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SUMMARY

Very little is known about the diversity of fungi associated with Afromontane forests of the Cape Floristic Region (CFR) of South Africa. The ophiostomatoid fungi include many species, some known as pathogens in the CFR, while others are well-known saprophytes important in wood degradation. This study focused on the biodiversity and ecology of tree-associated ophiostomatoid fungi (Ophiostomatales) in the CFR. In addition to this, mites and subcortical beetles associated with the CFR trees were collected, regardless of whether they were associated with ophiostomatoid fungi or not. A relatively high diversity of ophiostomatoid fungi were collected from native trees, ten of which were newly described here. Three further fungal species, two of which are probably new to science, were also collected from exotic Pinus species growing in these forests. Four Ophiostomatales species (including three newly described species) were associated with subcortical beetles on Rapanea melanophloeos and Olea capensis ssp. macrocarpa. These were Sporothrix pallida, Sporothrix aemulophilus, Raffaelea scabbariae and Raffaelea rapaneae, associated with the beetles Lanurgus sp. 1, Ctonoxylon sp. 1, Xyleborinus aemulophilus and a Platypodinae species. This represents a first study to explore the associations between subcortical beetles and ophiostomatoid fungi on native trees in the CFR. In addition to fungi associated with subcortical beetles, several members of the Ophiostomatales associated with wounds on Rapanea melanophloeos trees were also collected. These included Ophiostoma stenoceras, Sporothrix reniformis, S. rapaneae, S. lunateae and S. noisomeae. All but O. stenoceras were new to science, and were formally described here. All of these wound-associated species from R. melanophloeos belong to the Sporothrix schenckii – O. stenoceras complex, except for S. noisomeae that was provisionally placed in the S. lignivora complex. Besides fungal taxa collected from wounds on Rapanea melanophloeos, other fungi were also collected from wounds on other host trees species. Three more previously undescribed ophiostomatoid fungal species were collected from this niche. They included Sporothrix capensis collected from O. capensis ssp. macrocarpa, Graphilbum roseus collected from many different, unrelated host trees and Graphium ilexiense (Microascales), isolated from wounds on Ilex mitis. The latter represented the first isolation of an ophiostomatoid fungus from this host tree species. Two possibly new fungal species (Sporothrix sp. 1, Ceratocystiopsis sp. 1) and Ophiostoma ips, associated with three bark beetles (Orthotomicus erosus, Hylurgus ligniperda and Hylastes angustatus), were collected from Pinus. Several fungal species were collected from both native trees and non-native trees. These included Sporothrix fusiforme from Brabejum stellatifolium and Acacia mearnsii, O. quercus and O. pluriannulatum-like fungus from several native trees and from A. mearnsii. This suggests a possibility for host
shifting of some of these fungi between native and non-native hosts or even between different native hosts. Eight non-ophiostomatoid fungi associated subcortical beetles taxa were found also to infest native trees in the Afromontane forests and in total more than 4500 beetle individuals were collected. Some species of ophiostomatoid fungi collected in this study were found to be associated with other arthropods such as mites. Four phoretic mites species associated with ophiostomatoid fungi (Dendrolaelaps quadrisetus, Histiogaster sp. 3, Elattoma sp. 1 & 2) were collected. In addition, sixteen species of tree wound-associated mites were collected from 12 native trees. Of these, nine were associated with several ophiostomatoid fungi (Graphilbum roseus, O. pluriannulatum-like, O. quercus) that were isolated from several different host trees. This suggests that they may aid in the transport of these fungi from one host species to another.

The possible consequences of transfers of Ophiostomatales species between hosts were tested using pathogenicity tests, which highlighted that some fungi are pathogenic on several different trees. Transfers seemed most likely in fungal species isolated from wounds, especially those associated with mites, because the mites may aid in the vectoring of these. When phoretic mites were tested for their specificity to their vector beetles, they proved to be highly specific. Although some of the fungi associated with these mites and their sub-cortical beetles were also pathogenic, it is less likely for these fungi to be transferred to other host tree species due to the high specificity of their arthropod associates.

This study represents one of a few studies that focused on ophiostomatoid fungi, subcortical beetles and mites associated with trees in the Afromontane forests of South Africa. Although we collected a high diversity of Ophiostomatales members, many more still await discovery. It is recommended that future studies focus on the complex inter-organismal interactions in many of the systems uncovered in this study.
OPSOMMING

Baie min is bekend oor die diversiteit van fungi wat met die Afromontane woude van die Kaapse Floristiese Streek (KFS) van Suid Afrika geassosieer is. Die ophiostomatoïde fungi sluit baie spesies in, sommiges bekend as patogene in die KFS, terwyl ander bekende en belangrike saprofiete in houtdegradasie is. Hierdie studie het op die biodiversiteit en ekologie van die boom-geassosieerde ophiostomatoïde fungi (Ophiostomatales) in die KFS gefokus.

Daarbenewens is myte en subkortikale kewers wat met die KFS bome geassosieer word ook versamel, ongeag of hulle geassosieerd was met ophiostomatoïde fungi of nie. ‘n Relatief hoë diversiteit van ophiostomatoïde fungi is van inheemse bome versamel, tien waarvan hier nuut beskryf is. Drie verdere fungi spesies, twee waarvan ook waarskynlik nuut is tot die wetenskap, is ook vanaf Pinus spesies versamel wat in hierdie woude gegroei het. Vier Ophiostomatales spesies (insluitend drie nuut beskryfde spesies) wat met subkortikale kewers op Rapanea melanophloeos en Olea capensis L. ssp. macrocarpa geassosieer is, is ook versamel. Hulle was Sporothrix pallida, Sporothrix aemuluphilus, Raffaelea scabbardiae en Raffaelea rapaneae, geassosieer met die kewers Lanurgus sp. 1, Ctonoxylon sp. 1, Xyleborinus aemuluphilus en ‘n Platypodinae spesie. Hierdie verteenwoordig die eerste studie wat die assosiasies tussen subkortikale kewers en ophiostomatoïde fungi op inheemse bome in die KFS ondersoek. Addisioneel tot fungi geassosieer met die subkortikale kewers, is verskeie lede van die Ophiostomatales vanaf wonde op Rapanea melanophloeos bome versamel. Hulle sluit in Ophiostoma stenoceras, Sporothrix reniformis, S. rapaneae, S. lunateae en S. noisomeae. Almal behalwe O. stenoceras was nuut tot die wetenskap, en is hier formeel beskryf. Al hierdie wond-geassosieerde spesies vanaf R. melanophloeos behoort aan die Sporothrix schenkii – O. stenoceras kompleks, behalwe vir S. noisomeae wat voorlopig in die S. lignivora kompleks geplaas is. Benewens fungi taxa wat van die wonde op Rapanea melanophloeos versamel is, is ander fungi ook vanaf die wonde op ander gasheer boom spesies versamel. Drie verdere ophiostomatoïde fungus spesies is in hierdie nis versamel. Hulle sluit in Sporothix capensis wat vanaf O. capensis ssp. macrocarpa versamel is, Graphilbum roseus wat vanaf baie verskillende, onverwante gasheer bome versamel is en Graphium ilexiense (Microascales), wat vanaf wonde op Ilex mitis versamel is. Laasgenoemde verteenwoordig die eerste isolasie van ‘n ophiostomatoïde fungus vanaf hierdie gasheer boom spesie.

Twee moontlik nuwe fungus spesies (Sporothrix sp. 1, Ceratocystiopsis sp. 1) en Ophiostoma ips, geassosieer met drie baskewers (Orthotomicus erosus, Hylurgus ligniperda en Hylastes angustatus) is vanaf Pinus versamel. Verskeie fungi spesies is van beide inheemse en nie-inheemse bome versamel. Hulle het Sporothrix fusiforme vanaf Brabejum stellatifolium en Acacia mearnsii, O. quercus en O. pluriannulatum-like fungus vanaf verskeie inheemse bome.
en vanaf A. mearnsiiingesluit. Dit suggereer die moontlikheid van gasheer-skuiwing van sommige van hierdie fungi tussen inheemse en uitheemse gasheere of selfs tussen verskillende inheemse gasheere. Agt nie- ophiostomatoïde geassosieerde subkortikale kewers was ook versamel en in totaal is meer as 4500 kewer indiwidue versamel. Sommige ophiostomatoïde fungus spesies wat in hierdie studie versamel is, was met ander geleedpotiges soos myte geassosieer. Vier foretiese myt spesies wat met ophiostomatoïde fungi geassosieer is (Dendrolaelaps quadrisetus, Histiogaster sp. 3, Elattoma sp. 1 & 2), is versamel. Nege addisioneële myt spesies was met verskeie ophiostomatoïde spesies vanaf verskeie boomspesies geassosieer (Graphilbum roseus, O. pluriannulatum-like, O. quercus). Dit suggereer dat myte die vervoer van hierdie fungi van een gasheer spesie na die ander mag bewerkstellig.

Die moontlike gevolge van die oordrag van Ophiostomatales spesies tussen gasheere is getoets deur patogeniteitstoetse. Dit het beklemtoon dat sommige fungi patogenies is op verskeie onverwante boomspesies. Oordraag van spesies is mees waarskynlik in fungi spesies wat vanaf wonde geisoleer is, veral die wat met myte geassosieer is, want die myte mag hierdie fungi help vervoer. Toe foretiese myte getoets is vir hulle spesifsiteit tot hulle vektore, is hulle hoogs spesifiek bevind. Alhoewel sommige fungi wat met hierdie myte en hulle geassosieerde kewers geassosieer word wel patogenies is, is dit minder waarskynlik dat hulle na ander gasheer bome sal verskuif as gevolg van die hoë spesifsiteit van hulle geleedpotige assosiate.

Hierdie studie verteenwoordig een van net enkele studies gefokus op ophiostomatoïde fungi, subkortikale kewers en myte wat met bome van die Afromontane woude van Suid-Afrika geassosieer is. Alhoewel ons ‘n hoë diversiteit van Ophiostomatale lede versamel het, wag baie meer fungi spesies waarskynlik nog op ontdekking. Daar word voorgestel dat toekomstige studies fokus op die komplekse inter-organismiese interaksies in baie van die sisteme wat in hierdie studie blootgelê is.
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Chapter 1: Introduction

1.1. Fungal diversity

There is a conservative estimate of about 1.5 million fungal species worldwide, but less than 10% of species are known (Hawksworth 1991). Other estimates range from 0.5-9.9 million fungal species, but the 1.5 million estimate has been widely cited and accepted as a working hypothesis (Hawksworth 2004; 2001). The number of fungal species that have been discovered so far is thought to be a small proportion of the number that actually exists due to little or no research done in most habitats (Guarro et al. 1999). The kingdom Fungi includes smuts, rust, mushrooms, mildews, yeasts, molds and toadstools and is divided into the phyla Chytridiomycota, Zygomycota, Ascomycota and Basidiomycota (Guarro et al. 1999). The phylum Ascomycota is the largest in the kingdom, including about half of all the known fungal species and approximately 80% of all known pathogenic and opportunistic fungi (Guarro et al. 1999). Basic morphological features shared by all ascomycetes are the presence of asci inside the ascomata and the presence of bilayered hyphal walls with a thin electron-dense outer layer and a relatively electron-transparent inner layer (Hawksworth et al. 1995). Traditionally ascomycetes have been grouped into six classes, namely Hemiascomycetes, Plectomycetes, Pyrenomycetes, Discomycetes, Laboulbeniomycetes and Loculoascomycetes (Müller & von Arx 1973). Over the years other taxonomic schemes have been established for ascomycetes, which were accepted or rejected in varying degrees (Guarro et al. 1999; Hawksworth et al. 1995). Molecular systematics, however, brought an improved understanding of the systematic affinities of different ascomycetes groups. For example one of the first ascomycete phylogenetic trees recognised three main groups, which are the basal ascomycetes, the true yeasts and the filamentous ascomycetes with fruiting bodies (Berbee & Taylor 1992). Today this classification is widely accepted.

In southern Africa, mycology was formally initiated in 1905 by Pole Evans who established a national collection of fungi in Pretoria (Crous et al. 2006). Initially emphasis was placed on the collection of fungal specimens, taxonomic work and the description of new species (Doidge 1950). Over time, the focus shifted to the study of important fungi that proved pathogenic to plants (Baxter 1994). This led to the study of various fungi that cause diseases on crop plants such as Botryosphaeria Ces. & De Not. (Denman et al. 2003; 2000), Xylariaceae and Valsaceae (Adams et al. 2005). Many new pathogenic species were also described during these studies. The ophiostomatoid fungi represent the best-studied
ascomycetous fungal group in South Africa. This group started to receive attention from plant and forest pathologists in this region in the 1990s (Agricultural News 1990), with a main focus on the genera *Ophiostoma* Syd. and *Ceratocystis* Ellis & Halst. (Marais & Wingfield 2001; Roux *et al.* 2001a). Considerable attention was also given to the ascomycetous genus *Mycosphaerella* Johanson (Crous & Braun 2003; Crous 1998), which has been studied more than most other ascomycetes genera (Crous *et al.* 2006) and the pathogenic ascomycetes on Proteaceae and Restionaceae. This culminated in the discovery and description of many new species and genera (Lee *et al.* 2003; Taylor & Crous 2000).

