exist in various forms in solution, the 3 most common structures being monomeric haematin, μ -oxo dimers and the most abundant π - π dimers.^{1,2} The haemazoin inhibition of current antimalarials is thought to be a result of strong interaction with haem, haematin as observed by Cohen and co-workers or the seed crystals of haemazoin to hinder crystal growth shown by Hanscheid *et al.*^{4,12} It has also been proposed that AQ forms strong non-covalent π - π dimer complexes with the μ -oxo dimeric Fe(III)PPIX.¹³ Egan and co-workers have found a crystal structure in which the clinically used antimalarial halofantrine interacts with ferriprotoporphyrin IX (Fe(III)PPIX) as shown in Figure 5.6a.¹⁴ This crystal structure reveals the interaction of the alcohol functionality of halofantrine to the Fe(III)porphyrin centre in addition to π -stacking of the phenanthrene ring over the porphyrin. Furthermore, they have also proposed the formation of a salt bridge type hydrogen bond between the protonated tertiary amino group and the unprotonated haematin propionate group.¹⁴

Peyton and co-workers have established the nature of the interaction between 4,5dihydroxyxanthone (45X2) and haem.¹⁵ These authors proposed a 1:2 45X2-Haem₂ stoichiometry with the coordination of the carbonyl oxygen atom, hydrogen bonding between the hydroxyl group of 45X2 and the propionate side chains of the haem, as well as π -stacking being the main interactions contributing to the stability of the drug/haem complex (Figure 5.6b). The importance of π -stacking in these interactions can also be seen from the proposed interaction of CQ and the μ -oxo dimer of Fe(III)PPIX (Figure 5.6c).



Figure 5.6 Proposed interaction of (a) halofantrine¹⁴ and (b) 4,5-dihydroxyxanthone $(45X2)^{15}$ with heam. (c) The π -stacking interaction between chloroquine and the μ -oxo dimer of haematin.¹

Considering the nature of the interaction of common antimalarials with haematin species in solution described above, a mode of interaction of $[Pt^{II}(diimine)(L^n-S,O)]^+$ complexes can be proposed. It was shown previously that the complexes of $[Pt^{II}(diimine)(L^n-S,O)]^+$ are capable of engaging in non-covalent interactions from their self-association and relatively strong association with fluoranthene and pyrene. The inhibition of β -haematin formation by $[Pt^{II}(diimine)(L^n-S,O)]^+$ is proposed to be a result of the interaction between these complexes and haematin in solution to form non-covalent hetero-dimers. Several intermolecular interactions may contribute to this association and these are illustrated in Scheme 5.2. Non-covalent π - π stacking between phenanthroline and porphyrin (b), cation- π interaction between Pt⁻⁻porphyrin and Fe⁻⁻phen (a) and ion-pairing of the cationic complex or protonated amino group of the complex with the propionate side chains of haematin (c). The possibility of coordination of the *N*,*N*-dialkyl-*N'*-acylthioureas ligand is also included (d).

The structures based on these interactions are shown in Scheme 5.2 with only one haematin complex representing all solution species of Fe(III)PPIX. Note that while only one possible geometry is shown for each set of interactions, the orientation of the $[Pt^{II}(diimine)(L^n-S,O)]^+$ complex relative to Fe(III)PPIX will probably be an average interaction with the whole π -surface of the porphyrin.



Scheme 5.2 Postulated association of $[Pt^{II}(phen)(L^n-S,O)]^+$ complexes with the various forms of haematin in solution to inhibit β -haematin formation. All structures are considered ion pairs with the additional interactions (a) cation- π , (b) π -stacking (c) a combination of cation- π , π -stacking and a salt bridge between the protonated amino group and the propionoate and (d) coordination of the acyl group to Fe(III) with additional π -stacking interactions. *Only one unit of haematin is shown while this represents monomeric haematin and/or \mu-oxo dimeric haematin except for structure (d).*

5.4 Conclusions

It was found that most of $[Pt^{II}(diimine)(L^n-S,O)]^+$ complexes display significant β -haematin inhibition in the surfactant mediated β -haematin inhibition assay. This provides important information on the mechanism of the *in vitro* antimalarial activity observed previously for this class of complexes.⁹ It was also found that such complexes containing 1,10-phenanthroline ligands are more efficient (lower IC₅₀) β -haematin inhibitors then analogues containing 2,2-bipyridine ligands and that Pt^{II} complexes appear to be more active the Pd^{II} variation. The complexes of *N*,*N*-dibutyl-*N'*-acylthioureas display no significant activity, possibly due to strong hydrophobic interaction with the surfactant (NP-40).

The binuclear complex $[Pt^{II}_{2}(phen)_{2}(L^{9}-S,O)]^{2+}$ was found to be a very effective β -haematin inhibitor (IC₅₀ = 8 ±1 μ M) with IC₅₀ value roughly half that of the other complexes and this can be speculated to be due to the two 'active' sites in the complex and the higher overall charge suggesting a higher degree of cation- π interactions. It is reasonable to postulate that β -haematin inhibition is mainly due to cation- π interaction between $[Pt^{II}(diimine)(L^{n}-S,O)]^{+}$ complexes and solution precursors of haemozoin (Scheme 5.2). Other non-covalent interactions contributing to the effective binding of these complexes to haematin and haemozoin precursors include ion-pairing, π -stacking and hydrophobic interactions.

5.5 Experimental Section

All absorbances at 405nm of the plates shown in Figure 5.3 are given in Table 5.2. The corresponding least-squares fitting parameters and corresponding data for the dose response of the β -haematin assay are shown in Table 5.3.

Concentration µM													
Compound	0.00	0.98	1.95	3.91	7.81	15.63	31.25	62.50	125	250	500	1000	
CQ	0.607	0.613	0.741	0.817	0.838	0.879	1.644	3.278	3.410	3.485	3.593	3.561	
CQ	0.561	0.591	1.036	0.738	0.903	0.803	1.780	3.375	3.466	3.570	3.565	3.591	
AQ	0.725	0.574	0.725	0.716	0.921	2.880	3.559	3.645	3.693	3.661	3.777	3.810	0
AQ	0.510	0.641	0.872	0.710	0.860	2.383	3.551	3.484	3.699	3.604	3.746	3.858	Jance
[Pt(phen)(L1-S,O)]+	0.631	0.698	0.666	0.742	1.184	1.323	3.085	3.539	3.511	2.818	3.468	3.756	bsorl
[Pt(phen)(L1-S,O)]+	0.720	0.689	1.000	0.739	0.855	1.367	3.302	3.260	3.547	3.195	3.363	3.796	∢
[Pt(phen)(L2-S,O)]+	0.747	0.679	0.780	0.897	1.292	1.692	2.251	2.352	1.724	2.212	2.218	2.293	
[Pt(phen)(L2-S,O)]+	0.835	0.709	0.838	0.890	1.283	1.689	2.204	2.298	1.918	0.808	2.861	2.234	
[Pt(bipy)(L1-S,O)]+	0.628	0.785	0.649	0.673	0.662	0.660	0.893	3.397	3.334	3.356	3.329	3.496	
[Pt(bipy)(L1-S,O)]+	0.656	0.711	0.649	0.807	0.676	0.655	0.811	3.328	3.326	3.373	3.406	3.613	
[Pt(bipy)(L2-S,O)]+	0.648	0.857	0.657	0.877	0.969	1.525	2.497	2.646	1.724	1.305	1.577	1.266	a)
[Pt(bipy)(L2-S,O)]+	0.680	0.646	0.730	0.815	0.895	1.452	2.413	2.869	1.789	1.301	1.510	1.500	bance
[Pd(phen)(L1-S,O)]+	0.680	0.725	0.689	0.819	0.682	0.908	2.624	3.287	3.050	3.354	3.488	3.110	bsor
[Pd(phen)(L1-S,O)]+	0.604	0.724	0.765	0.770	0.659	0.882	2.429	2.951	3.153	3.366	3.415	3.094	∢
Pt(phen)(L2-S)2	0.564	0.837	0.694	0.808	1.021	1.436	1.759	1.521	1.532	1.701	1.715	1.726	
Pt(phen)(L2-S)2	0.636	0.667	0.697	0.824	0.972	1.420	1.751	1.823	1.787	1.898	2.243	2.306	
[Pt(phen)(L3-S,O)]+	1.321	1.131	1.334	1.160	1.288	2.238	2.632	2.783	2.272	1.628	2.577	3.539	
[Pt(phen)(L3-S,O)]+	1.491	1.090	1.227	1.141	1.250	2.146	2.679	2.487	1.956	1.682	2.099	4.000	
[Pt(phen)(L4-S,O)]+	1.328	1.051	1.119	1.014	1.198	2.494	3.352	3.429	3.429	3.373	3.692	4.000	a)
[Pt(phen)(L4-S,O)]+	1.409	1.068	1.124	1.050	1.172	2.578	3.469	3.355	3.462	3.629	3.755	4.000	bance
[Pt(phen)(L5-S,O)]+	1.281	1.075	1.052	1.037	1.242	2.258	2.927	1.768	1.499	2.231	2.367	3.920	bsor
[Pt(phen)(L5-S,O)]+	1.283	1.146	1.044	1.137	1.331	2.318	3.151	1.892	1.348	2.203	2.511	3.895	A
[Pt(phen)(L6-S,O)]+	1.257	0.967	1.023	1.052	1.307	2.600	3.324	3.338	3.339	3.097	3.204	3.649	
[Pt(phen)(L6-S,O)]+	1.151	1.148	1.061	1.070	1.449	2.779	3.416	3.402	3.250	3.320	3.430	3.530	
[Pt(phen)(L7-S,O)]+	1.035	1.074	0.994	1.145	1.274	2.429	3.434	3.580	3.551	3.627	3.756	4.000	
[Pt(phen)(L7-S,O)]+	0.998	1.013	0.965	1.019	1.301	2.300	3.425	3.582	3.544	3.723	3.845	4.000	
[Pt(phen)(L8-S,O)]+	0.912	0.947	0.920	0.989	1.196	2.158	3.350	3.340	3.321	3.439	3.379	3.895	a)
[Pt(phen)(L8-S,O)]+	0.874	0.934	0.954	1.066	1.191	2.138	3.382	3.301	3.207	3.342	3.384	3.772	bance
[Pt(phen)(L9-S,O)]+	0.906	0.925	0.887	1.265	2.150	3.453	3.749	3.721	3.690	3.780	3.565	3.979	bsor
[Pt(phen)(L9-S,O)]+	0.921	0.872	0.896	1.168	2.173	3.387	3.711	3.477	3.551	3.635	3.759	3.834	∢
[Pt(phen)(L10-S,O)]+	0.855	0.886	0.858	0.955	1.292	2.537	3.427	3.379	3.409	3.506	3.718	3.977	
[Pt(phen)(L10-S,O)]+	0.862	0.922	0.929	0.936	1.415	2.729	3.302	3.225	3.275	3.458	3.697	3.716	

Table 5.2	Absorbance at	405nm fc	or the plat	tes in the	β-haematin	assay.

Table 5.2 Continued..

Concentration µM													
Compound	0.00	0.98	1.95	3.91	7.81	15.63	31.25	62.50	125	250	500	1000	
[Pt(phen)(L11-S,O)]+	0.982	1.065	1.024	1.001	0.997	1.509	3.110	2.975	3.094	3.389	3.365	3.397	
[Pt(phen)(L11-S,O)]+	0.985	1.001	0.905	1.001	0.981	1.564	2.979	2.885	3.437	3.517	3.529	3.644	
[Pt(phen)(L12-S,O)]+	0.871	0.987	0.938	0.906	0.877	1.159	3.155	3.216	3.282	3.457	3.519	3.574	a 1
[Pt(phen)(L12-S,O)]+	0.915	0.977	0.921	0.938	0.897	1.125	3.188	3.003	3.334	3.468	3.523	3.633	pance
[Pt(phen)(L13-S,O)]+	0.956	0.886	1.016	0.984	1.139	2.021	3.239	3.403	2.454	1.185	1.657	2.776	bsor
[Pt(phen)(L13-S,O)]+	1.019	0.951	0.982	0.992	1.088	1.919	3.116	3.051	2.993	1.403	1.764	2.698	4
[Pt(phen)(L14-S,O)]+	1.014	0.950	0.884	1.062	0.925	1.359	3.154	2.777	2.945	3.259	3.402	3.491	
[Pt(phen)(L14-S,O)]+	1.002	0.944	0.911	1.012	0.961	1.424	3.140	2.880	3.136	3.278	3.228	3.594	
Pd(phen)(OAc)2	1.000	0.899	1.054	0.709	0.961	0.923	1.262	2.570	3.326	3.488	3.599	3.652	
Pd(phen)(OAc)2	1.034	1.097	1.048	0.732	0.943	0.860	1.296	2.405	3.450	3.545	3.638	3.693	
[PtCl(dmso)(en)]+	0.962	0.974	0.974	0.699	0.933	0.852	0.941	0.927	1.095	0.834	0.999	2.547	a)
[PtCl(dmso)(en)]+	1.047	0.987	1.023	0.672	0.986	0.815	0.956	0.926	0.994	0.900	1.019	2.634	bance
phen	1.023	0.949	0.838	0.743	0.864	0.766	0.953	0.852	0.950	0.833	0.943	2.567	bsor
phen	0.961	0.963	1.077	0.668	0.882	0.742	0.928	0.810	0.919	0.830	0.947	2.422	4
HL1	0.833	0.840	0.828	0.694	0.885	0.754	1.030	0.746	0.836	0.832	0.908	2.450	
HL1	0.909	0.840	0.952	0.748	0.830	0.762	0.770	0.902	0.895	0.842	0.892	2.239	
CQ	0.872	0.827	0.791	0.851	0.760	0.778	2.813	3.469	3.521	3.548	3.467	3.543	
CQ	0.964	0.950	0.854	0.855	0.850	1.093	3.186	3.514	3.623	3.591	3.604	3.557	
AQ	0.869	0.873	0.763	0.782	0.947	2.932	3.552	3.703	3.688	3.754	3.779	3.818	
AQ	0.906	0.921	0.757	0.860	1.348	3.447	3.661	3.672	3.620	3.746	3.781	3.832	Jance
HL2	0.875	0.825	0.811	0.873	0.851	0.748	0.871	0.828	1.033	0.961	1.075	1.440	bsort
HL2	0.848	0.910	0.814	0.909	0.796	0.801	1.013	0.913	0.948	0.997	1.063	1.377	4
[Pt(phen)(L1-S,O)]+	0.900	0.827	0.812	0.960	0.842	1.401	3.286	3.185	3.327	3.313	3.304	3.575	
[Pt(phen)(L1-S,O)]+	0.850	0.851	0.916	0.969	1.037	1.622	3.404	3.448	3.381	3.427	3.500	3.547	

