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ABSTRACT

INTRODUCTION: Physiotherapy practice in the intensive care unit (ICU) is changing. Early mobilisation programmes are included and prioritised. Methods and measures to assess physiotherapy effectiveness in the ICU have often been geared to physiological data. It is unclear if the patients’ perspectives and satisfaction regarding care in the ICU have been investigated, specifically with regard to physiotherapy.

METHODS: A scoping review was undertaken with the aim of determining how patient perception and satisfaction regarding critical care is measured. Seven databases were searched using various combinations of selected keywords for the process of identification. The review results informed the planning of the primary study. A primary qualitative study was conducted to describe patients’ perceptions and satisfaction with regard to physiotherapy care received during their surgical ICU stay. Patients were included via purposive sampling into the primary study. Audiotaped, semi-structured interviews of 25-60 minutes each were completed with the included patients. Data was transcribed and then analysed via inductive and interpretive content analysis. Trustworthiness of results was ensured through reflexivity, checking of transcriptions, peer review and member checking.

RESULTS: A total of 1631 hits were reduced to 28 studies, which were included into the scoping review. Only two studies were conducted in Africa compared with ten in Europe and seven in Northern America. Ten of the included studies investigated a particular service such as nursing care, emergency care and physiotherapy with regard to patient perception and satisfaction. Only one article, published in 2008, investigated patient satisfaction in physiotherapy. Various outcome measures that measure perception and/or satisfaction were identified; however, there is currently no validated and reliable instrument to assess patient satisfaction with care in the ICU. Eighteen patients, of which ten patients were male, were purposefully selected for the primary study. The median patient age was 44 years and the median ICU length of stay was six days. Twelve themes arose from the data analysis, including: 1) patient expectations and understanding; 2) physiotherapy activities and the implication of mobilisation; 3) physiotherapy benefits and
progression; 4) physiotherapy value; 5) interdisciplinary team; 6) the physiotherapist; 7) safety; 8) tangebilities; 9) continuity of care; 10) satisfaction; 11) communication; and 12) patient perception and experience. These themes as well as key messages, future research and contributions to literature were discussed. Barriers and facilitators for the relevant themes were also discussed.

CONCLUSION: While there is no gold standard for measuring patient perception and satisfaction regarding ICU care, it can and should be measured. With current and on-going changes to both physiotherapy and ICU care practices, patients can share their experiences of the ICU with greater ease. This thesis demonstrated that patients’ perception of ICU physiotherapy is influenced by many factors. While patients perceived ICU physiotherapy both positively and negatively, the majority of patients were satisfied with the care they received. Patient perception and satisfaction in the ICU can be evaluated and used to ensure quality of care and ultimately provide a component to the development of evidence-based physiotherapy practice in the ICU.
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OPSOMMING

INLEIDING: Fisioterapiepraktyk in die intensiewesorgeeenheid (ISE) is aan die verander. Programme vir vroeë mobilisasie word ingesluit en geprioritiseer. Uitkomste om die doeltreffendheid van fisioterapie in die ISE te bepaal, is dikwels op fisiologiese data gegrond. Dit is onduidelik of pasiënte se sienings oor en tevredenheid met fisioterapeutiese ISE-sorg, al ondersoek is.

METODES: 'n Ondersoekende literatuur oorsig is onderneem ten einde te bepaal hoe pasiënte se opvattings en tevredenheid met betrekking tot kritieke sorg gemee word. Ter identifikasie is sewe databasisse met verskillende kombinasies gekose trefwoorde deursoek. Die oorsig resultate het die beplanning van die primêre studie gerig. 'n Primêre kwalitatiewe studie het pasiënte se opvattings oor en tevredenheid met fisioterapeutiese sorg, gedurende chirugiese ISE verblyf bepaal. Pasiënte is deur middel van doelbewuste steekproefneming by die primêre studie ingesluit. Semigestruktureerde onderhoude, 25-60 minute elk, is met pasiënte gevoer en op band geneem. Data is getranskribeer en daarna deur middel van inductiewe en vertolkende inhoudsanalise ontleed. Nadenke, die nagaan van transkripsies, portuurbeoordeling en ledekontrole het betroubaarheid van die resultate verseker.

RESULTATE: Die soektog het 1 631 trefslae opgelever. Hierdie trefslae is tot 28 studies verminder wat by die ondersoekende literatuur oorsig ingesluit is. Hiervan was slegs twee studies van Afrika, vergeleke met tien van Europa en sewe van Noord-Amerika. Tien van die ingeslote studies het pasiënte se opvattings en tevredenheid insake 'n bepaalde diens soos verpleegsorg, noodsorg en fisioterapie ondersoek. Slegs een van die tien artikels het pasiënttevredenheid met fisioterapie bestudeer. Verskillende uitkomstmetings wat opvattings en/of tevredenheid meet, is uitgewys. Ten spyte hiervan is daar tans geen gestaafde en betroubare instrument om pasiënttevredenheid met ISE-sorg te beoordeel nie.

Agten pasiënte, waarvan tien mans, is doelbewus vir die primêre studie gekies. Pasiënte was gemiddeld 44 jaar oud en het gemiddeld vir ses dae in die ISE gebly. Die dataontleding het 12 temas na vore gebring, naamlik 1) pasiënte se verwagtinge en begrip; 2) fisioterapieaktiwiteite en
die implikasie van mobilisasie; 3) fisioterapievoordele en -vordering; 4) die waarde van fisioterapie; 5) die interdissiplinêre span; 6) die fisioterapeut; 7) veiligheid; 8) konkrete voordele/resultate; 9) sorgkontinuïteit; 10) tevredenheid; 11) kommunikasie; en 12) pasiënte se opvattings en ervarings. Hierdie temas sowel as kernboodskappe, toekomstige navorsing en bydraes tot die literatuur word bespreek. Versperrings en fasilitateurs vir die tersaaklike temas word ook uitgewys.

GEVOLGTREKKING: Hoewel daar geen goue standaard vir die meting van pasiënte se opvattings oor en tevredenheid met ISE-sorg bestaans nie, kan en behoort dit gemeet te word. Met huidige en voortdurende verandering in sowel fisioterapie- as ISE-sorgpraktyke kan pasiënte hulle ervarings van die ISE makliker weergee. Hierdie tesis toon dat pasiënte se opvattings oor fisioterapie in die ISE deur verskeie faktore beïnvloed word. Hoewel pasiënte fisioterapie in die ISE positief sowel as negatief ervaar het, was die meeste pasiënte tevrede met die sorg wat hulle ontvang het. Pasiënte se opvattings en tevredenheid met betrekking tot die ISE kan beoordeel en gebruik word om gehalte sorg te verseker. Hierdie pasient perspektief is ’n noodsaaklike komponent wat bydra tot die ontwikkeling van bewysgebaseerde fisioterapiepraktyk in die ISE.
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GLOSSARY

**Care**: Providing that which is needed to maintain health and safety (1)

**Experience**: Observations and events that make an impression on a person; the process of personally perceiving occurrences (2)

**Mobilisation**: Hierarchically accepted ICU mobilisation exercises, including bed exercises, sitting over the edge of the bed, standing, transfers and walking in one place (3)

**Patient perception**: “The way you think about or understand someone or something, the ability to understand or notice something easily or the way that you notice or understand something using one of your senses” (4)

**Patient satisfaction**: Fulfilling patient needs, desires and expectations (5)

**Quality care**: Health services that provide services coherent with the current available knowledge, increasing the probability of desired health results (6)

**Tangibilities (also referred to as tangibles)**: Aspects such as environment, equipment, appearance (7) and timing of treatment
CHAPTER 1: INTRODUCTION AND STUDY CONTEXT

1.1 INTRODUCTION AND BACKGROUND

The World Health Organization (WHO) recognises the importance of patient opinion, perception and satisfaction level in providing a quality healthcare service. (8) Over the past few decades and specifically in the 1990s, the healthcare system has evolved and is now considered to be patient centred. (8) The primary element for the assessment of service quality is the consumer of the health service, the patient. The resultant patient satisfaction level can indicate the quality of care. (8)

The concept of satisfaction is not new to healthcare. Initially, professionals would decide the elements of satisfaction more so than the users of the service and as a result, the patient's opinion and perception of his/her satisfaction with the service offered was not known. (8) This may be because patients were previously thought to be unable to judge the technical components of care quality adequately. But as demonstrated by Shannon et al., (9) patient perceptions can differ from those of the staff. The use of staff perceptions in place of patient perceptions can result in incorrect assumptions. (9)

Patient perception is the manner in which the patient understands and interprets an experience. Romero-García et al. (8) reported that “it [is] important to glance through the eyes of the patient” since no one can better understand the perceptions of patients than the patients themselves. (8) According to So et al., (10) individuals’ perceptions of the surroundings differ depending on the individual’s life experience, current situation, family upbringing (10) and culture. (10,11) All of these factors will influence the way in which the situation is assessed and the consequent reaction. (10)

One of the components of patient perception is satisfaction. (5) Patient satisfaction is the collection of the patient's experiences in hospital. (12) Patient satisfaction stems from the patient's evaluation of the care providers’ abilities to meet his or her physical, emotional and personal needs. (12) Patient satisfaction can be further explained as the patient’s reaction to the various aspects of the service provided and the subjective experience of that service. (12,13) Patient demographics,
health status, culture and perceived interactions with medical staff and technical care are all factors that can influence patient satisfaction with care. (12,13)

Patient satisfaction is fast becoming an essential notion for the improvement of care quality (14,15) in healthcare centres and hospitals (13,15) and has been linked to increased compliance with treatment plans, better patient safety and improved clinical outcomes. (16,17) The move towards understanding and investigating patient perception and satisfaction with regard to the healthcare that patients receive is ever growing. Documenting the elements that are important to patients when evaluating their healthcare is vital in assessing and improving quality of care. (18)

1.2 MOTIVATION

According to Brownson, (19) evidence-based medicine (EBM) is integrating current best knowledge with patient preferences to provide individualised patient care. (19,20) (See Figure 1.1) Patient preferences, opinions and thus perceptions are, therefore, important in the development of evidence-based practice. Although EBM refers to individualised care, it can also be considered on a wider scale to facilitate and inform general decision-making in practice and in multiple settings such as critical care.
Similarly, the Institute of Medicine has stated that in order to achieve quality care, there is a need to customise patient care based on the patient's needs and values. (5) They further stated that the control source of the interactions within the healthcare system is the patient. (5) Several studies have reported that the patients' experiences and opinions regarding an event are considered valuable feedback for healthcare providers and for the assessment of quality of care. (21-25) Regarding the patients' experiences, there is much that can be learnt from knowing what the patients expect, find helpful during their recovery and consider valuable. (26) Due to the current trend in patient-centred healthcare, patient satisfaction with care is an indicator of care quality and a means by which health authorities can identify service delivery areas needing improvement. (15)

Within the service of physiotherapy specifically, the drive to have treatments and interventions rooted in evidence-based practices is growing. (27) However, as stated by Stiller and Wiles, (27) patient satisfaction has been investigated for select subgroups, but is still under-utilised within the intensive care unit (ICU) setting. (27) Much of ICU-based research is focused on improving critical care management to increase survival and to reduce the long-term effects of ICU stay. (28) Both ICU and physiotherapy practices are changing, with daily interruption of sedation and prioritising
early mobilisation. (29-32) Under these circumstances, it may be possible that patients will have clearer recall regarding their ICU experience. This may ultimately enable and assure quality of care within the ICU and the critical care setting. Since the physiotherapist is one of the multidisciplinary team members involved in treating these ICU patients and striving for evidence-based interventions, it is important to continue research in this field.

As indicated by the EBM diagram (Figure 1.1), patient perception is considered an important aspect of healthcare. However, it is unclear as to how patient perception is measured in the critical care setting and with regard to physiotherapy in particular. Similarly, there is little information available regarding patients’ perceptions of physiotherapy in the ICU. This served as a primary motivation for this thesis, the aims of which include:

- To identify how patient perception and satisfaction in the critical care setting is measured
- To investigate and describe how patients perceive physiotherapy in the critical care setting

1.3 STUDY CONTEXT

This study addresses one objective of an umbrella project – The implementation and evaluation of a validated, evidence-based, physiotherapy protocol in a surgical ICU: A controlled before and after experimental trial (Ethics Approval Number: S13/09/170). The umbrella project consists of three phases. Phase one involved the description of current physiotherapy practices through a survey. Phase 2 was a systematic review to identify the most effective implementation strategies. The final phase (Phase 3) involves the implementation of an evidence-based and validated physiotherapy protocol (33,34) within a surgical ICU, in addition to the evaluation of the implementation process. The physiotherapy protocol consists of five algorithms, (33,34) developed to assist physiotherapists in making clinical decisions based on evidence. (35) The algorithms encourage the involvement of both evidence-based rehabilitation (including early physiotherapy mobilisation) and respiratory management when treating ICU patients. (35,36) The use of evidence-based treatments and protocols may contribute to improving ICU care quality because they would be “consistent with current professional knowledge” (34) for which patient perception may provide valuable information.
1.4 THESIS OVERVIEW

This thesis is written in article format and consists of four chapters (Figure 1.2). Chapter 1 comprises the thesis introduction, study context and motivation. Chapter 2 is a scoping review, mapping out the current available literature on how patient perception and satisfaction in the critical care setting is measured. Chapter 2 is formulated for journal submission following the author publication guidelines for the *American Journal of Critical Care* (Appendix L) under the title: Measuring patient perceptions and satisfaction in critical care: A scoping review. The preliminary results were presented in poster format at the Critical Care Society of Southern Africa (CCSSA) Congress under the title: Patient perceptions of ICU care: A scoping review (Appendix H). The scoping review abstract was also published in the *Southern African Journal of Critical Care* (SAJCC) under the title: Patient perceptions of ICU care: A scoping review. (37) (See Appendix I)

Chapter 3 is presented as a research manuscript for journal submission under the title: “They play a big role …” Patient perceptions of physiotherapy in the ICU: A qualitative study. It was formulated following the author publication guidelines for the *BMC Critical Care* journal (Appendix M) and presents the methodology, results and conclusions of the primary study conducted for the thesis.

Finally, Chapter 4 provides a platform for general discussion regarding the thesis, in addition to literature contributions, thesis limitations, strengths, recommendations for future research and final conclusions drawn. One complete reference list is presented for the entire thesis for ease of reading. Upon submission to the relevant journals, individual reference lists will be prepared and included with the appropriate articles.
Chapter 1: Introduction

Chapter 2: Scoping review
Measuring patient perceptions and satisfaction in critical care: A scoping review

Chapter 3: Primary study
“They play a big role …” Patient perceptions of physiotherapy in the ICU: A qualitative study

Chapter 4: General discussion and final conclusion

Figure 1.2: Chapter flowchart for thesis
CHAPTER 2: LITERATURE REVIEW

MEASURING PATIENT PERCEPTIONS AND SATISFACTION IN CRITICAL CARE: A SCOPING REVIEW

2.1 INTRODUCTION

Quality care continues to be a chief focus for healthcare providers and research. (38) Since certain aspects of care such as the quality of relationships with personnel and the quality of service can only be reliably evaluated by assessing patient satisfaction, (12) patient satisfaction is becoming a central concept for improving the quality of care. (14,15) Healthcare has undergone a change and is considered patient-centred. (8) The World Health Organization (WHO) recognises the importance of patient opinion, perception and satisfaction in meeting the patient’s needs, expectations and priorities. Thus, patients are the primary component to evaluate service quality, which can then result in a satisfaction level as an indicator of quality of care. (8,22)

Patient satisfaction and perception are at times used interchangeably, which can result in confusion. Satisfaction is subjective and merely one example of perception. Patient satisfaction is determined by the difference between the patients’ experiences with care and their expectations and needs. (5)

Despite patient satisfaction becoming increasingly important for both patients (39) and healthcare institutions, (13) it is rarely measured within the critical care setting. (39,40) This may be because ICU patients are often given large dosages of sedatives that may affect their recall ability. However, Stein-Parbury and McKinley (41) determined that 30–100% of patients recalled their ICU stay partially or completely. The ICU interdisciplinary team practices are shifting towards early mobilisation, (32) rehabilitation programme prioritisation (27,29,30) and daily sedative disruption. (31) This poses the question: Is it likely that patients will be better able to communicate and recall their ICU experiences and if so, how is patient perception and/or satisfaction with regard to critical care measured?
A scoping review was undertaken with the aim of determining how a patient’s perception and satisfaction with critical care is measured. The objectives of the scoping review were to describe the investigated components of care and services, the geographical distribution of the literature and populations as well as identify measurements currently used to measure patient perception and/or satisfaction in critical care.

2.2 METHOD

According to Arksey, (42) a scoping review is a rapid mapping out of the current research area. It can be used to identify a potential literature gap and summarise literature findings. The five-step framework as outlined by Arksey (42) was followed, whereby a research question was established, relevant studies were identified and selected, the results were charted and finally summarised and reported.

2.2.1 Search strategy

Between 6 February 2015 and 20 February 2015, a total of seven electronic databases, namely MEDLINE, CINAHL, Science Direct, Pubmed, Web of Science, Scopus and Google Scholar were searched by the primary investigator (PI). Search terms included physiotherapy or physical therapy, patient satisfaction, perception or patient perception, patient experience, intensive care unit or ICU, critical care, hospitalised adult population, hospital, measurements, measuring, outcome measure. Databases were searched from inception until the last date of the searches. The following limitations were set for the databases, namely: 1) adult populations only; 2) English and Spanish articles only; and 3) human studies only.

2.2.2 Article selection

The inclusion and exclusion criteria provided in Table 2.1 were applied to the papers to retrieve the most relevant studies for inclusion in this scoping review (Figure 2.1).
Table 2.1: Inclusion and exclusion criteria

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Inclusion criteria</th>
<th>Exclusion criteria</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>• Hospitalised environments</td>
<td>• Studies investigating: Palliative care / cancer, end-of-life care, chronic conditions, outpatients</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• ICU/Critical care</td>
<td>• Perceptions of persons other than patients (Family/nurse/physician)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Primary papers</td>
<td>• Primary healthcare</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Patient perception or satisfaction regarding care</td>
<td>• Only quality-of-life investigations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Measurements of perception or satisfaction regarding care</td>
<td>• Studies investigating pregnancy/delivery</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Behavioural changes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Imaging studies</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Theoretical / conceptual studies</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2.2.3 Article review

Papers were independently and systematically included or excluded according to the above criteria at title, abstract and full-text level by the PI and a secondary investigator. In the event of disagreements, both investigators would discuss and reach consensus. If consensus could not be reached, a tertiary independent investigator was consulted. Through discussion and joint agreement, the articles were included or excluded.

2.3 RESULTS

The total number of search hits from the included databases was 1 631. Following the review process, 28 studies were included (Figure 2.1). The majority of the studies (89.3%; n=25) were published in English. Three Spanish papers were also included. A further eight papers could not be included because the languages of publication were French, Portuguese and Italian.
Abstracts removed [24]
- Reviews [4]
- Not perception of care or satisfaction [14]
- Behavioural [2]
- Not critical care [3]
- Primary healthcare [1]

Irrelevants removed
872

Duplicates removed
667

At title level
92

Titles removed [31]
- Language [8]
- Duplicates [2]
- Reviews [2]
- Family satisfaction [7]
- Not perception of care or satisfaction [8]
- Behavioural [3]
- Not critical care [1]

At abstract level
61

Full-text studies removed [9]
- Only protocol [1]
- Not perception of care [5]
- Patient data mixed with nurses [1]
- Theoretical/conceptual study [1]
- Repeating previous study [1]

At full-text level
37

Total studies included in the review
28

Initial Hits
1 631

Figure 2.1: Selection process flow diagram
2.3.1 Study populations

Population

Various countries of publication for the studies were noted (Figure 2.2). Most studies (n=10) were conducted in Europe, with only two conducted in Africa.

![Countries of publication](https://scholar.sun.ac.za)

**Figure 2.2: Countries of publication for the included studies**

All the studies included adult-only populations but with considerable variety in the participant numbers. Participant numbers ranged from six (24) to 662 665 participants. (43) (Table 2.2 to Table 2.5) Seven of the included studies provided no description of the sample demographics such as average age, average length of stay (LOS) and gender allocation. (9,39,43-47)

Thirteen studies (8,10,21,25-27,48-54) specified average age, average LOS and gender allocation of the sample participants. The lowest average age reported was 35,3 years, and the highest average age was 64,5 years. The lowest average LOS reported was 2,4 days, while the highest was 54,5 days. Some studies (13,23,43,52-54) highlighted that gender, age and LOS may influence participant satisfaction. There was also much variety in the average LOS and the average age in the studies, thus allowing for various patient opinions to be generated.
Consciousness level

Multiple studies (53.6%) specified that included patients needed to be orientated, conscious, without mental disability or altered mental state and without cognitive impairments. Only one study documented specific tools to assess patient cognition and consciousness, namely the Confusion Assessment Method for ICU (CAM-ICU) and the Glasgow Coma Scale (GCS). A further three studies documented that patients should be orientated to time, person and place, and one study reported that the therapists would determine patient consciousness through verbal and non-verbal communication.