Although the biodiversity of South African plants (Cowling & Hilton-Taylor 1994; Davis *et al.* 1994), birds (Stattersfield *et al.* 1998) and mammals (Kerley *et al.* 2003; Brooks *et al.* 2001) have been well-studied, almost nothing is known about the microorganisms associated with native plants in the country. In fact, fungal diversity has been under-studied worldwide compared to plants (Hawksworth 2004). More than 200 000 fungal species are thought to be associated with South African plants, but of these only approximately 800 endemic fungal species have been described (Crous *et al.* 2006).

The southern tip of the African continent is floristically exceptionally rich and has been described as one of 6 global Floral Kingdoms (Takhtajan 1986). The Cape Floral Kingdom represents the only floral kingdom confined to a single country and occupies an area of only approximately 90 000 km² (Cowling & Heijnis 2001). It was redefined as Cape Floristic Region (CFR) by Goldblatt & Manning (2002). They reported very high floral diversity within the CFR, including about 9000 vascular plant species, 70% of which are endemic to the region (Goldblatt & Manning 2002). The Proteaceae and Restionaceae are the defining plant families in the CFR, with 96% of Proteaceae species and 94% of Restionaceae species endemic to the region (Goldblatt & Manning 2002). Some of the plant species within the CFR (e.g. the genus *Protea*) are iconic, with substantial value linked to biodiversity, agriculture and ecotourism.

The emphasis of research on CFR fungi has been on surveying plant pathogenic and saprobic species (Lee *et al.* 2004; Crous *et al.* 2004; Swart 1999; Knox-Davies *et al.* 1986). With the possible exception of mycorrhizae, virtually no studies on CFR fungi have evaluated symbiotic interactions between fungi and other organisms. The deficiency in knowledge of CFR fungal biodiversity has resulted in an overwhelming lack of research on the ecology of CFR fungi. This is surprising, as symbioses are extremely important in shaping biological systems at various trophic levels and includes, amongst others, the role of mutualists and
pathogens in regulating plant diversity and abundance (Boucher et al. 1982). The role of mutualisms is of particular interest, as these often allow organisms to take advantage of new, otherwise unsuitable, niches (Boucher et al. 1982). Therefore there is clearly an urgent need to document fungal diversity within the CFR and to assess the ecological function of both native and exotic species.

1.2. Ecological importance of fungi

Fungi play a key role in ecosystem functioning. Their presence in the ecosystem can be beneficial, but may also lead to devastating consequences to other organisms and the environment. Some of the important ecological roles include being pathogens and/or mutualists of plants and animals, decomposers of organic matter, animal food and biocontrol agents for some pests (Sace 2010).

1.2.1. Importance of fungi as Mycorrhizae

Fungi may influence plant health positively or negatively. Mychorrhizal fungi form mutualistic relationships with about 75-80% of vascular plants (Smith & Read 1997; Hawksworth 1991), which involve associations between fungal hyphae and plant roots. There are different types of mychorrhizal fungi, including endomycorrhizae and ectomycorrhizae. The fungal hyphae of endomycorrhizae enter plant root cells, while ectomycorrhizal fungi associate with plant roots externally (Cairney 2000). Arbuscular mycorrhiza is the best-known type of endomycorrhizal fungi and forms associations with about 80% of the world’s plant species. Ectomycorrhiza are common in woodlands and mostly form associations with trees such as oaks, spruces, firs and pines (Cairney 2000). In some cases they form rhizomorphs, which are thick hyphal strands that conduct water and nutrients over long distances. Fungi benefit from the mutualistic relationship by obtaining sugars as food source and in turn the fungi supply nutrients, mostly phosphorus and water, to their associated plant’s roots through their network of hyphae in the soil. Mychorrhizae also produce a protein that helps to glue small soil particles together, resulting in good soil structure that provides more air spaces for soil organisms and plant roots, as well as allowing easy movement of water (Smith & Read 1997).
1.2.2. Importance of fungi as biocontrol agents

Fungi also play a very important role as parasites in the natural biocontrol of other organisms (Hawksworth 1991). Compared to using chemical pesticides, this method is cheaper and less damaging to the environment. For example, the Chinese caterpillar fungus is useful for controlling insect pests on crops, as it parasitizes insects. Spores of the fungi are sprayed on the insect pests (Pegler et al. 1994). Colorado potato beetles that can lead to huge losses are also controlled by fungi (Storch & Dill 1987). Other pests that have been controlled using fungi include leaf hoppers, citrus rust mites and spittlebugs (Bobick et al. 2004; Kendrick 1992; Cooke 1977).

1.2.3. Importance of fungi in fungus farming

Fungi are an important food source for different organisms, including nematodes, small mammals, insects and molluscs. They are also important mutualists of wood boring insects and therefore important in the breakdown and nutrient cycling of dead plant material (Hawksworth 1991). For example, members of the Microascales and Ophiostomales are the only food source of approximately 2 000 Scolytinae ambrosia beetles species. For this reason these fungi are actively cultivated by the beetles (Jordal & Cognato 2012). The active care and maintenance of the fungal crops by the beetles is referred to as fungus farming (De Fine Licht & Biedermann 2012). Ambrosia beetles have specialised pockets (mycangia) on their bodies in which fungal spores are vectored. The female beetles seek out recently dead trees, bore into their xylem and excavate new tunnels. Whilst doing this, mutualistic fungal spores are inoculated in the wood from mycangia or via beetle faeces (De Fine Licht & Biedermann 2012; Jordal & Cognato 2012). Fine mycelia grow into the wood from these spores. As soon as the beetle eggs hatch the larvae feed on the fungal structures that cover the tunnel walls. In some species the galleries are further expanded at this stage (Jordal & Cognato 2012; Beaver 1989). The primary mutualistic ambrosia fungi are usually species of the ascomycete genus *Raffaelea* Arx & Hennebert. Other secondary symbionts include other filamentous fungi such as *Graphium* Corda, *Ophiostoma* Syd., *Paecilomyces* Bainier and *Penicillium* Link (Biedermann 2012; De Fine Licht & Biedermann 2012; Kajimura & Hijiii 1993). Initially the primary mutualistic fungi dominate the gallery microbial flora, while eggs are laid and the larvae develop. It is only after the first offspring have matured that other saprobic fungi such as *Paecilomyces* and *Penicillium* appear and increase in frequency (Kajimura & Hijii 1993). Besides being a food source for the ambrosia beetles, the mutualistic fungi provide steroids.
required by the beetles for physiological processes such as hormone production, moulting and metamorphosis (Jordal & Cognato 2012; Kok et al. 1970). Bark beetles also supplement their diet with fungi, mostly fungi belonging to the Ophiostomales, but this association is believed to be facultative in most cases. This means that the life cycle of the beetles can be completed even in the absence of fungi in their diets (Jordal & Cognato 2012). Addition of fungi as a supplement to their diet can, however, increase the fitness of the beetles, as was shown to be the case for *Dendroctonus* Erichson species (Six & Paine 1998).

Besides the ambrosia beetles, two other insect lineages, fungus-growing ants and fungus-growing termites, are regarded as true fungus farmers (De Fine Licht & Biedermann 2012). The agricultural mutualistic symbiosis between fungi and fungus-growing termites and leafcutter ants are among the most impressive animal phenomena in the world (De Fine Licht & Biedermann 2012). Leafcutter ants are endemic to South and Central America, Mexico and some parts of the southern United States. They are restricted to the two genera *Atta* Fabricius and *Acromyrmex* Mayr, which cut and process fresh plant material to serve as a nutritional substrate for their fungal mutualist partners (Schultz & Brady 2008). Different species of leafcutter ants use different species of fungi, although all the fungi used by the ants belong to the Lepiotaceae family. The fungi benefit from the ants by feeding on freshly cut plant material, which is kept free from moulds and pests by the ants. In cases where a leaf type is toxic to the fungus, the fungus release chemical signals that the ants can detect. Ants then stop collecting such toxic leaves. The ants in turn benefit by feeding their larvae on the cultivated fungi (Sunijian 2012). This agricultural mutualistic symbiosis between the ants and fungi has allowed the leafcutter ants to occupy previously inaccessible niches that have abundant resources (Waller 1988).

Fungus-growing termites (Isoptera; subfamily Macrotermiteinae,) also have a spectacular and sophisticated agricultural mutualistic symbiosis with fungal species in the genus *Termitomyces* R. Heim, phylum Basidiomycota. The fungus-growing termites are ecologically dominant in savannas, although they also occur in some African rain forests (Wood & Sands 1978). Fungal crops are cultivated on combs constructed from faecal material found within the colonies of these fungus-growing termites (Aanen & Eggleton 2005; Darlington 1994). The fungus (*Termitomyces*) is a white-rot fungus and it benefits from the relationship by being continuously provided with plant substrates. The termites also provide a highly regulated and constant growth environment for their mutualist fungal partners (Nobre et al. 2011). The fungus is among the few organisms that can digest lignin (Bignell 2000). The termites benefit by feeding on older plant parts, which would have been well-
decomposed by the fungi (Aanen & Boomsma 2005), therefore allowing the termites to exploit complex plant substrates (Nobre et al. 2011). Cultivation of fungi by fungus-growing termites has led to them become one of the most important decomposer groups in the Old World Tropics (tropical Africa and parts of Arabia and Indomalaya) (Aanen & Eggleton 2005). For example, they are the predominant decomposers in dry savannas, accounting for up to about 20% of all C-mineralization (Wood & Sands 1978). Just as in the case of leafcutter ants, this agricultural mutualistic symbiosis with fungi has allowed the termites to occupy previously inaccessible niches that have abundant resources (Waller 1988).

1.2.4. Importance of fungi as plant pathogens

Compared to viruses and bacteria, fungi are considered to be the biggest killers on the planet (Jones 2013), responsible for over 70% of recorded regional and global extinctions (Fisher et al. 2012). Pathogenic fungi can lead to devastating declines in plant species, ultimately leading to changes in forest composition and landscapes (Douglas 2008). Before the 1900s the American chestnut was one of the most dominant and important hardwood tree species in the Eastern United States. It was used commercially as source of pulpwood, lumber, tannins, poles, edible nuts and railroad ties. The introduction of the non-native fungus Cryphonectria parasitica (Murrill) Barr into the United States from Eastern Asia led to the infection of chestnut trees with the chestnut blight fungus, which almost eradicated the tree species from the forests (Douglas 2008; Heiniger & Rigling 1994). The fungal pathogen enters trees through wounds and cracks. It grows in and under the bark, killing the area around the tree twig, branch or trunk. Large cankers are formed that eventually spread over the entire tree surface, Ultimately killing the tree. Most of the sprouts that continue to grow from the stumps today still usually succumb to the disease and die (Douglas 2008; Heiniger & Rigling 1994).

The woodwasp, Sirex noctilio Fabricus, along with its symbiotic fungi Arnylostereurn areolatum (Fr.) Boid. and A. chailletii (Pers.: Fr.) Boid, are responsible for major losses of Pinus plantations where they have established in the Southern Hemisphere (Hurley et al. 2007; Madden 1988). The woodwasp has become a significant pest of exotic Pine plantations in countries such as South Africa, New Zealand, Australia and some countries in South America, where it was accidentally introduced on Pinus radiata D. Don and other Pinus species during the 20th century (Hurley et al. 2007; Carnegie et al. 2005; Tribe & Cillié 2004; Klasmer et al. 1998; Madden 1988; Neumann et al. 1987; Madden 1975; Rawlings & Wilson 1949). The woodwasp and its associated fungi originate from temperate regions of the
Northern Hemisphere and of Eurasian (Spradbery & Kirk 1978). It is also native to northern Africa and it is not an important pathogen in its native range (Spradbery & Kirk 1978). *Arynostereurn areolatum* is spread through asexual arthrospores, which are vectored in the mycangia of female *S. noctilio* (Thomsen & Koch 1999; Vasiliauskas & Stenlid 1999). The female *S. noctilio* lays eggs into stressed trees and at the same time deposits its associated fungus (*A. areolatum*, along with a phototoxic mucus) (Morgan & Stewart 1996; Neumann & Minko 1981; Taylor 1981). The combination of *A. areolatum* and the mucus eventually leads to death of trees drilled by *S. noctilio* (Carnegie et al. 2006). The host range of *S. noctilio* in *Pinus* is wide, but the most susceptible species are *P. radiata*, *P. taeda* Blanco and *P. patula* Schltdl. & Cham. (Carnegie et al. 2005; Tribe & Cillié 2004; Spradbery & Kirk 1978). In southern Australia over 5 million trees, with a value of between A$10-12 million, were killed between 1987 and 1989 due to attack by *S. noctilio* and its associated fungus (Haugen et al. 1990). *Sirex noctilio* was first detected in the Western Cape Province of South Africa in 1994 (Tribe 1995). By 2002 it had spread to the Eastern Cape Province, followed by KwaZulu-Natal, where extensive damage in *P. patula* plantations have been recorded (Tribe & Cillié 2004).