	CQ	AQ	[Pt(phen)(L1-S,O)]+	[Pt(phen)(L2-S,O)]+	[Pt(bipy)(L1-S,O)]+
log(inhibitor) vs. response				Ambiguous	
Best-fit values					
Bottom	0.6954	0.6326	0.7567	0.01969	0.6842
Тор	3.471	3.647	3.405	~ 6.010	3.404
LogIC50	1.537	1.129	1.267	~ 3.975	1.614
HillSlope	3.753	3.815	4.362	0.199	9.936
IC50	34.43	13.45	18.49	~ 9449	41.1
Span	2.776	3.014	2.649	~ 5.990	2.72
Std. Error					
Bottom	0.03604	0.04574	0.07863	3.147	0.02163
Тор	0.04248	0.03799	0.07708	~ 39.30	0.02649
LogIC50	0.01492	0.01508	0.03165	~ 24.33	0.02679
HillSlope	0.491	0.4611	1.219	0.7936	2.136
Span	0.0577	0.06119	0.1126	~ 42.27	0.03421
95% Confidence Intervals					
Bottom	0.6203 to 0.7706	0.5372 to 0.7280	0.5927 to 0.9208	-6.545 to 6.585	0.6391 to 0.7293
Тор	3.383 to 3.560	3.568 to 3.726	3.245 to 3.566	(Very wide)	3.349 to 3.460
LogIC50	1.506 to 1.568	1.097 to 1.160	1.201 to 1.333	(Very wide)	1.558 to 1.670
HillSlope	2.729 to 4.777	2.853 to 4.777	1.818 to 6.905	-1.456 to 1.854	5.480 to 14.39
IC50	32.05 to 36.99	12.51 to 14.46	15.88 to 21.53	(Very wide)	36.14 to 46.74
Span	2.655 to 2.896	2.887 to 3.142	2.414 to 2.884	(Very wide)	2.649 to 2.791
Goodness of Fit					
Degrees of Freedom	20	20	20	20	20
R square	0.9932	0.9934	0.9703	0.6569	0.9973
Absolute Sum of Squares	0.2734	0.312	1.144	3.51	0.1122
Sy.x	0.1169	0.1249	0.2392	0.4189	0.07489
Number of points					
Analyzed	24	24	24	24	24
	[Pt(bipy)(L2-S,O)]+	[Pd(phen)(L1-S,O)]+	Pt(phen)(L2-S)2	[Pt(phen)(L3-S,O)]+	[Pt(phen)(L4-S,O)]+
log(inhibitor) vs. response	[Pt(bipy)(L2-S,O)]+	[Pd(phen)(L1-S,O)]+	Pt(phen)(L2-S)2	[Pt(phen)(L3-S,O)]+	[Pt(phen)(L4-S,O)]+
log(inhibitor) vs. response Best-fit values	[Pt(bipy)(L2-S,O)]+	[Pd(phen)(L1-S,O)]+	Pt(phen)(L2-S)2	[Pt(phen)(L3-S,O)]+	[Pt(phen)(L4-S,O)]+
log(inhibitor) vs. response Best-fit values Bottom	[Pt(bipy)(L2-S,O)]+	[Pd(phen)(L1-S,O)]+	Pt(phen)(L2-S)2	[Pt(phen)(L3-S,O)]+	[Pt(phen)(L4-S,O)]+
log(inhibitor) vs. response Best-fit values Bottom Top	[Pt(bipy)(L2-S,O)]+ 0.7637 1.862	[Pd(phen)(L1-S,O)]+ 0.7081 3.235	Pt(phen)(L2-S)2	[Pt(phen)(L3-S,O)]+ 1.218 2.622	[Pt(phen)(L4-S,O)]+ 1.081 3.6
log(inhibitor) vs. response Best-fit values Bottom Top LogIC50	[Pt(bipy)(L2-S,O)]+ 0.7637 1.862 1.126	[Pd(phen)(L1-S,O)]+ 0.7081 3.235 1.413	Pt(phen)(L2-S)2	[Pt(phen)(L3-S,O)]+ 1.218 2.622 1.137	[Pt(phen)(L4-S,O)]+ 1.081 3.6 1.172
log(inhibitor) vs. response Best-fit values Bottom Top LogIC50 HillSlope	[Pt(bipy)(L2-S,O)]+ 0.7637 1.862 1.126 5.603	[Pd(phen)(L1-S,O)]+ 0.7081 3.235 1.413 4.869	Pt(phen)(L2-S)2	[Pt(phen)(L3-S,O)]+ 1.218 2.622 1.137 5.826	[Pt(phen)(L4-S,O)]+ 1.081 3.6 1.172 4.347
log(inhibitor) vs. response Best-fit values Bottom Top LogIC50 HillSlope IC50	[Pt(bipy)(L2-S,O)]+ 0.7637 1.862 1.126 5.603 13.36 1.326	[Pd(phen)(L1-S,O)]+ 0.7081 3.235 1.413 4.869 25.87	Pt(phen)(L2-S)2	[Pt(phen)(L3-S,O)]+ 1.218 2.622 1.137 5.826 13.7	[Pt(phen)(L4-S,O)]+ 1.081 3.6 1.172 4.347 14.85
log(inhibitor) vs. response Best-fit values Bottom Top LogIC50 HillSlope IC50 Span	[Pt(bipy)(L2-S,O)]+ 0.7637 1.862 1.126 5.603 13.36 1.098	[Pd(phen)(L1-S,O)]+ 0.7081 3.235 1.413 4.869 25.87 2.527	Pt(phen)(L2-S)2 0.6451 1.871 1.078 1.586 11.97 1.226	[Pt(phen)(L3-S,O)]+ 1.218 2.622 1.137 5.826 13.7 1.404	[Pt(phen)(L4-S,O)]+ 1.081 3.6 1.172 4.347 14.85 2.52
log(inhibitor) vs. response Best-fit values Bottom Top LogIC50 HillSlope IC50 Span Std. Error	[Pt(bipy)(L2-S,O)]+ 0.7637 1.862 1.126 5.603 13.36 1.098	[Pd(phen)(L1-S,O)]+ 0.7081 3.235 1.413 4.869 25.87 2.527	Pt(phen)(L2-S)2	[Pt(phen)(L3-S,O)]+ 1.218 2.622 1.137 5.826 13.7 1.404	[Pt(phen)(L4-S,O)]+ 1.081 3.6 1.172 4.347 14.85 2.52
log(inhibitor) vs. response Best-fit values Bottom Top LogIC50 HillSlope IC50 Span Std. Error Bottom	[Pt(bipy)(L2-S,O)]+ 0.7637 1.862 1.126 5.603 13.36 1.098 0.1555	[Pd(phen)(L1-S,O)]+ 0.7081 3.235 1.413 4.869 25.87 2.527 0.04115	Pt(phen)(L2-S)2	[Pt(phen)(L3-S,O)]+ 1.218 2.622 1.137 5.826 13.7 1.404 0.1783 0.15783	[Pt(phen)(L4-S,O)]+ 1.081 3.6 1.172 4.347 14.85 2.52 0.06493 0.05493
log(inhibitor) vs. response Best-fit values Bottom Top LogIC50 HillSlope IC50 Span Std. Error Bottom Top	[Pt(bipy)(L2-S,O)]+ 0.7637 1.862 1.126 5.603 13.36 1.098 0.1555 0.1276	[Pd(phen)(L1-S,O)]+ 0.7081 3.235 1.413 4.869 25.87 2.527 0.04115 0.04158	Pt(phen)(L2-S)2	[Pt(phen)(L3-S,O)]+ 1.218 2.622 1.137 5.826 13.7 1.404 0.1783 0.1469	[Pt(phen)(L4-S,O)]+ 1.081 3.6 1.172 4.347 14.85 2.52 0.06493 0.05622 0.0252
log(inhibitor) vs. response Best-fit values Bottom Top LogIC50 HillSlope IC50 Span Std. Error Bottom Top LogIC50	[Pt(bipy)(L2-S,O)]+ 0.7637 1.862 1.126 5.603 13.36 1.098 0.1555 0.1276 0.152	[Pd(phen)(L1-S,O)]+ 0.7081 3.235 1.413 4.869 25.87 2.527 0.04115 0.04115 0.04158 0.01824	Pt(phen)(L2-S)2	[Pt(phen)(L3-S,O)]+ 1.218 2.622 1.137 5.826 13.7 1.404 0.1783 0.1469 0.1372	[Pt(phen)(L4-S,O)]+ 1.081 3.6 1.172 4.347 14.85 2.52 0.06493 0.05622 0.02247
log(inhibitor) vs. response Best-fit values Bottom Top LogIC50 HillSlope IC50 Span Std. Error Bottom Top LogIC50 HillSlope	[Pt(bipy)(L2-S,O)]+ 0.7637 1.862 1.126 5.603 13.36 1.098 0.1555 0.1276 0.152 10.02	[Pd(phen)(L1-S,O)]+ 0.7081 3.235 1.413 4.869 25.87 2.527 0.04115 0.04158 0.01824 0.7984	Pt(phen)(L2-S)2	[Pt(phen)(L3-S,O)]+ 1.218 2.622 1.137 5.826 13.7 1.404 0.1783 0.1469 0.1372 11.35 0.2222	[Pt(phen)(L4-S,O)]+ 1.081 3.6 1.172 4.347 14.85 2.52 0.06493 0.05622 0.02247 1.266 0.0222
log(inhibitor) vs. response Best-fit values Bottom Top LogIC50 HillSlope IC50 Span Std. Error Bottom Top LogIC50 HillSlope Span	[Pt(bipy)(L2-S,O)]+ 0.7637 1.862 1.126 5.603 13.36 1.098 0.1555 0.1276 0.152 10.02 0.2045	[Pd(phen)(L1-S,O)]+ 0.7081 3.235 1.413 4.869 25.87 2.527 0.04115 0.04158 0.01824 0.7984 0.07984	Pt(phen)(L2-S)2	[Pt(phen)(L3-S,O)]+ 1.218 2.622 1.137 5.826 13.7 1.404 0.1783 0.1469 0.1372 11.35 0.2358	[Pt(phen)(L4-S,O)]+ 1.081 3.6 1.172 4.347 14.85 2.52 0.06493 0.05622 0.02247 1.266 0.08934
log(inhibitor) vs. response Best-fit values Bottom Top LogIC50 HillSlope IC50 Span Std. Error Bottom Top LogIC50 HillSlope Span 95% Confidence Intervals	[Pt(bipy)(L2-S,O)]+ 0.7637 1.862 1.126 5.603 13.36 1.098 0.1555 0.1276 0.152 10.02 0.2045	[Pd(phen)(L1-S,O)]+ 0.7081 3.235 1.413 4.869 25.87 2.527 0.04115 0.04115 0.04158 0.01824 0.7984 0.07984	Pt(phen)(L2-S)2	[Pt(phen)(L3-S,O)]+ 1.218 2.622 1.137 5.826 13.7 1.404 0.1783 0.1469 0.1372 11.35 0.2358	[Pt(phen)(L4-S,O)]+ 1.081 3.6 1.172 4.347 14.85 2.52 0.06493 0.05622 0.02247 1.266 0.08934
log(inhibitor) vs. response Best-fit values Bottom Top LogIC50 HillSlope IC50 Span Std. Error Bottom Top LogIC50 HillSlope Span 95% Confidence Intervals Bottom	[Pt(bipy)(L2-S,O)]+ 0.7637 1.862 1.126 5.603 13.36 1.098 0.1555 0.1276 0.152 10.02 0.2045 0.4393 to 1.088	[Pd(phen)(L1-S,O)]+ 0.7081 3.235 1.413 4.869 25.87 2.527 0.04115 0.04115 0.04158 0.01824 0.7984 0.05944 0.65223 to 0.7939 2.410 + 0.5555	Pt(phen)(L2-S)2	[Pt(phen)(L3-S,O)]+ 1.218 2.622 1.137 5.826 13.7 1.404 0.1783 0.1783 0.1469 0.1372 11.35 0.2358 0.8463 to 1.590	[Pt(phen)(L4-S,O)]+ 1.081 3.6 1.172 4.347 14.85 2.52 0.06493 0.05622 0.02247 1.266 0.08934 0.9454 to 1.216 2.402 + 2.502
log(inhibitor) vs. response Best-fit values Bottom Top LogIC50 HillSlope IC50 Span Std. Error Bottom Top LogIC50 HillSlope Span 95% Confidence Intervals Bottom Top	[Pt(bipy)(L2-S,O)]+ 0.7637 1.862 1.126 5.603 13.36 1.098 0.1555 0.1276 0.152 10.02 0.2045 0.4393 to 1.088 1.596 to 2.128	[Pd(phen)(L1-S,O)]+ 0.7081 3.235 1.413 4.869 25.87 2.527 0.04115 0.04115 0.04158 0.01824 0.7984 0.05944 0.05944 0.6223 to 0.7939 3.149 to 3.322	Pt(phen)(L2-S)2	[Pt(phen)(L3-S,O)]+ 1.218 2.622 1.137 5.826 13.7 1.404 0.1783 0.1783 0.1469 0.1372 11.35 0.2358 0.8463 to 1.590 2.315 to 2.928	[Pt(phen)(L4-S,O)]+ 1.081 3.6 1.172 4.347 14.85 2.52 0.06493 0.05622 0.02247 1.266 0.08934 0.9454 to 1.216 3.483 to 3.718
log(inhibitor) vs. response Best-fit values Bottom Top LogIC50 HillSlope IC50 Span Std. Error Bottom Top LogIC50 HillSlope Span 95% Confidence Intervals Bottom Top LogIC50	[Pt(bipy)(L2-S,O)]+ 0.7637 1.862 1.126 5.603 13.36 1.098 0.1555 0.1276 0.152 10.02 0.2045 0.4393 to 1.088 1.596 to 2.128 0.8088 to 1.443	[Pd(phen)(L1-S,O)]+ 0.7081 3.235 1.413 4.869 25.87 2.527 0.04115 0.04115 0.04158 0.01824 0.7984 0.05944 0.05944 0.6223 to 0.7939 3.149 to 3.322 1.375 to 1.451	Pt(phen)(L2-S)2 0.6451 1.871 1.078 1.586 11.97 1.226 0.08999 0.06832 0.09939 0.5087 0.1227 0.4574 to 0.8328 1.728 to 2.013 0.8709 to 1.286	[Pt(phen)(L3-S,O)]+ 1.218 2.622 1.137 5.826 13.7 1.404 0.1783 0.1469 0.1372 11.35 0.2358 0.8463 to 1.590 2.315 to 2.928 0.8505 to 1.423	[Pt(phen)(L4-S,O)]+ 1.081 3.6 1.172 4.347 14.85 2.52 0.06493 0.05622 0.02247 1.266 0.08934 0.9454 to 1.216 3.483 to 3.718 1.125 to 1.218
log(inhibitor) vs. response Best-fit values Bottom Top LogIC50 HillSlope IC50 Span Std. Error Bottom Top LogIC50 HillSlope Span 95% Confidence Intervals Bottom Top LogIC50 HillSlope	[Pt(bipy)(L2-S,O)]+ 0.7637 1.862 1.126 5.603 13.36 1.098 0.1555 0.1276 0.152 10.02 0.2045 0.4393 to 1.088 1.596 to 2.128 0.8088 to 1.443 -15.29 to 26.50	[Pd(phen)(L1-S,O)]+ 0.7081 3.235 1.413 4.869 25.87 2.527 0.04115 0.04115 0.04158 0.01824 0.7984 0.05944 0.05944 0.6223 to 0.7939 3.149 to 3.322 1.375 to 1.451 3.203 to 6.534	Pt(phen)(L2-S)2 0.6451 1.871 1.078 1.586 11.97 1.226 0.08999 0.06832 0.09939 0.5087 0.1227 0.4574 to 0.8328 1.728 to 2.013 0.8709 to 1.286 0.5252 to 2.648	[Pt(phen)(L3-S,O)]+ 1.218 2.622 1.137 5.826 13.7 1.404 0.1783 0.1469 0.1372 11.35 0.2358 0.8463 to 1.590 2.315 to 2.928 0.8505 to 1.423 -17.85 to 29.50	[Pt(phen)(L4-S,O)]+ 1.081 3.6 1.172 4.347 14.85 2.52 0.06493 0.05622 0.02247 1.266 0.08934 0.9454 to 1.216 3.483 to 3.718 1.125 to 1.218 1.706 to 6.988 1.006 to 6.988
log(inhibitor) vs. response Best-fit values Bottom Top LogIC50 HillSlope IC50 Span Std. Error Bottom Top LogIC50 HillSlope Span 95% Confidence Intervals Bottom Top LogIC50 HillSlope IC50	[Pt(bipy)(L2-S,O)]+ 0.7637 1.862 1.126 5.603 13.36 1.098 0.1555 0.1276 0.152 10.02 0.2045 0.4393 to 1.088 1.596 to 2.128 0.8088 to 1.443 -15.29 to 26.50 6.439 to 2.7.3	[Pd(phen)(L1-S,O)]+ 0.7081 3.235 1.413 4.869 25.87 2.527 0.04115 0.04115 0.04158 0.01824 0.7984 0.05944 0.7984 0.05944 0.6523 to 0.7939 3.149 to 3.322 1.375 to 1.451 3.203 to 6.534 23.70 to 28.24	Pt(phen)(L2-S)2 0.6451 1.871 1.078 1.586 11.97 1.226 0.08999 0.06832 0.09939 0.5087 0.1227 0.4574 to 0.8328 1.728 to 2.013 0.8709 to 1.286 0.5252 to 2.648 7.429 to 19.30	[Pt(phen)(L3-S,O)]+ 1.218 2.622 1.137 5.826 13.7 1.404 0.1783 0.1469 0.1372 11.35 0.2358 0.8463 to 1.590 2.315 to 2.928 0.8505 to 1.423 -17.85 to 29.50 7.088 to 2.649	[Pt(phen)(L4-S,O)]+ 1.081 3.6 1.172 4.347 14.85 2.52 0.06493 0.05622 0.02247 1.266 0.08934 0.9454 to 1.216 3.483 to 3.718 1.125 to 1.218 1.706 to 6.988 1.333 to 16.54
log(inhibitor) vs. response Best-fit values Bottom Top LogIC50 HillSlope IC50 Span Std. Error Bottom Top LogIC50 HillSlope Span 95% Confidence Intervals Bottom Top LogIC50 HillSlope IC50 Span	[Pt(bipy)(L2-S,O)]+ 0.7637 1.862 1.126 5.603 13.36 1.098 0.1555 0.1276 0.152 10.02 0.2045 0.4393 to 1.088 1.596 to 2.128 0.8088 to 1.443 -15.29 to 26.50 6.439 to 27.73 0.6716 to 1.525	[Pd(phen)(L1-S,O)]+ 0.7081 3.235 1.413 4.869 25.87 2.527 0.04115 0.04115 0.04158 0.01824 0.7984 0.05944 0.05944 0.05944 0.05944 0.6223 to 0.7939 3.149 to 3.322 1.375 to 1.451 3.203 to 6.534 23.70 to 28.24 2.403 to 2.651	Pt(phen)(L2-S)2 0.6451 1.871 1.078 1.586 11.97 1.226 0.08999 0.06832 0.09939 0.5087 0.1227 0.4574 to 0.8328 1.728 to 2.013 0.8709 to 1.286 0.5252 to 2.648 7.429 to 19.30 0.9696 to 1.482	[Pt(phen)(L3-S,O)]+ 1.218 2.622 1.137 5.826 13.7 1.404 0.1783 0.1469 0.1372 11.35 0.2358 0.8463 to 1.590 2.315 to 2.928 0.8505 to 1.423 -17.85 to 29.50 7.088 to 26.49 0.9117 to 1.895	[Pt(phen)(L4-S,O)]+ 1.081 3.6 1.172 4.347 14.85 2.52 0.06493 0.05622 0.02247 1.266 0.08934 0.08934 0.9454 to 1.216 3.483 to 3.718 1.125 to 1.218 1.706 to 6.988 13.33 to 16.54 2.333 to 2.706
log(inhibitor) vs. response Best-fit values Bottom Top LogIC50 HillSlope IC50 Span Std. Error Bottom Top LogIC50 HillSlope Span 95% Confidence Intervals Bottom Top LogIC50 HillSlope IC50 Span Goodness of Fit	[Pt(bipy)(L2-S,O)]+ 0.7637 1.862 1.126 5.603 13.36 1.098 0.1555 0.1276 0.152 10.02 0.2045 0.4393 to 1.088 1.596 to 2.128 0.8088 to 1.443 -15.29 to 26.50 6.439 to 27.73 0.6716 to 1.525	[Pd(phen)(L1-S,O)]+ 0.7081 3.235 1.413 4.869 25.87 2.527 0.04115 0.04158 0.01824 0.7984 0.05944 0.05944 0.6523 to 0.7939 3.149 to 3.322 1.375 to 1.451 3.203 to 6.534 23.70 to 28.24 2.403 to 2.651	Pt(phen)(L2-S)2 0.6451 1.871 1.078 1.586 11.97 1.226 0.08999 0.06832 0.09939 0.5087 0.1227 0.4574 to 0.8328 1.728 to 2.013 0.8709 to 1.286 0.5252 to 2.648 7.429 to 19.30 0.9696 to 1.482	[Pt(phen)(L3-S,O)]+ 1.218 2.622 1.137 5.826 13.7 1.404 0.1783 0.1469 0.1372 11.35 0.2358 0.8463 to 1.590 2.315 to 2.928 0.8505 to 1.423 -17.85 to 29.50 7.088 to 26.49 0.9117 to 1.895	[Pt(phen)(L4-S,O)]+ 1.081 3.6 1.172 4.347 14.85 2.52 0.06493 0.05622 0.02247 1.266 0.08934 0.9454 to 1.216 3.483 to 3.718 1.125 to 1.218 1.706 to 6.988 13.33 to 16.54 2.333 to 2.706
log(inhibitor) vs. response Best-fit values Bottom Top LogIC50 HillSlope IC50 Span Std. Error Bottom Top LogIC50 HillSlope Span 95% Confidence Intervals Bottom Top LogIC50 HillSlope IC50 Span Goodness of Fit Degrees of Freedom	[Pt(bipy)(L2-S,O)]+ 0.7637 1.862 1.126 5.603 13.36 1.098 0.1555 0.1276 0.152 10.02 0.2045 0.4393 to 1.088 1.596 to 2.128 0.8088 to 1.443 -15.29 to 26.50 6.439 to 27.73 0.6716 to 1.525	[Pd(phen)(L1-S,O)]+ 0.7081 3.235 1.413 4.869 25.87 2.527 0.04115 0.04158 0.01824 0.7984 0.05944 0.05944 0.05944 0.6223 to 0.7939 3.149 to 3.322 1.375 to 1.451 3.203 to 6.534 23.70 to 28.24 2.403 to 2.651	Pt(phen)(L2-S)2 0.6451 1.871 1.078 1.586 11.97 1.226 0.08999 0.06832 0.09939 0.5087 0.1227 0.4574 to 0.8328 1.728 to 2.013 0.8709 to 1.286 0.5252 to 2.648 7.429 to 19.30 0.9696 to 1.482 20	[Pt(phen)(L3-S,O)]+ 1.218 2.622 1.137 5.826 13.7 1.404 0.1783 0.1469 0.1372 11.35 0.2358 0.8463 to 1.590 2.315 to 2.928 0.8505 to 1.423 -17.85 to 29.50 7.088 to 26.49 0.9117 to 1.895	[Pt(phen)(L4-S,O)]+ 1.081 3.6 1.172 4.347 14.85 2.52 0.06493 0.05622 0.02247 1.266 0.08934 0.9454 to 1.216 3.483 to 3.718 1.125 to 1.218 1.706 to 6.988 13.33 to 16.54 2.333 to 2.706
log(inhibitor) vs. response Best-fit values Bottom Top LogIC50 HillSlope IC50 Span Std. Error Bottom Top LogIC50 HillSlope Span 95% Confidence Intervals Bottom Top LogIC50 HillSlope IC50 Span Goodness of Fit Degrees of Freedom R square	[Pt(bipy)(L2-S,O)]+ 0.7637 1.862 1.126 5.603 13.36 1.098 0.1555 0.1276 0.152 10.02 0.2045 0.4393 to 1.088 1.596 to 2.128 0.8088 to 1.443 -15.29 to 26.50 6.439 to 27.73 0.6716 to 1.525	Pd(phen)(L1-S,O)]+ 0.7081 3.235 1.413 4.869 25.87 2.527 0.04115 0.04128 0.01824 0.7984 0.05944 0.6223 to 0.7939 3.149 to 3.322 1.375 to 1.451 3.203 to 6.534 23.70 to 28.24 2.403 to 2.651 20 0.9905	Pt(phen)(L2-S)2 0.6451 1.871 1.078 1.586 11.97 1.226 0.08999 0.06832 0.09939 0.5087 0.1227 0.4574 to 0.8328 1.728 to 2.013 0.8709 to 1.286 0.5252 to 2.648 7.429 to 19.30 0.9696 to 1.482 20 0.9048	[Pt(phen)(L3-S,O)]+ 1.218 2.622 1.137 5.826 13.7 1.404 0.1783 0.1469 0.1372 11.35 0.2358 0.8463 to 1.590 2.315 to 2.928 0.8505 to 1.423 -17.85 to 29.50 7.088 to 26.49 0.9117 to 1.895 20 0.6783	[Pt(phen)(L4-S,O)]+ 1.081 3.6 1.172 4.347 14.85 2.52 0.06493 0.05622 0.02247 1.266 0.08934 0.9454 to 1.216 3.483 to 3.718 1.125 to 1.218 1.706 to 6.988 13.33 to 16.54 2.333 to 2.706
log(inhibitor) vs. response Best-fit values Bottom Top LogIC50 HillSlope IC50 Span Std. Error Bottom Top LogIC50 HillSlope Span 95% Confidence Intervals Bottom Top LogIC50 HillSlope IC50 Span Goodness of Fit Degrees of Freedom R square Absolute Sum of Squares	[Pt(bipy)(L2-S,O)]+ 0.7637 1.862 1.126 5.603 13.36 1.098 0.1555 0.1276 0.152 10.02 0.2045 0.4393 to 1.088 1.596 to 2.128 0.8088 to 1.443 -15.29 to 26.50 6.439 to 27.73 0.6716 to 1.525 20 0.6305 3.806	Pd(phen)(L1-S,O)]+ 0.7081 3.235 1.413 4.869 25.87 2.527 0.04115 0.04158 0.01824 0.7984 0.05944 0.6223 to 0.7939 3.149 to 3.322 1.375 to 1.451 3.203 to 6.534 23.70 to 28.24 2.403 to 2.651 20 0.9905 0.3295	Pt(phen)(L2-S)2 0.6451 1.871 1.078 1.586 11.97 1.226 0.08999 0.06832 0.09939 0.5087 0.1227 0.4574 to 0.8328 1.728 to 2.013 0.8709 to 1.286 0.5252 to 2.648 7.429 to 19.30 0.9696 to 1.482 20 0.9048 0.6433	[Pt(phen)(L3-S,O)]+ 1.218 2.622 1.137 5.826 13.7 1.404 0.1783 0.1469 0.1372 11.35 0.2358 0.8463 to 1.590 2.315 to 2.928 0.8505 to 1.423 -17.85 to 29.50 7.088 to 26.49 0.9117 to 1.895 20 0.6783 5.04	[Pt(phen)(L4-S,O)]+ 1.081 3.6 1.172 4.347 14.85 2.52 0.06493 0.05622 0.02247 1.266 0.08934 0.9454 to 1.216 3.483 to 3.718 1.125 to 1.218 1.706 to 6.988 13.33 to 16.54 2.333 to 2.706 20 0.9802 0.6744
log(inhibitor) vs. response Best-fit values Bottom Top LogIC50 HillSlope IC50 Span Std. Error Bottom Top LogIC50 HillSlope Span 95% Confidence Intervals Bottom Top LogIC50 HillSlope IC50 Span Goodness of Fit Degrees of Freedom R square Absolute Sum of Squares Sy.x	[Pt(bipy)(L2-S,O)]+ 0.7637 1.862 1.126 5.603 13.36 1.098 0.1555 0.1276 0.152 10.02 0.2045 0.4393 to 1.088 1.596 to 2.128 0.8088 to 1.443 1.596 to 2.128 0.8088 to 1.443 1.529 to 26.50 6.439 to 27.73 0.6716 to 1.525 20 0.6305 3.806 0.4362	Pd(phen)(L1-S,O)]+ 0.7081 3.235 1.413 4.869 25.87 2.527 0.04115 0.04158 0.01824 0.7984 0.05944 0.6223 to 0.7939 3.149 to 3.322 1.375 to 1.451 3.203 to 6.534 23.70 to 28.24 2.403 to 2.651 20 0.9905 0.3295 0.1284	Pt(phen)(L2-S)2 0.6451 1.871 1.078 1.586 11.97 1.226 0.08999 0.06832 0.09939 0.5087 0.1227 0.4574 to 0.8328 1.728 to 2.013 0.8709 to 1.286 0.5252 to 2.648 7.429 to 19.30 0.9696 to 1.482 20 0.9048 0.6433 0.1793	Pt(phen)(L3-S,O)]+ 1.218 2.622 1.137 5.826 13.7 1.404 0.1783 0.1469 0.1372 11.35 0.2358 0.8463 to 1.590 2.315 to 2.928 0.8505 to 1.423 -17.85 to 29.50 7.088 to 26.49 0.9117 to 1.895 20 0.6783 5.04 0.502	(Pt(phen)(L4-S,O))+ 1.081 3.6 1.172 4.347 14.85 2.52 0.06493 0.05622 0.02247 1.266 0.08934 0.9454 to 1.216 3.483 to 3.718 1.125 to 1.218 1.706 to 6.988 13.33 to 16.54 2.333 to 2.706 20 0.9802 0.6744 0.1836
log(inhibitor) vs. response Best-fit values Bottom Top LogIC50 HillSlope IC50 Span Std. Error Bottom Top LogIC50 HillSlope Span 95% Confidence Intervals Bottom Top LogIC50 HillSlope Span 95% Confidence Intervals Bottom Top LogIC50 HillSlope IC50 Span Goodness of Fit Degrees of Freedom R square Absolute Sum of Squares Sy.x Number of points	[Pt(bipy)(L2-5,O)]+ 0.7637 1.862 1.126 5.603 13.36 1.098 0.1555 0.1276 0.152 10.02 0.2045 0.4393 to 1.088 1.596 to 2.128 0.8088 to 1.443 -15.29 to 26.50 6.439 to 27.73 0.6716 to 1.525 20 0.6305 3.806 0.4362	Pd(phen)(L1-S,O)]+ 0.7081 3.235 1.413 4.869 25.87 2.527 0.04115 0.04158 0.01824 0.7984 0.05944 0.6223 to 0.7939 3.149 to 3.322 1.375 to 1.451 3.203 to 6.534 23.70 to 28.24 2.403 to 2.651 20 0.9905 0.3295 0.1284	Pt(phen)(L2-S)2 0.6451 1.871 1.078 1.586 11.97 1.226 0.08999 0.06832 0.09939 0.5087 0.1227 0.4574 to 0.8328 1.728 to 2.013 0.8709 to 1.286 0.5252 to 2.648 7.429 to 19.30 0.9696 to 1.482 20 0.9048 0.6433 0.1793	Pt(phen)(L3-S,O)]+ 1.218 2.622 1.137 5.826 13.7 1.404 0.1783 0.1469 0.1372 11.35 0.2358 0.8463 to 1.590 2.315 to 2.928 0.8505 to 1.423 -17.85 to 29.50 7.088 to 26.49 0.9117 to 1.895 20 0.6783 5.04 0.502	I.081 3.6 1.172 4.347 14.85 2.52 0.06493 0.05622 0.02247 1.266 0.08934 0.9454 to 1.216 3.483 to 3.718 1.125 to 1.218 1.3.33 to 16.54 2.333 to 2.706 20 0.9802 0.6744 0.1836