2.3.2 Study investigation categories

The studies included were grouped into investigations that 1) investigated the ICU experience; 2) investigated a particular service; 3) investigated a component of care; and 4) investigated ICU satisfaction or care quality.
ICU experience

For the purpose of this review, the ICU experience was considered as the events and observations that made an impression on the patient while in the ICU. Five studies investigated the ICU experience, (10,25,26,47,54) but direct comparison was difficult due to the heterogeneous nature of the aspects investigated and reported regarding the ICU experience (Table 2.2).

In general, facets negatively influencing the ICU experience were related to noise, (25,26,47) pain, (26,47,54) fear, (26,47) poor communication (47) and LOS. (54) Demir et al. (54) investigated the effect of multiple factors such as LOS, pain and mechanical ventilation on ICU experience. The study results showed that female patients had a more positive ICU experience, while a longer ICU stay and pain negatively influenced the ICU experience. (54) However, Russell (47) investigated the ICU experience in general and reported that patients highlighted poor communication, noise, fear, poor protection of privacy and pain as upsetting experiences in the ICU.

Martínez et al. (25) also reported that noise was an issue noted by the patients. The study ultimately reported on patients' perceptions of the care quality, and they determined that patient perceptions were surpassed by their expectations and thus, the patients were satisfied with the ICU care. (25)

Two of the five studies made reference to stressors in the ICU. (10,26) It was determined that "being tied down by tubes", "not being in control of yourself" and "not being able to sleep" were the most stressful patient-reported ICU stressors. (10) Patients reportedly coped better with the stresses in ICU when cared for by friendly and compassionate nurses. (26) Patient satisfaction increased when attended to by nurses with caring behaviours and characteristics. (26)
Table 2.2: Studies investigating the ICU experience

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Authors</th>
<th>Country</th>
<th>Patient description (n=number of participants)</th>
<th>Time of data collection</th>
<th>Study inclusion/exclusion criteria</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Holland et al. (26)</td>
<td>USA</td>
<td>n=21</td>
<td>24 hours after ICU discharge</td>
<td>Inclusion: English-speaking patients; Orientated patients with stable vitals at the time of the interview and with no psychiatric history</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Russell (47)</td>
<td>Australia</td>
<td>n=370</td>
<td>6 months after ICU discharge</td>
<td>No description of patients included/excluded.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Demir et al. (54)</td>
<td>Turkey</td>
<td>n=158</td>
<td>When patients were transferred to the wards (No specifics on date/time)</td>
<td>No clear inclusion or exclusion The patients spent at least 48 hours in the ICU</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>So et al. (10)</td>
<td>China</td>
<td>n=50</td>
<td>48 hours after ICU discharge</td>
<td>Inclusion: Admission into the ICU for at least 24 hours; Patients able to communicate in Chinese; Patients willing to participate Exclusion: Patients with psychosis or with neurological impairments; Previous critical care admission</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Martínez et al. (25)</td>
<td>Spain</td>
<td>n=86</td>
<td>24 hours after ICU discharge</td>
<td>Inclusion: Spanish-speaking patients; Orientated and conscious ICU patients</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Intensive care unit (ICU); Length of stay (LOS)*
Services

A total of 10 studies (8,12,13,21-23,27,39,40,44) assessed patient satisfaction and/or perception with regard to a service, namely nursing care, emergency care or physiotherapy (Table 2.3 and Appendix A).

Four studies (12,13,21,22) investigated patient satisfaction or dissatisfaction with emergency care services. Overall patient satisfaction with emergency care was considered high. (12,21,22) However, Ariba et al. (21) determined that 38.8% of patients perceived emergency care quality as suboptimal.

The studies that investigated emergency care documented multiple areas for suggested improvements by patients. Poor interaction with the healthcare providers was identified (12,21,22) as a common area requiring improvement. Sun et al. (13) documented that interaction between patients and healthcare staff was more crucial to satisfaction compared with environmental factors such as cleanliness, parking and food. Sun et al. (13) also reported that patient satisfaction was influenced by health status and demographic characteristics such as age and race. Education level and language were also associated with different levels of satisfaction. (22) Furthermore, patient satisfaction decreased in patients with less urgent triage statuses compared with urgent triage statuses, and more treatment sessions resulted in increased satisfaction. (13) Goldwag (22) documented that patients’ perception regarding doctors’ conduct, the resolution of the medical problem, the patient’s ethnic group and the patient's self-rated health were the main dissatisfaction predictors for emergency department care. Ariba et al. (21) revealed that waiting time was a strong indicator of patient satisfaction.

Five studies investigated nursing care. (8,23,39,40,44) It was established that age, education level and gender can influence patient satisfaction (23,40) and in general, most patients were satisfied with nursing care. (39,40) Boev (39) determined that overall nursing-care quality scored highest of all items in the administered satisfaction survey, and this was followed by patient satisfaction with pain management and friendliness of the nursing staff. Hunt (44) demonstrated that pre-operative patients have clear expectations regarding nursing care and for the most part, these expectations
were met post-operatively. (44) Romero-García et al. (8) revealed the importance of caring nursing behaviour and reported that critical patients need nurses to react quickly to problems and to address the variety of patient needs. The subjective experience between patient and carer will determine whether the care is perceived as holistic or not. (8) They also reported that the physical aspects of satisfactory care are centred on mobilisation, hygiene and comfort, medical administration, pain control, sleep quality and nursing treatments. (8)

Only one study investigated patient satisfaction with the physiotherapy service in ICU. (27) It was a quality assurance study in which the results revealed an overall high level of patient satisfaction with the physiotherapy service. (27) The authors stated that 94% of the patients reported that they were informed, and 97% reported that dignity and privacy were respected. (27) Patients provided dislikes/likes with regard to the service. (27) The positive comments regarding the physiotherapy comprised the physiotherapists' caring attitudes, friendliness, punctuality and professional approach, as well as communication and individualised care. The negatives comments were "being pushed too hard" and limited service over weekends. (27)
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Authors</th>
<th>Country</th>
<th>Patient description (n=number of participants)</th>
<th>Time of data collection</th>
<th>Study inclusion/exclusion criteria</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ariba et al.</td>
<td>Nigeria</td>
<td>n=129 • 81 male • Mean age of 35.3 years • Mean LOS at the Accident and Emergency Unit of 2.4 days</td>
<td>No description of timing of data collection</td>
<td>Inclusion: • Adults requiring emergency care during 2004 who were later discharged (9 wards were determined to be exit points from the unit.) Exclusion: • Unconscious and uncommunicative patients up to 3 days after discharge</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Goldwag et al.</td>
<td>Israel</td>
<td>n=2,543 • 1,168 male • Age ranged between 18 and 65 years</td>
<td>1–3 months after Emergency Department discharge</td>
<td>Inclusion: • Adult Israeli citizens who were discharged in November 1999 from the emergency units in 32 public hospitals and 17 emergency departments • Random sampling of 194 of the population from each hospital</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oluwadiya et al.</td>
<td>Nigeria</td>
<td>n=250 • 147 male • No age descriptions • Median LOS in the Accident and Emergency Unit of 1 hour–6 days</td>
<td>Once transfer from ICU to the ward was decided</td>
<td>Inclusion: • Adults of 18 years and older • Attendance at the emergency facility in the study time period. Exclusion: • Patients with an altered state of mind</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sun et al.</td>
<td>USA</td>
<td>n=2,899 • 983 male • Age ranged from &lt;19 years to &gt;90 years</td>
<td>7–12 days after the patient’s visit to the Emergency Department</td>
<td>Inclusion: • Adult patients presenting at the 5 selected teaching hospital emergency departments with selected problems Exclusion: • Confused or intoxicated patients • Patients who left the study without being seen by the doctor • Previous participation in the study • Disabled patients due to mental illness • Non-pregnant minors</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Continued
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Authors</th>
<th>Country</th>
<th>Patient description (n=number of participants)</th>
<th>Time of data collection</th>
<th>Study inclusion/exclusion criteria</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Boev (39)</td>
<td>USA</td>
<td>n=15 (Family member completed survey if the patient could not. A total of 50.6% of the surveys were completed by the patients.) No participant demographics reported (mean age, LOS or gender)</td>
<td>After ICU discharge No clear time when collected</td>
<td>Inclusion: All patients admitted into the ICUs during the 5-year study period were approached to participate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hunt (44)</td>
<td>Australia</td>
<td>n=12 No participant demographics reported (mean age, LOS or gender)</td>
<td>Organised a convenient time with the patient</td>
<td>Inclusion: Adult, English-speaking patients willing to participate in the study and Admission for elective coronary bypass surgery</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jonsdottir and Baldursdottir (23)</td>
<td>Iceland</td>
<td>n=182 78 male No ages/ LOS documented</td>
<td>2 weeks after ICU discharge</td>
<td>Inclusion: Adult patients who acquired service at the Emergency Department of the study hospital during the 1-month study period and were discharged without other hospital unit admittance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Johansen et al. (40)</td>
<td>Norway</td>
<td>n=150 114 male Mean age of 60.3 years No LOS description</td>
<td>3 days after ICU transfer to the ward</td>
<td>Inclusion: Conscious adults who were able to answer questions and who did not need mechanical ventilation during their ICU stay</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Romero-Garcia et al. (8)</td>
<td>Spain</td>
<td>n=19 13 male Mean age of 51.42 years Mean LOS of 13.58 days</td>
<td>A convenient time and place was discussed with the patient</td>
<td>Inclusion: More than 48 hours in the ICU Adults who were able to read, speak and write in 2 official languages Patients who were capable of explaining their experience Patients needed to be orientated to time, place and person and have a GCS of 15/15 Tools: Confusion Assessment Method for ICU (CAM-ICU) and GCS</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Continued
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Authors</th>
<th>Country</th>
<th>Patient description (n=number of participants)</th>
<th>Time of data collection</th>
<th>Study inclusion/exclusion criteria</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| Stiller and Wiles (27)  | Australia | n=35 mechanical ventilation (male: female) | A few days after the ICU to ward transfer | Inclusion:  
• Patients with a 2-week minimum stay in the ICU  
• Patients who the physiotherapists regarded as being conscious for the time period (2 weeks)  
Exclusion:  
• Patients showing poor/no recollection of the ICU according to the questionnaire |

Glasgow Coma Scale (GCS); intensive care unit (ICU); length of stay (LOS)
Components of care

Eleven studies (24,43,45,46,48,49,51-53,55,56) investigated a component of care (Table 2.4, Figure 2.4 and Appendix A).

There was diversity among the investigated components of care, as demonstrated in Figure 2.4. Two studies (48,55) reported on factors affecting patient sleep, stating nursing interventions, (48) pain and worrying about pain (55) affected patient sleep. In addition, patients experienced negative emotions that included emotional pain, feelings of torture, problems with communication and feelings of suffocation while receiving respirator treatment. (46) However, Topolovec et al. (51) reported that patients were satisfied with the nurses' and physicians' responses regarding their reports of pain and believed that the ICU staff did all they could to manage the pain.

A further two studies (45,49) investigated visiting policies as an aspect of care. It was noted that patients were satisfied with flexible visiting policies that accommodated the needs of the patients and the visitors. (45,49) Garrouste-Orgeas et al.(53) ascertained that 77.2% of patients responded positively to family participation in ICU care. The remaining patients (n=10) reacted negatively to family participation in ICU care due to image preservation, safety concerns and embarrassment. (53) Patient satisfaction with the overall ICU experience increased with a single-room ICU compared with a ward-like ICU environment. (52) Individual space was also reported to be of
importance for patient privacy. (52) In addition, patients’ past experiences, cultures, beliefs, ages and gender influenced the patients’ perceptions of privacy in the ICU. (24)

Two studies investigated informed consent. (43,56) Modra (56) investigated the patients’ preferred methods for receiving information and giving consent. The majority of patients (60%) preferred giving consent in written format, and 61% of patients preferred receiving information verbally. (56) Clark (43) reported that ICU patients’ evaluation of informed consent correlated positively with patient satisfaction and thus, effective informed consent was important to patients. There was little difference for patient age, gender and language, and no considerable difference for patient ethnicity with regard to the evaluation of the informed consent process. (43)

There was a wide variety in the investigative foci of the included studies. Across both the services and care-component categories, multiple studies (8,12,13,21,22,39,43,45,46,56) (n=10) reported that communication, including explanations and informed consent as well as interaction with staff, was either a positive issue or a recommendation for improvement of care.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Component of care</th>
<th>Authors</th>
<th>Country</th>
<th>Patient description (n=number of participants)</th>
<th>Time of data collection</th>
<th>Study inclusion/exclusion criteria</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| Care factors affecting sleep | Jones et al. (55) | England | n=100  
- 65 male  
- Mean LOS of 39,6 hours  
- No age description | Within 3 to 7 days of ICU discharge to the ward | Exclusion:  
- Death, unconsciousness or too ill to partake in the survey  
- Inadequate English language skills to complete the questionnaire  
- Patients discharged home or transferred to another hospital  
- Patients too young, resulting in an inability to understand or complete the survey  
- Less than 7 hour LOS in ICU |
| Disturbances to sleep | Uğraş and Oztekin (48) | Turkey | n=84  
- 45 male  
- Mean age of 46,57 years  
- Mean LOS of 2,83 days | On the day of transfer from the ICU to the ward | Inclusion:  
- Treatment in the neurosurgical ward for a minimum of 24 hours  
- Routine non-opiod analgesics  
- 18-65 years of age  
- Patients who were conscious and orientated to place and time  
Exclusion:  
- Use of sedative medication  
- Patients too tired to complete the questionnaire, with a sleep disorder history or suffering from sleep-related respiratory insufficiency  
- Patients requiring endotracheal intubation during ICU stay |
| Visiting preferences | Gonzalez et al. (49) | USA | n=62  
ICU (n=31):  
- 22 male  
- Mean age of 62,3 years  
- Mean LOS of 5,8 days  
CCMU (n=31):  
- 14 male  
- Mean age of 59,2 years  
- Mean LOS of 6,9 days | While patients were in ICU or CCMU | Inclusion:  
- English, adult, non-intubated patients with no history of psychiatric illness  
Continued |
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Component of care</th>
<th>Authors</th>
<th>Country</th>
<th>Patient description (n=number of participants)</th>
<th>Time of data collection</th>
<th>Study inclusion/exclusion criteria</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Visiting policies</td>
<td>Novaes et al. (45)</td>
<td>Brazil</td>
<td>n=86 (Patients and relatives) No clear description of the number of the patients were included. No participant demographics reported (mean age, LOS or gender)</td>
<td>No description of timing of data collection</td>
<td>No description of patients included/excluded</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Privacy</td>
<td>Cerdá et al. (24)</td>
<td>Spain</td>
<td>n=6 • 4 male • Ages ranged from 23 to 75 • No LOS documented</td>
<td>No description of timing of data collection</td>
<td>Inclusion: • Voluntary adults who spent 48 hours or more in the ICU and were orientated and able to partake personally in interviews</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Informed consent</td>
<td>Clark (43)</td>
<td>USA</td>
<td>n=662 665 No participant demographics reported (mean age, LOS or gender)</td>
<td>3 to 15 days after ICU discharge</td>
<td>Inclusion: • Patients who had an overnight stay at one of the participating hospitals</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Informed consent</td>
<td>Modra et al. (56)</td>
<td>Australia</td>
<td>n=51 • 29 male • Mean age of 58 years • No LOS documented</td>
<td>24 hours after ICU admission</td>
<td>Inclusion: • English-speaking patients • More than a 24-hour ICU stay or unplanned admission to the ICU Exclusion: • Patients who were unable to give consent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Component of care</td>
<td>Authors</td>
<td>Country</td>
<td>Patient description (n=number of participants)</td>
<td>Time of data collection</td>
<td>Study inclusion/exclusion criteria</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------------------</td>
<td>------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| ICU environment   | Jongerden et al. (52) | Netherlands | n=274 Ward-like ICU (n=146):  
• 101 male  
• Median age of 64 years  
• Median LOS of 2 days  
Single-room ICU (n=128)  
• 84 male  
• Median age of 62 years  
• Median LOS of 2 days | 10 weeks after ICU discharge | Inclusion:  
• All patients from the ICU who were discharged during the study period  
Exclusion:  
• Patients who were admitted and discharged on the same day  
• Patients who died within 48 hours  
• Patients re-admitted into the ICU after the period of study or if they were cross-over from the original ICU to the updated ICU |
| Pain management   | Topolovec-Vranic et al. (51) | Canada | n=52 Prior implementation (n=20):  
• 14 male  
• Mean age of 44.4 years  
• Mean LOS of 10.2 days  
After implementation (n=32):  
• 16 male  
• Mean age of 43.8 years  
• Mean LOS of 5.4 days | Within 24 to 48 hours of transfer from the ICU to the ward | Inclusion:  
• Patients admitted during the research time period  
• Patients who could recall their ICU experience |
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Component of care</th>
<th>Authors</th>
<th>Country</th>
<th>Patient description (n=number of participants)</th>
<th>Time of data collection</th>
<th>Study inclusion/exclusion criteria</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| Communication while on a respirator | Hafstein-dóttir (46)            | Iceland   | n=8  
*No patient demographics reported (mean age, LOS or gender)* | Organised a convenient time with the patient | Inclusion:  
• Patients were chosen according to the needs of the study to ensure a wide range of experiences  
Exclusion:  
• Patients younger than 16 years of age  
• Psychiatric patients or patients with communication impairments  
• Patients intubated less than 72 hours |
| Family participation in care | Garrouste-Orgeas et al. (53)     | France    | n=101  
• 66 male  
• Mean age of 64.5 years  
• Mean LOS of 21.3 days | After ICU discharge | Inclusion:  
1. Patients admitted in the study time period (1 March 2006 to 17 July 2006; 1 Sep 2006 to 31 December 2006)  
2. Patients remaining in the ICU for 3 days or more |

Intensive care unit (ICU); length of stay (LOS)
2.3.3 Patient perception and satisfaction measurements

Romero-García et al. (8) identified and listed four instruments that have been developed to measure patient satisfaction. They reported the lack of an accepted general definition for satisfaction due to the subjective nature of the concept. (8) It was further explained that the tools listed were not ICU-patient specific and lacked the multidimensional concepts of satisfaction that are relevant to patients that have experienced intensive care treatment or care. (8)

In addition, Boev (39) identified a survey to evaluate patient satisfaction, namely the Hospital Consumer Assessments of Healthcare Providers and Systems (HCAHPS) but commented that the HCAHPS required more psychometric testing to be considered useful in measuring care delivery. Stricker (50) noted that many instruments have already been developed to measure satisfaction with care. However, during the validation of many of these instruments the patients' opinions were not included. (50) It was also stated that "no validated instrument exists to assess patient satisfaction with care in the ICU". (50)

Two studies investigated the use of proxies when measuring patient satisfaction. (9,50) Stricker (50) reported that ICU patients’ next of kin could serve as patient proxies and that both the patients and next of kin were generally satisfied with the ICU care. Shannon et al. (9) reported that in general, physicians tend to rate quality of care higher than do patients and nurses (Table 2.5).
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Investigation</th>
<th>Authors</th>
<th>Country</th>
<th>Patient description (n=number of participants)</th>
<th>Time of data collection</th>
<th>Study inclusion/exclusion criteria</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| Quality of care               | Shannon et al. (9) | USA        | n=489
*No patient demographics reported (mean age, LOS or gender)*                                                                                                                                                                            | Within 2 days of transfer from the ICU to the ward                                                                                              | **Inclusion:**
  - Patients who were available during the study time (December 1991 to May 1993)
  - Patients who agreed to participate |
| Satisfaction with care        | Stricker et al. (50) | Switzerland | n=235
  - 160 male
  - Median age of 68 years
  - Median LOS of 4 days                                                                                                                                                           | On the day of ICU discharge                                                                                                                    | **Inclusion:**
  - Adult patients
  - Patients having more than 2 days LOS in the ICU
  - Patients with mental competency (Orientated to time/person/place on day of ICU discharge) }
2.3.4 Measures used in included studies

Of the 28 studies included in the review, 14 (9,13,22,39,40,43,45,48-52,54,55) used quantitative data collection methods, nine (10,12,21,23,25,27,47,53,56) used open-ended questions in addition to a questionnaire, and five (8,24,26,44,46) used purely qualitative methods to measure patient perception and/or satisfaction within the ICU. Of the 23 studies using questionnaires, 11 (9,10,23,25,39,40,43,49,51,52,54) documented specific questionnaires, and 12 studies (12,13,21,22,27,45,47,48,50,53,55,56) used non-specified questionnaires (Table 2.6).