In South Africa many additional plant species are threatened by native micro-organisms, as well as by those that have yet to reach South Africa's shores. For example, just recently a new and serious stem canker disease on the native *Rapanea melanophloeos* Mez. has been discovered in a botanical garden in Western Cape Province of South Africa (Chen et al. 2013). *Immersiporthe knoxdaviesiana* S.F. Chen, M.J. Wingf. & Jol. Roux is the fungal pathogen responsible for this canker disease and poses a major threat to the survival of *R. melanophloeos*. At the moment the origin of the pathogen is unknown, although it is suspected that it might have been introduced (Chen et al. 2013). Similarly, numerous ophiostomatoid fungi are known as pathogens of native and introduced trees in South Africa, some of which have been introduced (Kamgan 2008; Roux et al. 2004a & b; Roux & Wingfield 1997). The group not only includes serious plant pathogens, but also pathogens of humans and saprophytes that are important in degradation (De Meyer et al. 2008; Marimon et al. 2008; 2007; Aghayeva et al. 2004; Zhou et al. 2004; Hektoen & Perkins 1900). This group of fungi therefore provides an excellent model system on which to base a study of the importance and role of micro-organisms in the Cape Floristic Region of South Africa.
1.3. Taxonomy of ophiostomatoid fungi

The ophiostomatoid fungi represent a polyphyletic group of morphologically similar genera that are artificially grouped together (De Beer et al. 2013a; Spatafora & Blackwell 1994). The morphological similarities of these fungi, as well their structural evolutionary convergence due to adaptation to insect dispersal, have led to these taxa being studied as a collective (Wingfield et al. 1993). Phylogenetically many constituent taxa are, however, not closely related despite the similarities in their morphology (De Beer et al. 2013a; Viljoen et al. 1999). Ophiostomatoid fungi belong to genera that are located in two orders, namely the Ophiostomatales and Microascales. Genera found in the Ophiostomatales include Ophiostoma, Ceratocystiopsis H.P. Upadhyay & W.B. Kendr., Leptographium Lagerb. & Melin, Raffaelea, Fragosphaera Shear and Graphilbum H.P. Upadhyay & W.B. Kendr. (De Beer et al. 2013a & b; Zipfel et al 2006; Upadhyay 1981). Ophiostoma sensu stricto is central to the Ophiostomatales and includes several species complexes such as the Ophiostoma ulmi complex, Ophiostoma pluriannulatum complex and the Ophiostoma ips complex. Ophiostoma s. str. is part of Ophiostoma sensu lato, a larger contingent that is not well-defined at this stage, and includes groups such as the Sporothrix schenckii – O. stenoceras complex (De Beer et al. 2013a & b). Although De Beer et al. (2013a) showed that the S. schenckii – O. stenoceras complex forms a lineage distinct from Ophiostoma s. str., and may represent a distinct genus, at present they treat the complex as part of Ophiostoma s. l.

Leptographium s. l. is another major clade found in the Ophiostomatales, but it is non-monophyletic. Several species complexes and lineages found in Ophiostoma s. l. and Leptographium s. l. still need their generic status reconsidered and reassessed (De Beer et al. 2013a). Since the delineation of the genera Ceratocystiopsis and Graphilbum, their close relationship with Ophiostoma has been clear (Upadhyay & Kendrick 1975), while Raffaelea and Fragosphaera were initially classified in other orders (De Beer et al. 2013a).

The order Microascales include the families Ceratocystidaceae, Gondwanamycetaceae and Graphiaceae. Genera belonging to these families include Ceratocystis Ellis & Halst., Cornuvesica Viljoen, M.J. Wingf. & Jacobs, Custingophora Stolk, Hennebert & Klopotek, Graphium, Sphaeronaemella P. Karst. and Knoxdaviesia M.J. Wingf., P.S. van Wyk & Marasas (De Beer et al. 2013b). Ceratocystis sensu lato contains some well-defined species complexes, which form around C. fimbriata Ellis & Halst. and C. caerulescens (De Beer et al. 2013b). De Beer et al. (2013b) placed the genus Cornuvesica in the Ceratocystidaceae based on phylogenetic analyses, its beetle-associated ecology and anamorphs that are similar to those of Ceratocystis (Hutchinson & Reid 1988). Its separation from Ceratocystis is
supported by phylogenetic distance (De Beer et al. 2013b). The genus *Custingophora* is currently known only from its anamorphs, with only one species, *Custingophora olivacea* Stolk, Hennebert & Klopotek, belonging to this genus (De Beer et al. 2013b). Previously the anamorphs of *Knoxdaviesia* were treated in *Custingophora* (Reblova & Winka 2000), but based on phylogenetic distance, De Beer et al. (2013b) treated *Custingophora* as distinct from *Knoxdaviesia*. Species belonging to *Graphium* are only known as anamorphs and belong to the Graphiaceae. The description of the Graphiaceae was justified by the phylogenetic distance between *Graphium* and other families in the Microascales (De Beer et al. 2013b).

Currently the status of *Sphaeronaemella* in the Microascales is uncertain, as the presence of gaps in the sequences of some species belonging to this genus complicates the alignment and analysis of DNA sequences with other groups. This reduces the confidence in its phylogenetic placement (De Beer et al. 2013b).

1.4. Dispersal ecology of ophiostomatoid fungi

Regular vectors of ophiostomatoid fungi include various wood- and sap-feeding beetles (Jacobs & Wingfield 2001; Bridges & Moser 1983; Upadhyay 1981; Barras & Perry 1975). In the Northern Hemisphere, ophiostomatoid fungi are best known as associates of bark beetles (Coleoptera: Curculionidae, Scolytinae) on conifers (Kirisits 2004; Paine et al 1997; Wingfield et al. 1993). Ophiostomatoid fungi have developed several adaptations to enable them to be dispersed by arthropods (Malloch & Blackwell 1993). In most cases these fungi have a sexual form that produces long-necked ascomata. Ascospores, which are mostly produced in evanescent asci within the bases of the ascomata, are exuded through the necks and are presented in sticky masses on the apices of the necks, which facilitate dispersal by arthropods (Malloch & Blackwell 1993). The spores have adhesive coats that allow them not to be washed away by water. This ensures that they are only released from their vectors when an appropriate substrate such as a tree host is encountered (Whitney 1982). Beetle-associated fungi benefit from their hosts (beetles) by being transported from one tree to the other (Dowding 1969). In addition to transportation to new substrates, the fungi also benefit from this association by being actively cared for by the beetles (notably ambrosia beetles), which protect the symbiotic fungi from antagonistic fungal species (Beaver 1989; Francke-Grosman 1967).

Many of the vector beetles have evolved specialised spore carrying structures known as mycangia (Batra 1963). A broader definition of mycangium regards them as any structure that
consistently transports fungi, regardless of its form or the presence of secretory cells (Furniss et al. 1987; Beaver 1986). This means shallow pits, setae and deeper pockets that act as fungal repositories and are not associated with any glands, can also be viewed as mycangial (Klepzig & Six 2004). Six (2004) has gone a step further to define mycangia based on three morphological forms. She recognizes pit mycangia, sac mycangia and setal brush mycangia. The pit mycangium has all its fungal repositories formed by shallow depressions of the exoskeleton, with or without setae. The sac mycangium consists of complex invaginations, which form deep pockets, tubes or cavities in the exoskeleton. The setal brush mycangium has dense brushes of setae, which may or may not arise from depressions in the exoskeleton (Six 2004). However a specialist view of a mycangium defines it as an invagination of the integument lined with glands or secretory cells that is specialised for the acquisition and transport of fungi (Levieux et al. 1991; Batra 1963). Besides the transport of fungi, the mycangia also help to maintain the bark beetle-fungus symbioses (Klepzig & Six 2004) by protecting the fungi from ultra violet light and prevent desiccation in transit (Klepzig & Six 2004). Mycangial glands may also produce secretions that help to protect and support the growth of fungal propagules and may also selectively act against non-symbiotic fungi (Paine & Birch 1983; Barras & Perry 1972; Happ et al. 1971).

The relationships between these vectors and their fungal partners are often mutualistic and the system thus serves as a model for the study of multi-organism interactions and evolution (e.g. Ayres et al. 2000; Six & Paine 1998; Paine et al. 1997). Beetles benefit from this mutualism in that the fungal symbionts supplement beetle nutrition (Eckhardt et al. 2004; Baker & Norris 1968; Six & Paine 1998) by concentrating nitrogen (Ayres et al. 2000) in the nutritionally poor woody environment. A good example is that of the southern pine beetle, Dendroctonus frontalis Zimmermann, which is helped by its mycangial fungal symbionts to meet its nitrogen needs (Klepzig et al. 2001a). Aryes et al. (2000) showed that these mycangial fungal symbionts could concentrate nitrogen better than an antagonistic non-mycangial associate. Due to this, the southern pine beetle consumes less phloem than beetle species not associated with mutualistic fungi or lacking mycangia (Klepzig & Six 2004). Beetles feed on fungi at both the larval and adult stages (Klepzig & Six 2004). In beetles, sterols are essential to provide elements for cellular structures, important for hormone synthesis and also needed for viable egg production. In most plant tissues sterol concentrations are very low and in most cases sterols produced by plants cannot be utilised by insects (Clayton 1964). It is most likely that fungi provide a source of sterols to their host.
beetles, for example ergosterol, which is a major sterol produced by fungi and also mostly used by insects for their nutrition (Svoboda et al. 1978; Clayton 1964). To support this, Fox et al. (1993) and Six & Paine (1998) have shown that levels of pupation and oviposition in a number of bark beetle species are reduced in the absence of their symbiotic fungi. In some cases bark beetle-associated fungi exerts competition against antagonistic fungi, therefore helping to protect beetle brood from contact with these antagonistic fungi (Klepzig & Six 2004). This helps to ensure that the bark beetle larvae comes into contact with, and only ingests, the right fungi needed for their development and survival (Klepzig & Six 2004; Klepzig & Wilkens 1997).

In addition to beetles, mites also play a significant role in the dispersal of ophiostomatoid fungi (Lombardero et al. 2003; Klepzig et al. 2001a; 2001b; Moser 1985; Bridges & Moser 1983). Just as in the case of beetles, mites have also developed specialised flap-like integument structures known as sporothecae in which spores of their mutualistic fungi are carried (Roets et al. 2007). For example, ascospores of Ophiostoma minus (Hedgcock) H. & P. Sydow and Ceratocystiopsis ranaculosus Perry & Bridges are frequently contained in such flap-like structures on the mite species Tarsonemus ips Lindquist, T. krantzii Smiley & Moser and T. furasii Cooreman (Acarina: Tarsonemidae) (Moser 1985; Bridges & Moser 1983). Again the relationship between the mites and their phoretic fungi are often mutualistic (Moser 1985; Bridges & Moser 1983). In some cases the mites feed and reproduce exclusively on their fungal partners, confirming that the association is mutualistic (Roets et al. 2007). For example mites that feed exclusively on the Ophiostoma species found in Protea infructescences, in turn vector these fungi (Roets et al. 2007). There is evidence that mites that are phoretic on wood-inhabiting beetles (Lombardero et al. 2003; Klepzig et al. 2001a; 2001b) and their fungal symbionts may interfere with normal beetle development. For example, it has been demonstrated that O. minus, a mutualistic associate of Tarsonemus species, limits the reproductive success of the bark beetle D. frontalis on which these mites are phoretic (Lombardero et al. 2003).

1.5. Ecological and anthropogenic importance of ophiostomatoid fungi

Ophiostomatoid fungi include important plant pathogens, including species that have led to significant worldwide losses in the agricultural and forestry sectors. Many species also cause plant diseases and sapstain on lumber, logs and pulpwood (Zhou et al. 2001), leading to high economic losses. In agriculture, many ophiostomatoid fungi that are regarded as important
pathogens belong to the genus *Ceratocystis*. For example, *C. fimbriata s.s.* is a well-known pathogen responsible for causing black rot of sweet potatoes and canker on coffee (Kile 1993). *Ceratocystis paradoxa* (Dade) Moreau is responsible for causing the rotting of pawpaw and pineapple leaves and pineapple fruit (Kile 1993).