Table 5.3 Least-squares fit of the dose response data of the β -haematin assay using GraphPad Prism.

Table 5.3 Continued..

	[Pt(phen)(L5-S,O)]+	[Pt(phen)(L6-S,O)]+	[Pt(phen)(L7-S,O)]+	[Pt(phen)(L8-S,O)]+	[Pt(phen)(L9-S,O)]+
log(inhibitor) vs. response	Ambiguous				
Best-fit values					
Bottom	1.078	1.063	1.011	0.9566	0.9056
Тор	~ 1449	3.412	3.737	3.456	3.715
LogIC50	~ 10.39	1.114	1.199	1.197	0.9151
HillSlope	~ 0.3728	4.035	2.912	3.698	3.158
IC50	~ 2.441e+010	13	15.8	15.75	8.224
Span	~ 1448	2.349	2.726	2.499	2.809
Std. Error					
Bottom	0.6854	0.04379	0.0439	0.05445	0.04496
Тор	~ 2.022e+006	0.036	0.03912	0.04896	0.03216
LogIC50	~ 1643	0.01861	0.01819	0.02123	0.01528
HillSlope	~ 0.7179	0.5876	0.3311	0.7411	0.3363
Span	~ 2.022e+006	0.058	0.0614	0.07629	0.05756
95% Confidence Intervals					
Bottom	-0.3520 to 2.508	0.9718 to 1.154	0.9195 to 1.103	0.8430 to 1.070	0.8118 to 0.9994
Тор	(Very wide)	3.337 to 3.487	3.655 to 3.819	3.354 to 3.558	3.648 to 3.782
LogIC50	(Very wide)	1.075 to 1.153	1.161 to 1.237	1.153 to 1.242	0.8832 to 0.9469
HillSlope	(Very wide)	2.810 to 5.261	2.221 to 3.603	2.152 to 5.243	2.456 to 3.859
IC50	(Very wide)	11.89 to 14.21	14.48 to 17.25	14.23 to 17.44	7.642 to 8.850
Span	(Very wide)	2.228 to 2.470	2.598 to 2.854	2.340 to 2.658	2.689 to 2.929
Goodness of Fit					
Degrees of Freedom	20	20	20	20	20
R square	0.6637	0.9901	0.9924	0.9855	0.9938
Absolute Sum of Squares	6.732	0.2874	0.2819	0.4755	0.2338
Sv.x	0.5802	0.1199	0.1187	0.1542	0.1081
Number of points					
Analyzed	24	24	24	24	24
,					
	[Pt(phen)(L10-S,O)]+	[Pt(phen)(L11-S,O)]+	[Pt(phen)(L12-S,O)]+	[Pt(phen)(L13-S,O)]+	[Pt(phen)(L14-S,O)]+
log(inhibitor) vs. response				Ambiguous	
Best-fit values					
Bottom	0.8737	0.9848	0.9216	1.001	0.9657
Тор	3.544	3.337	3.403	2.478	3.2
LogIC50	1.105	1.313	1.347	~ 1.179	1.279
HillSlope	2.956	4.203	6.573	~ 18.35	7.37
IC50	12.73	20.55	22.22	~ 15.09	19.02
Span	2.67	2.352	2.481	1.477	2.234
Std. Error					
Bottom	0.06214	0.05539	0.04162	0.2021	0.0581
Тор	0.0508	0.05505	0.04162	0.1675	0.05804
LogIC50	0.02562	0.02675	0.0227	~ 63.03	0.0468
HillSlope	0.4388	0.7448	0.9674	~ 75659	3.758
Span	0.08314	0.07918	0.05899	0.2692	0.08258
95% Confidence Intervals					
Bottom	0.7440 to 1.003	0.8692 to 1.100	0.8348 to 1.008	0.5797 to 1.423	0.8445 to 1.087
Тор	3.438 to 3.650	3.222 to 3.452	3.316 to 3.490	2.129 to 2.828	3.079 to 3.321
LogIC50	1.051 to 1.158	1.257 to 1.369	1.299 to 1.394	(Very wide)	1.182 to 1.377
HillSlope	2.040 to 3.871	2.649 to 5.756	4.555 to 8.591	(Very wide)	-0.4707 to 15.21
IC50	11.26 to 14.40	18.07 to 23.37	19.92 to 24.78	(Very wide)	15.19 to 23.82
Span	2.497 to 2.844	2.187 to 2.517	2.358 to 2.604	0.9154 to 2.039	2.062 to 2.406
Goodness of Fit					
Degrees of Freedom	20	20	20	20	20
R square	0.9854	0.9809	0.9902	0.643	0.9767
Absolute Sum of Squares	0.5189	0.5743	0.3432	6.634	0.6706
Sv.x	0.1611	0.1695	0.131	0.5759	0.1831
Number of points					
Analvzed	24	24	24	24	24
- /					

	Pd(phen)(OAc)2	[PtCl(dmso)(en)]+	phen	HL1	HL2
log(inhibitor) vs. response		Ambiguous	Ambiguous	Ambiguous	Ambiguous
Best-fit values					
Bottom	0.9312	0.9248	0.8775	0.8362	0.8526
Тор	3.607	~ 2.595	~ 2.502	~ 5.543	~ 390.3
LogIC50	1.754	~ 2.798	~ 2.810	~ 3.064	~ 5.775
HillSlope	3.194	~ 12.83	~ 12.30	~ 5.112	1.03
IC50	56.74	~ 628.7	~ 645.3	~ 1158	~ 595529
Span	2.676	~ 1.670	~ 1.624	~ 4.706	~ 389.4
Std. Error					
Bottom	0.03156	0.02464	0.02413	0.01998	0.02059
Тор	0.04309	~ 27.82	~ 40.58	~ 358.1	~ 321830
LogIC50	0.0156	~ 72.50	~ 71.96	~ 10.14	~ 350.9
HillSlope	0.343	~ 9278	~ 7888	~ 50.36	0.7167
Span	0.0555	~ 27.83	~ 40.59	~ 358.1	~ 321830
95% Confidence Intervals					
Bottom	0.8654 to 0.9970	0.8734 to 0.9762	0.8271 to 0.9278	0.7945 to 0.8779	0.8096 to 0.8955
Тор	3.517 to 3.697	(Very wide)	(Very wide)	(Very wide)	(Very wide)
LogIC50	1.721 to 1.786	(Very wide)	(Very wide)	(Very wide)	(Very wide)
HillSlope	2.479 to 3.910	(Very wide)	(Very wide)	(Very wide)	-0.4654 to 2.525
IC50	52.64 to 61.15	(Very wide)	(Very wide)	(Very wide)	(Very wide)
Span	2.560 to 2.792	(Very wide)	(Very wide)	(Very wide)	(Very wide)
Goodness of Fit					
Degrees of Freedom	20	20	20	20	20
R square	0.9935	0.9585	0.9579	0.9669	0.8808
Absolute Sum of Squares	0.2227	0.2186	0.2096	0.1417	0.07867
Sy.x	0.1055	0.1045	0.1024	0.08418	0.06272

Table 5.3 Continued..