2.3.5 Reliability and validity of the measures identified

Eleven of the included studies (12,21,22,24,27,45,48,51,54-56) did not document reliability or validity testing for the instruments and data-collection methods. Nine studies (10,13,23,25,39,40,43,49,52) used internal consistency for reliability testing of the questionnaires (Table 2.6).
### Table 2.6: Method of data collection, reliability and validity testing of included studies

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study quantitative methods</th>
<th>Methods of data collection</th>
<th>Reliability and validity testing</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Unspecified/self-developed tool</td>
<td>Specified Tool</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ariba et al. (21)</td>
<td>✔</td>
<td>✔ Intensive Care Unit Patient Satisfaction Survey</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Boev (39)</td>
<td>✔</td>
<td>✔ Press Ganey Inpatient Satisfaction Survey</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clark (43)</td>
<td>✔</td>
<td>✔ Intensive Care Experience Scale (ICES)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Demir et al. (54)</td>
<td>✔</td>
<td>✔ Intensive Care Experience Scale (ICES)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ugur and Oztekin (48)</td>
<td>✔</td>
<td>✔</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Garrouste-Orgeas et al. (53)</td>
<td>✔</td>
<td>✔</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Goldwag et al. (22)</td>
<td>✔</td>
<td>✔</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gonzalez et al. (49)</td>
<td>✔</td>
<td>✔ Patient perception of visiting in the Hospital Questionnaire</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>So et al. (10)</td>
<td>✔</td>
<td>✔ Chinese Intensive Care Unit Environmental Stressors Scale questionnaire (ICUESS)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Johannessen et al. (40)</td>
<td>✔</td>
<td>✔ Nursing Care Quality instrument</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jongerden et al. (52)</td>
<td>✔</td>
<td>✔ PS-ICU 19 survey</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jonsdottir and Baldursdottir (23)</td>
<td>✔</td>
<td>✔ Caring Behaviour Assessment (CBA)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stiller and Wiles (27)</td>
<td>✔</td>
<td>✔</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Modra et al. (56)</td>
<td>✔</td>
<td>✔</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Novaes et al. (45)</td>
<td>✔</td>
<td>✔</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oluwadiya et al. (12)</td>
<td>✔</td>
<td>✔</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Martinez et al. (25)</td>
<td>✔</td>
<td>✔ SERVQUAL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shannon et al. (9)</td>
<td>✔</td>
<td>✔ Medicus “view-point”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stricker et al. (50)</td>
<td>✔</td>
<td>✔</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Continued
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study quantitative methods</th>
<th>Methods of data collection</th>
<th>Reliability and validity testing</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Unspecified/ self-developed tool</td>
<td>Specified Tool</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sun et al. (13)</td>
<td>✔️</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Topolovec-Vranic et al. (51)</td>
<td></td>
<td>✔️ The Patient Pain Management questionnaire</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jones et al. (55)</td>
<td>✔️</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hafsteindóttir (46)</td>
<td>✔️</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cerdá et al. (24)</td>
<td>✔️</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Holland et al. (28)</td>
<td>✔️</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hunt (44)</td>
<td>✔️</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Romero-García et al. (8)</td>
<td>✔️</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Russell (47)</td>
<td>✔️</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Yes ✔️, No ✗
2.4 DISCUSSION

While patient perception and satisfaction in the critical care setting has been a topic of study since 1979, this review determined that 1) only 28 studies have been published regarding the topic; 2) multiple measures but no specific gold standards exist; and 3) the majority of the studies were conducted in developed countries in Europe and Northern America, as well as in Australia. It cannot be assumed that ICU care and practice, or similar patient demographics and conditions in the ICU are alike in all countries. As documented by several studies, patient perception and/or satisfaction with care is influenced by gender, (43) age, (13,23,53), culture and language. (22) For this reason, patients' perceptions and satisfaction regarding care could differ greatly depending on the country and patient population. The results of the studies conducted in the developed countries cannot necessarily be translated into a developing country setting and, therefore, additional studies explaining patient perception in developing countries are needed.

Furthermore, the results of this review demonstrated that multiple measures exist to measure patient perception and satisfaction in the ICU or critical setting, but there is no consensus as to how patient satisfaction should be measured. In addition, the reliability and validity of the measures available and used in the included studies remain unclear. Due to the complexity of satisfaction, most research has accepted the use of questionnaires with domains of various weightings. (12,13) However, 50% of the review studies used purely quantitative methods, while 50% used qualitative data collection methods through interviews and/or open-ended questions in their questionnaires. The sizeable proportion of qualitative or combined data collection methods may demonstrate a means to understand the patient’s opinion and perspective (8) rather than attempting only to quantify the context of what is being said.

Qualitative data collection methods such as patient interviews provide “richer data and [give] a more complete impression of the experiences of the patients”. (46) Information gained from patients in reports of their experiences may aid the evaluation, planning and provision of care. (46) Russell (47) reports her favoured data collection method to be interviews since doubt exists regarding the usefulness of “attitudinal data” collected via questionnaires.
2.5 STUDY LIMITATIONS
Eight studies were excluded in this review due to language. This is a limitation because there may have been information in these articles that could have contributed to the results of this review. Although a number of databases were searched, they were Northern Hemisphere indexing, and no hand searching was included due to its unreliability.

2.6 CONCLUSION
With the change in multidisciplinary practice in the ICU setting, additional studies investigating patient satisfaction and perception within this environment are needed. To improve the quality of care within critical care, more studies should be conducted in developing countries because not only is the ICU standard of care likely to be different but also, the patient’s perception and satisfaction has been shown to be influenced by multiple factors. (10,13,23,43,52-54)

While there is currently no golden standard available to quantify patient perception of ICU care, qualitative data could assist healthcare professionals to understand the impact their services have on a patient’s perception of care received. Going forward, healthcare professionals offering a service in the ICU should ‘harness’ this valuable information to improve the quality of the service and ultimately the patients’ outcomes.
CHAPTER 3: RESEARCH MANUSCRIPT

“THEY PLAY A BIG ROLE …” PATIENT PERCEPTIONS OF PHYSIOTHERAPY IN THE ICU: A QUALITATIVE STUDY

3.1 INTRODUCTION

The intensive care unit (ICU) environment has been described as a stressful and overwhelming setting for the patients (10) and their families. According to Cutler, (57) a critical illness and consequent admission into an ICU is a substantial event in a patient's life. Patients admitted into an ICU usually require extensive monitoring and continuous management. (48)

Physiotherapists form part of the multidisciplinary team that is involved in the management of ICU patients. (58) Physiotherapy in the ICU includes management of airway secretions, mobilisation and muscle training, which aims to reduce ventilator dependency and weaning difficulties, as well as mobilisation impairment and limitations among others. (59) Physical and respiratory recovery, prevention of the side-effects associated with prolonged bed rest, reduction and termination of mechanical ventilation along with increased health state, are clinical results associated with physiotherapy in the surgical and medical sectors. (59)

Hanekom, Louw and Coetzee (34) reported that it is the obligation of the physiotherapy profession not only to find methods to measure the value of the physiotherapy service in the ICU environment but also to describe the quality of this service. (34) While multiple measures exist to measure patient perception or satisfaction in the critical care setting, there is no consensus on the gold standard of measurement.

The outcome measures available for assessing physiotherapy effectiveness within the ICU specifically, have often been geared to physiological data and have not taken the patient's perspective into account. (27) The lack of patient perspective may be due to ICU patients routinely receiving heavy sedation, and this is thought to reduce patient recall regarding their ICU experience. (39) However, as ICU practices change, including daily sedative interruption (31,60,61) and the prioritisation of rehabilitation in the ICU, (30,61) particularly with physiotherapy
early mobilisation, (29) this may no longer be true.

The changes in both physiotherapy and ICU practices can improve patient functions, decrease delirium duration, decrease ventilator time, shorten ICU length of stay (31) and could facilitate the opportunity to access the patients' perceptions of the ICU experience and the services involved. As documented by Stiller and Wiles, (27) “subjective outcomes” such as patient satisfaction and perception are as important to critically ill patients as the physiological outcomes. Understanding and investigating patient perception and satisfaction with regard to healthcare is vital in both the assessment and improvement of quality of care. (18) Thus, a primary qualitative study was conducted to describe patient perceptions and satisfaction regarding the physiotherapy care received during their surgical ICU stay.

3.2 MATERIALS AND METHODS

3.2.1 Study design

An interpretive and descriptive qualitative design was used. The aims of interpretive research are to create meaning through explanation, description and exploration. (44)

3.2.2 Research setting and context

The research was conducted in a level 1, (62) 14-bed surgical ICU at a tertiary institution in the Western Cape. In this unit, the physiotherapy responsibility is rotated every three months, and one physiotherapist is responsible at a time. This physiotherapist is not exclusively allocated because they also cover ward duties. As per the weekend policy, weekend physiotherapy is provided to four patients selected by the doctor on call. In addition, two Western Cape universities currently make use of this unit as an academic platform for clinical rotations of final-year physiotherapy students. (36, Bester A, Daries H 2015, personal communication, October 21)

This primary study addressed one objective of a larger umbrella project namely: The implementation and evaluation of a validated, evidence-based, physiotherapy protocol in a surgical ICU: A controlled before and after experimental trial (Ethics Approval Number: S13/09/170). This umbrella project consisted of three phases. The final phase (Phase 3) involved the implementation
of an evidence-based and validated physiotherapy protocol within a surgical ICU as well as an evaluation of the protocol implementation. The physiotherapy protocol consists of five algorithms. (33,34) These were developed to aid physiotherapists in making “evidence-based clinical decisions” (35) involving both rehabilitation strategies (including early physiotherapy mobilisation) and respiratory management when treating ICU patients. (35,36) The use of evidence-based treatments and protocols may contribute to improving ICU care quality. (34)

Due to the implementation of the evidence-based and validated physiotherapy protocol, the ICU research unit was considered to be in transition. Patients included in the primary study were also involved in the umbrella study and, therefore, they received a combination of usual hospital physiotherapy care as well as the protocol care.

3.2.3 Population
All adult patients discharged from the surgical ICU of the institution during the data collection time period (6 August 2015 to 4 September 2015) were eligible for inclusion in the study.

3.2.4 Sampling methods
A purposive sampling method was used for this study. Patients were excluded from the study if they were 1) under the age of 18 years old; 2) unable to communicate in English, Xhosa or Afrikaans; 3) un-cooperative; 4) had no memory of the ICU or physiotherapy; or 5) presented with cognitive impairments. Co-operation and consciousness (58) were determined and aided by the use of the Glasgow Coma Scale (GCS) and Adequacy score (SQ5). (30,58,63) Patients scoring below the maximum total for each score were excluded from the study.

3.2.5 Ethical considerations
Ethics approval was obtained from the Human Research and Ethical Committee (HREC) (S15/04/094) (Appendix B). Institutional approval to conduct the research was also provided (Appendix C). All patients provided their written consent, and patients were informed and assured that their involvement would be anonymous. All patient interviews and patient-related data were coded alphabetically to ensure confidentiality and privacy. Collected data was stored on a password-protected computer to ensure the investigator had exclusive access.
3.2.6 Recruitment method

The primary investigator (PI) visited the ICU daily to compile lists of patients discharged from the unit. The patients were followed up in the wards and assessed for inclusion into the study. Patients available for inclusion were purposefully selected for the study according to predetermined characteristics. The predetermined characteristics included patient demographics (age, gender), pre-admission status (education, employment), admission status (Apache Score II (severity of illness) and diagnosis) and ICU management (mechanical ventilation and ICU length of stay (LOS)).

Patients who passed the GCS and SQ5 criteria were informed of the study objectives, aims and methodological aspects by the PI. On receipt of their written consent, an interview date and time was arranged with the patient. Patients were individually interviewed by the PI within 3 to 5 days of being discharged from the ICU, while still in a general ward or in a High Care Unit of the tertiary institution.

3.2.7 Data collection and management

The PI conducted 18 individual, semi-structured interviews of varying length (25–60 minutes) using a discussion schedule (Appendix E). Interview length depended largely on the quality of the interview and the patient's ability to participate. All interviews were audiotaped, which allowed for the data to be transcribed and used for analysis.

A Xhosa translator was present for four of the interviews and when possible, an observer was present to document observations during the interviews. Throughout the data collection process, the PI confirmed and summarised the data obtained during the interviews to verify the PI's understanding. The PI also kept a field journal during the data collection process for reflection, documentation of research decisions and bias identification. Both the Adequacy score (Appendix F) and the discussion schedule (Appendix E) were piloted prior to use to ensure saliency (Appendix G).
3.2.8 Data analysis

All audiotapes were transcribed verbatim. The PI cleared and checked the transcription against the audiotapes for accuracy. Thereafter, the data was analysed inductively according to interpretive content analysis principles. Content analysis involves using a systematic process to summarise and categorise the communicated message. (64) This requires considering data from various angles and identifying important aspects in the text to assist in the understanding and interpretation of the raw data. (64) During data analysis, the data was coded and categorised into groups until themes were drawn (Appendix J).

3.2.9 Quality criteria

The PI established credibility and truth-value through checking the audiotaped data with that of the originally transcribed interviews. After the data collection and analysis phases, all the patients were contacted telephonically and invited to participate in the member-checking contact session to ensure credibility and trustworthiness of the data collected. Fourteen patients (78%) were willing to participate in the member checking, of which six were completed telephonically. Truth-value was ensured because the PI immersed herself completely in the data during the collection and analysis phases.

Dependability and credibility were further safeguarded through triangulation of the collected data, namely, the audiotaped interviews, the transcriptions and available observer notes as well as the PI’s field journal. Furthermore, the transcriptions and analysis of the interviews were peer reviewed by a third party. In order to ensure confirmability, the transcriptions and analysis were available for audit, and the field journal as well as reflection of the study process facilitated the recognition of bias.

3.3 RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Eighteen patients were included in the study (Figure 3.1), of which ten were male. During the initial sampling process, an additional male patient was recruited because he met the criteria for the SQ5 and GCS scores. However, during the interview, his testimony became unreliable and inconsistent and as a result, this patient’s testimony was excluded.
Patients were followed up once discharged from Surgical ICU to the wards [49]

Excluded Patients [31]
- Transferred to another hospital [1]
- Deceased [1]
- No physiotherapy and/or ICU memory [8]
- Refused participation [10]
- Withdrew from study (Patient choice) [1]
- Outside interview time period [2]
- Language (Shona) [1]
- Low Adequacy score [2]
- No physiotherapy received [2]
- Non-adults [2]
- Initially recruited but not included (Unreliable testimony) [1]

Figure 3.1: Flow diagram depicting patient selection

The overall median age of the patients was 44 years and the median LOS was 6 days. Table 3.1 demonstrates the diversity among the patients for each selected characteristic.
Table 3.1: Patient characteristics

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristic</th>
<th>n</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Age (years)</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18–30</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30–45</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45–60</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60–70</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt;70</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Home language</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>English</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Afrikaans</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Xhosa</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Education level</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tertiary education</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Secondary education</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Primary education</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Employment status</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Employed</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unemployed</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pensioner</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Disability grant</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Severity of Illness (APACHE score)</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≤5</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6–10</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11–15</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16–20</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt;20</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Not Provided</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Diagnosis</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Elective</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Emergency</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trauma</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>ICU LOS (Days)</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≤5</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6–10</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11–15</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16–20</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt;20</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>MV</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Y</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Yes (Y); no (N); length of stay (LOS); mechanical ventilation (MV); none (-)

3.3.1 Themes

A total of 12 themes emerged. These included: 1) patient expectations and understanding; 2) physiotherapy activities and the implication of mobilisation; 2) physiotherapy benefits and
progression; 4) physiotherapy value; 5) interdisciplinary team; 6) the physiotherapist; 7) safety; 8) tangibilities; 9) continuity of care; 10) satisfaction; 11) communication; and 12) patient perception and experience. Verbatim quotes have been used to support the study findings. All non-English quotes have been translated into English. The PI also made enquiries regarding barriers, facilitators and challenges to the physiotherapy care received. These are discussed under the relevant themes.

3.3.1.1 Expectations and understanding: “I have a better understanding…”

There was wide-spread diversity in the patients’ expectations and understanding of physiotherapy in the ICU. Many patients understood physiotherapy to be predominantly outpatient based and not usually practised within a hospital, even less so in the ICU. Physiotherapy was also reportedly understood to be more for musculoskeletal injuries, gait re-education, returning to previous functional level and not necessarily for treatment of the lungs.

MM5 (p. 14): *The purpose of all of this [physiotherapy] is to get me out and back on my feet … so that I can be the same person that I was.*

VNA11 (p. 9): *I thought they were just exercising your limbs. [Laughs] Now I understand it's not just your limbs. It's everything. Ja.*

KC18 (p. 8): *And then I actually, uh, understood, uh, understood what it actually was. It is actually about my lungs that were perhaps weakened, or something of that nature, because it needs to be strengthened.*

SF3 (p. 15): *And of course, once the op is finished … You need physiotherapy to be able to get the muscles going again … You see? It's got to be going. Otherwise … It's like a-a, a battery. Car battery. If it's flat or if it's down … You can't use it. You have to send it somewhere to be recharged. Am I right?… You can compare that with physiotherapy … Your body needs to go somewhere to be recharged.*

Patients who had previous physiotherapy experiences had a better understanding of what physiotherapy entailed, and their expectations were more in line with the care they received. Thus,
having had a previous physiotherapy experience was a facilitator in the understanding of the physiotherapy care received. In contrast, most patients who had never experienced physiotherapy prior to their ICU admission did not know what to expect in the session. For some of these patients, the first experience of physiotherapy was described as strange and even shocking. Expectations of physiotherapy treatment was also influenced by the patient's condition and expectations of the ICU environment.

BA1 (p. 6): Noo. In ICU I was expecting to sle-, lie on the bed, totally. So I can wakeup when I go. Not to … step out and sit on the chair. It was like, I was expecting to sleep … the whole day … So … I thought I would lie, all the day. So, when they, put me in the chair I thought that they were not doing their job because I feel sick. But they took me in the chair. How can a sick person can be able to sit? It was like that.

VWJ2 (p. 3): It was pretty alright because I have done a lot of [physiotherapy] previously… Its not like it was a strange feeling like the first time … the first time of physio, that was bad for me. It was … with the very first operation, seven years ago. I hadn't an idea of physio or what would happen. It was quite bad for me.

Patient expectations were further influenced by the patient's understanding and communication. Both communication and understanding acted as bridging factors to link the patient's expectations and the comprehension of physiotherapy.

KT16 (p. 12): …once I understood what the physio is gonna do for me … it was just positive from there.

KC18 (p. 22): …I would say again, yes. Because like she, like she explained to me, what the next step was … Then I just thought to myself this is now, it's about this now, yes. Understand? … So I understood it more, like how she explained each step for step to me.

Through the ICU experience of physiotherapy and being placed in the patient role, some patients’ understanding of physiotherapy changed. One patient, a healthcare worker, reported:
KT16 (p. 4): I have a better understanding [of physiotherapy] because, okay, once they, uh, gave me physio, I noticed everybody else got-gets physio too.

KT16 (p. 21): Number one, physio is for everybody. Every sick person. Especially like I said, I saw in ICU, we all had different injuries and they were catering to every person's need ... From from being sick and laying in the bed. I know what it is now ... When I treat somebody this time round, I think it-it will definitely impact on my work that I do.

3.3.1.2 Physiotherapy activities and implications of mobilisation: “The goal is to get to the chair.”

Patients described multiple activities completed during physiotherapy in the ICU. Activities included chest physiotherapy, breathing exercises, limb movement and activity as well as mobilisation. Most patients also described using a ‘PEEP bottle’ and breathing exercises that some felt assisted their breathing and rib pain.

DS23 (p. 2): They make me blow that bottle so they say I must blow that bottle so ... Ever since now they learn me how to blow that bottle now. I s-, there’s no pain anymore in my ribs.

GS7 (p. 12): They also taught me how to cough ... to cough as well. Yes ... How to cough that all the phlegm can always ... -the phlegm. Can come out.

MM5 (p. 5): A water-bottle pipe. The uh ... physio lady came on the following day. With the bottle. Then we done some few exercise, whereby I was sitting in the chair again ... She will say to me I must breathe in ... And then I must breathe ... ah ... uh ... out. And then I must do one, three times. Then after that we will take the bottle, with the pipe, and then I must breathe in deep. And then after that, when I'm blow ... when I'm breathing out, I must breathe on that bottle.

MJS20 (p. 8): Ja ... Normally because my, my problem is about breathing. It's about breaths. And it's about standing. Because I injured my, my spinal cord. I injured my ribs ... So ... It feel the people tried to put my ribs back. By giving me the blowing, you know, one of these [indicates] ... Ja, the PEEP bottle.
JR24 (p. 1-2): Uh. They helped me out of the bed … And let me sit upright. Cushion behind my back, like … a stiff cushion … Lifted arms. Deep breathing … Moving legs … Feet …

That’s that.