Examples of well-known ophiostomatoid fungi that are virulent tree pathogens include *Ophiostoma ulmi* (Buisman) Nannf. and *O. novo-ulmi* Brasier. These were responsible for the Dutch elm disease pandemics in North America and Europe due to the movement of *Ophiostoma* species and their vectors from Europe to North America (Brasier & Buck 2001; Heybroek 1993; Lamb 1979). The fungi were introduced into North America through the importation of infested elm timber (Brasier 1990; Peace 1960). *Ophiostoma ulmi* caused the original Dutch elm disease epidemic in the mid-1900s (Brasier 1990; Peace 1960). A more aggressive pathogen, *O. novo-ulmi*, replaced *O. ulmi* during the second half of the 20th century (Heybroek 1993; Lamb 1979). The outbreak of *O. novo-ulmi* led to catastrophic losses in Europe and had an even greater impact in North America, which resulted in millions of dead elm trees (Brasier & Buck 2001).

In Brazil, Oman and Pakistan, two ophiostomatoid fungal species have been responsible for causing serious wilt disease in Mango trees (*Mangifera indica* L.). The disease is commonly known as mango blight or seca in Brazil, where it was first reported in the 1930s. It is characterised by wilting of the leaves, flowers and stems of mango trees (Viégas 1960). *Ceratocystis fimbriata s.l.* was identified as the pathogen responsible for this disease in Brazil (Ribeiro 1980; Viégas 1960) in association with the wood-boring beetle *Hypocryphalus mangiferae* Stebbing (Coleoptera: Scolytinae), which is native to Asia and is believed to be the vector of the fungus (Ploetz 2003; Wood 1982). In Oman and Pakistan, *Ceratocystis manginecans* M. van Wyk causes a similar disease to mango blight and the same wood-boring beetle, *H. mangiferae*, is also associated with this fungus (Al Adawi et al. 2006; Van Wyk et al. 2005). These two fungal species are virulent pathogens that can rapidly kill mango trees and therefore pose a very serious threat to the mango cultivation industry in these countries (Woolhouse et al. 2005). Similarly, the catastrophic oak die-back and mass mortality of oak trees in Japan has been attributed to the fungus *Raffaelea quercivora* Kubono et Shin. and its associated ambrosia beetle *Platypus quercivorus* (Murayama) (Kubono & Ito 2002).

In South Africa, the fungus *Ceratocystis albifundus* De Beer, Wingfield & Morris is responsible for the death of the introduced *Acacia mearnsii* De Wild (Roux & Wingfield 1997). This virulent fungus causes a disease known as Ceratocystis wilt or wattle wilt, which
has led to significant economic losses in the *A. mearnsii* forestry industry of South Africa (Roux *et al.* 1999). Some of the disease symptoms include wood discolouration, red to black discolouration of the bark, formation of cankers as well as wilting and die-back of the trees (Roux *et al.* 1999; De Beer 1994; Morris *et al.* 1993; Wingfield & Kemp 1993). Some species have been recorded as sapstaining fungi, leading to degradation of the quality of pine logs that are exported to Asian countries, resulting in great financial losses in the South African forestry industry (Zhou *et al.* 2001).

### 1.6. Diversity of ophiostomatoid fungi in Africa including South Africa

Compared to the rest of the world, only a few reports of ophiostomatoid fungi have come from Africa, and most of the species that have been reported belong to the genera *Ceratocystis* and *Ophiostoma* (Kamgan *et al.* 2008). Most of these reports have come from South Africa, (Table 1) and involve associations with non-native tree taxa. For example, *Ceratocystis pirilliformis* I. Barnes & M.J. Wingf. and *C. moniliformis* were both reported from *Eucalyptus* species (Roux *et al.* 2004b). *Ceratocystis fimbriata* which was also reported from a *Eucalyptus* species, causes serious wilting and dieback (Roux *et al.* 2004a). *Graphium pseudormiticum* M. Mouton & M.J. Wingf., an associate of a pine-infesting bark beetle (Mouton *et al.* 1994), was isolated from *Pinus* species in South Africa.

It is interesting that a number of the reports of tree-associated *Ophiostoma* species infesting *Pinus* spp. in South Africa also involve non-native bark-beetle species. These *Ophiostoma* species are associated with three exotic pine infesting bark beetles, *Hylastes angustatus* Herbst, *Hylurgus ligniperda* Fabricus and *Orthotomicus erosus* Wollaston (Zhou *et al.* 2001; Tribe 1992). The fungal species that these exotic bark beetles are associated with include *Pesotum fragrans* (Math.-Käärik) G. Okada & Seifert, *O. piliferum* (Fr.) Syd. & P. Syd., *O. floccosum* Math.-Käärik, *O. stenoceras* (Robak) Nannf, *O. abietinum* Marm. & Butin and *O. pluriannullatum* (Hedgc.) Syd. & P. Syd. (Zhou *et al.* 2006). *Ophiostoma quercus* (Georgévitch) Nannf., which is quite a common fungus globally, has also been recorded on some exotic hosts in South Africa, including amongst others *Eucalyptus grandis* W. Hill (De Beer *et al.* 1995).

*Ceratocystis albifundus* was first isolated from *Acacia mearnsii* on which it was pathogenic (Wingfield *et al.* 1996; Morris *et al.* 1993). It was also isolated from this host in other African countries such as Uganda (Roux *et al.* 2001b), Kenya (Roux *et al.* 2005) and Tanzania (Roux
et al. 2005). However, it was also isolated from several native hosts in South Africa such as *Protea* species (Roux et al. 2004a; Wingfield et al. 1996; Gorter 1977), *Acacia caffra* Willd, *Burkea africana* Hook, *Combretum molle* R.Br. ex G. Don, *Faurea saligna* Harv, *Ozoroa paniculosa* (Sond.) R. Fern. & A. Fern. and *Terminalia sericea* Cambess (Roux et al. 2007). The discovery of this fungus on native tree hosts strengthened the view that this species is native to South Africa (Barnes et al. 2005; Roux et al. 2001a). This in turn, provided motivation to determine whether other ophiostomatoid fungi occur on native trees in South Africa. This led to the discovery of several other, often pathogenic, species (Kagman et al. 2008). Examples of these species include *C. tsitsikammensis* Kamgan & Jol. Roux, which was isolated from *Rapanea melanophloeos* and *Ocotea bullata* (Burch.) Baill, and was pathogenic on *Rapanea* trees. Another example was *C. savannae* that was isolated from *Acacia nigrescens* Oliv., *Combretum zeyherii* and *T. sericea* (Kamgan et al. 2008). *Ophiostoma quercus* (Georgev.) Nannf., which has a wide host range in both the Southern and Northern Hemisphere, has also been reported from South Africa on native hosts including *R. melanophloeos* and *Terminalia sericea* (Kamgan et al. 2008; De Beer et al. 1995), while a recently described *Graphium* species, *G. adansoniae* Cruywagen, Z.W. de Beer & Jol. Roux, was isolated from *Adansonia digitata* L. (Cruywagen et. al 2010).

Various ophiostomatoid fungi have been reported from an unusual habitat, the seed cones of native *Protea* species in South Africa. Examples include *Ophiostoma phasma* Roets, Z.W. de Beer & M.J. Wingf., *O. africanaum* G.J. Marais & M.J. Wingf., *O. protearum* G.J. Marais & M.J. Wingf., *O. splendens* G.J. Marais & M.J. Wingf., *O. gemellus* Roets, Z.W. de Beer & Crous, *O. palmiculminatum* Roets, Z.W. de Beer & M.J. Wingf. and the anamorphic *Sporothrix variecibatus* Roets, Z.W. de Beer & Crous (Roets et al. 2008; 2006; Marais & Wingfield 2001; 1997; 1994). These fungi are thought to be confined to the infructescences of various *Protea* species and have developed a symbiotic relationship with insects and mites that associate with these plants (Roets et al. 2007). In this system mites are the primary vectors of *Ophiostoma* species and also feed and reproduce exclusively on their fungal partners, confirming that the association is mutualistic (Roets et al. 2007). The mites have evolved specialized structures that frequently contain spores of *Ophiostoma* (Roets et al. 2007). Long distance dispersal of these mites is achieved by phoresy on various beetle species that frequent *Protea* flowers (Roets et al. 2009a). Although focusing on a single plant genus, these studies have resulted in the identification of at least seven *Ophiostoma* species new to science and have provided data for the first molecular study on fungal radiation patterns in the CFR (Roets et al. 2009b).
Besides South Africa, the only other country from where Protea-associated members of *Ophiostoma* have been described is Zambia. Roets *et al.* (2010) described *Ophiostoma protea-sedis* Roets, M.J. Wingf. & Z.W. de Beer and *O. zambiensis* Roets, M.J. Wingf. & Z.W. de Beer from Protea species in Zambia, which brought the number of known *Ophiostoma* species associated with Protea infructescences to nine. In addition to *C. albifundus*, *C. fimbriata* and *O. zambiensis*, only a few other ophiostomatoid fungi have been recorded from African countries other than South Africa. Examples include *Leptographium eucalyptophilum* K. Jacobs, M.J. Wingf, & Jol. Roux reported from Eucalyptus trees in the Democratic Republic of the Congo (Jacobs *et al.* 1999), *Graphium madagascariense* Cruywagen & Z.W. de Beer and *G. fabiforme* Cruywagen & Z.W. de Beer that were isolated from *Adansonia rubrostipa* Jum. & H. Perrier in Madagascar. These presented the first *Graphium* species to be reported from angiosperms in the tropics or subtropics (Cruywagen *et. al.* 2010).