5.6 References

- 1. A. Leed, K. DuBay, D. Sears, A.C. de Dios, P. D. Roepe, *Biochem.*, 2002, 41, 10245-10255.
- 2. T.J. Egan, Mol. Biochem. Parasitol., 2008, 157, 127-136.
- 3. T.J. Egan, J. Inorg. Biochem., 2008, 102, 1288–1299.
- 4. T. Hanscheid, T. J. Egan, M. P. Grobusch, Lancet Infect. Dis., 2007, 7, 675-685.
- 5. S. Pagola, W. P. Stephens, D. S. Bohle, A. D. Kosar, S. K. Madsen, *Nature*, 2000, 404, 307-310.
- Timothy J. Egan (2011). Biomimetic Approaches to Understanding the Mechanism of Haemozoin Formation, On Biomimetics, Dr. Lilyana Pramatarova (Ed.), ISBN: 978-953-307-271-5, InTech, DOI: 10.5772/18933.
- 7. Y. S. Wu, K. R. Koch, V. R. Abratt, H. H. Klump, Arch. Biochem Biophys., 2005, 440, 28-37.
- 8. H. H. Klump, K. R. Koch , C.T. Lin, S. Afr. J. Sc., 2006, 102, 264-266.
- T. J. Egan, K. R. Koch, P. L. Swan, C. Clarkson, D. A. Van Schalkwyk, P. J. Smith, *J. Med. Chem.*, 2004, 47, 2926-2934.
- 10. M. D. Carter, V. V. Phelan, R. D. Sandlin, B. O. Bachmann, D. W. Wright, *Comb. Chem. High T Scr.*, 2010, **13**, 285-292.
- 11. K. K. Ncokazi, T. J. Egan, Anal. Biochem. 2005, 338, 306-319.
- 12. S. N.Cohen, K. O. Phifer, K. L. Yielding, Nature, 1964, 202, 805-806.
- 13. A. P. Gorka, A. de Dios, P. D. Roepe. J. Med. Chem., 2013, 56, 5231-5246.
- 14. K. A. de Villiers, H. M. Marques, T. J. Egan, J. Inorg. Biochem., 2008, 102,1660-1667.
- 15. J. X. Kelly, R. Winter, M. Riscoe, D. H Peyton, J. Inorg. Biochem., 2001, 86, 617-625.

6

Conclusions

The synthesis and characterisation of a series of novel $[Pt^{II}(diimine)(L^n-S,O)]CI$ complexes (where diimine is 1,10-phenanthroline (phen) or 2,2'-bipyridyl (bipy), L^n-S,O various chelating *N*,*N*-di(alkyl)-*N*'-acylthiourea) has been completed. The ¹H NMR spectrum of $[Pt^{II}(phen)(L^1-S,O)]CI$ in particular was unambiguously assigned using COSY for *J*-coupling information and 1D NOESY for the through-space dipole-dipole coupling which allowed for the first direct spectroscopic evidence of the assignments which were previously postulated from ¹H-¹⁹⁵Pt coupling constant information. Attempts to synthesise $[Pt^{II}(phen)(L^2-S,O)]CI$ resulted in the crystallization of a new polymorph of $Pt^{II}(bipy)Cl_2$. The crystal structure of the yellow $Pt^{II}(bipy)Cl_2$ revealed the co-crystallisation of acetonitrile while the data reveals a $Pt^{...}Pt$ interaction that is very similar to that reported for the red polymorph of this complex without having this red-shift from the *dz*² orbital overlap. This is to the best of our knowledge, the first example of a solvent molecule (acetonitrile) to co-crystallise with $Pt^{II}(bipy)Cl_2$.

 $[Pd^{II}(phen)(L^1-S,O)]Cl$ was successfully synthesised from the precursors, Pd^{II}(phen)(OAc)₂ and Pd^{II}Cl₂(phen), as an analogue to the [Pt^{II}(phen)(L¹-S,O)]Cl complex in attempt to determine the effect of the metal to the extent of β -haematin inhibition. Interestingly, several attempts to synthesise $[Pd^{II}(phen)(L^1-S,O)]Cl$ from $Pd^{II}Cl_2(phen)$ in chloroform, dichloromethane, acetonitrile and methanol were unsuccessful, while the inclusion of water to the solvent as a mixture resulted in the formation of $[Pd^{II}(phen)(L^{1}-$ S,O]Cl in reasonable yields (60-70%). This was attributed to the solvation of Cl⁻ in water which would be energetically more favourable than in organic solvents and allows for the formation of the desired complex. [Pt^{II}Cl(DMSO)(en)]Cl was also synthesised as a cationic complex of platinum(II) without any aromatic ligands, to see if ligands with significant π electrons are a requirement for the complexes to be β -haematin inhibitors.

From the characterisation of the series of complexes mentioned above, interesting features of the ¹H NMR spectra of some of the complexes were observed. All diimine complexes display interesting second order coupling between H⁵ and H⁶, while the resolution of the ¹⁹⁵Pt satellites, ${}^{3}J({}^{195}\text{Pt-}{}^{1}\text{H})$, are highly dependent of the magnetic field strength and solvent viscosity due the increase in CSA (Chemical Shift Anisotropy) relaxation rate of the square planar platinum centre leading to line broadening.

Furthermore, the synthesis of $[Pt^{II}(phen)(L^2-S,O)]Cl$ yielded significant quantities of a novel coordination product. Upon careful studying the ¹H NMR spectrum of the reaction mixture, a *bis*-monodentate coordinted-L² complex, Pt^{II}(phen)(L²-S)₂ was proposed. Attempts

to synthesise $Pt^{II}(phen)(L^2-S)_2$ by adjusting the reaction conditions and ligand concentration proved that this compound could be synthesised in high yields and crystallised. The crystal structure proved the proposed structure and also revealed interesting *intra*-molecular aromatic π -stacking interaction between the naphthoyl group of the bound L² and the coordinated phenanthroline ligand. This *intra*-molecular π -stacking interactions could significantly stabilise the $Pt^{II}(phen)(L^2-S)_2$ complex in solution which could account for the presence of this product in the synthesis of $[Pt^{II}(phen)(L^2-S,O)]Cl$. 1D NOESY data reveals the presence of this intra-molecular π -stacking interactions in methanol- d_4 with NOEs observed between H^{5+6} of the bound 1,10-phenanthroline and the protons of the naphloyl moiety of the coordinated L².

With this in mind, we attempted to synthesise other $Pt^{II}(phen)(L^n-S)_2$ complex using selected *N*,*N*-dialkyl-*N'*-acylthioureas with specific structural variations. It was found that these *N*,*N*-dialkyl-*N'*-acylthioureas also form monodentate $Pt^{II}(phen)(L^n-S)_2$ complexes in high yields, especially those with aroylthiourea ligands while the $Pt^{II}(phen)(L^n-S)_2$ complexes with pivaloylthiourea ligands seems to be unstable. This novel $Pt^{II}(phen)(L^n-S)_2$ complexes could potentially be a new class of complexes if they are found to have some bioactivity. Crystals was also obtained for $Pt^{II}(phen)(L^4-S)_2$ and the crystal structure data shows that the phenanthroline moieties of these complexes also interact *via* π -stacking, in a manner similar to that postulated for the self-association of $Pt^{II}(phen)(L^2-S)_2$ in chloroform. The formation of both $Pt^{II}(phen)(L^n-S)_2$ complexes and $[Pt^{II}(phen)(L^n-S,O)]Cl$ in high yields depending on the reaction conditions, illustrates the versatility of the *N*,*N*-di(alkyl)-*N'*-acylthiourea ligands to coordinate in a monodentate fashion *via* the sulphur donor atom or as a chelate *via* the sulphur and oxygen donor atoms.

The $[Pt^{II}(diimine)(L^n-S, O)]Cl$ complexes display interesting self-association behaviour in solution which was studied. The self-association of $[Pt^{II}(diimine)(L^n-S, O)]Cl$ complexes *via* non-covalent interactions, is expected to influence the extent β -haematin inhibition and is therefore worthwhile studying. In particular, the aggregation of $[Pt^{II}(phen)(L^1-S, O)]Cl$ was studied in detail for solutions 0 - 100 % (v/v) D₂O:CD₃CN. High resolution ¹H NMR as well as Diffusion Ordered Spectroscopy (DOSY NMR) were found to be particularly well suited for this type of investigation. ¹H NMR studies of $[Pt^{II}(phen)(L^1-S, O)]Cl$ revealed that the complexes form regiospecific non-covalent dimers in 0 to 30 % (v/v) D₂O:CD₃CN solutions (which are in fast exchange in chemical shift). The extent of dimerisation was found to

increase significantly as the D₂O content increases with the dimerisation constant (K_D) increasing from $17 \pm 2 \text{ M}^{-1}$ in CD₃CN to $71 \pm 8 \text{ M}^{-1}$ in 30% (v/v) D₂O:CD₃CN at 299.3K, presumably *via* non-covalent cation- π interactions ($\Delta_r G^0_{\text{CD3CN}} = -7.0 \text{ kJ.mol}^{-1}$; $\Delta_r G^0_{30\%\text{D2O:CD3CN}} = -10.4 \text{ kJ.mol}^{-1}$). The increase in dimerisation constant was attributed to the stabilization of the doubly charged dimer by water molecules to a greater extent with the additional stabilization due to hydrophobic effects. This aggregation process was found to be enthalpy driven ($\Delta_r H^0_{\text{CD3CN}} = -25.1 \text{ kJ.mol}^{-1}$ and $\Delta_r H^0_{30\%\text{D2O:CD3CN}} = -18.9 \text{ kJ.mol}^{-1}$) with a negative reaction entropy ($\Delta_r S^0_{\text{CD3CN}} = -61 \text{ J.mol}^{-1}$.K⁻¹ and $\Delta_r S^0_{30\%\text{D2O:CD3CN}} = -27 \text{ J.mol}^{-1}$.K⁻¹), as expected for an association reaction. However, the entropy was found to become more positive (while still negative overall) as the D₂O content increase ($\Delta\Delta_r S^0 = 34 \text{ J.mol}^{-1}$.K⁻¹). This positive contribution was attributed to the well known hydrophobic effect, where ordered water molecules of solvation surrounding the non-polar groups are 'released' upon association of such groups.

For solutions of higher D_2O content, >30% (v/v) $D_2O:CD_3CN$, the ¹H NMR spectrum displays significantly broadened signals and a marked dependence of chemical shifts on D₂O content, which could not be accounted for by the dimerisation model or higher order aggregation models. The broad ¹H NMR signals and low DOSY diffusion coefficients of solutions of $[Pt^{II}(phen)(L^1-S, O)]Cl$ in pure D₂O suggest that significant aggregation processes occur in these solutions, while TEM images reveal large well defined 'spaghetti-like' structures. The diffusion coefficients for these solutions obtained using DOSY NMR, suggests the formation of nano-sized structures ("metallogels") consisting of up to ca. 735 mononuclear cations, above a critical aggregation concentration (9.6 - 10.3 mM at 299.3K). TEM images of freshly diluted samples reveals that the well defined 'spaghetti'-like structures (diameter = \pm 20nm) comprise of smaller parallel 'strands' (\pm 2nm), presumably linearly 'stacked' $[Pt^{II}(phen)(L^1-S,O)]^+$ cations. The positive charge build-up that is expected to result from this association process is probably stabilized/offset by ion-pairing interactions with the chloride ions at the edges of such structures. This view is supported by the fact that the cationic uranyl acetate stain used in the TEM imaging accumulated at the edges of these nano-aggregates. The ¹H and DOSY NMR revealed significant shielding and slower diffusion coefficients with the addition of NaCl to the solutions which is consistent with an increase in the degree of aggregation. From these results it is clear that if $[Pt^{II}(diimine)(L^n-S,O)]CI$ complexes will be considered as bioactive compounds in the future, the extensive selfassociation behaviour these complexes cannot be ignored concerning the saline water content in biological systems.

The capability of $[Pt^{II}(diimine)(L^{n}-S,O)]^{+}$ complexes to not only self-associate but also association with other molecules such as pyrene and haematin are of interest. However, since haematin is paramagnetic, it could not be used in the NMR study and pyrene was used. It was found that $[Pt^{II}(phen)(L^{1}-S,O)]CI$ forms relatively strong 1:1 outer-sphere complexes with pyrene. The equilibrium constant for the non-covalent 1:1 $[Pt^{II}(phen)(L^{1}-S,O)]^{+}/pyrene$ aggregate formation was found to be 37.9 M⁻¹ at 25°C from ¹H chemical shift data which is within experimental error to what was previously obtained for $[Pt^{II}(phen)(L^{1}-$ S,O)]CI/fluoranthene association (39.7 M⁻¹). Both these association constants are significantly $higher than that of the self-association of <math>[Pt^{II}(phen)(L^{1}-S,O)]^{+}$ (K_D = 14.5 M⁻¹). Pyrene was found to form a stronger 1:1 aggregate with $Pt^{II}(phen)(L^{1}-S,O)]^{+}$ with the corresponding $\Delta_r H_{(M/P)} = -18.1 \pm 3$ kJ.mol⁻¹ compared to fluoranthene $(\Delta_r H_{(M/F)} = -13.3 \pm 3$ kJ.mol⁻¹) which is postulated to be due to the larger aromatic π -surface of pyrene forming a stronger cation- π interaction with the $[Pt^{II}(phen)(L^{1}-S,O)]^{+}$ cation.

With the above mentioned findings it is reasonable to postulate that $[Pt^{II}(diimine)(L^n-S,O)]^+$ complexes will have the capabilities to association to haematin to ultimately inhibit haemozoin formation in the malaria parasite, However, we have test this hypothesis using a synthetic haemozoin (β -haematin) inhibition study and the testing of the series of complexes of this study against the *Plasmodium falciparum* is subject of future study.

A β -haematin assay was performed for all complexes synthesized to establish their potential for antimalarial activity. The series of $[Pt^{II}(diimine)(L^n-S,O)]^+$ complexes was found to significantly inhibit β -haematin formation, while the respective ligands were inactive. More specifically, $[Pt^{II}(phen)(L^n-S,O)]^+$ complexes were found to be significantly better β haematin inhibitors compared to the $[Pt^{II}(bipy)(L^n-S,O)]^+$ and $[Pd^{II}(phen)(L^n-S,O)]^+$ variations. The complexes with *N*,*N*-dibutyl-*N'*-acylthiourea ligands did not show significant activity, with large quantities of β -haematin precipitate adhering to the sides of the polypropylene wells. The inactivity of these complexes and the unusual behaviour of the β haematin in these samples were attributed to a hydrophobic interaction of the butyl chains of these complexes with the surfactant (NP-40).