Those patients who mobilised did so in bed, relocated to the chair or progressed into standing or walking in the ICU, largely with the assistance of the physiotherapists. Some patients described mobilisation as a difficult component of the care, mainly due to pain, tiredness and dizziness.

PB6 (p. 3): They made in sit on chair for four bloody hours … I, I dunno. I … can know that you allowed to sit in pain, ‘cause I was in pain.

BA1 (p. 18): I hate to sit in the chair … Because I was very tired and everything.

BA1 (p. 13): Sometimes I would refuse. They say why? I’m tired, I can’t sit. Sometimes say I’m dizzy. I can’t sit on the chair. They would say okay. It’s fine. We’ll put you two hours. And then we’ll come back and then we’ll put you back. And then I said thank you. Yes, they do understand. Saying, okay. Maybe, in the morning we will put you two or one hour. Then we’ll come later…

Yet most patients found mobilisation to be a positive experience and the beginning of their recovery. The majority of patients described the experience of mobilisation positively as follows:

WM14 (p. 6): It was an experience, you know? … Because you’re still weak from the, uh, the things of yours. They sit you in a chair … and you sit there now. And your still in a condition that you do not care to read magazines or to look, you know? … Or to listen. So you sit and die.

WM14 (p. 7): It was also nice … Because, it was when I felt here it begins. The recovery … Yes, it made me feel, you know, I begin.

KC18 (p. 9): It was almost to say a big joy. It’s almost like a relief … compared to lying in the bed. It’s that moment that I came into the chair, that I felt I was almost like a person that now
... could move ... because I sat upright. Do you understand? It is a, it was more of a relief, than just lying in the bed.

KT16 (p. 3): [Sitting in the chair] you felt like you could see what they were talking about, you could finally do what they said you could do.

VNA11 (p. 17): Ja. I was just glad to get out. [Laughs] Ja. I wanted to get away from all the tubes and [laughs] get up and go.

Barriers to mobilisation and activities of physiotherapy received were dizziness, pain, tiredness and weakness during mobilisation. These barriers arose largely due to medications and prolonged lying in bed, resulting in patients being tired and experiencing a general lack of energy for specific tasks. The effects of the medication also affected some of the patients’ memories and their post-operative state of mind and thus, their co-operation with physiotherapy as well.

SF3 (p. 10): Little bit wobbly, yes ... Head spinning ... Uhm ... I sta- still have ... drugs in me that needs to come out. You understand?

SF3 (p. 6): ...they give you some kind of ... uh ... painkillers, morphine and all that stuff. Right? Now, this stuff, plays havoc with your mind.

One patient mentioned rules specific to the ICU that did not allow for mobilisation outside the unit. A general barrier was the multitude of external lines and drains, which also limited the patients’ abilities to mobilise. Specifically during mobilisation, the preparation of the area and the physiotherapists carrying the lines and drips were facilitators of physiotherapy.

One patient commented:

PB6 (p. 21): No I was on quite a lot [of lines] ... So couldn't actually move around really.

While another patient stated:

VNA11 (p. 3): As soon as the tubes were off I was up.
Physiotherapy practice in the ICU is changing. (29) Early progressive mobilisation has been included and has demonstrated shorter ICU stay and improvement in functional mobility among other benefits. It has been shown to be safe and realistic, (29,32) and it is evident from the primary study results that the majority of patients responded favourably to early mobilisation even though barriers were identified. Patients were able to identify the barriers and the impact they have. In addition, they were able to realise that mobilisation was effective in the improvement of their condition. Furthermore, the mobilisation barriers identified in the study, including pain, tiredness, dizziness, external lines and drains, also correspond with the patient-related mobilisation barriers discussed in Dafoe et al. (32)

Although not all the patients included in the study participated in mobilisation out of the bed, those who did reported predominantly positive experiences. Mobilisation resulted in empowering and encouraging independence, thus better equipping patients for when they moved into the wards where they were required to do more independently. This is consistent with the findings of Stiller (29) who reported that early mobilisation positively influences the patient's “functional ability”, mobility and independence. Thus, both current literature as well as patient feedback supports the inclusion of mobilisation in addition to other physiotherapy activities during physiotherapy ICU treatment. This further solidifies the inclusion of early mobilisation in ICU physiotherapy practice as evidence-based practice. Furthermore, with the development of physiotherapy evidence-based ICU protocols, specialised and non-specialised physiotherapists alike will be aided in their clinical decision-making, increasing the possibility that rehabilitation and early mobilisation be incorporated into treatment when appropriate. (35,36)

### 3.3.1.3 Benefits and progression: “…so I feel I am a bit more ahead …”

Almost all the patients commented on the benefits of participation in physiotherapy, which was verified by physical improvements and progression in their abilities. Among the improvements were ‘feeling stronger and better’, particularly regarding mobilisation, and returning to ‘normal’, as well as improved coughing ability and decreased pain. Although most improvements discussed were physical, two patients also described the psychological benefits that occurred in the sessions. They reported that the physiotherapists ‘built them up’ and encouraged them. One patient described a
mind shift that occurred once she had mobilised out of the bed. She described it as being able to see what she was capable of and what the physiotherapist had been explaining to her.

KT16 (p. 3): ...when [I] was physically upright, sitting in the chair for the first time. That made a huge difference. [It] takes you from the bed, everything you've learned mentally, and bring it now into the chair ... [It's a] different mindset. [It's] a different scenario in terms of how you have pictured it. Because, you can actually do the things now, and you understand more, as to what they were talking about. Once you sitting in there and you can physically do the things that you can do.

In addition to both the psychological and physical improvements, patients also described being taught exercises and receiving information regarding those exercises. This encouraged patient empowerment and independence because some patients continued with these exercises independently. Three patients stated:

KT16 (p. 18): There's nothing that I can say that I've learned that, that is useless. Right now I'm still doing this stuff that she told me to do in the, in the ICU, I still get to do it in the bed. And so I feel I am a bit more ahead than the rest of the people because they lay everyday - I don't lay in the bed anymore, I sit up. I do my own thing.

VNA11 (p. 16): ... she's doing something to help me, you know? She's ... gonna help me, help myself, you know? And so. [She] showed me how to do things a diff-, a little bit different, make it a bit easier.

MM5 (p. 16): So it helped me a lot as a person as well, not just to rely on the physio. To try do the exercises on my own...

3.3.1.4 Physiotherapy value: “They play a big role …”

In light of the benefits and improvements felt by the majority of the patients that promoted independence, many of them described the value and importance of physiotherapy. Physiotherapists were additional support to them while in the ICU, bearing the same goal of returning them home.
KT16 (p. 16): …it's always good to know, there’s other people besides the doctors and the nurses that are caring for you. It's really good to know, okay, physio is here, 'cause the physio is here to see that you get home. Physio is here to see that you also get home. Just, just not the doctors, just not the nurses. There’s somebody else that's actually also here, that’s here to see you get home.

Furthermore, physiotherapy was illustrated as a contributing factor for the patient’s survival and recovery in the ICU. It was described as a precious and much-needed service, without which some patients felt they may not have survived or recovered as quickly.

MM5 (p. 9): If it wasn't for them … maybe I couldn't make it…

DS23 (p. 7): I feel very good, because while they were, uh, helping me, they did, I-I did know how to walk now I can at least get out of bed do something’s I didn't know. Because if they didn’t help me, they didn't do nothing in physio, I wouldn't even be here, maybe walk.

MSJ20 (p. 14): So … I really need them. Through every day, it was a dream for me to see them.

Patients perceived physiotherapy in the ICU as both worthwhile, making them ‘feel better and stronger’. It is a service that patients felt should ‘never’ be removed from the hospital since physiotherapists have a role to play in helping others.

MM5 (p. 24): They play a big role to each and everyone of those patients here.

WM14 (p. 23): You know, I'll tell you one thing, but its something they should never ever take away … from the ICU … Because those people's work is precious … because that’s how I felt. I am through it.

3.3.1.5 **Interdisciplinary team**: “ … they were working together”

Although not a dominant theme by comparison, the patients did discuss the presence of the interdisciplinary team. It was reported that the nurses and doctors helped the patients to mobilise if the physiotherapist needed assistance. This assistance, together with a referral from the doctor,
influenced the patients’ co-operation with treatment in physiotherapy. The presence of teamwork between the disciplines and among the physiotherapists themselves helped to confirm the presence of knowledge and communication.

PB6 (p. 21): … they were very helpful for the sisters of … for the … uh … application if they needed a pipe, or this or that … But the doctors did assist them … They knew this is an important thing that the physio's are doing, so … [g]ive them a hand where it's needed … They did help her as well, to take me out of my bed and into the chair also.

DS23 (p. 16): It was because they were working together. Because there were two or three, they were working as a team, so one will say do this, and then other one will agree. And then they will help each other with, uh, lifting [me] and then exercise with [me]. So. That's why [I] said they do have the knowledge of what they were doing.

3.3.1.6 The physiotherapist: “They know what they doing”

This theme was centred on the physiotherapist. It comprises subcategories of behaviour exhibited by the physiotherapists, patient-reported characteristics and physiotherapy competence. Patients felt the physiotherapists were knowledgeable and competent in their abilities, knowing that they had studied to become a physiotherapist and had drawn knowledge from their work and work experience. They reported that the physiotherapists were prepared, worked well and skillfully and in a sufficient and quick manner. Although multiple patients commented that their physiotherapists were students, most of them stated:

MM5 (p. 14): They know what they doing.

Two patients were surprised by the physiotherapists' ability to read the monitors and surprised that they worked closely with the “more technical side of the nursing side”. (DS13: p. 20-21)

KT16 (p. 14): The knowledge was-was was quite good. 'Cause I-I was surprised to see that she could read my monitor … Ja. Because I'm in the medical field, so I could read the monitor, I could read the monitor. I knew exactly what it said. I just didn't tell her that I can read the monitor.
However, the physiotherapists' interaction and communication with the patients, as well as their interaction as observed by others, was most commonly reported to have given the impression of the physiotherapists being knowledgeable and competent. The explanations and relative communication that was associated with the activities during the sessions also displayed knowledge.

ES8 (p. 6): They are very well trained ... because the manner how they speak to you and how they say the things that you must do it. Not too fast. Just on my own pace.

JL12 (p. 10): I felt that they had knowledge with their work ... It's the way, it's the way, they work with you.

The physiotherapists were described as friendly, well-mannered professionals who exhibited traits of patience, kindness, helpfulness and the ability to work well with others in a pleasant but firm manner. They were also reportedly understanding and sympathetic to patients’ current abilities in the ICU setting. As was commonly reported, acting professionally allowed patients to trust the physiotherapists. The physiotherapist's behaviour, attitude and manner in which physiotherapy care was completed in the treatment sessions influenced the patient's perception of the care in general. One patient reported:

VWJ2 (p. 8): No because, with the first time she helped me to pull up the bed upright, so, you felt like ... um ... she did not go and pull up the bed or whatever ... She did it with feeling. So ... You realise quickly when, when someone, I almost said, wishes to hurt you ...

Another patient reported:

PA4 (p. 23): And you can see one her face she gets upset ... The way she talks ... Her voice kind of like going a bit up. She's raising her voice ... And stuff like that. Complaining to the doctor. And the doctor would come and like forcing as well.

These results are consistent with the findings of Stiller and Wiles. (27). Stiller and Wiles, (27) an Australian quality assurance study conducted in 2008, investigated physiotherapy satisfaction in the ICU. They reported on the patient “likes” of physiotherapy, including the physiotherapists’
professionalism, friendliness, helpfulness and caring attitude. (27) While the results of this South African study corroborate the findings of Stiller and Wiles, (27) it reports on additional aspects and characteristics regarding the physiotherapist in the ICU as described by the patients, thus contributing to the limited body of literature available for physiotherapy within the ICU.

These results also indicate that while there may be variations in physiotherapy practice across countries and ICU settings, (35,36) patients still report on characteristics and behaviours of the physiotherapist as part of their care. Thus, emphasizing that physiotherapy is and will continue to be an interactive and people-based service.

3.3.1.7 Safety: “…don’t worry it’s gonna be fine, we here to help you…”

Patients felt safe during the physiotherapy sessions. This was primarily due to the physiotherapists’ professionalism, reassurance and communication. Communication reassured the patients and aided them in knowing what to expect during physiotherapy activities and sessions. This reassurance and communication also assisted in making the patients feel comfortable, thus building a trustworthy relationship with the physiotherapists. The presence of the physiotherapists, the physical assistance of more than one physiotherapist, standing close to the patients and mobilising together reassured them that they were safe and would not fall. Falling was a repeated concern for some patients, and many patients specifically reported not falling due to assistance and support. Providing a calm and comfortable situation is essential to make patients feel safe during physiotherapy. Three patients reported:

KT16 (p. 16): Just by the exercise and by speaking to me every day. Ya-you know, it’s just that that reassurance, don’t worry it’s gonna be fine, we here to help you, we’re here to ensure your safety, we’re here to-, we not gonna do anything to harm you or anything like that, you know?

ES8 (p. 12): Because … they held you … and didn’t let you fall … I can say, I didn’t have any fears … was very good … very safe. Very.

WM14 (p. 20-21): Because I had trust in them … It let me feel, you know, that I would get over the bridge, it was then like that … Look your relationship with them … Because I felt my relationship with them is, is good.
Although general consensus was reached regarding the feeling of safety during physiotherapy, one patient clearly described the importance of ensuring the feeling of safety, explaining that fear and pain were directly linked. He continued to say that pain would be less exaggerated or reduced to a certain extent if fear were managed.

SF3 (p. 13): *Now did you know, that, uh ... if you look at ... fear and pain. Fear one side, pain the other side ... If you ... have fear in your heart. It makes the pain worse. Am I right?*

According to the above quote, fear and the anxiety of pain can negatively influence a patient's experience of physical pain. As previously discussed, one of the barriers to physiotherapy is pain. Therefore, it could be conceived that by ensuring that patients feel safe during physiotherapy while in the ICU, one could control pain to some degree and thus limit its impact as a barrier to physiotherapy activities and mobilisation. Consequently, through reassurances, clear communication and physical support, patient co-operation with physiotherapy treatments could be encouraged.

### 3.3.1.8 Tangibilities: “... they looked like professionals.”

Tangibilities refers to the physical aspects included in physiotherapy care. They relate to the environment, equipment, appearance of the physiotherapists and the timing of the therapy. The ICU environment was described as a busy environment by many patients, but there were mixed reports regarding the space being too small and whether it was sufficient or not. It was, however, explained that the physiotherapists adapted the working environment depending on the task at hand, thus accommodating the patient in most instances. At times, due to the busy environment, physiotherapy sessions were shifted around other ICU pertinent care, which presented as a barrier to physiotherapy care in the ICU. This was confirmed in the following statement:

DS13 (p. 38): *I think they are not given enough time to do their side of the job ... They got a sort of, they've got a sort of fit in ... Like I was supposed to go on for a, go on Friday for a ... a CT scan today ... Been laying here at the hospital for two and a half days waiting for the CT scan. And it never happened. And, uhm, so the physios, if, if they had to come fetch me for example, just assuming that when I come here at eight-ten o'clock or ten thirty in the morning*
... And suddenly there’s the CT scan department decided they got a booking from me, that would have been, that's, that would have been the end of that session … That's up to me, that's a slight problem…

In general, the physiotherapists in the ICU reportedly used minimal equipment: the chairs, water bottles, breathing machines and the physiotherapists' hands. Another barrier, which was identified by only one patient, was the limited availability of chairs in the ICU.

KT16 (p. 7): They set it up in the, in the area, in the environment that I was in, that suited me best, that was comfortable for me. Even though it was the bed, it was the most comfortable.

GS7 (p. 18): The ICU was too busy.

DS13 (p. 11): And then I sat on the bed for a while. 'Cause there was only one chair in the ward and [it] was being used by someone else.

In the busy environment, the physiotherapy sessions predominantly occurred in the mornings. If the patients were unable to participate at this time, the physiotherapists would return in the afternoon. For most patients, there was no preference for the timing of the therapy, stating that:

GS7 (p. 14): I waited for them anytime … I didn't worry.

A few of the patients, however, reported that morning physiotherapy was better for them. This was because in the mornings, the patients were awake, washed and fed by the time the physiotherapists arrived whereas in the afternoons, they were often tired. This is demonstrated in the following quote:

JL12 (p. 2-3): They came every morning … In the mornings it was better … because I was already washed and … in the afternoon, I was a bit drowsy.

The patients recognised the physiotherapists largely due to their uniform and the use of nametags, as well as introductions. The use of uniforms allowed patients to make the distinction between physiotherapists, student physiotherapists and personnel of other disciplines. Physiotherapists
were neatly and appropriately dressed for their occupation. Their appearance was important for first impressions and the expectations of the patients.

MS21 (p. 15): You can point them even, when there are lots of people from them, because they neat and then you can see … the way they were dressing they are, they were professionals.

KC18 (p. 13): Like I’ll say, the physio was, was dressed properly. Like-like a person that works at a hospital or clinic.

JR24 (p. 11): Looked neat, yes … That is important for me … You must look neat. You can't go untidy to someone … I mean take myself, I can't go to some one if I, look untidy. How will it the first, uhm … First impression? First impressions.

3.3.1.9 Continuity of care: “…I just hope that I stay continue with the girl… ”

Continuity of care through the use of the same physiotherapist further enabled patients to identify the physiotherapists. In addition, it empowered and fostered continued use of the exercises once transferred out of the ICU. It appears that through continuity of care, a relationship and a manner of communication is developed between physiotherapist and patient, which is best emphasised in this quote:

MSJ 20 (p. 3): And I just hope that I stay continue with the girl … [with] their group.

One patient specifically reported the change in physiotherapist to be upsetting, and it made him uncomfortable. He stated:

PA4 (p. 5): They change now, then they change after that, they cha-, they do some-, they did something that [I] was not, like, comfortable to do, like to sit on the bed.

PA4 (p. 19): I think that second one, [I] wa-is, it was the one that [we] were not communicating well.

Furthermore, most patients were seen daily and for some, physiotherapy continued after their discharge from the ICU into the ward. This additionally emphasises continuity of care and is
consistent with the findings of Stiller and Wiles. (27) Continuity of care and session constancy were also positive factors documented by the patients in the study of Stiller and Wiles, (27). Therefore, demonstrating that patients recognise and are favourable towards physiotherapy continuity of care both within the ICU setting and following ICU discharge.

3.3.1.10 Satisfaction: “Attitude determines your altitude…”

While all patients had different definitions for satisfaction, most equated it to completed and well-handled work, physiotherapy without pain and a goal-orientated service.

KT16 (p. 18): …*somebody provides a service to you, are you generally happy with the service that you were given* [?] So you either satisfied or you're unsatisfied. One of the two. Can't be in-between really. So. If you asking me, was the service satisfactory, I would say it was more than satisfactory…

KC18 (p. 28): *I was very satisfied with their co-operation, and what they, what they actually did. Uh. Because I think there is a reason for everything … There is work for everything. And what they did, I feel one hundred percent satisfied with everything they did for me.*

KT16 (p. 19): *Because I learned a lot and-and-and and the bottom-line is, the goal was reached, the service that I got was excellent. But most importantly the goal was reached. The goal was for me to get, was to be mobilised from that bed to the chair.*

Patients also commented that satisfaction is influenced by the manner in which they were treated and their happiness with the treatment outcomes. The majority of the patients were satisfied with the physiotherapy care they received while in the ICU. Patients stated that their reasons for being satisfied were largely due to the communication and interaction with the physiotherapists. They reported that the understanding and listening skills of the physiotherapists, as well as their professionalism and attitude towards both the patients and their work, were reasons for satisfaction.
VNA11 (p. 22): Just her attitude, you know? Ja, her attitude towards me, to-towards the patients. The way she handled … me. You know? … Physically. Uhm. Talking to me, all of that.

VNA11 (p. 23): Attitude determines your altitude … How far, how you get things done … Depends on yourself.

DS23 (p. 18): The way they were holding [me], communicate with [me], make [me] do exercise. That's why [I've said I am] satisfied with them.

MS21 (p. 22): They were working like everyone else. Like, uh, the understanding, the communication the handling of, uh, physio, like exercise. [I] will say that [I] was satisfied, yes, with them.