<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Species</th>
<th>Host</th>
<th>Collection location</th>
<th>Associated arthropods</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><em>Ophiostoma abietinum</em></td>
<td><em>Pinus</em> spp.</td>
<td>Mpumalanga</td>
<td><em>Orthotomicus erosus</em>, <em>Hylastes angustatus</em>, <em>Hylurgus ligniperda</em></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Ophiostoma africanum</em></td>
<td><em>Protea dracomontana</em></td>
<td>KwaZulu-Natal</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><em>Protea caffra</em></td>
<td>Gauteng</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><em>Protea gaguedi</em></td>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Ophiostoma aurorae</em></td>
<td><em>Pinus</em> spp.</td>
<td>Mpumalanga</td>
<td><em>Orthotomicus erosus</em>, <em>Hylastes angustatus</em>, <em>Hylurgus ligniperda</em></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ophiostoma</td>
<td>Host</td>
<td>Location</td>
<td>Associated Insects</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>--------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>floccosum</td>
<td>Pinus elliottii</td>
<td>Mpumalanga</td>
<td>Orthotomicus erosus, Hylastes angustatus, Hylurgus ligniperda</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>galeiforme</td>
<td>Pinus pinaster, Pinus elliottii, Pinus patula</td>
<td>KwaZulu-Natal, Mpumalanga</td>
<td>Hylurgus ligniperda</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O. gemellus</td>
<td>Protea caffra</td>
<td>Gauteng</td>
<td>Tarsonemus sp.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ips</td>
<td>Pinus elliottii, Pinus patula, Pinus radiata</td>
<td>KwaZulu-Natal, Mpumalanga, Western Cape</td>
<td>Orthotomicus erosus, Hylastes angustatus, Hylurgus ligniperda</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>longiconidiatum</td>
<td>Terminalia sericea, Faurea saligna</td>
<td>Leeuwfontein collaborative nature reserve, Mpumalanga</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>palmiculminatum</td>
<td>Protea repens</td>
<td>Western Cape</td>
<td>Trichouropoda sp.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>phasma</td>
<td>Protea laurifolia</td>
<td>Western Cape</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>piliferum</td>
<td>Pinus spp.</td>
<td>Mpumalanga</td>
<td>Orthotomicus erosus, Hylastes angustatus, Hylurgus ligniperda</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>piceae</td>
<td>Pinus patula, Pinus elliottii</td>
<td>KwaZulu-Natal, Mpumalanga</td>
<td>Hylurgus ligniperda</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pluriannulatum</td>
<td>Pinus patula, Pinus elliottii, Pinus radiata, Pinus pinaster</td>
<td>KZ-Natal, Mpumalanga, Western Cape</td>
<td>Orthotomicus erosus, Hylastes angustatus, Hylurgus ligniperda</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Protea</td>
<td>Protea caffra</td>
<td>Gauteng</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Organism</td>
<td>Host(s)</td>
<td>Location</td>
<td>Species</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------------------</td>
<td>------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------</td>
<td>----------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ophiostoma protearum</td>
<td>Olinia sp.</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ophiostoma quercus</td>
<td>Eucalyptus grandis</td>
<td>Leeuwenfontein collaborative</td>
<td>Orthotomicus erosus</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Quercus robur</td>
<td>nature reserve, Mpumalanga</td>
<td>Hylastes angustatus</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Terminalia sericea</td>
<td>Groenkloof Forest,</td>
<td>Hylurgus ligniperda</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Rapanea melanophloeo</td>
<td>Tsitsikamma, Western Cape</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Pinus</td>
<td>Mpumalanga</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ophiostoma splendens</td>
<td>Protea repens</td>
<td>Western Cape</td>
<td>Beetle associate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Protea neriifolia</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O. stenoceras</td>
<td>Pinus patula</td>
<td>KwaZulu-Natal, Mpumalanga</td>
<td>Hylastes angustatus</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Pinus elliottii</td>
<td>Mpumalanga</td>
<td>Hylurgus ligniperda</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Pinus radiata</td>
<td>Western Cape</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Pinus pinaster</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Acacia mearnsii</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Eucalyptus spp.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Malus sp.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sporothrix schenckii</td>
<td>Human</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sporothrix variecbatus</td>
<td>Protea longifolia</td>
<td>Western Cape</td>
<td>Trichouropoda sp.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Protea repens</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Eucalyptus leaf litter</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sporothrix sp.</td>
<td>Pinus spp.</td>
<td></td>
<td>Orthotomicus erosus, Hylastes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>angustatus, Hylurgus ligniperda</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ceratocystis adiposa</td>
<td>Shoots of Pinus sp.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ceratocystis albifundus</td>
<td>Terminalia sericea</td>
<td>Kruger National Park,</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Mpumalanga</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Taxon</td>
<td>Species</td>
<td>Location</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------------------------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------------------</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Acacia mearnsii</em></td>
<td></td>
<td>Western Cape</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Combretum zeyherii</em></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Protea sp.</em></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Rhodocoma gigantea</em></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Ceratocystis fimbriata</em></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Ceratocystiopsis minuta</em></td>
<td><em>Pinus elliottii</em></td>
<td>KwaZulu-Natal, Mpumalanga</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><em>Pinus patula</em></td>
<td>Hylastes angustatus, Hylurgus ligniperda</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><em>Pinus radiata</em></td>
<td>Western Cape</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Orthotomicus erosus</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Ceratocystis moniliformis</em></td>
<td><em>Erythrina sp.</em></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><em>Eucalyptus grandis</em></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Ceratocystis radicicola</em></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><em>Phoenix dactylifera</em></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Ceratocystis pirliformis</em></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><em>Eucalyptus grandis</em></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Ceratocystis savannae</em></td>
<td><em>Acacia nigrescens</em></td>
<td>Kruger National Park, Mpumalanga</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><em>Combretum zeyherii</em></td>
<td>Leeuwfontein collaborative nature reserve, Mpumalanga</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><em>Terminalia sericea</em></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><em>Sclerocarya birrea</em></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><em>Burkea africana</em></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Ceratocystis tsitsikammensis</em></td>
<td><em>Rapanea melanoploeos</em></td>
<td>Groenkloof Forest, Tsitsikamma, Western Cape Province</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><em>Ocotea bullata</em></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Pesotum fragrans-like</em></td>
<td><em>Rapanea melanoploeos</em></td>
<td>Groenkloof Forest, Tsitsikamma</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><em>Rhus chirindensis</em></td>
<td>Hylastes angustatus</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><em>Pinus spp.</em></td>
<td>Mpumalanga</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Orthotomicus erosus</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Hylurgus ligniperda</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Leptographium lundbergii</em></td>
<td><em>Pinus patula</em></td>
<td>KwaZulu-Natal, Mpumalanga</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><em>Pinus radiata</em></td>
<td>Orthotomicus erosus, Hylastes angustatus</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Hylurgus ligniperda</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Genus</td>
<td>Species</td>
<td>Location</td>
<td>Species</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------------------</td>
<td>------------------</td>
<td>------------------------------</td>
<td>----------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Leptographium</td>
<td>procerum</td>
<td>Western Cape</td>
<td>H. angustatus</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Pinus patula</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Pinus radiata</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>reconditum</td>
<td>Triticum rhizosphere</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Leptographium</td>
<td>serpens</td>
<td>KwaZulu-Natal, Mpumalanga</td>
<td>Orthotomicus erosus, Hylastes angustatus, Hylurgus ligniperda</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Pinus elliottii</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Pinus patula</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Pinus radiata</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Pinus pinaster</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Leptographium</td>
<td>procerum</td>
<td>Pinus sp.</td>
<td>Hylastes angustatus</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Roots of Pinus taeda</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Graphium</td>
<td>adansoniae</td>
<td>Adansonia digitata</td>
<td>Musina, Limpopo</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Kruger National Park, Mpumalanga</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Graphium</td>
<td>putredinis</td>
<td>Soil</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Graphium</td>
<td>pseudormiticum</td>
<td>Pinus sp.</td>
<td>Orthotomicus erosus</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Nicotiana tabacum</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thielaviopsis</td>
<td>basicola</td>
<td>Saccharum officinarum</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>paradoxa</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Raffaelea</td>
<td>albimanens</td>
<td>Ficus sycamorus</td>
<td>Platypus externedentatus</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Raffaelea</td>
<td>hennebertii</td>
<td>F. sycamorus</td>
<td>Platypus externedentatus</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Raffaelea</td>
<td>arxii</td>
<td>Cussonia umbellifera</td>
<td>Xyleborus torquatus</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Knoxdaviesia</td>
<td>capensis</td>
<td>Protea spp.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Knoxdaviesia</td>
<td>proteae</td>
<td>Protea repens</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grosmannia</td>
<td>serpens</td>
<td>P. pinaster, P. radiata</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
1.7. Successful utilisation of newly encountered hosts

There is a worldwide increase in the spread of fungi, mainly due to anthropogenic movements (Anderson et al. 2004). In a number of cases these movements have resulted in host switching by the fungi, which in some cases has been aided by their vectors (Woolhouse et al. 2005; Wingfield 2003). This has caused significant disease epidemics, which have threatened some new hosts with extinction (Slippers et al. 2005). In Europe there is currently a spread of invasive pathogens on pines, horse chestnuts, alders, oaks and cypresses, and in some of these instances these pathogens are very aggressive (Brasier 2008). Events of host switching seem to be accelerated by the increasing globalisation of trade in plants, as well as flaws in the international protocols of plant biosecurity (Brasier & Webber 2010). Introduction of a pathogen beyond its normal range provides a sudden exposure to new biotic and abiotic influences such as different climates, different vectors, new hosts and new biological competitors. There is usually limited resistance by the new host population and excessive aggressiveness by the introduced pathogen due to lack of prior co-evolution, which may lead to devastating outbreaks of disease (Brasier 2008; Brasier & Buck 2001).

One of the well-known examples of host switching is the chestnut blight disease caused by the pathogenic fungus Cryphonectria parasitica (Murrill) Barr on the American chestnut trees Castanea dentate (Heiniger & Rigling 1994). The fungus was introduced into North America through the importation of Japanese chestnut trees Castanea crenata Siebold and Zuccarini, which hosted the fungus (Brasier 2008). The American chestnut that had never been exposed to this fungus before was highly susceptible, especially the larger trees. The American chestnut forests were destroyed by the disease throughout most of their natural range in the USA within thirty years (Brasier 2008). The fungus, which can easily be vectored from one tree to the other by a variety of agents such as insects, small animals, wind and rain, was responsible for nearly eradicating this tree species in its native range. Other examples include Puccinia psidii Winter that switched hosts from native Myrtaceae to introduced Eucalyptus trees in South America and Brazil (Coutinho et al. 1998) Fusarium circinatum Nirenberg & O’Donnell that was introduced from native Mexican pines and switched to Pinus radiata in
California U.S.A (Gordon et al. 2001) and *Phytophthora ramorum* Werres, De Cock & Man in’t Veld that switched hosts from *Rhododendron* onto evergreen oak and tanoak trees in Coastal California and Oregon, killing several million trees in the process (Grünwald et al. 2008). The latter species also switched hosts from *Rhododendron* to larch trees in Europe (Brasier & Webber 2010).

A number of fungal species have also switched hosts in South Africa. *Armillaria mellea*, (Vahl. Fr.) Kummer is an alien fungus that has become invasive in Cape Town and is posing a threat to the sensitive native forests found on the foothills of this city (Wingfield et al. 2010). The fungus is believed to have been introduced into South Africa from Europe by the early Dutch settlers in the mid to late 1600s (Coetzee et al. 2001). A couple of years after its discovery in Cape Town, *A. mellea* was also found to have spread and caused the death of native *Protea* plants in Kirstenbosch Botanical Garden in Cape Town (Wingfield et al. 2010). Another fungus, the notorious soilborne root rot pathogen *Phytophthora cinnamomi* Rands (Zentmyer 1980) is believed to have originated from either Papua New Guinea or Sumatra (Linde and Smit 1999; Old et al. 1984). It was first recorded in South Africa in 1931 (Doidge & Bottomley 1931), and is now responsible for causing diseases to the native Fynbos vegetation, a vegetation type unique to the southwestern Cape region. It has also led to some economic losses by causing root diseases of *Eucalyptus* species, *Pinus* species (Linde et al 1994; Wingfield & Knox-Davies 1980), grapevines (Van der Merwe et al. 1972) and commercially cultivated *Protea* species (Von Broembsen & Brits 1985).

Host switching has also been recorded in ophiostomatoid fungi. A well-known example is that of the Dutch elm disease pandemics, which resulted from movement of *Ophiostoma* species and their vectors from Europe to North America (Douglas 2008; Brasier & Buck 2001; Lamb 1979). The fungal pathogens causing this disease (*O. ulmi* and *O. novo-ulmi*), along with their vector European elm bark beetle *Scolytus multistriatus* Marsham, were introduced through logs imported from Europe in the 1920s (Brazier 1990; Webber 1990; Peace 1960). The American elms quickly succumbed to infection by the fungal pathogens as they were highly susceptible to the exotic pests. This resulted in hundreds of millions of dead elm trees (Brasier & Buck 2001). It was also easy for the pathogens to spread from tree to tree through root grafts and beetle feeding activities, as the trees were planted in rows along parks and streets (Douglas 2008; Brasier & Buck 2001; Heybroek 1993; Lamb 1979).

Laurel wilt disease is another widely known recent example of a disease that resulted due to host switching of ophiostomatoid fungi. This disease kills members of the Lauraceae, including avocado trees, and has invaded much of the southeastern USA. It is caused by a
pathogenic fungus *Raffaelea lauricola* T.C. Harr., Fraedrich & Aghayeva that was introduced from southern Asia, probably through the mycangia of the invasive exotic red bay ambrosia beetle *Xyleborus glabratus* Eichhoff (Harrington *et al.* 2008). The fungus, along with its vector beetle, was introduced into USA on solid wood packing material (Harrington *et al.* 2008).

In South Africa, *C. albifundus* successfully utilises many hosts. The fungus, which was originally isolated from *Protea* sp. (Morris *et al.* 1993; Gorter 1977), is native to South Africa and has moved onto introduced *A. mearnsii* (Roux & Wingfield 1997). It occurs naturally on a relatively large number of native tree species from five different families (Roux *et al.* 2007). The fungus is extremely virulent and threatens the cultivation of *A. mearnsii* in South Africa (De Beer 1994) and has led to significant economic losses in the *A. mearnsii* plantation industry (Roux *et al.* 1999). Other ophiostomatoid fungi known from native and non-native hosts in South Africa include *O. quercus*, *Sporothrix variecibatus* and *Pesotum fragrans*-like (Table 1). The possible ecological and economic effect of the movement of these fungi between native and non-native hosts is largely unknown.

1.8. Aims and objectives of this study

The main aim of this study was to investigate the ophiostomatoid fungal diversity in the CFR (focussing on members of the Ophiostomatales) and some of the determinants that enable these species to infect new hosts. Specific objectives included:

1. Document the diversity of ophiostomatoid fungi associated with trees in the CFR.
2. Document the diversity of wood- and sap-feeding beetles and mites as associates of ophiostomatoid fungi on trees in the CFR.
3. Determine inter-organism specificity between ophiostomatoid fungi, their arthropod vectors and their host plants.
4. Determine the pathogenicity of key fungal species isolated in this study on various important native and exotic trees.
5. Determine the role of mutualisms between mites, their vectors and ophiostomatoid fungi in shaping fungal host ranges.
Outline of thesis chapters:

CHAPTER 2: Ophiostomatoid fungi, including three new species associated with bark and ambrosia beetles, from native trees in the Cape Floristic Region of South Africa.

This chapter sets out to document the diversity of ophiostomatoid fungi associated with bark and ambrosia beetles from native trees in the CFR. Identification of the ophiostomatoid fungal species collected is based on sequence data obtained from the ITS, BT, LSU and CAL regions of the fungal spp. collected. All new fungal species discovered from this niche are described in this chapter and are given provisional names.