 $[Pt^{II}_{2}(phen)_{2}(L^{9}-S,O)]^{2+}$, a complex with an acylthiourea ligand with two *S*,*O*-coordination sites, was found to be the most efficient β -haematin inhibitor tested with the corresponding

 $IC_{50} = 8 \pm 1 \mu M$. Remarkably, this value is roughly half the IC_{50} of the mono-functional complexes $[Pt^{II}(phen)(L^n-S,O)]^+$ and is significantly more efficient than the two well known and commonly used antimalarials, chloroquine $(34 \pm 2 \mu M)$ and amodiaquine $(13 \pm 1 \mu M)$. We have postulated 4 possible association/aggregate structures for the hetero-dimers of the solution species of haematin and the $[Pt^{II}(phen)(L^n-S,O)]^+$ complexes. The cation- π interaction is balieved to be responsible for the effectiveness of these complexes, while other

interaction is believed to be responsible for the effectiveness of these complexes, while other contributing non-covalent interactions include ion-pairing, π -stacking while the coordination of the acyl group of Lⁿ and the Fe(III) metal centre is also considered.

From this study significant insight is gained regarding the synthesis of this $[Pt^{II}(diimine)(L^n-S,O)]^+$ complexes as bioactive molecules. Furthermore we have discovered a possible new class of $Pt^{II}(phen)(L^n-S)_2$ complexes which could have interesting bioactivity which is something of future study. We have also found a novel polymorph of $Pt^{II}(bipy)Cl_2$ with acetonitrile and the possibility of other solvents to also co-crystallise with $Pt^{II}(bipy)Cl_2$ would be interesting for future work. The self-association of $[Pt^{II}(phen)(L^1-S,O)]^+$ was thoroughly being studied and the modelling of the aggregates (dimers and nano-aggregates) would be of great value in the future. Furthermore, other complexes could also be investigated in this manner to see if structural variation of the ligands influences the morphology of the aggregates in water.

More future recommendation includes the modelling of potential aggregate structures of $[Pt^{II}(phen)(L^n-S,O)]^+/Fe(III)PPIX$ using quantum mechanical calculations to identify critical positions for structural variation/optimisation. In view of the excellent activity of $[Pt^{II}_2(phen)_2(L^9-S,O)]^{2+}$, more complexes with bipodal ligands could be synthesised and their strengths of β -haematin inhibition could be compared together with the test results for the activity against real parasites. This ligand architecture could potentially be incorporated in macro-molecules with large amounts of functional groups, like polymers, dendrimers or functionalised nanoparticles which may increase the effectiveness and/or decrease cytotoxicity of these agents.



Appendix A - Additional Figures and Tables



Figure A.1 ¹H NMR spectrum of $[Pt^{II}(phen)(L^2-S, O)]Cl$ in chloroform-d₁ at 25°C.



Figure A.2 ¹H NMR spectrum of $[Pt^{II}(phen)(L^3-S, O)]Cl$ in acetonitrile-d₃ at 25°C.



Figure A.3 ¹H NMR spectrum of $[Pt^{II}(phen)(L^4-S,O)]$ Cl in dimethyl sulfoxide-d₆ at 25°C.



Figure A.4 ¹H NMR spectrum of $[Pt^{II}(phen)(L^5-S,O)]$ Cl in dimethyl sulfoxide-d₆ at 25°C.



Figure A.5 ¹H NMR .of $[Pt^{II}(phen)(L^6-S, O)]$ Cl in dimethyl sulfoxide-d₆ at 25°C.



Figure A.6 ¹H NMR spectrum of $[Pt^{II}(phen)(L^7-S,O)]$ Cl in dimethyl sulfoxide-d₆ at 25°C.



Figure A.7 ¹H NMR spectrum of $[Pt^{II}(phen)(L^8-S, O)]Cl$ in dimethyl sulfoxide-d₆ at 25°C.



Figure A.8 ¹H NMR spectrum of $[Pt_2^{II}(phen)_2(L^9-S,O)]Cl_2$ in acetonitrile-d₃ at 25°C.



Figure A.9 ¹H NMR spectrum of $[Pt^{II}(phen)(L^{10}-S, O)]Cl$ in dimethyl sulfoxide-d₆ at 25°C.



Figure A.10 ¹H NMR spectrum of $[Pt^{II}(phen)(L^{11}-S, O)]Cl$ in dimethyl sulfoxide-d₆ at 25°C.



Figure A.11 ¹H NMR spectrum of $[Pt^{II}(phen)(L^{12}-S, O)]Cl$ in dimethyl sulfoxide-d₆ at 25°C.



Figure A.12 ¹H NMR spectrum of $[Pt^{II}(phen)(L^{13}-S,O)]Cl$ in dimethyl sulfoxide-d₆ at 25°C.



Figure A.13 ¹H NMR spectrum of $[Pt^{II}(phen)(L^{14}-S, O)]Cl$ in dimethyl sulfoxide-d₆ at 25°C.



Figure A.14 ¹H NMR spectrum of [Pt^{II}(bipy)(L¹-*S*,*O*)]Cl in acetonitrile-d₃ at 25°C



Figure A.15 (+) ESI Mass spectra of $[Pt^{II}(phen)(L^n-S,O)]^+$, $[Pt^{II}(bipy)(L^n-S,O)]^+$ and $[Pd^{II}(phen)(L^1-S,O)]^+$ in methanol with a cone voltage of 15 V.



ChemNMR H-1 Estimation



Figure A.16 Calculated ¹H NMR chemical shifts of the relevant section of L^2 coordinated to the platinum metal centre using the ChemDraw Ultra software package.



Figure A.17 Line-fits of the ¹H NMR resonance of $H^{d+d'}$ of $Pt^{II}(phen)(L^2-S)_2$ at various temperatures.



Figure A.18 Line-fits of the ¹H NMR resonance of $H^{a+a'}$ of $Pt^{II}(phen)(L^2-S)_2$ at various temperatures.


Figure A.19 ¹H,¹³C GHSQC plot of $[Pt^{II}(phen)(L^1-S,O)]Cl$ showing the ¹H and ¹³C correlations in chloroform-d₁.



Figure A.20 ¹H NMR spectra of the reaction mixture obtained for the synthesis of $[Pt^{II}(phen)(L^2-S,O)]Cl$ containing significant amount of the previously 'unknown' $Pt^{II}(phen)(L^2-S)_2$ with the ¹H NMR spectrum of the pure $[Pt(phen)(L^2-S,O)]^+$ in red and $Pt(phen)(L^2-S)_2$ in blue.

Bonds:	Å	Bonds:	Å	Bonds:	Å	Bonds:	Å
PT1-S3	2.2837(2)	C17-C21	1.3812(1)	N26-H26	0.8800(1)	N3-C56	1.4687(2)
PT1-N9	2.0566(2)	C18-N26	1.2962(1)	C27-H27	0.9500(1)	C51-H51A	0.9900(1)
PT1-N10	2.0554(2)	С19-Н19	0.9500(1)	C27-C9	1.3591(1)	C51-H51B	0.9900(1)
PT1-S1	2.3002(2)	C19-C33	1.3520(1)	C28-H28	0.9500(1)	C51-C55	1.5170(2)
S3-C18	1.7783(2)	С20-Н20	0.9500(1)	C28-C40	1.3665(1)	С53-Н53А	0.9900(1)
C2-N10	1.3674(2)	C22-H22A	0.9900(1)	S1-C47	1.7809(2)	С53-Н53В	0.9900(1)
C2-C30	1.4216(1)	C22-H22B	0.9900(1)	C1-H11	0.9500(1)	C53-C54	1.5351(2)
C2-C32	1.4171(1)	C22-C25	1.5158(2)	C11-C6	1.4231(1)	C54-H54A	0.9900(1)
C3-O4	1.2246(1)	С23-Н23А	0.9900(1)	C11-C39	1.3739(1)	C54-H54B	0.9900(1)
C3-C21	1.5285(2)	С23-Н23В	0.9900(1)	C6-C50	1.4344(2)	C54-C8	1.5236(1)
C3-N26	1.3741(2)	C23-C25	1.5230(2)	С9-Н9	0.9500(1)	С55-Н55А	0.9800(1)
O5-C49	1.2334(1)	C23-C46	1.5288(2)	С10-Н10	0.9500(1)	С55-Н55В	0.9800(1)
С7-Н7	0.9500(1)	C29-C30	1.3981(1)	С39-Н39	0.9500(1)	С55-Н55С	0.9800(1)
C7-N9	1.3290(1)	C29-C27	1.4330(1)	C39-C44	1.4094(2)	C56-H56A	0.9900(1)
C7-C28	1.3973(1)	C29-C40	1.4032(1)	С40-Н40	0.9500(1)	C56-H56B	0.9900(1)
N9-C30	1.3755(1)	C32-C37	1.4023(1)	C41-H41	0.9500(1)	C56-C4	1.5245(2)
N10-C16	1.3280(1)	C32-C9	1.4312(2)	C41-C50	1.4093(1)	C5-H5A	0.9900(1)
C1-H1	0.9500(1)	С33-Н33	0.9500(1)	C42-H42	0.9500(1)	С5-Н5В	0.9900(1)
C1-C12	1.4184(2)	C33-C42	1.4009(1)	С43-Н43А	0.9900(1)	C5-C26	1.5177(2)
C1-C20	1.3644(1)	C34-H34A	0.9900(1)	C43-H43B	0.9900(1)	C5-C4	1.5150(2)
C12-C14	1.4256(2)	C34-H34B	0.9900(1)	C43-C51	1.5339(2)	C8-H8A	0.9900(1)
C12-C19	1.4175(1)	C34-C43	1.5233(2)	C44-H44	0.9500(1)	C8-H8B	0.9900(1)
С13-Н13	0.9500(1)	С35-Н35	0.9500(1)	C44-C45	1.3562(1)	C8-C58	1.5286(2)
C13-C14	1.4295(2)	C35-C36	1.4098(2)	C45-H45	0.9500(1)	C26-H26A	0.9800(1)
C13-C42	1.3696(1)	C35-C41	1.3611(1)	C45-C50	1.4157(1)	C26-H26B	0.9800(1)
C14-C21	1.4416(1)	С36-Н36	0.9500(1)	C46-H46A	0.9800(1)	C26-H26C	0.9800(1)
N8-C18	1.3522(1)	C36-C24	1.3736(1)	C46-H46B	0.9800(1)	C4-H4A	0.9900(1)
N8-C22	1.4688(2)	С37-Н37	0.9500(1)	C46-H46C	0.9800(1)	C4-H4B	0.9900(1)
N8-C34	1.4687(2)	C37-C10	1.3629(2)	N1-H1A	0.8800(1)	C58-H58A	0.9800(1)
C16-H16	0.9500(1)	C24-C6	1.4401(2)	N1-C47	1.2874(1)	C58-H58B	0.9800(1)
C16-C10	1.4021(1)	C24-C49	1.520(2)	N1-C49	1.3596(2)	С58-Н58С	0.9800(1)
C17-H17	0.9500(1)	C25-H25A	0.9900(1)	C47-N3	1.3623(1)		
C17-C20	1.4096(1)	C25H25B	0.9900(1)	N3-C53	1.4591(2)		

Table A.1 Bond lengths and selected angles, torsion angles, plane angles and distances in the crystal structure of $Pt^{II}(phen)(L^2-S)_2$



Figure A.21 ¹H NMR temperature dependence of $Pt^{II}(phen)(L^2)_2$ in methanol-d₄.



Figure A.22 ¹H NMR of $Pt^{II}(phen)(L^1)_2$ in dimethyl sulfoxide-d₆.



Figure A.23 ¹H NMR of $Pt^{II}(phen)(L^6)_2$ in a mixture of acetonitrile-d₃ and dimethyl sulfoxide-d₆.



Figure A.24 Plane angles of the phenyl group and the coordinated 1,10-phenanthroline.



Figure A.25 Distances and angles of the planes created by the coordinated 1,10-phenanthroline moiety.



Figure A.26 ATR FTIR of HL^2



Figure A.27 ATR FTIR of $Pt^{II}(phen)(L^2-S)_2$



Figure A.28 ATR FTIR of $[Pt^{II}(phen)(L^2-S, O)]Cl$



Figure A.29 ATR FTIR of HL^3



Figure A.30 ATR FTIR of $Pt^{II}(phen)(L^3-S)_2$



Figure A.31 ATR FTIR of $[Pt^{II}(phen)(L^3-S, O)]Cl$



Figure A.32 ATR FTIR of HL^1



Figure A.33 ATR FTIR of $[Pt^{II}(phen)(L^1-S, O)]Cl$



Figure A.34 ATR FTIR of HL¹³



Figure A.35 Oriented phase observed in the ¹H NMR spectrum as a result of insufficient mixing of $[Pt^{II}(phen)(L^1-S, O)]Cl$ in acetonitrile-d₃.



Figure A.36 399.99 MHz ¹H NMR spectra of $[Pt^{II}(1,10\text{-phenanthroline})(N,N\text{-di}(2-hydroxyethyl)-N'-benzoylthiourea)]Cl as in D₂O a function of temperature.$



Figure A.37 The observed ¹H chemical shift of H² at temperatures 299.3 - 331.5 K against $1/[M]_T$, were $[M]_T = \text{Total} [Pt^{II}(\text{phen})(L^1-S, O)]Cl$ concentration in D₂O.



Figure A.38 TEM image (x73000) of $[Pt^{II}(2,2'-bipyridyI)(N,N-di(2-hydroxyethyI)-N'-benzoylthiourea)]Cl prepared from water and stained with uranyl acetate.$

Percentage (v/v) D2O:CD3CN	Temperature (K)	δ_{m} (ppm)	error (+-)	δ _d (ppm)	error (+-)
0	309.6	9.300	0.004	8.118	0.053
	299.3	9.292	0.006	8.123	0.064
	291.6	9.285	0.009	8.105	0.076
	282.6	9.273	0.015	8.087	0.099
20	309.6	9.281	0.006	8.195	0.067
	299.3	9.275	0.007	8.192	0.061
	291.6	9.269	0.023	8.098	0.145
	282.6	9.262	0.02	8.195	0.113
30	309.6	9.264	0.009	8.293	0.053
	299.3	9.26	0.01	8.281	0.048
	291.6	9.253	0.014	8.260	0.055
	282.6	9.246	0.017	8.252	0.054

Table A.2 Calculated monomer and dimer chemical shifts (δ_m and δ_d) for solutions 10-30% v/v D₂O:CD₃CN using the dimer model.

$[M]_{T}^{-1}$		$\delta_{obs}(H^2)$	(ppm)	
(M ⁻¹)	299.3 K	309.6 K	319.9K	331.5 K
9.8141	7.275	7.427	7.577	7.750
12.582	7.295	7.451	7.611	7.786
16.691	7.318	7.491	7.658	7.837
25.021	7.368	7.574	7.749	7.932
40.004	7.489	7.652	7.837	8.036
66.673	7.602	7.766	7.951	8.145
100.01	7.684	7.841	8.024	8.219
125.01	7.727	7.884	8.068	8.262
166.68	7.771	7.934	8.117	8.307
250.02	7.835	7.991	8.174	8.369
333.36	7.878	8.050	8.221	8.404
500.05	7.945	8.097	8.275	8.468
1000.1	8.017	8.186	8.361	8.545
1962.4	8.082	8.257	8.431	8.610
3428.9	8.147	8.328	8.485	8.691

Table A.3 ¹H $\delta_{obs}(H^2)$ and D_{obs} dependence of $[Pt^{II}(phen)(L^1-S,O)]Cl$ (4.5 mM) on NaCl concentration with corresponding hydrodynamic radii (r_H), volumes (V_H) and aggregation numbers (N).

B.

Appendix B - Publications

Dalton Transactions

An international journal of inorganic chemistry

www.rsc.org/dalton

Volume 42 | Number 11 | 21 March 2013 | Pages 3737–4092



ISSN 1477-9226

RSCPublishing

Koch *et al*.

Cation– π induced aggregation of water-soluble [Pt^{II}(diimine)(L^{*n*}-*S*,*O*)]⁺ complexes studied by ¹H DOSY NMR and TEM: from 'dimer aggregates' in acetonitrile to nano-aggregates ('metallogels') in water



Dalton Transactions

RSCPublishing

PAPER

Cite this: Dalton Trans., 2013, 42, 3791

Cation- π induced aggregation of water-soluble [Pt^{II}(diimine)(Lⁿ-S,O)]⁺ complexes studied by ¹H DOSY NMR and TEM: from 'dimer aggregates' in acetonitrile to nano-aggregates ('metallogels') in water†‡

Izak A. Kotzé, Wilhelmus J. Gerber, Yu-Shan Wu and Klaus R. Koch*

¹H NMR chemical shift concentration dependence as well as the diffusion coefficients from DOSY NMR of mixed ligand [Pt^{II}(1,10-phenanthroline)(N-pyrrolidyI-N-(2,2-dimethylpropanoyI)thiourea)]Cl ([Pt^{II}-(phen)(L¹-S,O)]Cl) dissolved in mixtures of acetonitrile-water in the range 0-30% (v/v) D₂O-CD₃CN shows that the complex cation $(M^+ = [Pt^{II}(phen)(L^1-S,O)]^+)$ aggregates to form dimers, $2M^+ \Rightarrow \{M^+\}_2$, with association constants ranging from $K_D(CD_3CN) = 17 \pm 2 M^{-1}$ to $K_D(30\% (v/v) D_2O-CD_3CN) = 71 \pm 8 M^{-1}$ at 299.3 K, presumably via non-covalent cation- π interactions. Experimental data are consistent with an 'offset' face-to-face cation- π stacking arrangement of the planar cation. However in water-rich solvent mixtures from >30% (v/v) D_2O-CD_3CN to pure D_2O , the extent of aggregation significantly increases until a critical aggregation concentration (CAC) is reached, estimated to be 9.6 and 10.3 mM from ¹H NMR chemical shift concentration dependence and DOSY NMR measurements respectively. Above the CAC the formation of nano-structures formulated as $[Pt^{II}(phen)(L^{1}-S,O)]^{+}_{P}CI^{-}_{V}$ (n, $\gamma > 2$) is indicated. DOSY studies show a significant decrease of the average diffusion coefficient Dobs as a function of increasing concentration of $[Pt^{II}(phen)(L^1-S,O)]CI$ in D₂O. The aggregation number (N) estimated from hydrodynamic volumes of the mononuclear $[Pt^{II}(phen)(L^{1}-S,O)]^{+}$ cation (V_{P}^{0}) , and those V_{H} estimated from D_{obs} ($N = V_H/V_H^0$) as a function of total complex concentration, ranges from ~2 to ~735 in pure D₂O. Above the CAC well defined nano-structures which may be loosely termed "metallogels" could be characterized by means of transmission electron microscopy. As expected the addition of NaCl appears to increase the extent of aggregate formation, by presumably stabilizing the formation of nano-sized $[[Pt^{II}]$ $(phen)(L^{1}-S,O)]^{+}_{0}CI_{v}$ aggregates preventing excessive positive electrostatic charge build-up.