The patients commented on characteristics the physiotherapists displayed that were additional reasons for satisfaction, namely: the preparation for the session; the setting and reaching of goals; patience and time spent with patients; and the demonstration of competence and attitude in connection with their approach to the patients. Patients described trust, reassurance, physical assistance and support during sessions, as well as the building of relationships as assisting in their satisfaction level. These reasons affected their willingness to participate in the therapy sessions. One patient who was dissatisfied with his physiotherapy care reportedly refused all therapy treatment after an incident of poor communication and trust. He was left sitting over the edge of his bed for an extended period of time without explanation or a way to return to his bed. This incident resulted in dissatisfaction with the service and his overall experience, illustrating that when patients feel dissatisfied with the care they receive, it can and will negatively influence their compliance with treatment plans. The same concept but on the opposite spectrum was reported by Prakash (16) and Price (17) who stated that patient satisfaction and positive experiences are related to increased compliance. Ultimately, overall satisfaction and perception regarding a service or care can be influenced negatively or positively by only one incident.

Factors that would have decreased satisfaction with the physiotherapy care received were predominantly linked to pain and ultimately poor communication. Authorative or poor attitude, poor
presentation and untidiness, the possibility of falling during mobilisation, no assistance and no support during activities and failure to meet established goals were all aspects described by patients as factors that could have decreased satisfaction.

KT16 (p. 20): So if you look untidy and you don't look the part or your hair's untidy, or you swearing what, it's not gonna work by me. You work, you-you working in a hospital environment, the sisters are neatly dressed, the doctors are neatly dressed, I expect the same from the physio.

VNA11 (p. 23): I think if, if, uh, if her attitude was, uh, not so … you know, cheerful or, uhm, nice … If she was a bit, maybe had a bad day or something then that wouldn't have made it so great.

The study findings relating to decreased satisfaction are consistent with the negative issues highlighted by other studies in the critical care setting, namely, unfriendly staff, (21) fear, (46) pain (48,55) and poor communication. (13) These studies, however, investigated patient perceptions of critical care components such as sleep (48) and communication, (46) patient perceptions of emergency care (21) and patient satisfaction with emergency care (13) as opposed to investigating aspects specific to physiotherapy in a critical care setting.

Alternatively, patients reported factors that would have increased satisfaction. These included the adaption of exercises to the bed and increased variation in exercises given. The previously mentioned patient, who was not satisfied with the physiotherapy care he received, reported that good communication would have improved his experience and his satisfaction. One patient found that her time in the ICU was too short and that an increased ICU time would have been better because she felt that she was improving in the ICU. The patient suggesting an increased ICU LOS for increasing satisfaction was unexpected since patients are usually eager to move out of the ICU as soon as possible. In addition, this is contrary to management in the ICU where intensive care staff aim for early discharge and reduced LOS. (31) However, at the time of the interview, this patient had not received physiotherapy since her ICU discharge to the ward. Thus, her perception
and suggested ICU LOS increase may have differed if she had continued with physiotherapy while in the ward.

All patients were asked to suggest changes or improvements to physiotherapy care in the ICU. Some were reluctant to comment on aspects requiring change because they felt underqualified to give such suggestions. Others commented that no improvements were needed. Two patients reported that physiotherapy should occur once the patients are at their 'full senses' due to the effects of the medication and/or the busyness of the environment.

SF3 (p. 6): *...that is also something that I would suggest, you know? Give the patient time to come by their fullest, full senses. It's not use giving them physiotherapy and the poor guy is zonked out [on] pain and tablets, he doesn't even know what's going on around him.*

One patient suggested a physiotherapy-specific room for all patients, not only those in the ICU. Another patient reported that setting time periods for physiotherapy would reduce the time wasted due to colliding care from multiple disciplines. This is depicted in the following quote:

KC18 (p. 18): *To just put a time period and to say we are there at that time.*

The current research findings also align with the results of two other studies (8,39) that investigated the nursing care service in the critical care setting. They reported that staff friendliness, (39) professionalism, communication and continuity of care (8) were associated with improved satisfaction.

With regard to physiotherapy care in the ICU, this study demonstrated that multiple aspects of the physiotherapy experience ultimately culminated in the patient's satisfaction level. Thus, patient satisfaction is multifactorial and encompasses the patient’s perception of the entire experience. Overall, most of the patients were satisfied with the physiotherapy care they received, and this is consistent with the high satisfaction levels reported by Stiller and Wiles. (27)

3.3.1.11 Communication: “…we communicate like friends…”

This theme comprised multiple categories and codes including interactions, explanations and miscommunications. Communication was the most common theme in all of the interviews. It was
noted to be central to the way in which patients understood and interpreted the experience and ultimately, it influenced their satisfaction with the service (Figure 3.2). Effective communication encouraged patient understanding, assisted in reducing fear and prompted co-operation. Generally, patients felt the communication to be good, commenting that the interactions between patient and physiotherapist were encouraging and motivational.

KT16 (p. 2): …then they send somebody over and then she spoke to me, and she introduced herself. She said to me the importance of physio and I said, okay, no, Okay I will give it a try.

MSJ20 (p. 11): In a way you're not sure of yourself … In a way you think that you won't make it … You know. They always try to put words on you. But you will lift your spirit up.

MSJ20 (p. 27): So it was so painful … And I wanted to give up … I wanted to tell the doctor; No. If you cannot put me asleep and do me this, I won't do this anymore … But she keep on correcting me … Telling me that others have gone through this.

Communication was generally friendly and filled with jokes and laughing, enabling the development of a relationship, a friendship, and thus influencing how the patients felt in the sessions.

DS13 (p. 28): Excellent. Nothing, no, no, uhm, no lack of communication or problem … No lack of communication or poor communication between the physios and myself … Or the other lady opposite … The other patient.

MSJ20 (p. 28): …We speak like friends … we communicate like friends … we take each other like friends. We take each other that, we can handle each other about this.

But communication was not always easy. One patient in particular experienced difficulties due to being intubated and ventilated. Another had difficulties with breathing and was thus distracted, which led to a lack of understanding when the physiotherapist spoke to her.

KT16 (p. 11): Oh, it was difficult… and then after a while the pipes came out. And I could … speak to her, one on one … Look, there was no other way for me to communicate with her. And she knew that, therefore she knew, she brought the book and pen everyday so that I could in case, like, before we started she’d ask me if I would like to ask a couple of questions
or if I wanna write something down, is there something that I wanna know about yesterday? So she would give me that opportunity ... So before we started, for me to do it ... Well, that was terrible. Communication for me was ... I hated it. But at that point it was the best way of communication. But the thing is the message got across, the point got across, and I could understand whatever ...

WM14 (p. 18): Many times I did not understand properly, because then, you know if your short of breath and you ... can't get a good breath in, then it was as if I misunderstood her ... And then she would say Aunty, come lets start from the beginning again.

Explanations and repeated instructions helped patients to understand what was expected of them. Instructions and communication delivered in a language and tone the patients could understand further facilitated co-operation.

KT16 (p. 14): ‘Cause, you know, she didn't force me to do anything. She came down to my level, and she spoke to me in the tone that I could understand.

KC18 (p. 6): ... I was not really prepared for it, but as the physio explained to me, what is, what the reasons are and why she does it. And then I gave my body to work with, uh, to go with the physio.

KC18 (p. 24): Because she spoke in my, in my mother tongue, I understood very, very well.

In contrast, when communication was not clear, it resulted in a miscommunication that caused a loss of trust and a refusal of further treatment, as described by a patient in the statement below:

PA4 (p. 7): Then ... she came back, like the next day ... wanted to do physio again. And I refuse. And the others coming, try. I refuse ... I was so sick and tired.

PA4 (p. 8-9): The thing was the because she left [me] unattended. This is the only thing. Not ... because [I] standing because [I] was trying to stand but she left [me] ... and [I] couldn't climb back in the bed ... She did explain what she's gonna do. But she didn't ex... she didn't tell [me] that she's gonna leave. This is the only thing.
As illustrated in Figure 3.2, communication integrates and influences multiple aspects of physiotherapy care. Communication affected how the patients understood the care they received and how they felt during mobilisation. Among other aspects, communication also empowered patients through education and shared knowledge, and influenced satisfaction. The PI found this discovery to be enlightening. Communication is a component of care that can easily be overlooked and/or rushed in a busy environment such as the ICU and where most patients have previously been sedated. As is evident in this study, communication has a substantial impact on the patient’s perception and ultimately, their satisfaction. Ashworth (11) reported that communication and information are vital for human beings to feel comfortable, especially for people in a strange environment. Effective communication in the ICU, an arguably strange environment, will comfort patients and influence their overall perception of care.

Several studies conducted in the critical care setting have reported positively on communication as a component of care with regard to informed consent, (43,45,56) verbal information, (56) explanations prior to treatment and the use of alternative methods of communication. (46) Physiotherapists should be mindful of the impact that communication can have on the physiotherapy management of ICU patients and the patients' co-operation with treatments. Continuing to communicate with patients effectively may aid in ensuring understanding and potentially reduce episodes of miscommunication. It will also assist in the physiotherapist-patient relationship, patient education and treatment co-operation, thus increasing trust and patient satisfaction with care.
As demonstrated in the above-mentioned themes, patients’ perception and experience of physiotherapy in the ICU were the result of multiple aspects. Overall, the majority of patients perceived physiotherapy in the ICU favourably. They used words such as ‘good’, ‘wonderful’, ‘excellent’ and ‘happy’ when describing their experience and perception of physiotherapy in the ICU. However, some patients found the experience difficult. Patients' perceptions of physiotherapy
were chiefly influenced by their understanding, their expectations and their previous experiences thereof.

KT16 (p. 22): *Just that I had a wonderful experience.*

BA1 (p. 5): *Like [I] had to sit on the chair. [I] felt like, they don't care about [me]. They were like, uhm, it takes long even they put [me] on the chair. It's like they put [me] too long. But at the end it did help [me]. [I] didn't understand that. But at the end it did … [I] was little bit irritated about that. 'Cause of the pains.*

ES8 (p. 19): *It's a … good experience, hey … I can't complain. I [had] very good care …*

VWJ2 (p. 2): *This time it was difficult. It wasn't too much … Uhm. It was enough physiotherapy …*

This study aimed to identify and describe patients' perceptions and satisfaction as well as barriers and facilitators of physiotherapy in the ICU. Multiple facilitators and barriers were identified and discussed with regard to physiotherapy in the ICU. Some barriers could be construed as aspects for improvement, for example, the presence of pain, which limits ability and co-operation in mobilisation. However, it must be made clear that not all barriers can be altered, for example, the busyness of the environment. Therefore, some barriers must merely be noted and circumvented to try and limit the effect they have on the service of ICU physiotherapy. By comparison, the facilitators were diverse and patient specific. The presence of the physiotherapist and independent mental preparation of the patient also facilitated physiotherapy in the critical care setting.

The variety of the patients purposefully selected enabled a large pooling of differing perceptions and opinions regarding physiotherapy in the ICU. The data collected in this study allows healthcare practitioners the opportunity to understand and interpret patients' first-hand experiences of ICU physiotherapy. This is a unique opportunity that is not often available in the ICU setting due to previous sedation practice in the ICU.

With the change in ICU practices, including daily sedative interruption and early mobilisation requiring active patient participation, this opportunity may become more readily available.
Concurring with the literature review by Stein-Parbury, (41) the results of this study confirm that patients are able to recall the ICU setting to some extent. This study further demonstrated that some patients were able to recall specific aspects of care (e.g. physiotherapy).

The potential increase in availability of patient perceptions regarding care in the ICU could assist in evaluating and ensuring ICU care quality. Healthcare practitioners could use patient satisfaction and perceptions not only to understand the patient's ICU experience but also to identify potential areas for improvement. As reported by Ariba et al., (21) patients are the consumers of care, and their opinions regarding it should be of concern to healthcare providers. (21) Furthermore, patients are the primary elements in the assessment of service quality. The patient's resultant satisfaction level regarding the service received can be used as an indicator of care quality. (8,22)

3.4 LIMITATIONS

Although the results of this study cannot be generalised and applied to all ICU settings, the diversity in the patients selected for the study as well as the study methods continuing until data saturation demonstrate the credibility of the results. The study findings also provide a good base for future studies in that the study is the first of its nature in South Africa.

A minority of the participants did not partake in the member checking (22%). This is seen as a minor limitation as it is unlikely to have significantly influenced the study results. Another minor limitation could have been the use of an interpreter. This could have resulted in deviations in either the interpretations or explanations of some of the data collected. Every effort was made to reduce this potential effect by using the same interpreter and ensuring the study aims and objectives were understood completely prior to the interview commencement.

3.5 CONCLUSIONS

Physiotherapy in the ICU is a valuable experience predominantly perceived positively and satisfactorily by patients. It is clear that communication is a key component that has an influence on the patient's perception and satisfaction of physiotherapy in the ICU. While there are multiple barriers and challenges encountered in the ICU setting, this does not detract from the value of the physiotherapy service itself. Through the understanding of the patients' perceptions and
experiences regarding physiotherapy in this environment, potential areas for improvement may be uncovered in order to ensure quality of care. As the physiotherapy practices in the ICU change, so should the interaction and communication with ICU patients.

3.6 KEY MESSAGES

- Clear communication between the physiotherapist and the patient is essential to ensure both parties understand what is expected from each other and to manage patient expectations.

- Physiotherapists should be aware that maintaining communication and a professional demeanour assists in preserving the trust in the patient-physiotherapist relationship.

- Patients confirm the value of ICU physiotherapy and the benefits of early mobilisation in the ICU.

- Satisfaction with physiotherapy in the ICU is multifactorial.

- Patient perception of care in the ICU can be investigated.
CHAPTER 4: GENERAL DISCUSSION

4.1 CONTRIBUTIONS TO KNOWLEDGE

There is an endeavour by healthcare professionals to improve quality of care through evidence-based treatments. Measuring patient satisfaction and perception may assist in this regard. Patient's preferences and perceptions (20) form a component in the development of evidence-based practice, informing clinical decisions and resulting in improved quality of care. Similarly, patient satisfaction is increasingly important and frequently used as an indicator of care quality. (22) Understanding a patient's perception of care can provide useful information to administrators in the critical care setting regarding areas of improvement. (23) Knowing and understanding the patient's perception and satisfaction with regard to care ensures professional development in the critical care field and can improve the quality of care. (8,24)

The aims of the thesis were to identify how patient perception and satisfaction in the critical care setting was measured, and to investigate and describe how patients perceive physiotherapy in the critical care setting. The scoping review identified multiple ways in which patient perception and satisfaction were measured in the critical care setting. However, not only was there a lack of validity and reliability reporting regarding the methods identified but also, many measures were self-developed with little description. Ultimately, no clear gold standard for measuring patient perception in the critical care setting was identified.

Patient perception and satisfaction with care are both subjective and influenced by multiple factors and thus, differ from patient to patient. Because no gold standard of measure could be identified, a qualitative approach was adopted. This allowed for the uncovering of the subjective dimensions of patient satisfaction, and enabled the PI to understand the patients' perceptions of physiotherapy in the chosen environment better. The qualitative approach provided rich information, context and an understanding of the deeper meaning behind the data collected.

The scoping review further identified literature gaps regarding patient perception and satisfaction in critical care, namely: 1) the geographical distribution of the published literature; and 2) the physiotherapy service provided.
Since the majority of the studies identified and included in Chapter 2 were predominantly from developed countries, a gap was identified in obtaining an understanding of patient perception of critical care within a developing country. Due to the fact that there are variations with physiotherapy practices across regions, ICU settings and countries, (34) and that patient perception and/or satisfaction with care is influenced by culture, language, (22) age (13,23,53) and gender, (43) studies conducted in different countries could obtain different results.

Only one study (27) identified in the scoping review investigated patient satisfaction with physiotherapy in the critical care setting. In a literature review completed in 2008 by Stiller and Wiles, (27) no research was identified that focused on assessing patient satisfaction with physiotherapy within an ICU context. As stated by Hanekom, Louw and Coetzee, (34) finding methods to measure the value and quality of the physiotherapy service in the critical care setting remains the obligation of the physiotherapy profession. The on-going changes in ICU physiotherapy since 2008 (29) and the literature gaps identified by the scoping review prompted the development of this primary study, which investigates patient perception of physiotherapy in a surgical ICU within a developing country.

The results of this primary study were consistent and agreed with the findings of Stiller and Wiles (27) regarding the positive aspects of physiotherapy in the ICU. Positive aspects included continuity and consistency of care, physiotherapist’s caring attitude, friendliness, helpfulness and professionalism. (27) Similarly, a high level of patient satisfaction with ICU physiotherapy was evident in both this primary study and the findings of Stiller and Wiles. (27)

While the results of this primary study collaborated with certain findings of Stiller and Wiles, (27) the two studies were not the same. Stiller and Wiles (27) used a questionnaire, while this study utilised a purely qualitative design. By utilising a qualitative methodology, a rich account of the patients’ perceptions of care was retrieved, thus contributing to a deeper understanding of many aspects regarding physiotherapy ICU care. (47,65)

Moreover, the focus of the two studies differed. Stiller and Wiles (27) focused on patient satisfaction with physiotherapy in the ICU and reported on factors such as the physiotherapist’s
characteristics, aspects of communication (explanations) and perceived progression of therapy treatment received. This primary study investigated patient perception of physiotherapy in the ICU and included satisfaction as a contributing theme. Thus, the findings from the primary study not only extended the focus of the available literature but also highlighted specific aspects relating to the physiotherapy care received by patients in the ICU. These aspects included: multiple components of communication (such as instructions, demonstrations, interactions and miscommunication); the benefits and progress noted due to ICU physiotherapy; physiotherapy value as expressed by the patients; aspects of safety; tangibilities of the physiotherapy care (pertaining to the environment, equipment and timing of treatment); patient expectations and understanding of physiotherapy; the interdisciplinary team; additional characteristics of the physiotherapist; and continuity of care. In addition, context as to why patients perceived and reported the aspects of physiotherapy care in the manner that they did was provided.

While both studies investigated the physiotherapy service in the ICU, it cannot be assumed that the care received by the patients in the two studies was the same. The recent growth in physiotherapy research, the changes in ICU physiotherapy practise specifically since 2008, prioritising early progressive mobilisation, (29) and variations in physiotherapy practices across countries and ICU settings, (29) could have resulted in the patients receiving very different care. Thus, the primary study contributed new, current and additional information to the limited body of literature on patient perception and satisfaction regarding physiotherapy in the ICU.

Deviating from physiotherapy specifically, the results of this primary study were also aligned with studies (8,12,13,21,22,39,43-46,48,55,56) that investigated other critical care services such as nursing, emergency services and care components of critical care (e.g. informed consent). These studies highlighted communication, informed consent, (43,45,56) verbal information, (56) explanations prior to treatment and the use of alternative methods of communication (46) as positive aspects of critical care.
Factors that increased satisfaction with critical care were related to staff friendliness, (39) professionalism, communication and continuity of care. (8) The negative issues with critical care were related to unfriendly staff, (21) fear, (46) pain (48,55) and poor communication. (13)

Finally, some studies recommended the need to improve and/or continue interaction with staff, (12,21,39) and improve communication, (8,13,22) professionalism, (8) continuity of care, (8) patient education (44) and the management of patient expectations. (13) These recommendations are consistent with aspects reported by the patients in this primary study.

This thesis makes an original contribution to knowledge in that the voice of the patient with regard to their experience of physiotherapy provided in the surgical ICU is presented. The results indicated that some patients are able to remember and recall their ICU experience, particularly regarding physiotherapy, and established that patient perception in the ICU can and should be measured. Thus, the contribution to knowledge may lie in both the richness and variety of information elicited from the patients and reveal new perspectives of understanding in the ICU. This research is original since it is the first study to investigate patient perceptions in the ICU with regard to physiotherapy in a South African context.

4.2 CLINICAL IMPLICATIONS AND RELEVANCE

The thesis highlighted relevant and noteworthy clinical implications, firstly demonstrating that patients recognise and value the physiotherapist as part of the multidisciplinary team involved in their ICU care. For many, physiotherapy was considered a valuable service without which they may not have survived the ICU. In addition, patients described physiotherapy and mobilisation to a large degree as the beginning of their ICU recovery.

While patients reported both difficulties and barriers to mobilisation in the ICU, they also acknowledged the positive impact it had on them and their recovery. Physiotherapists should, therefore, continue to include appropriate early mobilisation in the management of ICU patients as it is supported by current literature and patient opinion.

Secondly, this study highlighted the importance of communication between the physiotherapist and the patient. Physiotherapists should be aware that communication affects multiple aspects of
physiotherapy care and the patients’ perceptions thereof. Clear and effective communication between the physiotherapist and the patient should be sought to ensure that both parties are understood, patient expectations are appropriately managed and patient co-operation is encouraged.

Thirdly, physiotherapists should also be aware of their demeanour and attitude in the physiotherapy sessions. Maintaining a professional disposition assists in the preservation of trust and in the development of the physiotherapist-patient relationship. Physiotherapists should pay attention to patient feedback and reactions. Reacting appropriately to patient feedback could affect the patient’s perception and satisfaction regarding ICU physiotherapy care, and patient-perceived satisfaction with care has been associated with improved treatment-plan compliance. (16,17) Ensuring patient satisfaction, thereby encouraging patient compliance, could also result in reduced hospital and ICU LOS as well as cost.