CHAPTER 3: Ophiostomatoid fungi from wounds on *Rapanea melanophloeos* in Afromontane forests

At several Afromontane forests sites, *Rapanea melanophloeos* trees have wounds caused by storm damage, and in many instances were observed to be dying, suggesting that this species is under considerable threat. In this chapter the diversity of ophiostomatoid fungi associated with wounds on *R. melanophloeos* is documented. Fungal species were identified through DNA sequencing of the ITS, BT and CAL regions, and all newly encountered species were provisionally named and described in this chapter.

CHAPTER 4: Ophiostomatoid fungi from wounds on storm-damaged trees in Afromontane forests of the Cape Floristic Region.

The aim of this chapter was to identify and document ophiostomatoid fungal species specifically associated with wounds of native trees (except *R. melanophloeos*) in the CFR. New species isolated in this study are described and named here.

CHAPTER 5: Association between sub-cortical beetles (Coleoptera: Curculionidae), mites (Acari) and ophiostomatoid fungi (Ascomycota: Ophiostomatales) on Afromontane forest trees in the CFR.

The aim of this chapter was to build on previous studies (Chapters 2, 3 and 4) and document the total diversity of ophiostomatoid fungi and their associated arthropods on CFR trees, focussing on those associated with wounds, sub-cortical beetles and mites.

CHAPTER 6: The danger posed by ophiostomatoid fungi when encountering new hosts.

In this chapter the pathogenicity of some of the collected fungi was tested on three important native hosts and three important exotic plantation species. This was done to assess the
potential threat these fungal species could pose to new hosts in the region. The likelihood of fungi moving to new hosts was also investigated by identifying known host ranges of the fungi and their vector organisms and by testing the specificity of various mites towards their natural beetle vectors and those that they would not normally associate with.

CHAPTER 7: Conclusion

The main collective conclusions of the entire study are summarized in this chapter, and recommendations for future research are made.
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Chapter 2: Three new species of Ophiostomatales associated with bark and ambrosia beetles, from native trees in the Cape Floristic Region of South Africa.

Abstract

*Olea capensis* ssp. *macrocarpa* and *Rapanea melanophloeos* are important canopy trees in the Afromontane forests of South Africa. Despite their importance in these forests, not much is known regarding their associated organisms. During recent surveys in these forests, many dying or recently dead individuals of these trees were found to be infested by bark and ambrosia beetles. This study documents the fungi associated with these insects from the two tree taxa in Afromontane forests of the Cape Floristic Region (CFR) of South Africa. Bark and wood samples were collected from *R. melanophloeos* and *O. capensis* ssp. *macrocarpa* trees showing signs of subcortical beetle activity. Fungi were isolated from the surfaces of subcortical beetles emerging from collected bark and wood samples and from beetle galleries. Based on micro-morphological and phylogenetic analyses four fungal species belonging to two genera in the Ophiostomatales were identified from four species of subcortical beetles collected. These were *Sporothrix pallida* and three taxa here newly described as *Sporothrix aemuluphilus* sp. nov., *Raffaelea scabbardiae* sp. nov. and *Raffaelea rapaneae* sp. nov. This study represents the first collection of *S. pallida*, a species known from many environmental samples from across the world, from Scolytinae beetles. *Sporothrix aemuluphilus* sp. nov. represents the only member of the *S. schenckii-O. stenoceras* complex that is currently known exclusively as a Scolytinae beetle-associate. *Raffaelea scabbardiae* sp. nov. and *R. rapaneae* sp. nov. were associated with a Platypodinae beetle and a *Lanurgus* sp. beetle, respectively and represent the first species in the genus reported from the CFR. This study therefore highlights the need for more inclusive studies on the diversity and ecology of members of the Ophiostomatales associated with native plants in this biologically exceptionally rich region. Considering the immense diversity of native plants in the CFR, it is reasonable to assume that many taxa still await discovery.

Key words: ambrosia-beetle, bark-beetle, Microascales, *Ophiostoma*
2.1. Introduction

*Olea capensis* L. ssp. *macrocarpa* (C. H. Wright) I. Verd. (Oleaceae) and *Rapanea melanophloeos* Mez. (Myrsinaceae) are important canopy trees in the evergreen Afromontane forests of Africa. In southern Africa both species are distributed from Zambia in the north to around Cape Town in the south (Van Wyk & Van Wyk 1997). Although both trees are renowned for their attractive wood utilised for making furniture, not much is known regarding their associated organisms. The recent description of a serious stem canker disease of *R. melanophloeos* from the Cape Floristic Region (CFR) in South Africa, caused by the pathogenic fungus *Immersiporthe knoxdaviesiana* S.F. Chen, M.J. Wingf. & Jol. Roux (Chen *et al.* 2013), highlighted the importance of understanding such relationships and led to the research reported here.

Apart from pathogens, wood boring insects, such as bark and ambrosia beetles (Coleoptera: Curculionidae, Scolytinae and Platypodinae), may also represent a significant, but grossly understudied threat to native trees in these forests. These beetles form part of a diverse group that bore into a large diversity of trees, shrubs and other woody plants (Atkinson & Peck 1994; Atkinson & Equihua 1986). They are rated as some of the globally most important forest pests (Avtzis *et al.* 2012; Harrington 2005; Paine *et al.* 1997), including more than 6000 species in 225 genera (Avtzis *et al.* 2012; six 2012; Knížek & Beaver 2004). They are usually found infesting conifers and hardwood trees (Avtzis *et al.* 2012). The Platypodinae includes about 1500 described species of ambrosia beetles (Beaver & Liu 2013; Wood & Bright 1992), most of which are found in tropical and sub-tropical regions (Schedl 1972). There they are of economic importance, often penetrating felled timber along with their associated fungi, causing wood staining (Browne 1968). The majority of the Platypodinae species are non-host specific and usually breed in any suitable host of the right size that offers favourable conditions (Beaver 1977; Hulcr *et al.* 2007). However, there are exceptions where certain species are associated with particular families of trees (Beaver & Liu 2013). The ecology of only a small number of about 3400 Scolytinae and Platypodinae beetle species has been well-studied (Farrell *et al.* 2001; Batra 1963). In Africa, their diversity and effects on tree hosts, especially on native trees, is virtually unknown (Beaver *et al.* 2005; Jordal *et al.* 2001).

Generally most of these beetles are not very aggressive to their tree hosts, and do not significantly impact healthy trees (Paine *et al.* 1997; Wood 1982). However, in extreme cases under favourable conditions, beetle populations may rapidly increase to outbreak levels, posing a primary threat to their hosts (Avtzis *et al.* 2012; Six & Wingfield 2011; Hudgins *et al.* 2004; Paine *et al.* 1997). Under these circumstances they may become aggressive and
attack and cause death of healthy trees (Holsten 2001). An example is that of the southern pine beetle (SPB), *Dendroctonus frontalis* Zimmermann, a primary beetle which is very aggressive and is capable of killing healthy pine trees (Payne 1980) and leads to large losses in pine production (Price *et al*. 1992). In some cases beetles pose a secondary threat to their host trees and attack only stressed trees, aiding in their rapid death and leading to decreased value of wood products (Six & Wingfield 2011; Haberkern *et al*. 2002; Werner & Holsten 1984). For example, drought stressed *Pinus edulis* Engelmann forests in the southwestern United States were destroyed by the beetle *Ips confusus* LeConte (Breshears *et al*. 2005).

Saprophytic taxa, which comprise the largest group of bark and ambrosia beetles, exclusively colonize dead hosts and unlike the primary and secondary beetles, they rarely cause economic losses. For this reason they have remained relatively understudied world-wide (Avtzis *et al*. 2012; Six & Wingfield 2011; Hudgins *et al*. 2004; Paine *et al*. 1997).

For part of their life cycles, scolytine bark beetles reside in galleries under bark, whereas the galleries of scolytine- and platypodinae ambrosia beetles are found within the wood of their hosts. As they penetrate these tissues they can introduce a diverse array of fungi that colonise the wood from within the gallery system (Whitney 1982; Batra 1966). Ambrosia beetles depend on their fungal symbionts for nutrition (Batra 1966), while bark beetles mainly feed on the phloem of trees, with nutritional supplementation of their diets by some of their fungal associates (Harrington 2005; Six 2003). In some cases the relationship between these two organism groups are therefore mutualistic. The fungi provide nutrients for use during reproduction and hormone synthesis, to help overcome tree defence mechanisms and to protect beetles against antagonistic fungi (Klepzig & Six 2004; Klepzig *et al*. 2001; Paine *et al*. 1997; Wingfield *et al*. 1995; Harrington 1993; Berryman 1972). In turn, the fungi are vectored by the beetles from one host tree to the next under favourable conditions (Klepzig & Six 2004; Klepzig *et al*. 2001). In many cases the interaction is so strong that specialised spore-carrying structures (mycangia) have evolved in the beetles, but spores may also be transported on the exoskeletons and within the alimentary canal of some taxa (Klepzig & Six 2004; Six 2003; Paine *et al*. 1997; Beaver 1989; Batra 1963).

contain important tree pathogens (Brasier & Buck 2001; Zhou et al. 2001; Heybroek 1993). A well-documented example is that of *Ophiostoma ulmi* (Buisman) Nannf. and *O. novo-ulmi* Brasier that are vectored by the European elm bark beetle (*Scolytus multistriatus* Marsham) (Webber 1990) and the American elm bark beetle (*Hylurgopinus rufipes* Eichhoff). These two pathogens were responsible for causing the deadly Dutch elm disease in Europe and U.S.A. (Brasier 2000; Pipe et al. 2000). *Raffaelea lauricola* T.C. Harr., Fraedrich & Aghayeva, vectored by the ambrosia beetle *Xyleborus glabratus* Eichhoff (Harrington et al. 2008), is responsible for Laurel wilt disease in the southeastern USA (Harrington et al. 2008) and in Japan, the ambrosia beetle *Platypus quercivorus* (Murayama) and its associate fungus *Raffaelea quercivora* Kubono et Shin. Ito was responsible for the catastrophic mass mortality and die-back of Japanese oak trees (Kubono & Ito 2002).

There are very few reports of members of the Ophiostomatales associated with subcortical beetles on native trees in southern Africa, despite the increased reports in the decline and death of native trees in the region (Chen et al. 2013; Roux et al. 2009; Malan 2006). Inadequate information on these insects and their fungal symbionts presents a serious hindrance to our understanding of the death of some of these native tree species. The recent discovery of some ambrosia beetles and their associated fungi causing the decline of the native *Euphorbia ingens* E. Meyer: Boissier in South Africa (van der Linde et al. 2012; Roux et al. 2009) highlighted the need for more research on these beetles and their effects on other native tree taxa in South Africa. During recent surveys of subcortical beetles associated with native trees in South Africa, many individuals of *R. melanophloeos* and *O. capensis* ssp. *macrocarpa* were found to be infested by bark and ambrosia beetles. These beetles were most often associated with dying or recently dead trees. Given the gap in our knowledge, and the importance of beetle-associated Ophiostomatales to tree health, this study aimed to document the fungi associated with the bark and ambrosia beetles from these tree taxa, focussing specifically on Afromontane forests of the CFR of South Africa.

2.2. Materials and methods

2.2.1. Beetle sampling

Sampling was conducted in forests adjacent to the Harold Porter National Botanical Garden (S 34° 20.893'E 18° 55.519”), Gouna Forest (S 33°57’2.584” E 23°2’9.80”) and Gouldveld Forest (S 33°54’44.38” E 23°0’10.30”) in the Western Cape Province of South Africa between
2011 and 2012. Bark and wood samples were collected from *R. melanophloeos* and *O. capensis* ssp. *macrocarpa* trees that showed signs of subcortical beetle activity. The collected plant material was transferred to the laboratory and placed in insect emergence cages constructed from sealed cardboard boxes (ca. 49 x 49 x 32.6 cm) fitted with two transparent plastic bottles (5.7 cm diameter) on one side. Light penetrating through the plastic containers attracted beetles, causing them to emerge and accumulate in the bottles, from where they could be collected easily. Emergence cages were maintained at room temperature and inspected every 2-3 days for a period of fifty days. Individual beetles were aseptically placed in sterile vials, grouped into morpho-species, their numbers recorded and stored at 4°C until further use (but not longer than 5 days). Reference collections of all beetle taxa collected in this study were stored in 70% ethanol. Reference material was sent for identification by expert taxonomists and is maintained in the Insect Collection of Stellenbosch University (USEC), Stellenbosch, South Africa.