Received 5th September 2012, Accepted 14th November 2012

DOI: 10.1039/c2dt32053c

www.rsc.org/dalton

Introduction

The 'self-association' of transition metal complexes, which display biological activity of potential pharmaceutical use, has been the subject of extensive interest in the last decade since their detailed physiochemical behaviour particularly in aqueous solution may have important implications on their mode of action.^{1–5} Our interest in the chemistry of planar, cationic mixed-ligand Pt^{II} complexes of the general type [Pt^{II}(diimine)(L^{*n*}-*S*,*O*)]⁺ (where diimine is 2,2-bipyridine or 1,10-

E-mail: krk@sun.ac.za; Fax: ++2721 808 2344; Tel: ++2721 808 3020

‡Electronic supplementary information (ESI) available: Consists of tables of all the ¹H and PFGE NMR data and related graphics. See DOI: 10.1039/c2dt32053c

phenanthroline and HLⁿ-S,O are various chelating N-acyl-N,Ndialkylthioureas) arises from their interesting biological activity ranging from potential anti-malarial activity⁶ to DNAintercalation and demonstrable in vivo activity toward bacterial E. coli AB1886 (uvr A) cultures.⁷ Preliminary work also shows that such complexes undergo some interesting DNA-templated 'biomineralization'.⁸ The *in vitro* anti-malarial activity⁶ of [Pt^{II}- $(\text{diimine})(L^n-S,O)$ ⁺ is postulated to arise from inhibition of β -hematin formation (synthetic hemozoin or malaria pigment) presumably as a result of the cationic planar complex [Pt^{II}- $(\text{diimine})(L^n-S,O)$ ⁺ forming moderately strong outer-sphere aggregates with ferriprotoporphyrin IX, as can be demonstrated in 40% aqueous dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO) solution, possibly through non-covalent cation– π interactions.⁶ Moreover the ¹H NMR spectra of the series of cationic [Pt^{II}(diimine)- $(N,N-di(n-butyl)-N'-benzoylthiourea]^+$ complexes (as PF₆⁻ salts where diimine = 1,10-phenanthroline, 4,7-diphenyl-1,10-phenanthroline, 2,2-bipyridyl, 4,4-di-tert-butyl-2,2-bipyridyl and

Platinum Metals Chemistry Research Group, Department of Chemistry and Polymer Science, University of Stellenbosch, P Bag X1, Matieland, 7602, South Africa.

 $^{^{\}dagger}$ This paper is dedicated to Professor Stefan Berger (Leipzig University), on the occasion of his retirement.



 $\label{eq:Scheme 1} \begin{array}{l} \mbox{Postulated 'average' structure of a } \{ [Pt^{II}(phen)(L^1-S,O)]^* \}_2 \mbox{ dimer} \\ \mbox{aggregate in solution based on 1H NMR shielding trends as a function of concentration.} \end{array}$

4,4-dimethyl-2,2-bipyridyl) in acetonitrile at room temperature show significant concentration dependence, consistent with the formation of non-covalent dimer aggregates $2M^+ \rightleftharpoons \{M^+\}_2$ (where $M^+ = [Pt^{II}(diimine)(L^n-S,O)]^+)$.⁹ This concentration dependence of the ¹H NMR chemical shifts can be used to estimate the association constants of such an aggregation process, while the relative spatial orientation of the molecules undergoing non-covalent association may be inferred from the extent of the relative changes in ¹H NMR chemical shifts induced as a function of concentration.⁹⁻¹² A recent, detailed study of the water-soluble [Pt^{II}(1,10-phenanthroline)(N-pyrrolidyl-N-(2,2-dimethylpropanoyl)-thiourea)]Cl ([Pt^{II}(phen)(L¹-S,O)]-Cl) in acetonitrile showed that the non-covalent aggregation of the cationic $[Pt^{II}(phen)(L^1-S,O)]^+$ complexes results in dimer aggregates $2M^+ \Rightarrow \{M^+\}_2$ in solution (Scheme 1), while the $[Pt^{II}(phen)(L^1-S,O)]^+$ cation certainly forms non-covalent heteroaggregates with aromatic molecules such as fluoranthene (F) corresponding to $M^+ + F \rightleftharpoons M^+F$ in acetonitrile, with an estimated association constant $K_{\rm B} \sim 67 \pm 7 \text{ M}^{-1}$ at room temperature.¹³ Moreover, in water rich acetonitrile solutions the ¹H NMR spectra of the $[Pt^{II}(phen)(L^{1}-S,O)]^{+}$ become progressively broader as the relative amount of water increases. This corresponds to similar unpublished observations of extremely broad, almost featureless ¹H NMR spectra obtained in D₂O at room temperature of the highly water-soluble complex [Pt^{II}(diimine)(N,N-di(2-hydroxyethyl)-N'-benzoylthiourea)]Cl (Fig. S1‡).¹⁴ These interesting NMR spectra suggest formation of larger nano-scale aggregate structures in water of such cationic complexes,¹⁴ the detailed nature of which has not been established to date.

We here report a study of the non-covalent aggregation behaviour of $[Pt^{II}(phen)(L^1-S,O)]^+$ cations in acetonitrile–water mixtures ranging from pure acetonitrile to pure water by means of the concentration dependence of the ¹H NMR and Diffusion Ordered Spectroscopy (DOSY) techniques, supplemented by transmission electron microscopy to elucidate the nature of these phenomena, and the nano-aggregates which appear to form in water.

¹H diffusion ordered spectroscopy is a suitable technique for studying aggregation behaviour in solution since diffusion coefficients, which are very sensitive towards changes in the molecular/aggregate size, and the number of individual molecules, which constitute an aggregate, may be approximately estimated using the Stokes–Einstein equation.^{15,16} Our aim is to mimic the biological media in which such complex cations may be active, particularly in the context of their potential anti-malarial activity *in vitro* and/or *in vivo*.¹⁷

Results and discussion

The effect of solvent composition (0–30% (v/v) D_2O-CD_3CN) on aggregation of [Pt^{II}(phen)(L¹-*S*,*O*)]Cl

In pure acetonitrile, the ¹H NMR chemical shift concentration dependence trends (Fig. 1a) in 10% (v/v) D₂O-CD₃CN, as well as estimated diffusion coefficients by DOSY NMR (vide infra), of $[Pt^{II}(phen)(L^1-S,O)]Cl$ can satisfactorily be accounted for by means of an aggregation model resulting in essentially exclusive formation of a $\{ [Pt^{II}(diimine)(L^n-S,O)]^+ \}_2$, consistent with a non-covalent cation π association of $[Pt^{II}(phen)(L^1-S,O)]^+$ as demonstrated for related complexes previously.9,13 However, by increasing the water content in these solutions, the ¹H NMR resonances as a function of [Pt^{II}(phen)(L¹-S,O)]Cl concentration at 299.3 K become significantly broader as shown for 100% D₂O in Fig. 1b. Moreover, all the ¹H NMR peaks of the diimine moiety of the platinum complex show relatively larger upfield chemical shift displacements in the spectrum (peaks become more shielded) as the water content of the solutions increases, as well as on increasing concentration of the [Pt^{II}- $(phen)(L^1-S,O)^{\dagger}$ for a given acetonitrile-water mixture. Since only one set of resonance signals is observed in the ¹H NMR spectra for the $[Pt^{II}(phen)(L^1-S,O)]^+$ complex/aggregate under any conditions, this is consistent with fast to intermediate exchange on the NMR timescale for the temperature range of 267.1 to 309.6 K. The relative upfield displacements of the $\delta_{obs}(H^2)$ and $\delta_{obs}(H^9)$ resonances (δ /ppm) of the diimine moiety of the $[Pt^{II}(phen)(L^1-S,O)]^+$ cation are significantly more pronounced compared to the ¹H NMR signals of the N-acyl-N,N-dialkylthiourea moiety with increasing concentration (Fig. 1a) and increasing water content of the solvent mixture (Fig. 1b). The relative changes of $\delta_{obs}(H^{2/9})$ /ppm induced as the concentration of [Pt^{II}(phen)(L¹-S,O)]Cl increases from 0.34 to 10.3 mM are significantly larger in pure D₂O compared to acetonitrile ($\Delta^{\max} \delta_{CD_3CN} = 0.28$ ppm to $\Delta^{\max} \delta_{D_2O} = 0.41$ ppm). Similar trends have been reported for the related [Pt^{II}(diimine)-(N,N-di(n-butyl)-N'-benzoylthiourea)⁺ cation (Fig. S1[‡]).¹⁴ The experimental trends of $\delta_{obs}(H^2)$ as a function of $[Pt^{II}(phen) (L^1-S,O)$]Cl concentration in solutions up to 30% (v/v) D₂O-CD₃CN at various temperatures are shown in Fig. 2. Non-linear least squares fitting of the experimental $\delta_{obs}(H^2)$ data to a dimer aggregate model $2M^+ \Rightarrow {M^+}_2 (M^+ = [Pt^{II}(phen)(L^1-S,O)]^+)$ results in excellent agreement, allowing for estimated $K_{\rm D}$ $(RSD_{max} < 13\%)$ values in 0, 10, 20 and 30% (v/v) D_2O-CD_3CN shown in Table 1 in a temperature range 282.6-309.6 K.

Standard reaction enthalpy $(\Delta_r H^0)$ and entropy $(\Delta_r S^0)$ values were estimated from the Van't Hoff plots shown in Fig. S2;‡ the good linear plots of Ln K_D vs. 1/T are consistent with only a dimer $2M^+ \Rightarrow \{M^+\}_2$ equilibrium and rule out other possible

[§] The protons H^2 and H^9 of the diimine moiety are most sensitive to changes in concentration and solvent composition.



Fig. 1 ¹H NMR spectra (599.99 MHz) of $[Pt^{II}(phen)(L^1-S,O)]^+$ showing the chemical shift dependence of the 1,10-phenanthroline protons on the concentration of $[Pt^{II}(phen)(L^1-S,O)]^+$ in solutions containing (a) 10% (v/v) D₂O-CD₃CN (0.3–26.4 mM, 299.3 K) and (b) D₂O (0.3–25.0 mM, 309.6 K).



Fig. 2 Excellent agreement between the dimer model least-squares fits and the experimental (symbols) chemical shift dependence of the 1,10-phenanthroline H^2 proton a concentration probe of [Pt^{II}(phen)(L¹-*S*,*O*)]Cl in (a) 0:100, (b) 10:90, (c) 20:80 and (d) 30:70 (v/v) D₂O–CD₃CN mixtures. (Calculated monomer and dimer chemical shifts available in the ESI Table S1.‡)

competing association processes or equilibria, such as ionpairing and/or higher order aggregate formation for these solvent compositions (\leq 30% (v/v) D₂O-CD₃CN).

The increase in $K_{\rm D}$ by a factor of 4–5 when the solvent composition is changed from pure acetonitrile to 30% (v/v)

 D_2O-CD_3CN mixtures indicates that the dimer aggregate $\{[Pt^{II}(phen)(L^1-S,O)]^+\}_2$ is clearly favoured with increasing water (D_2O) content, as might be anticipated due to the expected hydrophobicity of such planar complex cations.

Table 1 Thermodynamic data for the self-association of $[Pt^{II}(phen)(L^1-S,O)]^+$ in 0–30% (v/v) D₂O–CD₃CN solutions as calculated from ¹H NMR chemical shift concentration and temperature dependence

Percentage (v/v) D ₂ O–CD ₃ CN	Temperature (K)	$K_{\rm D} \left({\rm M}^{-1} \right)$	$\Delta_{\rm r} H^0 \left({\rm kJ} \ {\rm mol}^{-1} \right)$	$\Delta_{\rm r} S^0 \left(J \text{ mol}^{-1} \text{ K}^{-1} \right)$	$\Delta_{\rm r} G^0 \left({\rm kJ} \; { m mol}^{-1} ight)$
0	309.6	12 (±1)			
	299.3	$17(\pm 2)$	$-25.1(\pm 3.1)$	$-61(\pm 11)$	-7.0
	291.6	$22(\pm 2)$			
	282.6	$29(\pm 3)$			
10	309.6	$20(\pm 2)$			
	299.3	27 (±3)	$-19.7(\pm 2.4)$	$-40(\pm 7)$	-8.0
	291.6	33 (±3)			
	282.6	41 (±5)			
20	309.6	29 (±3)			
	299.3	39 (±4)	$-20.1(\pm 2.5)$	$-38(\pm 7)$	-8.6
	291.6	43 (±4)			
	282.6	64 (±7)			
	309.6	54 (±5)			
30	299.3	71 (±8)	$-18.9(\pm 2.3)$	$-27(\pm 5)$	-10.4
	291.6	87 (±9)			
	282.6	$109(\pm 10)$			

The process $2M^+ \rightleftharpoons \{M^+\}_2$ is evidently enthalpy driven $(\Delta_r H^0)$ < 0) while a negative standard reaction entropy ($\Delta_r S^0 < 0$) is consistent with an aggregation/association process.¹⁸ Interestingly the enthalpy of the dimer formation $(\Delta_r H^0)$ decreases somewhat on passing from pure acetonitrile ($\Delta_r H^0 = -25.1$ kJ mol^{-1}) to a 10% (v/v) D₂O-CD₃CN ($\Delta_r H^0 = -19.7 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1}$) mixture, after which the reaction enthalpy remains essentially constant within experimental error for 20 and 30% (v/v) D₂O- CD_3CN solutions. By contrast the $\Delta_r S^0$ becomes systematically less negative as the D₂O content increases, Table 1. Doty and Myers attributed similar trends in $\Delta \Delta_r S^0$ for dimerization of protein moieties to the dehydration of charged groups upon aggregation, while Kauzmann and Scheraga suggested that this trend may be due to non-covalent hydrophobic bonding of non-polar groups increasing the degree of freedom of the water molecules close to hydrophobic groups.¹⁹⁻²¹ It is thus reasonable to postulate that the trends in $\Delta \Delta_r S^0$ observed in this study may be attributed to the "hydrophobicity" of the coordinated 1,10-phenanthroline moiety, the effects of which become more significant as the solvent polarity increases with increasing water content of the solvent mixture (dielectric constant, $\varepsilon_{\text{water}} = 78.5$ and $\varepsilon_{\text{acetonitrile}} = 37.5$).^{22,23}

The $\delta({}^{1}\text{H})$ trend differences for ${}^{1}\text{H}$ peaks of the 1,10-phenanthroline moiety as compared to butyl and *N*-pyrrolidyl ${}^{1}\text{H}$ signals of $[\text{Pt}^{II}(\text{phen})(\text{L}^{1}-S,O)]^{+}$ as a function of concentration and temperature are entirely consistent with a *regiospecific* face-to-face stacking arrangement of the $[\text{Pt}^{II}(\text{phen})(\text{L}^{1}-S,O)]^{+}$ cations in a dimer (Scheme 1) in these solutions up to 30% (v/v) D₂O-CD₃CN as previously postulated in pure aceto-nitrile.^{9,13} Essentially the two planar complex cations interact with one another through cation– π interactions in a characteristic 'offset' stacking configuration consistent with the model proposed by Hunter and Sanders²⁴ in their study on the nature of " π -stacking interactions" based on porphyrin–porphyrin aggregation.