Physiotherapists should also be aware that patient perception and satisfaction regarding a service or experience is multifaceted. Therefore, all aspects of care will influence their overall perception of the experience and thus, care should be taken to address the patient-reported needs in addition to the therapeutic-determined needs.

Overall, this study demonstrated that patient perception and satisfaction regarding ICU care can be measured. Thus, physiotherapists can now use this new information as a point of access in order to gain insight from the patients. For individual practitioners or at a departmental level, the information could help in identifying areas requiring improvement in care. Understanding the patient's perception of the physiotherapy service could also assist ICU physiotherapists in their clinical decision-making by combining the best available, evidence-based practice with patient opinions and preferences. (20)

4.3 RECOMMENDATIONS FOR FUTURE RESEARCH

Patient perception and satisfaction regarding care should continue to be an area for future research due to the on-going endeavour for the development of evidence-based practices. The primary study focused on individual interviews with patients receiving physiotherapy in the surgical
Future research should concentrate on obtaining data in other ICU settings and services because patient demographics and diagnoses may vary from unit to unit. Additionally, patient perceptions are essentially a dynamic process, which could also differ greatly from unit to unit.

Further exploration into specific aspects covered in this study, such as the role of communication in ICU physiotherapy care, could be investigated in greater depth because this study demonstrated that communication is a key aspect of physiotherapy care received in the ICU.

Additionally, the primary study refers to certain activities mentioned by the patients such as mobilisation, use of the 'PEEP bottle' and breathing exercises undertaken in the physiotherapy care. Future studies investigating the physiotherapy service should document the type of care received by patients. The type of care received could affect the patient's perception of care and thus affect the ability to compare future studies investigating the topic. Also, due to the current changes in ICU physiotherapy practices, (29,30,61) it could also affect the audience’s interpretation of the study findings.

In order to ensure that patients could participate in the primary study and thus the interviews, all included patients were required to meet the SQ5 and GCS criteria. It is suggested that future studies make use of a measurement to determine the patient's ability to participate in research in order to ensure reliable data collection.

While it might be argued that a strong recommendation be made towards developing a gold standard of measuring patient perception and satisfaction in critical care, this primary study has shown the value of qualitative methodologies in eliciting rich, full, descriptive detail regarding patients’ perceptions and satisfaction relating to critical care. Thus, future research could investigate the value of utilising a more qualitative, open format when accessing patient feedback and perceptions as opposed to a structured, standardised questionnaire that may be limiting the patient's voice.
4.4 LIMITATIONS

4.4.1 Scoping review

Two limitations were identified in the scoping review, namely:

• Eight studies were excluded in the scoping review due to language. This is seen as a limitation since information in these articles may have contributed to the results of the scoping review.

• No hand searching was included due to its unreliability, and although a number of databases were searched, they were only Northern Hemisphere indexing.

4.4.2 Primary study

From the primary study, the following limitations were identified:

• Multiple patients were excluded due to lack of ICU or physiotherapy memory. In a review by Stein-Parbury, (41) they reported that 30–100% of the patients included in the review studies could remember at least part of their ICU experience. (41) However, in this primary study, recall difficulty was still evident and considered a limitation, which affected the purposive sampling choices.

• The results are limited to patients from the selected surgical ICU and cannot be generalised for all intensive care units because populations, demographics and conditions may differ.

• A Xhosa interpreter was needed during some interviews to ensure patients could express their opinions and perceptions fully. The need for an external person to translate the interviews could have led to deviation in the communicated word of the patients. This is considered a minor limitation because all efforts were made to ensure that the interpreter understood the study aims, objectives and procedures prior to the interviews, and the same interpreter was used for all interviews conducted in Xhosa to prevent bias.

4.5 STRENGTHS

4.5.1 Scoping review

The inclusion of Spanish studies prevented the scoping review from being limited to the English language only.
4.5.2 Primary study

The following strengths were identified in the primary study:

• The PI was not the physiotherapist treating the patients. As a result, no prior relationship with the participating patients was developed, which could have influenced or skewed the data collected.

• A peer review process was used during the interpretation and analysis of the primary study data. This process ensured that the analysis and interpretation of the data was dependable and confirmable.

• All patients were invited to participate in a member checking session, and a high percentage of the participants (78%) agreed to participate.

4.6 FINAL CONCLUSION

While there is no gold standard to measure patient perception and satisfaction with care in the critical care setting, this thesis advocates that patient perceptions regarding aspects of critical care can and should be measured in order to facilitate the development of evidence-based practice.

With the current and on-going changes to both physiotherapy and ICU care practices, patients are now more able to share their experiences of the ICU. It was demonstrated that patients’ perception of physiotherapy in the ICU was influenced by many factors, and communication was highlighted as the most influential factor that affected patients’ perception of physiotherapy care received.

While the results showed that perceptions of physiotherapy were both positive and negative, the majority of patients perceived it positively. As a result, most patients were satisfied overall with the ICU physiotherapy care they received. In this dynamic environment in which there is constant change in practice and a drive for evidence-based practice in order to improve quality of care, patient perception and satisfaction are invaluable in measuring and ensuring quality of care in the ICU.
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METHODOLOGY-RELATED APPENDICES
# Appendix A: Detailed Summary of Studies Investigating Services and Components of Care

## Table A.1: Comprehensive summary of studies investigating components of care

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Care component</th>
<th>Studies</th>
<th>Positive issues</th>
<th>Negative issues</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| Privacy        | Cerdá et al. (24) | • Professional empathy  
• Nursing professionalism  
• Closing curtains < individual space | • Feelings of vulnerability, shame, nakedness  
• Distance of family  
• Disruption of family roles  
• Loss of independence  
• Lack of physical individual space |
| Informed consent | Clark (43) | • Effective informed consent was of high value to patients  
• Positive informed consent process was more likely to have a higher health status after discharge | • ICU stay  
• Patients paying for healthcare  
• Age |
|                | Modra et al. (56) | • Patients prefer receiving information verbally (61%)  
• Patients prefer giving consent verbally (60%)  
• Patients expect to give procedural consent to all procedures (30%)  
• Patients report sufficient procedural information (80%)  
• Patients report easy-to-understand information (86%) | |

*Continued*
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Care component</th>
<th>Studies</th>
<th>Positive issues</th>
<th>Negative issues</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| Family participation in ICU care     | Garrouste-Orgeas et al. (53) | • 77.2% favour family participation  
• Previous ICU stay  
• Middle-aged  
• ↑Age  
• Care from spouse or grown children | • Image preservation  
• Embarrassment  
• Nurses are better skilled  
• Safety concerns  
• Unwilling to assist  
• Physical shyness |
| Visiting policies                    | Gonzalez et al. (49)       | • Flexible hours  
• 1/3 of patients prefer unlimited visiting times and 1/3 prefer visiting times once a day | • When patient unwell and when visitor dynamics not ideal  
• Timing: early morning / late evening |
|                                      | Novaes et al. (45)         | • ↑Visiting time  
• Detailed informed consent |                                                                                   |
| Communication during respirator treatment | Hafsteindóttir (46)      | • Explanations prior to treatments  
• Suggested observation of patient need for suctioning  
• Suggested use of alternative methods of communication, chosen with input from the patient | • Fear and anxiety  
• Wanting to give up  
• Feelings of suffocation, confusion  
• Nightmares and impaired memories |

Continued
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Care component</th>
<th>Studies</th>
<th>Positive issues</th>
<th>Negative issues</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Sleep</strong></td>
<td>Jones et al. (55)</td>
<td>• Discomfort, pain, anxiety, noise and lighting</td>
<td>• Discomfort, pain, anxiety, noise and lighting</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Uğraş and Oztekin (48)</td>
<td>• Wearing a mask</td>
<td>• Wearing a mask</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• Endotracheal tube presence</td>
<td>• Endotracheal tube presence</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>ICU environment</strong></td>
<td>Jongerden et al. (52)</td>
<td>• Single-room ICU</td>
<td>• Immobilisation, anxiety, pain and discomfort</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• Adapted colouring and daylight-exposed rooms</td>
<td>• Short visiting times</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• Reduced noise</td>
<td>• Noisy environment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• Better family facilities</td>
<td>• Nursing interventions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Pain management</strong></td>
<td>Topolovec-Vranic et al. (51)</td>
<td>• Lesser pain scores for the worst pain topic</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• Communication of pain treatment significance</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• Nurse and physician responses to pain management</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Intensive care unit (ICU): increased(↑)*
Table A.2: Comprehensive summary of studies investigating services

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Services</th>
<th>Studies</th>
<th>Level of satisfaction</th>
<th>Positive issues</th>
<th>Negative issues</th>
<th>Recommendations</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Emergency care</td>
<td>Ariba et al. (21)</td>
<td>61.2% rated the care</td>
<td>Adequate equipment</td>
<td>• ↑Waiting time</td>
<td>Improved interactions with health care workers</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>good or excellent</td>
<td></td>
<td>• ↓Facility size</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• Unfriendly health workers</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Goldwag et al. (22)</td>
<td>↑High satisfaction</td>
<td></td>
<td>• ↑Waiting time</td>
<td>Improved communication with patient</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>(89% satisfied)</td>
<td></td>
<td>• Elementary schooling</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• Arabic/Russian speaking</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• Ethnicity</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• Self-rated health status</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• Resolution of medical issue</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• Doctor attitude</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Oluwadiya et al. (12)</td>
<td>↑High satisfaction</td>
<td></td>
<td>• ↓Privacy</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• ↓Poor interactions with healthcare workers</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• ↑Time to surgery</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• One-on-one discussions with Doctor</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• Noisy setting</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Continued
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Services</th>
<th>Studies</th>
<th>Level of satisfaction</th>
<th>Positive issues</th>
<th>Negative issues</th>
<th>Recommendations</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Emergency care</td>
<td>Sun et al. (13)</td>
<td>Large proportions of patients were satisfied with overall care</td>
<td>- ↑Treatment sessions&lt;br&gt;- ↑Age</td>
<td>- Ethnic group&lt;br&gt;- ↓Triage status&lt;br&gt;- ↓Communication with patients&lt;br&gt;- Patients with hand lacerations were less satisfied than patients with abdominal pain</td>
<td>- Manage the perceptions of waiting time&lt;br&gt;- Improve communication with patients&lt;br&gt;- Manage patient expectations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nursing care</td>
<td>Boev (39)</td>
<td>↑High satisfaction</td>
<td>- ↑Friendliness of staff&lt;br&gt;- ↑Pain management</td>
<td>- Preparation for transfer</td>
<td>- Continue positive interactions with healthcare workers</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Hunt (44)</td>
<td></td>
<td>- Noisy setting&lt;br&gt;- Delay of planned procedures</td>
<td></td>
<td>- Patient education&lt;br&gt;- Noise reduction&lt;br&gt;- Acknowledge non-verbal communication from patients&lt;br&gt;- Plan nursing care to allow for sleep</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Jonsdottir and Baldursdottir (23)</td>
<td></td>
<td>- ↑Age&lt;br&gt;- Gender&lt;br&gt;(Females rated nursing care behaviours higher than males)&lt;br&gt;- Lower education</td>
<td></td>
<td>- Nurses should be conscious of fulfilling the patients “unmet needs”</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Continued
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Services</th>
<th>Studies</th>
<th>Level of satisfaction</th>
<th>Positive issues</th>
<th>Negative issues</th>
<th>Recommendations</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Nursing care</td>
<td>Johannessen et al. (40)</td>
<td>🚀 Extremely high satisfaction</td>
<td></td>
<td>• Nurse competence levels</td>
<td>• Holistic approach to treatment with continuation of care</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• Nurse competence levels</td>
<td>• Information for patient</td>
<td>• Effective communication and professionalism</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Romero-Garcia et al. (8)</td>
<td>Satisfied with nurses when care is holistic</td>
<td>• Holistic care</td>
<td>• Hygiene</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• Verbal and non-verbal communication</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• Professionalism and clinical competence</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• Continuous care</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• Mobilisation</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• Hygiene and comfort</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• Pain control</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• Sleeping rate and the treatments</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Continued*
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Services</th>
<th>Studies</th>
<th>Level of satisfaction</th>
<th>Positive issues</th>
<th>Negative issues</th>
<th>Recommendations</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Physiotherapy</td>
<td>Stiller and Wiles (27)</td>
<td>High satisfaction</td>
<td>• Privacy&lt;br&gt;• Dignity&lt;br&gt;• Sufficient explanations&lt;br&gt;• Empathy and care</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Intensive care unit (ICU); increased (↑); decreased (↓)
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What is this research study all about?

The purpose of this research study is to describe your perceptions and satisfactions with physiotherapy care while you were in the Surgical Intensive Care Unit. This study aims to
understand and describe, from your perspective, how physiotherapy care is experienced in the ICU, and describe whether you are satisfied with the care you received during physiotherapy. This will highlight areas for improvement with regards to the physiotherapy care.

The study will be conducted at Tygerberg Hospital (TBH) in Parow, in the Surgical Intensive Care Unit. We will interview you, once you have been discharged from the ICU and have been moved into a ward at TBH.

The study involves being interviewed or asked several questions by the researcher. The interviewer will also collect information from your medical records. The interviews will be recorded and an observer will take notes. We may contact you after the interviews to check that we have all the correct information.

**Why have you been invited to participate?**

You were asked and invited to participate in the study as you were a patient at in the Surgical Intensive Care Unit at TBH during August – September 2015.

**What will your responsibilities be?**

You will be expected to participate in individual interviews of approximately 30 minutes - 60 minutes, and the interview will be audio-taped. During the interview we will talk about your experience of the physiotherapy care you received, what would or could have made this care better and how satisfied you were with the physiotherapy care that you received during your ICU stay.

The interviewer will contact you telephonically after she has analysed the information collected (This will occur some time in September – October 2015). This will be to organise a contact session in order to check that the interviewers understanding and interpretation of what you have said in the interviews is correct.

**Will you benefit from taking part in this research?**

There is no personal benefit to participating in this research study. The results of this study could result in changes to the service quality of the physiotherapy care in the Surgical Intensive Care
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Unit and this may influence the experience that future patients receiving physiotherapy care in the Surgical Intensive Care Unit, may have.

**Are there any risks involved in your taking part in this research?**

There are no risks associated in taking part in this research. You will only be participating in individual interviews. Each participant will be given a resource list with appropriate sources, that you can contact in the event that the interviews cause emotional discomfort and distress.

**Who will have access to your medical records?**

The interviewer will have access to your medical records in order to collect information about your stay in the ICU. This information will only be used for the study purposes only. All information collected from the file will remain anonymous and all identifying markers will be removed.

The information collected from the interviews will only be handled by the interviewer, interview observer and if needed a translator that would be present in the interview. All audio and written documents will be alphabetically coded to ensure strict confidentiality of all collected data. This data will then be analysed. All information that will be handled by other independent members will already have been alphabetically coded to protect your identity and ensure privacy.

All data, from both the interview process and the medical records, will be stored on a password-protected computer and at the end of the data analysis phase the audio-tapings of the interviews will be destroyed.

Any research publications related to this study will have no identifying information of any of the participants.

**What will happen in the unlikely event of some form injury occurring as a direct result of your taking part in this research study?**

It is unlikely that you will suffer injury by participating in the interviews, however should you feel the need to talk more about your experiences, you will be provided with a resource list with contact details of counselling options or professionals.
Will you be paid to take part in this study and are there any costs involved?

No, you will not be paid to encourage you to take part in the study. However should you take part in the study, you will be given a voucher in order to reimburse you for your time and inconvenience for the information checking session. In addition you will also be given a set amount for transport, for the second session only, as the first interview will not require any transport because it will take place while you are still in the hospital. There should be no further costs to you.

Is there any thing else that you should know or do?

You can contact me at 083 642 5235 or the Health Research Ethics Committee at 021-938 9207 if you have any concerns or complaints that have not been adequately addressed. You will receive a copy of this information and consent form for your own records.

Declaration by participant

By signing below, I .................. agree to take part in a research study entitled: “Perception of physiotherapy care in a Surgical ICU: the patients’ perspectives.”

I declare that:

- I have read or had read to me this information and consent form and it is written in a language with which I am fluent and comfortable.

- I have had a chance to ask questions and all my questions have been adequately answered.

- I understand that taking part in this study is voluntary and I have not been pressurised to take part.

- I may choose to leave the study at any time and will not be penalised or prejudiced in any way.
• I may be asked to leave the study before it has finished, if the study doctor or researcher feels it is in my best interests, or if I do not follow the study plan, as agreed to.

Signed at (place) ........................................... on (date) .......................... 2015.

....................................................................................................................

Signature of participant  Signature of witness

Declaration by investigator

I (name) .............................................................. declare that:

• I explained the information in this document to ............................................

• I encouraged him/her to ask questions and took adequate time to answer them.

• I am satisfied that he/she adequately understands all aspects of the research, as discussed above

• I did/did not use an interpreter. (If a interpreter is used then the interpreter must sign the declaration below.

Signed at (place) ........................................... on (date) .......................... 2015.

....................................................................................................................

Signature of investigator  Signature of witness

Declaration by interpreter

I (name) .............................................................. declare that:

•
• I assisted the investigator (name) ........................................ to explain the information in this document to (name of participant) ....................................................... using the language medium of Afrikaans/Xhosa.

• We encouraged him/her to ask questions and took adequate time to answer them.

• I conveyed a factually correct version of what was related to me.

• I am satisfied that the participant fully understands the content of this informed consent document and has had all his/her question satisfactorily answered.

Signed at (place) .......................................................... on (date) ........................................

.....................................................................................  ..........................................................

Signature of interpreter  Signature of witness
APPENDIX E: INTERVIEW DISCUSSION SCHEDULE

Purpose:

• The purpose of this interview is to find out how you as the patient found/perceived/experienced the physiotherapy care (including the respiratory, rehabilitation and mobilisation (moving/getting out of bed components)) while you were in the surgical ICU.
• It is to understand what it was like for you.
• I’m interested in knowing about your experience, and what your comments are.

Ethics:

• All the data is being recorded and will be used to collect the information from the session.
• You have the right to stop the interview at any time, leave the interview at any time and request the recording be deleted at anytime in the session.
• Data is ONLY being used for research
• Confidentiality will be kept (remove all identifying markers)

Please bear in mind these questions are with regards to the physiotherapy treatment you received while still in the ICU and not with regards to the physiotherapy received now in the wards.

Any questions or issues at this point?

1. Tell me about your experiences with the physiotherapy care while in the ICU?
   a. What did you understand about physiotherapy?
   b. What did you do in the physiotherapy sessions? Can you describe what happened in the physiotherapy sessions and what it felt like for you?
   c. Did you experience any challenges during the physiotherapy sessions?

2. Tangibilities:
   a. What did you think of the environment and equipment used during the physiotherapy care? (e.g. chairs etc.)
   b. What did you think of the appearances of the physiotherapists that treated you?
c. Did you know **who** the physiotherapists were? (Introduced themselves/ name badges etc.)

d. When did the physiotherapists in the ICU see you? (time) {Prompts: What time?, How long?}

3. **Assurances**

   a. What did you think of the **knowledge** the physiotherapists displayed?
   
   b. Did you understand what was **expected** of you in the physiotherapy sessions?
      
      i. Why do you say this?
   
   c. Were the physiotherapy sessions as you expected?
      
      i. If no, then how were they different?

4. **Empathy**

   a. How do **you feel** the physiotherapists treated you in the sessions? (physically, emotionally, mentally?)
   
   b. Can you describe **examples** from the physiotherapy sessions?

5. **Responsiveness**

   a. What did you think of the **communication** during the physiotherapy sessions?
   
   b. How were the decisions made, to decide what the next step/progression was in the sessions?
   
   c. Did you have any feelings with regards to the physiotherapy care? (fears/?worries?)

6. **Reliability**

   a. Did you feel safe during the physiotherapy sessions?
   
   b. Specifically with mobilisation?
   
   c. Did you **trust** the physiotherapists?
   
   d. If yes/No: Why do you say so?

7. What do you understand by the **word** satisfaction, and what does it mean to you?

8. **Would you say you were satisfied or dissatisfied with the physiotherapy treatment? (How would you describe the physiotherapy care in terms of satisfaction/dissatisfaction?)**

   a. Why do you say so?
   
   b. What made you feel like this? (Satisfaction)
c. What would have made this (satisfaction) better?

d. What would have made this (satisfaction) worse?

9. Is there anything you feel could have changed/ been improved on?
APPENDIX F: ADEQUACY SCORE (SQ5) (30,58,63)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Step</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Score</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1.</td>
<td>Open and close your eyes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.</td>
<td>Look at me</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.</td>
<td>Open your mouth and stick out your tongue</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.</td>
<td>Shake yes and no (nod your head)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.</td>
<td>I will count to 5, frown your eyebrows afterwards</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**TOTAL** /5

One mark will be given to each correct response /reaction.