2.2.2. Fungal isolation from beetles and beetle galleries

Wood from emergence cages was examined for the presence of ascocarps of members of the Ophiostomatales. When present, ascospores were removed from the apices of ascomatal necks with a dissecting needle and transferred to 2% Malt Extract Agar (MEA; Biolab, Midrand, South Africa) amended with 0.05 g/L cycloheximide (Harrington 1981). Depending on availability, 3 to 50 beetle individuals per beetle morpho-species were placed in eppendorf tubes containing 0.2 ml ddH₂O and vigorously shaken for 1 min on a vortex mixer. A subset of individuals were also crushed and homogenized in 0.2 ml ddH₂O. After washing, the arthropods were removed (when not crushed) and stored in 70% ethanol for later identification and representatives of the crushed beetles were stored for later identification. Water suspensions were spread on Petri dishes containing 2% MEA, streptomycin sulphate (0.04g/L) and cycloheximide (0.05g/L). When present, a single colony of all the suspected ophiostomatoid morpho-types growing on these primary isolation plates were chosen at random and purified as representatives of the different fungal taxa. Once purified, all cultures were maintained on Petri dishes containing MEA at 4°C until further use. Representative cultures of all morpho-types collected in this study are maintained in the culture collection (CMW) of the Forestry and Agricultural Biotechnology Institute (FABI) at the University of Pretoria, South Africa.
2.2.3. Morphological characterisation

Where possible/available, fruiting structures (ascocarps with ascospores) of the Ophiostomatales taxa found in beetle galleries were collected and mounted in clear lactophenol on microscope slides. These were studied using a Leica EZ4 microscope (Leica Microsystems (Schweiz) AG, Taiwan). For isolates chosen as the types of new species, twenty-five measurements of all characteristic morphologically and taxonomically useful structures were made and the means (± standard deviation) were calculated. A Leica digital camera mounted on the microscope was used to take photographs.

2.2.5. DNA extraction, amplification and sequencing

Three or more isolates from beetles and their galleries, representing each fungal morpho-type, were selected for DNA sequence comparisons (Table 1). Using a sterile scalpel, fungal mycelium was harvested from 2 week old, actively growing colonies on MEA. Following the manufacturer’s instructions, genomic DNA was extracted using a Sigma-Aldrich™ plant extraction kit (USA). Primers ITS1-f (Gardes & Bruns 1993) and ITS4 (White et al. 1990) were used to amplify the nuclear ribosomal internal transcribed spacer region (ITS1, ITS2) and the 5.8S gene region of the rDNA. In cases where amplification was difficult, ITS1–f was replaced with ITS1 (White et al. 1990). For DNA amplification, the reaction mixture for the Polymerase Chain Reaction (PCR) was 25 µL, consisting of 11.3 µL ddH₂O, 2 µL DNA, 2.5 µL 10X PCR reaction buffer (with MgCl₂), 2.5 µL deoxynucleotide triphosphate mix (dNTP) (5mM), 5 µL GC rich solution (Roche Applied Science, Mannheim, Germany), 0.5 µL of each primer (10mM), 0.5 µL MgCl₂ (25mM) and 0.2 µL FastStart Taq DNA Polymerase (Roche Applied Science, Mannheim, Germany). Preliminary placement of fungi (holotypes) was done using ITS, and according to this, amplification of other gene regions for specific clades were chosen (Table 1) based on previous studies (De Beer et al. 2013).

For the relevant fungal morph-types, primers T10 (O’Donnell & Cigelnik 1997) and Bt2b (Glass & Donaldson 1995) were used to amplify part of the Beta-tubulin gene region and where amplification did not work, Bt2a (Glass & Donaldson 1995) replaced T10. CL2F and CL2R (Duong et al. 2012) were used for PCR for part of the Calmodulin gene, and where amplification was difficult, either CL2R2 (Duong et al. 2012) was used in place of CL2R or a combination of the primers CL3F and CL3R was used. The same PCR volumes used for ITS
primers were used for DNA amplification of the other gene regions, except that no GC solution was added. PCR conditions comprised of an initial denaturation for 5 minutes at 95°C, followed by 35 cycles of 30 seconds denaturation at 95°C, 30 seconds annealing at 55°C and 60 seconds elongation at 72°C. A final elongation step at 72°C for 8 minutes was performed before termination of the PCR process. In some cases the annealing temperature was lowered to 50°C to enable amplification.

All PCR runs for DNA amplification were performed on a Gene Amp® PCR System 2700 thermal cycler (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, U.S.A.). Agarose gel electrophoresis stained with GelRed (Biotium Inc, California, USA) was used to separate all the amplified (PCR) products that were viewed under ultraviolet light. Following the manufacturer’s instructions, amplified PCR products were purified using EXOSAP-IT (USB Corporation, Cleveland, Ohio, U.S.A.). The respective PCR primers and the Big Dye™ Terminator v3.0 cycle sequencing premix kit (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, CA, U.S.A.) were used for the sequencing reactions of the purified fragments and then analysed on an ABI PRISIM™ 3100 Genetic Analyser (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, CA, U.S.A.). PCR sequencing reaction volumes were 12 µL consisting of 2.1 µL 5X reaction buffer, 0.5 µL Big Dye (3.1), 1 µL of primer (10 mM), 2 µL purified PCR product and 6.4 µL ddH2O. Both DNA strands were sequenced using the same primers as those used for PCR amplifications. The CLC Genomics Workbench software package (CLC Bio, Cambridge, MA) was used to edit and combine sequences from both strands for each isolate to create consensus sequences.

Sequences generated in this study were compared to published sequences for the relevant related taxa available from the GenBank sequence database (http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov) (Table 1). Alignment of sequences with those downloaded from the GenBank was done online using MAFFT 6 (Katoh and Toh 2008) for each dataset. All datasets created were subjected to Bayesian inference (BI) and maximum likelihood (ML) analyses. ML analyses were performed with an online version of PhyML 3.0 (Guindon and Gascuel 2003). jModelTest 0.1.1 (Posada 2008) was used to determine the best fit substitution models using Akaike information criteria (Akaike 1974) and confidence support values for nodes were estimated using 1000 replication bootstrap analyses. Bayesian inference analyses were performed based on a Markov chain Monte Carlo approach (MCMC) using MrBayes 3.1.2 (Ronquist & Huelsenbeck 2003). Starting from a random tree, two Markov chains independent of each other were run simultaneously for 10 million generations. At every 2000th generation, trees were sampled and burn-in trees (first 25 000 generations) were discarded. The remaining trees were pooled into a 50 % majority rule consensus tree.
Table 1. Culture collection details and GenBank accession numbers for strains of ophiostomatoid fungi isolated from bark beetles in this study.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fungi species</th>
<th>ITS</th>
<th>βT</th>
<th>CAL</th>
<th>Host plant</th>
<th>Arthropod sp.</th>
<th>Collection Site</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><em>Sporothrix aemulophilus</em></td>
<td>38</td>
<td>38</td>
<td></td>
<td><em>R. melanophloeos</em></td>
<td><em>X. aemulus</em></td>
<td>Harold Porter National Botanical Garden</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>T277</td>
<td>T277</td>
<td></td>
<td><em>R. melanophloeos</em></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>T278</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td><em>R. melanophloeos</em></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Sporothrix pallida</em></td>
<td>T35</td>
<td>T35</td>
<td></td>
<td><em>Olea capensis</em></td>
<td><em>Ctonoxylon. sp. 1</em></td>
<td>Gouldveld Forest</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>T57</td>
<td>T57</td>
<td></td>
<td><em>Olea capensis</em></td>
<td><em>Lanurgus sp. 1</em></td>
<td>Groenkop Forest</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>T76</td>
<td>T76</td>
<td>T76</td>
<td><em>Olea capensis</em></td>
<td></td>
<td>Gouldveld Forest</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Raffaelea rapaneae</em></td>
<td>T92</td>
<td>T92</td>
<td></td>
<td><em>R. melanophloeos</em></td>
<td>Platypodinae sp.</td>
<td>Gouldveld Forest</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>T93</td>
<td>T93</td>
<td></td>
<td><em>R. melanophloeos</em></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>T110</td>
<td>T110</td>
<td></td>
<td><em>R. melanophloeos</em></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Raffaelea scabbariae</em></td>
<td>T28</td>
<td>T28</td>
<td></td>
<td><em>Olea capensis</em></td>
<td><em>Lanurgus sp. 1</em></td>
<td>Goudeveld Forest</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>T32</td>
<td>T32</td>
<td></td>
<td><em>Olea capensis</em></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>T43</td>
<td>T43</td>
<td></td>
<td><em>Olea capensis</em></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>T44</td>
<td>T44</td>
<td></td>
<td><em>Olea capensis</em></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2.2.4. Growth in culture

The optimal growth conditions of suspected new species were determined using the type cultures. A disk of agar (10 mm diam) that was covered with mycelium was removed from the edges of actively growing 1 week old cultures. These were placed mycelial side downward facing in the centres of 90 mm Petri dishes containing 20 mL MEA. These were incubated for 10 days in the dark at different temperatures ranging from 5 °C to 35 °C at intervals of 5 °C. For each temperature interval, 5 replicates were used. Two colony diameters perpendicular to each other were taken after incubation and averaged for each isolate and means and standard deviations for each fungal species were calculated.
2.4. Results

2.4.1. Beetles

Four beetle species (three Scolytinae species and one Platypodinae species) were collected from *R. melanophloeos* and *O. capensis* ssp. *macrocarpa* trees. All were associated with members of the Ophiostomatales. *Lanurgus* sp. 1 Eggers and *Ctonoxylon* sp. 1 Hagedorn (Scolytinae, Fig. 1) were both collected from *O. capensis* ssp. *macrocarpa*, while *Xyleborinus aemulus* Wollaston (Scolytinae) and the Platypodinae (Fig. 1) species were collected only from *R. melanophloeos*. Numbers of individuals of each species collected were: ca. 2000 individuals of *Lanurgus* sp. 1, ca. 300 individuals of *Ctonoxylon* sp. 1, six individuals of *X. aemulus* and only three individuals of the Platypodinae species. In addition, 27 individuals of another beetle species, *Hypothenemus* sp. Westwood, were collected from *R. melanophloeos*, but this species was not associated with any Ophiostomatales.

Figure 1: Bark and ambrosia beetles collected from *Olea capensis* ssp. *macrocarpa* and *Rapanea melanophloeos* in the Cape Floristic Region of South Africa.
2.4.2. Fungal isolates and morphological characterisation

A total of 38 fungal isolates that morphologically resembled members of the Ophiostomatales were obtained from the four beetle species. Of these, 15 were isolated from *Lanurgus* sp. 1, 10 from *Ctonoxylon* sp. 1, eight from *X. aemulus* and five from the Platypodinae species. Based on micro-morphology and colony characteristics, these isolates were grouped into four different operational taxonomic units (OTU’s). One of the OTU’s had an anamorph that resembled *Sporothrix* and was associated with both *Lanurgus* sp. 1 and *Ctonoxylon* sp. 1 collected from *O. capensis* ssp. *macrocarpa*. *Xyleborinus aemulus*, collected from *R. melanophloeos*, was associated with a second OTU with a *Sporothrix*-like anamorph. *Lanurgus* sp. 1 from *O. capensis* ssp. *macrocarpa* was associated with a third OTU that resembled species in the genus *Raffaelea*. The Platypodinae beetle from *R. melanophloeos* was associated with the fourth OTU that also resembled species in the genus *Raffaelea*.

2.4.4. Phylogenetic analyses

ITS data confirmed the placement of the collected fungal OTU’s in the order Ophiostomatales. Based on initial placement using ITS (data not shown), the phylogenetic placements of the two OTU’s with *Sporothrix*-like anamorphs were further investigated using ITS (*Ophiostoma* data set), βT and CAL data, as these grouped with taxa in the *S. schenckii-O. stenoceras* complex (De Beer & Wingfield 2013). The phylogenetic placement of the two OTU’s with *Raffaelea*-like anamorphs was determined using only ITS data (*Grosmannia* data set). The aligned ITS (*Ophiostoma*) data set consisted of 54 taxa and 723 characters, the βT data set consisted of 45 taxa and 276 characters, while the CAL data set contained 38 taxa and 684 characters. The aligned ITS (*Grosmannia*) data set included 63 taxa and 734 characters. Statistical values obtained from the analyses of the different data sets and the substitution models chosen are presented in Table 2.

Analyses of ITS (*Ophiostoma*), βT and CAL data showed that the *Sporothrix*-like OTU associated with *Lanurgus* sp. 1 and *Ctonoxylon* sp. 1 on *O. capensis* ssp. *macrocarpa* grouped with *Sporothrix pallida* (Tubaki) Matsushima and its synonyms *S. albicans* S.B. Saksena and *S. nivea* Kreisel & F. Schuer) with strong support (Meyer *et al* 2008) (Figs 2 & 3; ITS (*Ophiostoma*) tree not presented). The other *Sporothrix*-like OTU from *Xyleborinus aemulus* on *R. melanophloeos* (hereafter referred to as: *Sporothrix aemulphilus* sp. nov.) grouped
strongly as distinct, but sister to *Ophiostoma candidum* Kamgan-Nkuek., Jol. Roux & Z. W. de Beer using the three same markers (Figs 2 & 3).