The observed shielding trends as a function of concentration particularly of the H^2 and H^9 protons of the

coordinated diimine moiety clearly rule out a possible "T-shaped" cation– π interaction in these solutions.²⁴

Aggregation behaviour of $[Pt^{II}(phen)(L^{1}-S,O)]Cl$ in water-rich mixtures >30% (v/v) D₂O-CD₃CN

In water-rich acetonitrile >30% (v/v) D₂O–CD₃CN mixtures significantly broader ¹H NMR resonances are observed for all the ¹H peaks associated with the diimine moiety in [Pt^{II}(phen)-(L¹-*S*,*O*)]⁺ (Fig. 1b), eventually resulting in poorly resolved ¹H NMR spectra. Additionally, the even more pronounced shielding of the H² and H⁹ protons of the diimine moiety with increasing [Pt^{II}(phen)(L¹-*S*,*O*)]⁺ concentrations suggests the formation of a larger scale structure/aggregate in solution. Significantly, application of a simple dimer $2M^+ \rightleftharpoons \{M^+\}_2$ model to the experimentally observed ¹H NMR shielding trends fails to account for these satisfactorily, particularly as the water content of the solvent increases to pure D₂O.

The significant line-broadening of ¹H NMR peaks in D₂O may be associated with a decrease in the T_2 relaxation times as estimated from ¹H NMR peak width at half-height ($\Delta v_{1/2} \propto$ $1/T_2$) under optimum magnetic field homogeneities.^{25,26} The measured ¹H NMR resonance half-height ($\Delta v_{1/2}$) of the H^{2/9} resonances in $[Pt^{II}(phen)(L^1-S,O)]^+$ increases from 0.9 Hz in pure CD_3CN to 18 Hz in pure D_2O at constant temperature. The extremely pronounced ¹H NMR broadening observed for [Pt^{II}(diimine)(N,N-di(2-hydroxyethyl)-N'-benzoylthiourea)]Cl (Fig. S1[‡]) in D₂O, and an inverse dependence of line-width with temperature¹⁴ undoubtedly indicate that whatever the nature of the aggregate structure(s) formed in solution must have significantly larger average molecular weights.²⁷ We postulate that non-covalent inter-molecular interactions associated with the formation of large nano-sized aggregates with high molecular weight D₂O are likely to result in significant shortening of the T_2 relaxation times consistent with larger structures and thus longer molecular correlation/tumbling times $\tau_{\rm c}$ commonly associated with macromolecules.26-28 The greater degree of shielding of *inter alia* H^{2/9} with increasing complex



Fig. 3 The ¹H NMR chemical shift dependence of H² on [Pt^{II}(phen)(L¹-*S*,*O*)]Cl concentration in D₂O at temperatures 299.3, 309.6, 319.9, and 331.5 K. (Note: the dotted lines are aids for trend visualization.)



Scheme 2 Postulated aggregation model of $[Pt^{II}(phen)(L^{1}-S,O)]CI$ in aqueous solutions consisting of two major equilibrium processes with (a) an accumulative aggregation with K_i the respective association constant corresponding to the *i*th monomer associating to the aggregate and (b) the formation of nano-sized aggregates after a specific critical aggregation concentration (CAC).

concentration in D₂O (due to the chemical shift anisotropy (CSA) phenomenon) illustrated by the data in Fig. 3 for several temperatures is consistent with more extensive cation– π aromatic-ring stacking expected for the planar quasi-aromatic [Pt^{II}(phen)(L¹-*S*,*O*)]⁺ cation. Despite our best efforts, the shield-ing trends in D₂O and (water rich solutions > (v/v) D₂O–CD₃CN) could also not be satisfactorily accounted for by simple or even higher order aggregation models such as trimer-, tetramer formation, *etc.* We therefore propose a multiple aggregate formation model leading to the formation of structures formulated as {[Pt^{II}(phen)(L¹-*S*,*O*)]⁺}_nCl⁻_y (*n* and *y* variable but >2) similar to a model proposed for procyanidin aggregation in a wine-like medium described by Pianet *et al.*,²⁹ illustrated in Scheme 2.

Our experimental NMR data in D_2O are consistent with a model described in Scheme 2, in which at relatively low total complex concentrations initially, (a) the self-association of $[Pt^{II}(phen)(L^1-S,O)]^+$ cations results in dimer aggregates, which however eventually lead to the formation of $\{[Pt^{II}(phen)-$



Fig. 4 The observed ¹H chemical shift of H² at temperatures 299.3–331.5 K against $1/[M]_T$ were $[M]_T$ = Total [Pt^{II}(phen)(L¹-*S*,*O*)]Cl concentration in D₂O. The expansions and extrapolations of the ¹H NMR chemical shift concentration dependence of all temperatures are displayed in Fig. S3.‡ (Note: the dotted lines are aids for trend visualization.)

Table 2 Estimated critical aggregation concentrations (CAC) in D₂O from concentration dependence $\delta_{obs}(H^2)$ data at various temperatures, as well as diffusion coefficient (D_{obs}) dependence on concentration at 299.3 K

Temp (K)	299.3	309.6	319.9	331.5
δ, CAC mM D, CAC mM	9.6 (±0.6) 10.3 (±1.5)	12.0 (±0.7)	13.9 (±0.9)	14.9 (±0.9)

 $(L^{1}-S,O)]^{+}_{n}Cl^{-}_{y}$ structures *via* an unspecified number of sequential equilibria (K_{i+1}), as the total complex concentration increases; (b) above a certain critical concentration of $[Pt^{II}-(phen)(L^{1}-S,O)]^{+}$, which may for convenience be termed a 'critical aggregation concentration' (CAC), similar to the well-known critical micelle concentration (CMC), larger nano-sized aggregate structures appear to form with concomitant ion-pair formation by Cl⁻ ions, to offset excessive positive charge build-up as a result of the formation of a charged 'cation-aggregate' (Scheme 2b).²⁹

In support of such a CAC model, a plot of $\delta_{obs}(H^2)$ of $[Pt^{II}(phen)(L^1-S,O)]^+$ against $1/[M]_T$ ($[M]_T$ = total $[Pt^{II}(phen)(L^1-S,O)]Cl$ concentration) results in two quasi-linear regions (Fig. 4), the intercept of such lines gives an estimate of the critical aggregation concentration^{30,31} in D₂O for the $[Pt^{II}(phen)(L^1-S,O)]^+$ complex as listed in Table 2. The estimated CAC for $[Pt^{II}(phen)(L^1-S,O)]^+$ increases with temperature as may be expected given that the aggregation process is enthalpy driven ($\Delta_r H^0 < 0$), suggested by data in Table 1.

Effect of chloride ion concentration on [Pt^{II}(phen)(L¹-*S*,*O*)]⁺ aggregation in water

The extent of aggregation of cationic $[Pt^{II}(phen)(L^1-S,O)]^+$ complexes to form dimer $\{M\}_2^{2^+}$ type structures in mainly acetonitrile and in water the postulated nano-scale aggregate structures $\{[Pt^{II}(phen)(L^1-S,O)]^+\}_n$ is likely to lead to

electrostatic positive charge build-up, which is probably partially offset by the chloride ion ion-paring/association in solution. Thus the effective Cl⁻: cation ratio may be expected to stabilize and/or affect the formation of aggregate structures in D₂O. This is confirmed by the significant shielding induced in the $\delta_{obs}(H^2)$ peak of the 1,10-moiety of $[Pt^{II}(phen)(L^1-S,O)]^+$ on "titration" of a 4.54 mM solution of $[Pt^{II}(phen)(L^1-S,O)]^+$ below the CAC, with NaCl solution in D₂O increasing the effective [Cl]⁻ from 10.5 to 346.7 mM, as illustrated in Fig, S4,‡ corresponding to a Cl^- : cation ratio of *ca.* 2 to 77. Further increases to a Cl^- : cation ratio to >80 lead to precipitation of a yellow solid from solution. The shielding induced in $\delta_{obs}(H^{2/9})$ as a result of increasing the Cl⁻: cation ratio cannot be solely due to ionic strength increases, since the corresponding ¹H NMR chemical shifts of the butyl and *N*-pyrrolidyl protons are comparatively small compared to the diimine protons, while the residual solvent peak and any minor impurities in the ¹H NMR spectrum remain essentially unaffected over the titration range. These trends suggest that the overall 'size' of the nano-scale aggregate ${[Pt^{II}(phen)(L^1-S,O)]^+}_n Cl^-_{\nu}$ appears to grow in size (n, y increase) or at least be stabilized with increasing Cl⁻: cation ratio, until precipitation from solution occurs, akin to the well-known "salting-out" phenomenon.

Thus in water, or at least in water-rich acetonitrile mixtures above 30% (v/v) D_2O-CD_3CN , the proposed positively charged aggregate structures of the $[Pt^{II}(phen)(L^1-S,O)]^+$ complex cation as envisaged in Scheme 2 may be reasonably represented by eqn (1):

$$\{[Pt^{II}(phen)(L^{1} - S, O)]^{+}\}_{n}Cl^{-}_{y} + x[Pt^{II}(phen)(L^{1} - S, O)]^{+} + zCl^{-} \\ \rightleftharpoons \{[Pt^{II}(phen)(L^{1} - S, O)]^{+}\}_{(n+x)}Cl^{-}_{(y+z)}$$
(1)



Fig. 5 (a) $[Pt^{II}(phen)(L^{1}-S,O)]CI$ diffusion coefficient (D_{obs}) and average aggregation number (N) $(N = V_{H}/V_{H}^{0})$ as a function of $[Pt^{II}(phen)(L^{1}-S,O)]CI$ concentration in pure D₂O. (b) The effect of Cl⁻ addition (NaCl) on the diffusion coefficient of $[Pt^{II}(phen)(L^{1}-S,O)]CI$ (concentration indicated as [Z]) and the calculated average number of molecules (N) with n_{Cl-}/n_{M+} the mole ratio of Cl⁻ over $[Pt^{II}(phen)(L^{1}-S,O)]^{+}$ in D₂O.

Diffusion ordered NMR spectroscopy

A semi-quantitative estimate of the effective number of complex cations (n) which may constitute the postulated nanosized aggregate structure would lend convincing support to this model.

Based on the expectation that the translational diffusion of such aggregates in solution should depend significantly on their effective 'size' as suggested by the concentration dependence of ¹H NMR shielding data, ¹H DOSY NMR was used to study this phenomenon in solution. Data from DOSY NMR experiments in the concentration range 0.34–76.08 mM [Pt^{II}-(phen)(L¹⁻*S*,*O*)]⁺ at 299.3 K in D₂O are shown in Fig. 5 and Table 3. Single exponential decay fits the attenuation of the ¹H DOSY NMR data very well, and indicates that the observed diffusion coefficient (D_{obs}) is an average of that of the mononuclear [Pt^{II}(phen)(L¹⁻*S*,*O*)]⁺ and *all* aggregate species in solution ($D_{obs} = \alpha_m D_m + ... + \alpha_i D_i$) in solution. The D_{obs} for the [Pt^{II}(phen)(L¹⁻*S*,*O*)]⁺ in water shows a significant decrease as a function of concentration (Fig. 5a), consistent with a higher order aggregate formation.

Table 3 Diffusion coefficient (*D*) concentration dependence data, calculated hydrodynamic radii ($r_{\rm H}$) and average aggregation numbers (*N*), with $N = V_{\rm H}/V_{\rm H}^0$ where $V_{\rm H}$ is the volume calculated from $r_{\rm H}$ and $V_{\rm H}^0$ the estimated volume of a monomer at infinite dilution

Concentration (10 ⁻³ mol dm ⁻³)	$D(10^{-10} \text{ m}^2 \text{ s}^{-1})$	$r_{\rm H}\left({ m \AA} ight)$	$V_{\rm H} \left({\rm \AA}^3 \right)$	$N\left(V_{\mathrm{H}}/V_{\mathrm{H}}^{0} ight)$
76.08	0.36	56.1	739 692	735
59.72	0.52	39.1	250 561	304
45.14	0.61	32.9	148577	148
28.84	0.85	23.7	55 532	55.2
17.31	1.14	17.7	23 345	23.2
11.54	1.35	14.9	13 818	13.7
9.230	1.47	13.7	10708	11.1
6.922	1.55	13.0	9120	9.06
4.615	1.67	12.1	7335	7.29
3.462	1.77	11.4	6182	6.35
2.307	1.84	10.9	5458	5.42
1.154	2.05	9.84	3990	4.08
0.721	2.16	9.34	3415	3.39
0.481	2.42	8.32	2415	2.40
0.337	2.59	7.76	1961	1.95
0^a	3.24	6.22	1007	1

^{*a*} Extrapolated to infinite dilution using the D_{obs} vs. $1/[M]_T$ plot.



Fig. 6 $[Pt^{II}(phen)(L^1-S,O)]^+$ diffusion coefficient at 299.3 K against $1/[M]_T$, were $[M]_T =$ Total $[Pt^{II}(phen)(L^1-S,O)]CI$ concentration. (Note: The dotted lines are aids for trend visualization.)

The Stokes–Einstein equation $D = kT/6\pi\eta r_{\rm H}$ may be used to estimate the hydrodynamic radii of species from the measured diffusion coefficients, where k is the Boltzmann constant, η the solvent viscosity, and $r_{\rm H}$ the hydrodynamic radius. Since the diffusion coefficient obtained for $[Pt^{II}(phen)(L^1-S,O)]^+$ is the average between all species in solution, the $r_{\rm H}$ is an average value. Although the Stokes-Einstein equation is only a crude approximation for estimating the 'size' of a square planar $[Pt^{II}(phen)(L^1-S,O)]^+$ complex, the changes in the average r_H as a function of concentration may be useful to support the proposed aggregation model herein. The $r_{\rm H}$ of a single monomer $(r_{\rm H}^0)$ has been estimated by extrapolating the $D_{\rm obs}$ to infinite dilution from the plot of D_{obs} vs. $1/[M]_T$, Fig. 6. An estimate of the CAC at *ca*. 10.3 \pm 1.5 for this complex may also be obtained from this plot, which is in satisfactory agreement with the CAC values obtained by the simple $\delta(H^2)$ concentration dependence data shown in Table 2.

The extent of aggregation can be estimated by considering the *aggregation number* (*N*) calculated from the hydrodynamic volumes of the monomer ($V_{\rm H}^0$) and $V_{\rm H}$ estimated from $D_{\rm obs}$ ($N = V_{\rm H}/V_{\rm H}^0$).³² Table 3 lists the data obtained from this system from the ¹H DOSY NMR experiments at 299.3 K. The average aggregate-number in solution increases from $N \sim 1.95$ at the lowest practically measureable concentration by DOSY NMR of 0.34 mM of [Pt^{II}(phen)(L¹-*S*,*O*)]⁺ with an estimated hydrodynamic radius of *ca*. 7.8 Å and $V_{\rm H} = 1961$ Å³, to a maximum $N \sim$ 735 ([M]_T = 76.1 mM) corresponding to a 'size' of *ca*. 735 nm³ for the postulated nano-aggregate structure of {[Pt^{II}(phen)(L¹-*S*,*O*)]⁺}_{*n*}Cl⁻_V structure(s) in solution.

Significant changes in D_{obs} are seen in D_2O for a 4.5 mM $[Pt^{II}(phen)(L^1-S,O)]^+$ solution ([Z] dashed line in Fig. 5a) upon increasing the Cl⁻ : cation ratio by means of 'titration' with NaCl. The 4.5 mM concentration was chosen well below the CAC value of 9.6 mM to show the maximum effect. In this way the calculated average aggregation number (*N*) of $[Pt^{II}(phen)-(L^1-S,O)]^+$ increased from 8 to a maximum of *ca.* 176 for the

highest practical Cl⁻: cation ratio $(n_{\text{Cl}-}/n_{\text{M}+})$, before precipitation occurs (Fig. 5b). The increase in NaCl concentration up to a maximum of ~342 mM might be expected to increase the viscosity of the solution significantly, although the estimated overall change in viscosity is at most *ca.* 0.02 mPa s⁻¹, which results in a difference of only *ca.* 1.8–2% in the calculated diffusion coefficients.³³ These data satisfactorily confirm the effect of increasing the Cl⁻: cation ratio on the postulated nano-aggregate ("metallogel") formation of {[Pt^{II}(phen)(L¹⁻ *S*,*O*)]⁺}_nCl⁻_y type structures in water, as summarized by the equilibrium (1) above. Such nano-aggregate structures are likely to be well within a size range possibly observable by means of transmission electron microscopy (TEM).

Transmission electron microscopy (TEM)

TEM images obtained from 10-15 mM [Pt^{II}(phen)(L¹-S,O)]Cl solutions in water and stained with uranyl acetate revealed the presence of well-defined 'spaghetti-like' aggregate structures with a diameter of ca. 20 nm, as shown in Fig. 7a. Similar TEM images have been obtained for the series of related highly water-soluble complexes [Pt^{II}(diimine)(*N*,*N*-di(2-hydroxyethyl)-*N*'-benzoyl-thiourea)]Cl from unpublished studies,¹⁴ of which a representative image is shown in ESI (Fig. S5[‡]). The maximum diameter of the spaghetti-like aggregates observed in the TEM images of $[Pt^{II}(phen)(L^1-S,O)]Cl$ appears to be limited to ca. 20 nm, with the uranyl acetate stain accumulating at the surface/edges of these aggregates. Images obtained from [Pt^{II}-(phen)(L¹-S,O)]Cl from pure acetonitrile solutions confirm that the extent of aggregation from acetonitrile solutions is significantly less pronounced, resulting in only poorly defined irregular structures of variable and smaller average size (Fig. 7b).