**Interpretation:**

- **SQ5 = 0**  No cooperation
- **SQ5 = 0-5** Variable cooperation
- **SQ5 > 4**  Close-full cooperation
- **SQ5 = 5**  Full cooperation
APPENDIX G: ADEQUACY SCORE PILOT STUDY

A pilot study was conducted prior to the commencement of the primary study.

G.1 OBJECTIVES

- To determine if the Adequacy score (SQ5) for determining patient co-operation was appropriate for patient participation in the primary study
- To determine if the Adequacy score was able to identify patients who would not be able to participate in the interviews for the primary study

G.2 METHODS

G.2.1 Study setting

The pilot study was conducted at a tertiary institution of the Western Cape, South Africa.

G.2.2 Ethical considerations

Written and verbal consent was obtained from all participants. It was made clear to each participant that participation was requested for the pilot study only and not for the interviews of the primary study.

G.2.3 Sample

A convenience sample of five adult participants was used for the pilot study. All participants had been discharged from the surgical ICU within 3–5 days prior to participation in the pilot study. There was variety in the participants’ ICU length of stay (LOS), ICU admission diagnosis, gender and age. See Table G.1 for patient demographic characteristics.

Table G.1: Participant demographic profiles

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Participant</th>
<th>Age (years)</th>
<th>Gender</th>
<th>ICU LOS</th>
<th>ICU admission diagnosis</th>
<th>Language</th>
<th>Education</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>13 days</td>
<td>Traumatic (MVA)</td>
<td>English</td>
<td>Gr 12 (Matric)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>4 days</td>
<td>Traumatic (MVA)</td>
<td>Afrikaans</td>
<td>Gr 9 (Std 7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>12 days</td>
<td>Traumatic (MVA)</td>
<td>English/Xhosa</td>
<td>Gr 12 (Matric)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>3 days</td>
<td>Emergency (Abdominal surgery)</td>
<td>English/Afrikaans</td>
<td>Gr 10 (Std 8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>4 days</td>
<td>Elective (Abdominal surgery)</td>
<td>English/Xhosa</td>
<td>Gr 6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Length of stay (LOS); female (F); male (M); motor vehicle accident (MVA); Grade (Gr.); Standard (Std.)
G.2.4 Measurements

Procedure: The primary investigator (PI) identified the participants through convenient sampling. Prior to commencement of the study, the PI explained the pilot study and the consent form to each participant. Once consent was obtained, the SQ5 was administered. Thereafter, the patients’ Glasgow Coma Scale (GCS) scores were determined in addition to their orientation to time, person and place. All scores were documented.

G.3 DATA ANALYSIS

The SQ5 score was compared with the GCS level of each patient as well as the patient’s orientation to time, person and place. The SQ5 was deemed appropriate if the score correlated with those of the patient’s orientation to time, person and place as well as the GCS level.

G.4 RESULTS

On the day of the pilot study, eight patients had been discharged from the ICU to the wards within the requisite 3 to 5 days period for the pilot study. Three of the patients could not be included because they were: 1) discharged home; 2) partaking in another study; or 3) could not be located in the hospital. Thus, a total of five patients participated in the pilot study. The SQ5 scores and the results are tabulated in Table G.2.

All of the participants scored maximally on the GCS. Four participants demonstrated the maximum for the SQ5. These four participants were also orientated to time, person and place. Only one participant scored below maximum in the SQ5, with a 4/5 score that would indicate variable co-operation. This was also the only patient that was not orientated to time and was noted to have intermittent and confused speech during the explanation of the pilot study.

Table G.2: Pilot study results

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Participant</th>
<th>Adequacy score (5)</th>
<th>GCS (15)</th>
<th>Orientation</th>
<th>Comments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>5/5</td>
<td>15/15</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>5/5</td>
<td>15/15</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>4/5</td>
<td>15/15</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>Y</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>5/5</td>
<td>15/15</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5/5</td>
<td>15/15</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Glasgow Coma Scale (GCS); no (N); yes (Y)
G.5 CONCLUSION

Due to the results of this pilot study, the SQ5 and the other scores used, it was possible to discern patients that would or would not be able to participate in the primary study interviews. Thus, the SQ5 was appropriate to determine patients' co-operation and ability to participate in the primary study interviews.
INTRODUCTION: Patient satisfaction is fast becoming an essential concept for improving quality of care (1-5). Determining what elements are important to a patient when evaluating their health care is vital in assessing and improving quality of care (5). Patient satisfaction and positive experience with health services has been linked to increased compliance with treatment plans, better patient safety and improved clinical results (4). The World Health Organization (WHO) has recognised the impact of patient opinion, perception and satisfaction level, in order to meet all patients' necessary needs.

A scoping review was undertaken with the aim of determining patient's perceptions and satisfaction with critical care. The objectives of the scoping review were to describe the components of care, services and the geographical distribution of the literature, for patient perception and satisfaction with critical care.

METHODS: A total of eleven databases were electronically searched between 06/2015 - 08/2015 namely, MEDLINE, CINAHL, Science Direct, PubMed, Web of Science, Scopus, Google Scholar. No time period limitations were set for the databases during the searches. Search terms included: Physiotherapy or Physical therapy. Patient satisfaction. Perception or patient perception. Patient experience. Intensive care unit or ICU. Critical care. Hospitalised and/or inpatient. Hospitalised environments.

Data from 28 studies was included. The included studies were categorised and grouped as follows: (1) China (2) Australia (3) England (4) Norway (5) Switzerland (6) USA (7) Canada (8) Germany (9) Italy (10) Japan (11) Malaysia (12) Netherlands (13) Nigeria (14) New Zealand (15) Norway (16) Norway (17) South Africa (18) South Korea (19) Turkey (20) USA (21) UK (22) Brazil (23) France (24) Italy (25) USA (26) South Africa (27) South Korea (28) USA

DISCUSSION & CONCLUSION: The scoping review was able to identify a gap in the available literature for the areas regarding physiotherapy perceptions and satisfaction within the ICU, as well as the geographical distribution of published literature in the field. Only one article, namely, Stiller and Wiles (15) investigated patient satisfaction with regards to physiotherapy care in the ICU setting. Stiller and Wiles (15) were unable to identify any research focused in assessing patient satisfaction with physiotherapy care in the ICU setting. Stiller and Wiles (15) were unable to identify any research focused in assessing patient satisfaction with physiotherapy care in the ICU setting.

According to the World Bank Group (27), 61.1% of the 88 studies included in the review, were from developed countries, while 38.9% were completed in developing countries. As documented by several of the studies, the patient's perception and/or satisfaction with the care was influenced by gender, age, culture and language. This could lead care to assume that patients' perception and satisfaction with care would differ greatly depending on the country and population of patients.

Patients were previously not thought to be appropriately prepared, to judge the components of care and the quality thereof. However they are now more readily seen as crucial informants regarding quality aspects with care (7). This review noted the need for further research into patient perception and satisfaction with physiotherapy in the ICU, and more research in developing countries.
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APPENDIX I: SAJCC ABSTRACT (37)

Patient perceptions of ICU care: A Scoping review

M van Nes, F Karachi, S Hanekom*

*sdh@sun.ac.za

Physiotherapy Interdisciplinary Health Sciences, Stellenbosch University

Background. Physiotherapy practice in intensive care units (ICU) is changing. Early mobilisation
programmes are included and prioritised. Methods and measures to assess physiotherapy
effectiveness in the ICU have often been geared to physiological data. It is unclear whether
patients’ perspective and satisfaction with care in ICU have been investigated.

Method. A scoping review was undertaken with the aim of determining how patient perception and
satisfaction with critical care is measured. Seven databases were searched using the following
keywords in various combinations: physiotherapy or physical therapy, patient satisfaction,
perception or patient perception, patient experience, intensive care unit or ICU, critical care,
hospitalised adult population, hospital, measurements, measuring and outcome measure.

Results. 1 626 articles were independently screened by two reviewers at title, abstract and full text
level respectively. The final review included 26 articles. Only two of the studies were conducted in
Africa, compared with ten in Europe and six in Northern America, respectively. Nine of the included
articles investigated a particular service such as nursing care, emergency care and physiotherapy
with regards to patient perception and satisfaction. Only one article, published in 2008,
investigated patient perception and satisfaction in physiotherapy. Various outcome measures were
identified in this review that measure perception and/or satisfaction. However, there is currently no
validated and reliable instrument to assess patient satisfaction with care in the ICU.

Conclusion. A gap in the literature was identified for patient perceptions regarding physiotherapy
care in the ICU. The results will be used to inform the planning of a primary qualitative study.
Knowing and understanding the patients’ perception and satisfaction with care, ensures the
professional development in the critical care field, and improving the quality of care.
RESULTS-RELATED APPENDICES
# APPENDIX J: CODEBOOK

|--------------|----------------------|-------------------|---------------|-------------------|--------|
| 1 | Impact of mobilisation | Impact of mobilisation (A) | A + B | PT activities (A) | PT activities (A) | Activities completed during the physiotherapy sessions in addition to the adaptations made and the implications of mobilisation | DS23(p.2): "They make me blow that bottle so they say I must blow that bottle so...Ever since now they learn me how to blow that bottle now. I -. there's no pain anymore in my ribs."
GS7(p.12): "Hulle't geleer my dam om te hoes ook...- te hoes ook. Ja...Hoe om te hoes, dat al die slyme kan altyd...- die slyme. Kan uit kom." [Translated: They also taught me how to cough...to cough as well. Yes...How to cough that all the phlegm can always...-the phlegm. Can come out.]
MM5(p.5): "A water-bottle pipe. The uh...physio lady came on the following day...With the bottle. Then we done some few exercise, whereby I was sitting in the chair again... She will say to me I must breathe in...And then I must breathe...ah...uh...out. And then I must do one, three times. Then after that we will take the bottle, with the pipe, and then I must breathe in deep. And then after that, when I'm blow...when I'm breathing out, I must breathe on that bottle."
MJS20(p.8): Ja... Normally because my, my problem is about breathing. It's about breaths. And it's about standing. Because I injured my, my spinal cord. I injured my ribs...So... It feel the people tried to put my ribs back. By giving me the blowing, you know, one of these (indicates)...Ja, the PEEP bottle.
JR24(p.1-2): "Uh. Hulle het my nou net uit die bed uit gehelp...En my laat regop sit. Kussing agter my rug, so...stywe kussing... Arms opgelig. Diep asem gehaal...Bene beweeg... Voete... Dis dit." [Translated: Uh. They helped me out of the bed...And let me sit upright. Cushion behind my back, like...a stiff cushion...Lifted arms. Deep breathing...Moving legs...Feet...That's that.]
PB6(p.3): "They made in sit on chair for four bloody hours ... I, I dunno. I...can know that you allowed to sit in pain, 'cause I was in pain."
BA1(p.18): "I hate to sit in the chair...Because I was very tired and everything."
BA1(p. 13): "Sometimes I would refuse. They say why? I'm tired, I can't sit. Sometimes say I'm dizzy. I can't sit on the chair. They would say okay. It's fine. We'll put you two hours. And then we'll come back and then we'll put you back. And then I said thank you.

2 | What was done in physiotherapy | Physiotherapy activities (B) | 2+3=B |  |  |

3 | Adaption of task/ comfort |  |  |  |  |
Yes, they do understand. Saying, okay. Maybe, in the morning we will put you two or one hour. Then we'll come later…”

WM14(p.6): “Dit was ‘n ervaaring gewees, hoor?...Want jy’s nog pap van die, uh, die dinges hierdie van jou. Sit hulle jou nou in die stoel…En jy sit nou daar. En jy’s nog in so toestand dat jy nog nie lus het vir lees of tydskrifte of iets te kyk nie, jy weet? ... Of te luister nie. Dan sit jy maar daar en dood gaan.” [Translated: It was an experience, you know? ...Because you’re still weak from the, uh, the things of yours. They sit you in a chair … and you sit there now. And your still in a condition that you do not care to read magazines or to look, you know? … Or to listen. So you sit and die.]

WM14(p. 7): “Maar dit was ook lekker …Want, toe het ek gevoel ook dat hier begin dit. Die herstel …Ja, dit het my laat voel, jy weet, ek begin.” [Translated: It was also nice …Because, it was when I felt here it begins. The recovery …Yes, it made me feel, you know, I begin.]

KC18(p.9): “Was vir my amper so te sê, dis ‘n groot vreugde. Dis amper soos ‘n verligting gewees...As om te lé in die bed in. Daai oomblik wat ek in die stoel in kom, toe voel ek amper soos iemand wat nou…kan beweeg... Want ek sit regop. Verstaan jy? Dis is ‘n, dis meer verligting gewees om met die, as om net so te lé in die bed in.” [Translated: It was almost to say a big joy. It’s almost like a relief...compared to lying in the bed. It’s that moment that I came into the chair, that I felt I was almost like a person that now...could move...because I sat upright. Do you understand? It is a, it was more of a relief, than just lying in the bed.]

KT16(p.3): “[Sitting in the chair] you felt like you could see what they were talking about, you could finally do what they said you could do”

VNA11(p.17): “Ja. I was just glad to get out. [Laughs] Ja. I wanted to get away from all the tubes and [laughs] get up and go.”

SF3(pg10): “Little bit wobbly, yes…Head spinning... Uhm... I sta-still have...drugs in me that needs to come out. You understand? “SF3(pg6): “…they give you some kind of...uh...painkillers, morphine and all that stuff. Right? Now, this stuff, plays havoc with your mind.”
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>7</th>
<th>Patients expectations of physiotherapy</th>
<th>Expectations and understanding (D) 7+8+9+63+27= D</th>
<th>Expectations and understanding (B)</th>
<th>Expectations and understanding and expectations of physiotherapy in addition to the changes thereof.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>What patients understand/understanding PT</td>
<td>Patients understanding and expectations of physiotherapy</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

PB6(p.21): "No I was on quite a lot [of lines]...So couldn't actually move around really."
VNA11(p.3): "As soon as the tubes were off I was up."

MM5 (p.14): "The purpose of all of this [physio] is to get me out and back on my feet...so that I can be the same person that I was."
VNA11(p.9): "I thought they were just exercising your limbs. [Laughs] Now I understand it's not just your limbs. It's everything. Ja."

KC18(p.8): "En toe het ek darem, uh, verstaan, uh, verstaan waaroor dit eintlik rerig gaan. Dit gaan eintlik oor my longe wat miskien nou verswak, of so iets van die aard, want dit moet versterk word." [Translated: And then I actually, uh, understood, uh, understood what it actually was. It is actually about my lungs that were perhaps weakened, or something of that nature, because it needs to be strengthened.]
SF3(p.15): "And of course, once the op is finished...You need physiotherapy to be able to get the muscles going again...You see? It's got to be going. Otherwise... It's like a-a, a battery. Car battery. If it's flat or if it's down... You can't use it. You have to send it somewhere to be recharged. Am I right?...You can compare that with physiotherapy...Your body needs to go somewhere to be recharged."

BA1(p.6): "Noo. In ICU I was expecting to sleep-, lie on the bed, totally. So I can wakeup when I go. Not to...step out and sit on the chair. It was like, I was expecting to sleep...the whole day...So...I thought I would lie, all the day. So, when they, put me in the chair I thought that they were not doing their job because I feel sick. But they took me in the chair. How can a sick person can be able to sit? It was like that."
VWJ2(p.3): "Dit was taamlik oraait gewees omdat ek het mos baie fisioterapie van tevore... ook gedoen... Is nie dat dit 'n sneakse gevoel was, soos die heel eerste keer of so nie...die eerste keer se fisio, dit was vir my erg. Dit was...met die heel eerste operasie, sewe jaar terug. Nou nie 'n idee gehad van fisio of wat gaan gebeur. Dit was vir my nogal erg." [Translated: It was pretty alright because I
have done a lot of [physiotherapy] previously... It's not like it was a strange feeling like the first time... the first time of physio, that was bad for me. It was... with the very first operation, seven years ago. I hadn't an idea of physio or what would happen. It was quite bad for me."

KT16(p.12): "...once I understood what the physio is gonna do for me... it was just positive from there."

KC18 (p.22): "... ek sou sê weer, ja. Want soos sy, soos sy vir my verduidelik, wat is die next stap... Dan het ek sommer al myself in gedink dit is nou, dit gaan nou daaroor nou, ja. Verstaan nou? ...So ek het dit meer ver-verstaan, soos hoe sy vir my verduidelik het elke stappie vir stappie". [Translated: ... I would say again, yes. Because like she, like she explained to me, what the next step was... Then I just thought to myself this is now, its about this now, yes. Understand? .. So I understood it more, like how she explained each step for step to me.]

KT16(p.4): "I have a better understanding [of physiotherapy] because, okay, once they, uh, gave me physio, I noticed everybody else got-gets physio too."

KT16(p.21): "Number one, physio is for everybody. Every sick person. Especially like I said, I saw in ICU, we all had different injuries and they were catering to every person’s need... From-from being sick and laying in the bed. I know what it is now... When I treat somebody this time round, I think it-it will definitely impact on my work that I do."

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>9</th>
<th>New Understanding/ Changed</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>63</td>
<td>Recommendations of physiotherapy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27</td>
<td>Do patients understand what was expected them?</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>5</th>
<th>PT helping</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Assistance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>PT need</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>PT purpose</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26</td>
<td>Role of PT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>PT value</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PT helping (C) 5+6=C</th>
<th>C + E</th>
<th>PT value (C )</th>
<th>PT value (C )</th>
<th>The value of physiotherapy as described by the patients</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PT helping (C) 5+6=C</td>
<td>C + E</td>
<td>PT value (C )</td>
<td>PT value (C )</td>
<td>KT16(p.16): &quot;...it's always good to know, there-there's other people besides the doctors and the nurses that are caring for you. It's really good to know, okay, physio is here, 'cause the physio is here to see that you get home. Physio is here to see that you also get home. Just, just not the doctors, just not the nurses. There's somebody else that's actually also here, that's here to see you get home.&quot; MM5(p.9): &quot; If it wasn't for them... maybe I couldn't make it...&quot; DS23(p.7): &quot; I feel very good, because while they were, uh, helping me, they did, I-I did know how to walk now I can at least get out of bed do something’s I didn't know. Because if they didn't help me, they didn't do nothing in physio, I wouldn't even be here, maybe walk.&quot; MSJ20(p.14): &quot; So... I really need them. Through every day, it was a dream for me to see them.&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>Tangebilities</td>
<td>Tangebilities (F)</td>
<td>F + J +I</td>
<td>Tangebilities (D)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----</td>
<td>---------------</td>
<td>-------------------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>-------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>Area/environment</td>
<td>Area/environment</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>Equipment</td>
<td>Equipment</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>PT appearance</td>
<td>PT appearance (I)</td>
<td>17+18+19=I</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>Dress/uniform</td>
<td>Dress/uniform (I)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>Identification of PT</td>
<td>Identification of PT</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>PT timing</td>
<td>PT timing (J)</td>
<td>21=J</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

MM5(p.24): "They play a big role to each and everyone of those patients here".
WM14(p.23): "Weet jy, ek sal jou een ding sê, maar dis iets wat hulle nooit-ooit moet weg vat...uit die ICU uit nie...Want daai mense se werk is kosbaar...Want so het ek nou gevoel. Ek is deur dit." [Translated: You know, I'll tell you one thing, but its something they should never ever take away...from the ICU...Because those people's work is precious...because that's how I felt. I am through it.]

DS13(p.38): "I think they are not given enough time to do their side of the job...They got a sort of, they've got a sort of fit in...Like I was supposed to go on for a, go on Friday for a...a CT scan...today...Been laying here at the hospital for two and a half days waiting for the CT scan. And it never happened. And, uhm, so the physios, if, if they had to come fetch me for example, just assuming that when I come here at eight-ten o'clock or ten thirty in the morning...And suddenly there's the CT scan department decided they got a booking from me, that would have been, that's, that would have been the end of that session...That's up to me, that's a slight problem..."

MS21(p.15): "You can point them even, when there are lots of people from them, because they neat and then you can see...the way they were dressing they are, they were professionals."

KC18(p.13): "Soos ek sal sê is, die fisio was, was reg aangetrek. Soos-soos 'n persoon wat werk by 'n hospitaal of by 'n kliniek werk." [Translated: Like I'll say, the physio was, was dressed properly. Like-like a person that works at a hospital or clinic.]

JR24(p.11): "Netjies gelyk het, ja...Dis vir my belangrik....Jy moet netjies wees. Jy kan nou nie slordig, na iemand toe gaan om...ek
bedoel vat nou myself, ek kan nie nou na iemand to gaan as
ek...slordig lyk nie. Hoe gaan dit die eerste, uhm...First impression?
First impressions." [Translated: Looked neat, yes...That is important
for me...You must look neat. You can't go untidy to someone...I
mean take myself, I can't go to some one if I, look untidy. How will it
the first, uhm... First impression? First impressions.]
DS13(p.11):"And then I sat on the bed for a while. 'Cause there was
only one chair in the ward and [it] was being used by someone
else."

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>15</th>
<th>Characteristics of PT</th>
<th>PT characteristics and behaviour (H=) 20+15+16=H</th>
<th>H</th>
<th>Pt characteristics and behaviour (E)</th>
<th>The physiotherapist (E) (E+F)</th>
<th>PT-related categories (Behaviour, competence, characteristics ) as perceived by the patients</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

15 Characteristics of PT

20 PT behaviour

22 Competence

23 PT knowledge

22+23=K

15 Characteristics of PT

VWJ2(p.8): "Nee want, soos die eerste wat sy my gehelp het om die
bed regop te trek, so, kan jy gevoel het om...uhm...sy't nie gegaan
en die bed gevat en regop gepluk of 'whatever'... Sy't dit met gevoel
gedaan. So...jy kom gou agter waneer... Waneer iemand, amper sê
ek, lus het om jou seer te maak..." [Translated: No because, with the
first time she helped me to pull up the bed upright, so, you felt like ...
um ... she did not go and pull up the bed or whatever... She did it
with feeling. So ... You realise quickly when, when someone , I
almost said , wishes to hurt you...]

PA4(p.23): "And you can see one her face she gets upset...The way
she talks... Her voice kind of like going a bit up. She's raising her
voice...And stuff like that. Complaining to the doctor. And the doctor
would come and like forcing as well."
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>29</th>
<th>Communication (M)</th>
<th>Communication (G)</th>
<th>Communication (F)</th>
<th>The exchange of information through verbalisation and social contact</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>Explanations</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31</td>
<td>Demonstration</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32</td>
<td>Methods of</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>communication</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>33</td>
<td>Interactions</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>34</td>
<td>Miscommunication</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>36</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>37</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>38</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>39</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>41</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>42</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>43</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>44</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>46</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>47</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>48</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>49</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>51</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>52</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>53</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>54</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>55</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>56</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>57</td>
<td>Refusal of Rx</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

KT16(p.2): "...then they send somebody over and then she spoke to me, and she introduced herself. She said to me the importance of physio and I said, okay, no, Okay I will give it a try."

MSJ20(p.11): "In a way you're not sure of yourself...In a way you think that you won't make it...You know. They always try to put words on you. But you will lift your spirit up."

MSJ20(p.27): "So it was so painful...And I wanted to give up...I wanted to tell the doctor; No. If you cannot put me asleep and do me this, I won't do this anymore...But she keep on correcting me...Telling me that others have gone through this."

DS13(p.28): "Excellent. Nothing, no, no, uhm, no lack of communication or problem ... No lack of communication or poor communication between the physios and myself... Or the other lady opposite... The other patient."

MSJ20(p.28): "...We speak like friends...we communicate like friends...we take each other like friends. We take each other that, we can handle each other about this."

KT16(p.11): "Oh, it was difficult...and then after a while the pipes came out. And I could…speak to her, one on one...Look, there was no other way for me to communicate with her. And she knew that, therefore she knew, she brought the book and pen everyday so that I could in case, like, before we started she'd ask me if I would like to ask a couple of questions or if I wanna write something down, is there something that I wanna know about yesterday? So she would give me that opportunity... So before we started, for me to do it...Well, that was terrible. Communication for me was... I hated it. But at that point it was the best way of communication. But the thing is the message got across, the point got across, and I could understand whatever..."

WM14(p.18): "Baie keer het ek nie mooi verstaan nie, want dan, jy weet as jy kort-asm en jy...kry nie lekker asem nie, dan is dit asof ek haar bietjie mis.... En dan sê sy vir my, tannie, kom ons begin nou weer van voor af." [Translated: Many times I did not understand properly, because then, you know if your short of breath and you....can't get a good breath in, then it was as if I misunderstood her...And then she would say Auntie, come lets start from the beginning again.]"
KC18 (p.6): "...ek was nie eintlik bereid gewees daarvoor nie, maar soos die fisio my verduidelik het, wat is die, die rede hoekom en waarom sy dit doen. En toe het ek net my ligaam laat, laat saam-saaam met die, uh, fisio laat gaan."

KC18 (p.24): "Omdat sy in my, in my moederstaal gepraat het, het ek haar baie, baie goed verstaan."

PA4 (p.7): "Then...she came back, like the next day... wanted to do physio again. And I refuse. And the others coming, try. I refuse... I was so sick and tired."

PA4 (pg8-9): "The thing was because she left [me] unattended. This is the only thing. Not...because [I] standing because [I] was trying to stand but she left [me]...and [I] couldn't climb back in the bed...She did explain what she's gonna do. But she didn't ex...she didn't tell [me] that she's gonna leave. This is the only thing.

KT16 (p.16): "Just by the exercise and by speaking to me every day. Ya-you know, it's just that that reassurance, don't worry it's gonna be fine, we here to help you, we're here to ensure your safety, we're here to-, we not gonna do anything to harm you or anything like that, you know?"

ES8 (p.12): "want... hulle vir jou vashou... En nie laat val nie...Kan ek nogal sê ek het nie 'n vrees gehad nie... Was baie goed gewees...Baie veilig. Baie" [Translated: Because...they held you...and didn't let you fall...I can say, i didn't have any fears....was very good....very safe. Very]

WM14 (p.20-21): "Omdat ek vertroue in hulle gehad het...Het dit vir my gevoel, jy weet, ek sal deur die brug kom en dit het, dit is toe ook so... Kyk jou verhouding met hulle... Want ek het gevoel my verhouding met hulle is, is goed" [Translated: Because I had trust in them... It let me feel, you know, that I would get over the bridge, it was then like that... Look you're relationship with them...Because I felt my relationship with them is, is good.]

SF3 (p.13): "Now did you know, that, uh...if you look at...fear and pain. Fear one side, pain the other side... If you...have fear in your heart. It makes the pain worse. Am I right?"

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>34</th>
<th>Safety</th>
<th>Safety (N)</th>
<th>Safety (H)</th>
<th>Safety (G)</th>
<th>Aspects of protection and prevention of injury or damage as perceived by patients</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>35</td>
<td>Caution</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>36</td>
<td>Assistance/physical assistance</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>37</td>
<td>Trust</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>38</td>
<td>Reassurance</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>59</td>
<td>Broken trust/lost hope</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>39</td>
<td>Satisfaction</td>
<td>Satisfaction (O)</td>
<td>O</td>
<td>Satisfaction (I)</td>
<td>Satisfaction (H)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----</td>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>-----------------</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>-----------------</td>
<td>-----------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40</td>
<td>Reasons for satisfaction</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>41</td>
<td>Decreased satisfaction</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>42</td>
<td>Increased satisfaction</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>61</td>
<td>Proposed changes</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**KT16(p.18):** "...somebody provides a service to you, are you generally happy with the service that you were given? So you either satisfied or you're unsatisfied. One of the two. Can't be in-between really. So. If you asking me, was the service satisfactory, I would say it was more than satisfactory..."

**KC18(p.28):** "Ek was baie tevrede gewees met hulle samewerking, en wat hulle ge-, wat hulle nou eintlik doen. Uh. Want ek dink daar's 'n doel vir alles...Daar's werk vir alles. En dit wat hulle vir my gedoen het, ek voel honderd persent tevrede met alles wat hulle vir my gedoen het. Ja." [Translated: I was very satisfied with their cooperation, and what they, what they actually did. Uh. Because I think there is a reason for everything...There is work for everything. And what they did, I feel one hundred percent satisfied with everything they did for me.]

**KT16(p.19):** "Because I learned a lot and-and-and and the bottom-line is, the goal was reached, the service that I got was excellent. But more-most importantly the goal was reached. The goal was for me to get, was to be mobilised from that bed to the chair."  

**VNA11(p.22):** "Just her attitude, you know? Ja, her attitude towards me, to-towards the patients. The way she handled...me. You know? ...Physically. Uhm. Talking to me, all of that."  

**VNA11(p.23):** "Attitude determines your altitude...How far, how you get things done...Depends on yourself."  

**DS23(p.18):** "The way they were holding [me], communicate with [me], make [me] do exercise. That's why [I've said I am] satisfied with them."  

**MS21(p.22):** "They were working like everyone else. Like, uh, the understanding, the communication the handling of, uh, physio, like exercise. I will say that [I] was satisfied, yes, with them."  

**KT16(p.20):** "So if you look untidy and you don't look the part or your hair's untidy, or you swearing what, it's not gonna work by me. You work, you -you working in a hospital environment, the sisters are neatly dressed, the doctors are neatly dressed, I expect the same from the physio."  

**VNA11(p.23):** "I think if, if, uh, if her attitude was, uh, not so...you know, cheerful or, uhm, nice... If she was a bit, maybe had a bad day or something then that wouldn't have made it so great."  

**SF3(p.6):** "...that is also something that I would suggest, you know? Give the patient time to come by their fullest, full senses. It's not use giving them physiotherapy and the poor guy is zonked out [on] pain..."
<p>| | | | | | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>43</td>
<td>Pt empowerment</td>
<td>Pt empowerment</td>
<td>P + Q</td>
<td>PT benefits/progression (J)</td>
<td>Aspects promoting independence and evidence of benefits or progress due to physiotherapy as perceived by patients</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| 44 | Pt independence | 43+44+45+46=P |   |   | KT16(p.3): "...When [I] was physically upright, sitting in the chair for the first time. That made a huge difference. [It] takes you from the bed, everything you've learned mentally, and bring it now into the chair...[It's] a different mindset. [It's] a different scenario in terms of how you have pictured it. Because, you can actually do the things now, and you understand more, as to what they were talking about. Once you sitting in there and you can physically do the things that you can do."
| 45 | Sharing knowledge |   |   |   | KT16(p.18): "There's nothing that I can say that I've learned that, that is useless. Right now I'm still doing this stuff that she told me to do in the, in the ICU, I still get to do it in the bed. And so I feel I am a bit more ahead than the rest of the people because they lay everyday- I don't lay in the bed anymore, I sit up. I do my own thing."
| 46 | Teaching |   |   |   | VNA11(p.16): "...she's doing something to help me, you know? She's... gonna help me, help myself, you know? And so. [She] showed me how to do things a diff-, a little bit different, make it a bit easier."
| 47 | Progression | Progression (Q) | 47+48=Q |   | MM5(p.16): "So it helped me a lot as a person as well, not just to rely on the physio. To try do the exercises on my own..."
| 48 | Improvement in abilities/condition |   |   |   |   |
| 49 | Barriers | Barriers (R) | 49=R | PT barriers & facilitators (K) | Aspects that affect the feasibility of physiotherapy in the ICU |
| 50 | Facilitators | Facilitators (S) | 50+51=S | PT barriers & facilitators (J) | SF3(p.10): "Little bit wobbly, yes...Head spinning... Uhm... I sta-still have...drugs in me that needs to come out. You understand? " |
| 51 | Mind shifts |   |   |   | PB6(p.21):"No I was on quite a lot [of lines]...So couldn't actually move around really." |
| 14 | Challenges with PT | Challenges (G) | 14+62=G |   | VNA11(p.3):"As soon as the tubes were off I was up."
| 62 | Difficulty/Issue s with PT/Rx |   |   |   | SF3(p.6):"they give you some kind of...uh...painkillers, morphine and all that stuff. Right? Now, this stuff, plays havoc with your mind." |
|   |   |   |   |   | DS13(p.38):"I think they are not given enough time to do their side of the job...They got a sort of, they've got a sort of fit in...Like I was supposed to go on for a, go on Friday for a, an ECG. A ECG...a CT scan today...Been laying here at the hospital for two and a half days waiting for the CT scan. And it never happened. And, uhm, so the physios, if, if they had to come fetch me for example, just assuming that when I come here at eight-ten o'clock or ten thirty in the morning... And suddenly there's the CT scan department decided they got a booking from me, that would have been, that's, that would have been the end of that session...That's up to me, that's a slight
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>PT experience</th>
<th>Sense of worth</th>
<th>How felt in PT</th>
<th>Change</th>
<th>Continuity of care</th>
<th>Interdisciplinary team</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>52</td>
<td>PT experience (T)</td>
<td>Sense of worth (W)</td>
<td>How patients felt (L)</td>
<td>Change</td>
<td>Continuity of care</td>
<td>Interdisciplinary team</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>PT experience (L) (= Pt perception of PT)</td>
<td>Sense of worth (W) + L</td>
<td>How patients felt (L) 28 + W + 60 + 55 + 58 = L</td>
<td>Continuity of care (U) 53 + 54 = U</td>
<td>Continuity of care (M)</td>
<td>Continuity of care (L)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>65</td>
<td>How patients perceive and experience physiotherapy in the ICU</td>
<td>How patients perceive and experience physiotherapy in the ICU</td>
<td>How patients perceive and experience physiotherapy in the ICU</td>
<td>How patients perceive and experience physiotherapy in the ICU</td>
<td>How patients perceive and experience physiotherapy in the ICU</td>
<td>How patients perceive and experience physiotherapy in the ICU</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>52=T</td>
<td>65=W</td>
<td>28 + W + 60 + 55 + 58 = L</td>
<td>Continuity of care (M)</td>
<td>Continuity of care (L)</td>
<td>Continuation of care received</td>
<td>Team involvement in the physiotherapy care received as perceived by patients</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*intensive care unit (ICU); physiotherapy (PT); patient (pt)*
APPENDIX K: REFLECTION ON THE QUALITATIVE PROCESS

Qualitative methods are more frequently used in the assessment and research of healthcare services. (65) These methods enabled the PI to identify bias and to reflect continuously on the study process because of the subjective nature of the investigator as the instrument of measure. The use of the field journal facilitated the identification of potential bias, allowed for consideration and documentation of the study processes and provided another data point for triangulation.

Through the process of developing the discussion schedule, conducting the interviews personally, clearing and checking the transcriptions, analysing and coding the data and performing the member-checking sessions, the PI completely immersed herself in the data. This allowed for a continuous reflective and iterative process. Therefore, the data was considered at multiple points in the study process to identify gaps, to plan further and to collect data until saturation was reached.

Multiple steps were employed to ensure credibility of the data collected and the study process. In the first week of interviews, an observer was present in addition to the tape recorder. This facilitated feedback from the observer regarding the interview technique and quality, allowing for further reflection and development for the interviews that followed, as well as growing confidence in the quality of the data collected. Interviews were conducted in English or Afrikaans, and a Xhosa translator was also employed in the Xhosa interviews to ensure that the participants could express themselves completely in their home language. The study process was available for auditing by supervisors at all points. In addition, peer reviewing and member checking with 78% of participants provided the PI with a deeper confidence in the data and results obtained.

As a physiotherapist, the PI found solace in participating in all phases of study personally. It was a privilege to listen to the experiences of the participants and to use these experiences to facilitate knowledge in the hope of improving the quality of care regarding physiotherapy in the ICU. In the same way that certain participants felt empowered through the knowledge that was imparted to them during their physiotherapy sessions, the PI was also empowered to improve care quality through the knowledge received from the patients. The scoping review (Chapter 2) provided the PI
with an understanding of the literature available concerning patient perception and satisfaction in critical care. Confidence in the results of the primary study was further instilled since certain results were consistent with the published literature identified in Chapter 2. This further emphasised the significance of the conclusions made in Chapter 3.
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Smaller tables considered to be integral to the manuscript can be pasted into the end of the document text file, in A4 portrait or landscape format. These will be typeset and displayed in the final published form of the article. Such tables should be formatted using the 'Table object' in a word processing program to ensure that columns of data are kept aligned when the file is sent electronically for review; this will not always be the case if columns are generated by simply using tabs to separate text. Columns and rows of data should be made visibly distinct by ensuring that the borders of each cell display as black lines. Commas should not be used to indicate numerical values. Color and shading may not be used; parts of the table can be highlighted using symbols or bold text, the meaning of which should be explained in a table legend. Tables should not be embedded as figures or spreadsheet files.

Larger datasets or tables too wide for a landscape page can be uploaded separately as additional files. Additional files will not be displayed in the final, laid-out PDF of the article, but a link will be provided to the files as supplied by the author.

Tabular data provided as additional files can be uploaded as an Excel spreadsheet (.xls) or comma separated values (.csv). As with all files, please use the standard file extensions.

**Preparing additional files**

Although *Critical Care* does not restrict the length and quantity of data included in an article, we encourage authors to provide datasets, tables, movies, or other information as additional files.

Please note: All Additional files will be published along with the article. Do not include files such as patient consent forms, certificates of language editing, or revised versions of the main manuscript document with tracked changes. Such files should be sent by email to editorial@ccforum.com, quoting the Manuscript ID number.

Results that would otherwise be indicated as "data not shown" can and should be
included as additional files. Since many weblinks and URLs rapidly become broken, *Critical Care* requires that supporting data are included as additional files, or deposited in a recognized repository. Please do not link to data on a personal/departmental website. The maximum file size for additional files is 20 MB each, and files will be virus-scanned on submission.

Additional files can be in any format, and will be downloadable from the final published article as supplied by the author.

Certain supported files formats are recognized and can be displayed to the user in the browser. These include most movie formats (for users with the Quicktime plugin), mini-websites prepared according to our guidelines, chemical structure files (MOL, PDB), geographic data files (KML).

If additional material is provided, please list the following information in a separate section of the manuscript text:

- File name (e.g. Additional file 1)
- File format including the correct file extension for example .pdf, .xls, .txt, .pptx (including name and a URL of an appropriate viewer if format is unusual)
- Title of data
- Description of data

Additional files should be named "Additional file 1" and so on and should be referenced explicitly by file name within the body of the article, e.g. 'An additional movie file shows this in more detail [see Additional file 1].'

**Additional file formats**

Ideally, file formats for additional files should not be platform-specific, and should be viewable using free or widely available tools. The following are examples of suitable formats.

- Additional documentation
- PDF (Adobe Acrobat)
- Animations
- SWF (Shockwave Flash)
- Movies
- MP4 (MPEG 4)
- MOV (Quicktime)
Tabular data
- XLS, XLSX (Excel Spreadsheet)
- CSV (Comma separated values)

As with figure files, files should be given the standard file extensions.

Mini-websites

Small self-contained websites can be submitted as additional files, in such a way that they will be browsable from within the full text HTML version of the article. In order to do this, please follow these instructions:

1. Create a folder containing a starting file called index.html (or index.htm) in the root.
2. Put all files necessary for viewing the mini-website within the folder, or sub-folders.
3. Ensure that all links are relative (ie "images/picture.jpg" rather than "/images/picture.jpg" or "http://yourdomain.net/images/picture.jpg" or "C:\Documents and Settings\username\My Documents\mini-website\images\picture.jpg") and no link is longer than 255 characters.
4. Access the index.html file and browse around the mini-website, to ensure that the most commonly used browsers (Internet Explorer and Firefox) are able to view all parts of the mini-website without problems, it is ideal to check this on a different machine.
5. Compress the folder into a ZIP, check the file size is under 20 MB, ensure that index.html is in the root of the ZIP, and that the file has .zip extension, then submit as an additional file with your article.

Style and language

General

Currently, Critical Care can only accept manuscripts written in English. Spelling should be US English or British English, but not a mixture.

There is no explicit limit on the length of articles submitted, but authors are encouraged to be concise.

Language editing

For authors who wish to have the language in their manuscript edited by a native-English speaker with scientific expertise, BioMed Central recommends Edanz. BioMed Central has arranged a 10% discount to the fee charged to BioMed Central authors by Edanz. Use of an editing service is neither a requirement nor a guarantee of acceptance for publication. Please contact Edanz directly to make arrangements for editing, and for pricing and payment details.
Help and advice on scientific writing

The abstract is one of the most important parts of a manuscript. For guidance, please visit our page on Writing titles and abstracts for scientific articles.

Tim Albert has produced for BioMed Central a list of tips for writing a scientific manuscript. American Scientist also provides a list of resources for science writing. For more detailed guidance on preparing a manuscript and writing in English, please visit the BioMed Central author academy.

Abbreviations

Abbreviations should be used as sparingly as possible. They should be defined when first used and a list of abbreviations can be provided following the main manuscript text.

Typography

Please use double line spacing.
Type the text unjustified, without hyphenating words at line breaks.
Use hard returns only to end headings and paragraphs, not to rearrange lines.
Capitalize only the first word, and proper nouns, in the title.
All pages should be numbered.
Use the Critical Care reference format.
Footnotes are not allowed, but endnotes are permitted.
Please do not format the text in multiple columns.
Greek and other special characters may be included. If you are unable to reproduce a particular special character, please type out the name of the symbol in full. Please ensure that all special characters used are embedded in the text, otherwise they will be lost during conversion to PDF.

Units

SI units should be used throughout (liter and molar are permitted, however).