In keeping with the findings of Pianet and co-workers for the self-association of synthetic procyanidins,²⁹ solutions of $[Pt^{II}(phen)(L^{1}-S,O)]^{+}$ in water also show a time dependent colloid formation process, resulting in micron-sized structures from solutions of high $[Pt^{II}(phen)(L^{1}-S,O)]^{+}$ concentration after aging (>7 days) as observed in TEM images shown in Fig. S6.‡ Preliminary Tyndall light-scattering experiments also confirm such an aging effect for concentrated solutions. Furthermore Atomic Force Microscopy (AFM) images of a spin-dried droplet of $[Pt^{II}(phen)(L^{1}-S,O)]Cl$ dissolved in acetonitrile reveal the presence of micron-sized "spaghetti-like" structures, remarkably similar in overall appearance and morphology to those obtained from TEM images (Fig. S7‡).

The possibility of a secondary helical structure was considered since the TEM images of the observed aggregates have a distinct size and shape. High-resolution TEM of samples prepared on a carbon-coated grid immediately after dilution of a solution containing nano-aggregates at concentrations above the CAC shows that the secondary structure appears to form from the agglomeration of 'strands' of {[Pt^{II}(phen)(L¹⁻ *S*,*O*)]⁺}_nCl⁻_y aligned parallel to each other, with a diameter of *ca.* 2 nm (Fig. 7c and S8‡). TEM images obtained from samples in diluted solutions left to 'age' (±2 h) do not show any structures in the nano-range as can be obtained from more concentrated freshly prepared samples. Evidently upon



Fig. 7 TEM images of [Pt^{II}(phen)(L¹-*S*,*O*)]Cl in (a) water (b) acetonitrile* and (c) freshly diluted water with uranyl acetate as a stain. *Staining in acetonitrile was done with uranyl acetate in ethanol.

dilution a type of dis-aggregation into presumably monomer and dimer species of $[Pt^{II}(phen)(L^1-S,O)]Cl$ appears to take place.

On the basis of all the experimental data, it is tempting to postulate a qualitative aggregate growth model for the noncovalent association of $[Pt^{II}(phen)(L^1-S,O)]^+$ in water or waterrich solutions. Our data are consistent with a *region-specific* aggregation process of the hydrophobic planar $[Pt^{II}(phen)(L^1-S,O)]^+$ cations postulated in Scheme 1, strongly indicating a preferred cation– π "stacking" orientation, as found here and as suggested in previous studies with a related compound.^{9,13} The driving force for the self-association or "stacking" of $[Pt^{II}(phen)(L^1-S,O)]^+$ is most likely to be the result of a combination of cation- π interactions accentuated by hydrophobic effects. Despite numerous efforts we have unfortunately not been able to obtain suitable single crystals for X-ray diffraction analysis.

An estimation of the approximate dimensions of the planar $[Pt^{II}(phen)(L^1-S,O)]^+$ cation from the data obtained from crystal structures of the related $[Pt^{II}(en)(phen)]Cl_2^{34}$ and *cis*- $[Pt^{II}(L^1-S,O)_2]^{35}$ complexes yields *ca.* 1.5 \pm 0.2 nm, suggesting that a single 'strand' of $[Pt^{II}(phen)(L^1-S,O)]^+$ in a parallel co-planar stacking arrangement does not completely account for the *ca.* 20 nm nano-sized "spaghetti-like" structures observed in the

TEM images. We thus postulate that the nano-aggregates form by means of agglomeration of single strands of presumably individually stacked $[Pt^{II}(phen)(L^1-S,O)]^+$ cations in an offset cation– π arrangement, most probably stabilized by negatively charged chloride counter ions which may coil into the tubelike super-structures observed in Fig. 7c and S8.[‡] The aggregation of the individual strands of co-planar cation- π stacked complexes may be facilitated by the chloride counter ion layers around the strands to form a positively charged "core" and a negatively charged outer layer of chloride ions to which the next positively charged strand may align due to electrostatic attractions. The overall diameter of the observed tube-like structures in TEM images is limited to ±20 nm in diameter. The apparent preferred accumulation of the cationic uranyl stain on the outer surface of the nano-structures in the TEM images is consistent with the aggregate formation model postulated here, in which the uranyl cations ion-pair with a negatively charged chloride 'layer' on the surface of the stacked cations $[Pt^{II}(phen)(L^1-S,O)]^+$ via π -cation interactions.

Conclusions

 $[Pt^{II}(phen)(L^1-S,O)]^+$ cations (M^+) 'self-associate' by noncovalent intermolecular cation- π interactions in acetonitrile solutions and water-acetonitrile mixtures of up to 30% (v/v) D₂O-CD₃CN to form essentially dimer aggregates according to the $2M^+ \Rightarrow \{M^+\}_2$ model. This process is strongly favored in more polar water-rich solutions ($\Delta_r G_{CD3CN}^0 = -7.0 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1}$; $\Delta_{\rm r} G_{30\%\rm D2O-CD3CN}^0 = -10.4 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1}$, with the corresponding $K_{\rm D}$ increasing from 17 \pm 2 to 72 \pm 8 M⁻¹ at 299.3 K from acetonitrile to 30% D₂O-CD₃CN mixtures. The experimental data obtained suggest that the primary driving force for such phenomena is consistent with mainly cation- π stacking interactions, with the increase in the $K_{\rm D}$ attributed to a favorable contribution to a negative $\Delta_r S^0$ as a result of the "hydrophobicity" of the quasi-aromatic nature of these complex cations. Increasing the water content from >30% to 100% (v/v) D_2O -CD₃CN results in the extent of aggregation significantly increasing as a function of the $[Pt^{II}(phen)(L^1-S,O)]Cl$ concentration, culminating in the formation of nano-sized structures ("metallogels") consisting of up to ca. 735 mononuclear cations as determined by diffusion coefficients obtained by means of DOSY NMR spectroscopy, above a critical aggregation concentration (9.6-10.3 mM at 299.3 K). Experimental data suggest that in water, excessive positive electrostatic charge build-up in such structures may be partially offset by extensive cation– π interactions as well as by ion-pairing with Cl⁻ anions. Uranyl acetate stained TEM images form freshly prepared samples of [Pt^{II}(phen)(L¹-S,O)]Cl and the related [Pt^{II}(diimine)-(*N*,*N*-di(*n*-butyl)-*N*'-benzoylthiourea)]Cl compounds¹⁴ provide convincing visual confirmation of the formation of extensive tube-like structures, ca. 20 nm in diameter. Such interesting behavior of $[Pt^{II}(phen)(L^1-S,O)]Cl$ in aqueous solution may have important implications for the demonstrated bioactivity^{6,7} of these compounds.

Experimental section

Computational methods

Using the average observed chemical shift, δ_{obs} , eqn (2) (where $\delta_i = {}^{1}$ H chemical shift, δ_i , and $\alpha_i =$ mole fraction of species *i*) and the total concentration of reagents, we calculated for the reactions defined in the text the equilibrium constant(s), K_i , and chemical shifts, δ_i , of individual species (monomers, dimer aggregates, trimer aggregates, ion-pairs, *etc.*).

$$\delta_{\rm obs} = \sum_{i=n} \alpha_i \delta_i \tag{2}$$

This particular type of non-linear least squares optimisation calculation can be solved in several ways.³⁶ We opted to use a program called DIMER- K_D , written by us several years ago to fit data with a dimerization model⁹ (the program utilizes the algorithm by Horman and co-workers¹⁰). When dealing with multiple equilibria we used the program called Dynafit version 3.³⁷ However, Dynafit version 3 uses the concentration of the species, c_i , and not mole fraction in the mass balance equations and signal response calculations. This problem was circumvented by multiplying eqn (2) with the total concentration, C_T , of the reagent of interest and after grouping terms, eqn (3) is obtained.

$$C_{\rm T}\delta_{\rm obs} = \sum_{i=n} c_i \delta_i \tag{3}$$

Analytical instrumentation

¹H NMR and DOSY experiments were recorded in 5 mm tubes using a Varian Unity Inova 400 MHz spectrometer operating at 399.95 MHz or a Varian Unity Inova 600 MHz spectrometer equipped with an inverse-detection pulsed field gradient (idpfg) probe operating at 599.99 MHz. ¹H NMR chemical shift referencing was done using the corresponding solvent peak with the HDO signal showing no chemical shift changes as a function of complex concentration. Diffusion coefficients were calculated using the Varian vnmrj software (version 2.1b) with a line broadening of 1.0 Hz. Experimental parameters: pulse sequence: Dbppste_cc (Bipolar Pulse Pair Stimulated Echo with Convection Compensation), ¹H spectral width: 11 ppm, number of acquisitions varied from sample, recycling delay: 2 s, diffusion delay 50 ms, gradient-pulse duration 3.5 or 4.0 ms, 25 different values of G, the gradient magnitude, varying between 0.0107 and 0.449 Gm⁻¹ calibrated using the diffusion coefficient of HDO in D₂O.³⁸ Transmission electron microscopy imaging was done on a Zeiss 912 OMEGA EFTEM with a resolution of 0.35 nm and high resolution images were recorded with a High Resolution FEI/Tecnai F20 Cryo TWIN FEGTEM.

Synthesis of complexes

All reagents and solvents were commercially available, and were used without further purification. The general method described by Morgan and Burstall for the synthesis of $Pt^{II}(1,10-phenanthroline)Cl_2$ was used from commercially available

 $K_2[PtCl_4]$ and 1,10-phenanthroline monohydrate.³⁹ *N*-Pyrrolidyl-*N*-(2,2-dimethylpropanoyl)thiourea was prepared as described in the literature.³⁵ [*N*-pyrrolidyl-*N*-(2,2-dimethylpropanoyl)-thioureato](1,10-phenanthroline) platinum(II) chloride was prepared as previously described.¹³

Characterization

Previously the NMR characterization of [Pt^{II}(phen)(L¹-S,O)]Cl was done in acetonitrile-d₃ by ¹H, ¹H-COSY, ¹H¹⁵N-HMBC NMR experiments.¹³ ¹H NMR assignments to the various protons of $[Pt^{II}(phen)(L^1-S,O)]Cl$ could be made from the ¹H-COSY spectra, although one unambiguous assignment for the H^{2/9} protons was outstanding. Previously the diimine proton of the 1,10-phenanthroline ligand trans to the sulphur atom of the coordinated N-pyrrolidyl-N-(2,2-dimethylpropanoyl)thiourea was assigned based on the expectation of more de-shielded as a result of a more pronounced trans effect induced by the sulphur donor atom.9 The 1-D NOE spectra (Fig. S9[‡]) showed a positive NOE for the most de-shielded proton of the 1,10-phenanthroline ligand upon excitation of the methyl protons of the N-acyl-N,N-dialkylthiourea ligand (Fig. S9a[‡]) and vice versa (Fig. S9b[‡]). Moreover, upon selective irradiation of the methyl protons H^{1'}, NOE's were observed for H² and H^{a'} which now allows for the unambiguous assignment of all ¹H NMR resonances of the *N*-pyrrolidyl group (H^{a',b'} and H^{a,b}), previously tentatively assigned on the basis of the relative magnitude of the relevant ¹⁹⁵Pt-¹³C coupling constants.³⁵ Hence the unambiguous assignment of the most de-shielded 1,10-phenanthroline proton and methylene protons of the *N*-acyl-*N*,*N*-dialkylthiourea group could be assigned as H² and H^{a'} respectively, Fig. 1.

Acknowledgements

We acknowledge the support of Dr Jacobus Brand for his assistance in NMR and particularly the DOSY spectroscopy. Financial support from Stellenbosch University, the National Research Foundation (bursary to I. Kotzé,) as well as Angloplatinum Ltd is gratefully acknowledged.

Notes and references

- 1 J. A. A. W. Elemans, A. E. Rowan and R. J. M. Nolte, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2002, **124**, 1532–1540.
- 2 W. Lu, D. A. Vicic and J. K. Barton, *Inorg. Chem.*, 2005, 44, 7970–7980.
- 3 A. M. Krause-Heuer, N. J. Wheate, M. J. Tilby, D. G. Pearson, C. J. Ottley and J. R. Aldrich-Wright, *Inorg. Chem.*, 2008, 47, 6880–6888.
- 4 F. H. Stootman, D. M. Fisher, A. Rodgerc and J. R. Aldrich-Wright, *Analyst*, 2006, **131**, 1145–1151.
- 5 S. Rafique, M. Idrees, A. Nasim, H. Akbar and A. Amin, *Biotechnol. Mol. Biol. Rev.*, 2010, 5, 38–45.

- 6 T. J. Egan, K. R. Koch, P. L. Swan, C. Clarkson, D. A. Van Schalkwyk and P. J. Smith, *J. Med. Chem.*, 2004, 47, 2926– 2934.
- 7 Y.-S. Wu, K. R. Koch, V. R. Abratt and H. H. Klump, *Arch. Biochem. Biophys.*, 2005, **440**, 28–37.
- 8 H. H. Klump, K. R. Koch and C. T. S. Lin, *Afr. J. Chem.*, 2006, **102**, 264–266.
- 9 K. R. Koch, C. Sacht and C. Lawrence, J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans., 1998, 689–695.
- 10 I. Horman and B. Dreux, *Helv. Chim. Acta*, 1984, **67**, 754–764.
- 11 L. Fielding, Tetrahedron, 2000, 56, 6151-6170.
- A. Macchioni, A. Romani, C. Zuccaccia, G. Guglielmetti and C. Querci, *Organometallics*, 2003, 22, 1526–1533.
- 13 I. A. Kotzé, W. J. Gerber, J. M. Mckenzie and K. R. Koch, *Eur. J. Inorg. Chem.*, 2009, **12**, 1626–1633.
- 14 Y.-S. Wu, *PhD dissertation*, University of Cape Town, 2002.
- 15 P. S. Pregosin, Prog. Nucl. Magn. Reson. Spectrosc., 2006, 19, 261–288.
- 16 F. Song, S. J. Lancaster, R. D. Cannon, M. Schormann, S. M. Humphrey, C. Zuccaccia, A. Macchioni and M. Bochmann, *Organometallics*, 2005, 24, 1315–1328.
- 17 T. J. Egan, *Trends Parasitol.*, 2006, **22**, 235–237.
- 18 I. Z. Steinberg and A. H. Scheraga, J. Biol. Chem., 1963, 238, 172–181.
- P. Doty and G. E. Myers, *Discuss. Faraday Soc.*, 1953, 13, 51– 58.
- 20 W. Kauzmann; C. B. Anfinsen, M. L. Anson, K. Bailey and J. T. Edsall, *Adv. Protein Chem.*, 1959, 14, 1–63.
- 21 H. A. Scheraga, J. Phys. Chem., 1961, 66, 1071-1072.
- 22 C. H. Wohlfarth, Landolt-Börnstein Group IV Physical Chemistry, Springer, Berlin Heidelberg, 2008, vol. 17, pp. 117–121.
- 23 H.-J. Schneider, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl., 1991, 30, 1417–1436.
- 24 C. A. Hunter and J. K. M. Sanders, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1990, 112, 5525–5534.
- 25 T. D. W. Claridge, *High-Resolution NMR Techniques in* Organic Chemistry – Tetrahedron Organic Chemistry Series, Pergamon, Oxford, 1999, 19.
- 26 H. Friebolin, *Basic One- and Two-Dimensional NMR Spectroscopy*, Wiley-VCH, Wheinheim, 2005.
- 27 P. J. Hore, Nuclear Magnetic Resonance, Oxford, 1995.
- 28 V. I. Bakhmutov, *Practical NMR Relaxation for Chemists*, John Wiley and Sons Ltd., London, 2004.
- 29 I. Pianet, Y. André, M. A. Ducasse, I. Tarascou, J. C. Lartigue, N. Pinaud, E. Fouquet, E. J. Dufourc and M. Laguerre, *Langmuir*, 2008, 24, 11027–11035.
- 30 L. Luchetti and G. Mancini, *Langmuir*, 2000, **16**, 161–165.
- 31 H. J. Hwang, S. K. Lee, S. Lee and J. W. Park, *J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans.* 2, 1999, 1081–1086.
- 32 G. Bellachioma, G. Ciancaleoni, C. Zuccaccia, D. Zuccaccia and A. Macchioni, *Coord. Chem. Rev.*, 2008, **252**, 2224– 2238.
- 33 H.-L. Zhang, G.-H. Chen and S.-J. Han, *J. Chem. Eng. Data*, 1997, **42**, 526–530.

- 34 M. Kato and J. Takahashi, Acta Crystallogr., Sect. C: Cryst. Struct. Commun., 1999, 55, 1809–1812.
- 35 A. N. Mautjana, J. D. S. Miller, A. Gie, S. A. Bourne and K. R. Koch, *Dalton Trans.*, 2003, 1952–1960.
- 36 M. Meloun, J. Havel and E. Högfeldt, Computation of Solution Equilibria – A Guide to Methods in Potentiometry,

Extraction, and Spectrophotometry, Ellis Horwood, Chichester, 1987.

- 37 P. Kuzmic, Anal. Biochem., 1996, 237, 260–273.
- 38 B. Antalek, Concepts Magn. Reson., 2002, 14, 225–258.
- 39 G. T. Morgan and F. H. Burstall, J. Chem. Soc., 1934, 965–971.