Due to a lack of reference data for CAL and βT for the closest taxa to the two OTU’s with *Raffaelea*-like anamorphs, phylogenetic analyses were only performed using ITS (Fig. 4). The taxon from *Lanurgus* sp. 1 on *O. capensis* ssp. *macrocarpa* (hereafter referred to as: *Raffaelea scabbardiae* sp. nov) grouped strongly as a distinct taxon sister to *O. deltoideosporum* (Olchowecki & J. Reid) Georg Hausner, J. Reid & Klassen and the taxon from the Platypodinae beetle (hereafter referred to as: *Raffaelea rapaneae* sp. nov.) grouped strongly as distinct, but sister to *R. canadensis* L.R. Batra (Fig. 4). Both *O. deltoideosporum* and *R. canadensis* are believed to be grouped in *Raffaelea* s.str., although the specific placement of *O. deltoideosporum* is still not fully resolved (De Beer & Wingfield 2013).

Table 2. Parameters used and statistical values obtained from Maximum Likelihood (ML) and Bayesian Inferece (BI) analyses of the four datasets.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Dataset</th>
<th>ITS (<em>Ophiostoma</em>)</th>
<th>ITS (<em>Grosmannia</em>)</th>
<th>βT</th>
<th>CAL</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Number of characters</td>
<td>723</td>
<td>734</td>
<td>276</td>
<td>684</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ML</td>
<td>Substitution model</td>
<td>GTR+I+G</td>
<td>GTR+I+G</td>
<td>HKY+G</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Gamma shape</td>
<td>0.839</td>
<td>1.251</td>
<td>0.161</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BI</td>
<td>Average Effective Sample Size</td>
<td>1282</td>
<td>1218</td>
<td>1103</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Potential Scale Reduction Factor</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>GTR Submodel Probability</td>
<td>0.23</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>0.072</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2.4.3. Growth in culture

After 10 days of growth in the dark, *S. aemuluphilus* sp. nov. had a mean colony diameter of 24.2 mm (±1.4) at an optimal temperature of 30°C. Cultures of *R. scabbardiae* sp. nov. grew
optimally at 30°C, with a mean colony diameter of 23.8 mm (±1.3). \textit{Raffaelea rapaneae} sp. nov. had a colony diameter of 21 mm (±0.95) when grown at its optimal temperature of 20°C.

Figure 2: Bayesian Inference consensus tree (βt data) of members of the \textit{S. schenckii-O. stenoceras} complex (De Beer et al. 2013). Values above nodes indicate posterior probabilities obtained through Bayesian Inference. Values below nodes indicate bootstrap probabilities (1000 replicates) obtained from Maximum Likelihood Analysis. Isolates in bold were collected in
this study. Other isolates (GenBank accession numbers and isolate numbers shown (when available)) were selected for comparative purposes.

Figure 3: Bayesian Inference consensus tree (CAL data) of members of the *S. schenckii-O. stenoceras* complex (De Beer and Wingfield 2013). Values above nodes indicate posterior probabilities obtained through Bayesian Inference. Values below nodes indicate bootstrap values (1000 replicates) obtained from Maximum Likelihood Analysis. Isolates in bold were collected in this study. Other isolates (GenBank accession numbers and isolate numbers shown (when available)) were selected for comparative purposes.
Figure 4: Bayesian Inference consensus tree (ITS *Grosmannia* data) of taxa related to species isolated in the present study. Values above nodes indicate posterior probabilities obtained through Bayesian Inference. Values below nodes indicate bootstrap values (1000 replicates) obtained from Maximum Likelihood Analysis. Isolates in bold were collected in this study. Other isolates (GenBank accession numbers and isolate numbers shown (when available)) were selected for comparative purposes. Dashed lines demarcate the different species complexes that the fungi belong to.
2.4.5. Taxonomy

Based on micro-morphological and phylogenetic analyses three of the four collected taxa are recognised as distinct and undescribed taxa (all except *O. pallida*). These are therefore here described as new species as follows:

*Sporothrix aemuluphilus* Musvuugwa, LL. Dreyer and F. Roets sp. nov.

Mycobank: pending. Fig. 6.

Etymology: The epithet *aemuluphilus* refers to the close association between this species and the beetle *Xyleborinus aemulus*.

*Ascomata* embedded in and superficial on the host substrate, bases black, globose, 81-162 (140 ± 25) µm diam, hyphal ornamentation absent. *Ascomatal* necks black, 278-743 (278 ± 743) µm long, 22-45 (36 ± 7) µm wide at the base, 7-11 (9 ± 1.1) µm wide at the tip (Fig 5A), osteolar hyphae present, slightly curved, hyaline, 13-20 (13 ± 3) µm long (Fig 5B). *Asci* evanescent. *Ascospores* allantoid, aseptate, hyaline, sheaths absent, 2.9-4.5 x 0.9-1.3 µm (Fig. 5C), accumulating in a sticky jelly-like droplet at the tip of the neck, becoming whitish when dry. *Colonies* of *Sporothrix*-like anamorph whitish in colour on MEA, odourless, circular with entire edge and fluffy on MEA (Fig. 5D). Colony diameter reaching 24.2 mm (±1.4) after 10 days of growth on MEA at an optimum growth temperature of 30°C in the dark. No growth below 5°C or above 35°C. *Conidiophores* hyaline, tapering towards the tip, 4.5-8.2 x 0.8-1.2 µm (Fig. 5E), *Conidiogenous* cells forming directly from tips of conidiophores, hyaline, prominent denticles present 0.6-1.4 (0.9 ± 0.3) µm wide (Fig. 5E). *Conidia* hyaline, aseptate, oblong shaped, holoblastic, 3.8-7.2 x 0.9-1.5 µm (Fig. 5F).

*Substrate*: Isolated from *Xyleborinus aemulus* and its galleries on *Rapanea melanophloeos*

*Distribution*: South Africa, Western Cape Province

*Specimens examined*: South Africa, Western Cape Province, Gouldveld and Gourna forest. Isolated from *Xyleborinus aemulus* associated with *Rapanea melanophloeos* April, 2010, T. Musvuugwa, **holotype** PREM (pending), culture ex-holotype 38 CMW (pending) = CBS (pending), **paratype** PREM (pending), culture ex-paratype
T277 CMW (pending) = CBS (pending), paratype PREM (pending), culture ex-paratype T278 CMW (pending) = CBS (pending).

Figure 5: Micrographs of *Sporothrix aemuluphilus* sp. nov. A. Perithecium removed from bark of *Rapanea melanophloeos* colonised by *Xyleborinus aemulus*. B. Tip of perithecial neck showing ostiolar hyphae. C. Ascospores. D. Two-week-old colony on MEA. E. Conidiophore with conidiogenous cells showing denticles. F. Conidia.
**Raffaelea scabbardiae** Musvuugwa, LL. Dreyer and F. Roets sp. nov. Mycobank: pending. Fig. 6.

Etymology: The epithet *scabbardiae* (*scabbard* = sheath) refers to the sheathed ascospores produced by this species.

*Ascomata* superficial on the host substrate, bases globose, black, with no hyphal ornamentation, 92-142 (112 ± 9) µm diam; necks black, 311-692 (392 ± 74) µm long, 24-40 (33 ± 4) µm wide at the base, 5-18 (12 ± 4) µm wide at the apex, osteolar hyphae absent (Fig. 6A & 6B). *Asci* evanescent. *Ascospores* cylindrical, aseptate, hyaline, sheaths present, 2.3-3.4 x 0.8-1.5 µm (Fig. 6C), accumulating in a gelatinous droplet at the tip of the neck, becoming white to cream colored when dry. *Colonies* white to cream coloured on MEA. Odourless, circular with entire edge and rough surface (Fig. 6D). Colony diameter reaching 23.8 mm (±1.3) after 10 days of growth on MEA at optimal growth temperature of 30ºC in the dark. No growth below 10ºC or above 35ºC. *Conidiophores* hyaline, 7.1-8.9 x 0.7-1.1 µm (Fig. 6E), *Conidiogenous* cells forming directly from apex of conidiophores, hyaline (Fig. 6E), *Conidia* aseptate, hyaline, thick walled, obovate shaped, 3.5-4.9 x 0.7-1.1 µm (Fig. 6F-G). Conidia produced in single, directly from hyphae (Fig. 6F).

*Substrate*: Isolated from *Lanurgus* sp. 1 (Scolytinae) and its host plant *Olea capensis* ssp. *macrocarpa*.

*Distribution*: South Africa, Western Cape Province

*Specimens examined*: South Africa, Western Cape Province, Gouldveld and Gourna forest. Isolated from *Lanurgus* sp. associated with *Olea capensis*, October 2011, T. Musvuugwa, holotype PREM (pending), culture ex-holotype T43 CMW (pending) = CBS (pending), paratype PREM (pending), culture ex-paratype T28 CMW (pending) = CBS (pending), paratype PREM (pending), culture ex-paratype T32 CMW (pending) = CBS (pending), paratype PREM (pending), culture ex-paratype T44 CMW (pending) = CBS (pending).
Figure 6: Micrographs of *Raffaelea scabbariae* sp. nov. A. Perithecium removed from the gallery of *Lanurgus* sp. 1 in wood of *Olea capensis* ssp. macrocarpa. B. Tip of perithecial neck. C. Ascospores. D. Two-week-old colony on MEA. E. Conidiophores. F-G. Conidia.
**Raffaelea rapaneae** Musvuugwa, LL. Dreyer and F. Roets sp. nov. Mycobank: pending. Fig. 7.

Etymology: The epithet *rapaneae* refers to the host plant genus (*Raphanea melanophloeos*) from which this species was collected.

*Ascomata* not observed. *Colonies* cream turning blackish on MEA, odourless, surface tough and leathery, which wrinkles and forms cracks under the wrinkled area (Fig 7A). Colony diameter reaching 21 mm after 10 days on MEA at 20ºC. Optimal growth at 20ºC. No growth below 10ºC or above 25 ºC, cream in the center and black along the edges, somewhat circular with rough surface and edge. *Conidiophores* hyaline, becoming tapering towards the tips, segmented appearance 10.6-15.5 x 1.5-1.7 µm (Fig. 7B-C), *Conidiogenous* cells arising from tips of conidiophores, hyaline (Fig. 7C), *Conidia* aseptate, hyaline, turning brown when mature, thick walled, spherical, form in masses 2.3-3.5 x 2.4-3.4 µm (Fig. 7C-D).

*Substrate*: Isolated from a Platypodinae beetle species collected from the wood of *Raphanea melanophloeos*

*Distribution*: South Africa, Western Cape Province

*Specimens examined*: South Africa, Western Cape Province, Gourna forest. Isolated from Platypodinae sp. associated with *Raphanea melanophloeos*, February 2012, T. Musvuugwa, **holotype** PREM (pending), culture ex-holotype T92 CMW (pending) = CBS (pending), **paratype** PREM (pending), culture ex-paratype T93 CMW (pending) = CBS (pending), **paratype** PREM (pending), culture ex-paratype T110 CMW (pending) = CBS (pending).
Figure 7: Micrograph of *Raffaelea rapaneae* sp. nov. A. Two-week-old colony on MEA. B-C. Conidiophores. D. Conidia.
2.5. Discussion

This represents the first study on the Ophiostomatales associated with sub-cortical beetles from trees native to the Afromontane forests of the CFR, South Africa. Previous studies focussed on either those taxa associated with wounds on native trees (e.g. Kamgan et al. 2008) or on the beetle associates of exotic tree taxa (e.g. Zhou et al. 2001). Three species from two genera were newly described here from only four beetles and from only two tree species. Considering the large diversity of native plant and sub-cortical beetles associated with these forests, it is reasonable to assume that many taxa still await discovery. This study therefore forms a platform for future studies on the diversity, evolution and ecology of these important fungi.

Both the Sporothrix species collected in this study belong to the S. schenckii-O. stenoceras complex, which is part of a larger contingent, Ophiostoma sensu lato (De Beer & Wingfield 2013). Besides the human pathogens such as S. schenckii and S. luriei, the S. schenckii-O. stenoceras complex mostly contains species that are associated with environmental samples such as soil and water sediments. However, some of the species in this complex are arthropod-associated. Examples include taxa collected from Protea infructescences, which are specifically associated with mites (Roets et al. 2009; 2007) and a single species that has infrequently been isolated from a bark beetle collected from a Pinus spp. (O. aurorae X.D. Zhou & M.J. Wingf) (de Meyer et al. 2008, Zhou et al. 2006). Given the number of southern African species in this complex, it has been suggested that southern Africa may be the centre of diversity for this group of fungi (Kamgan et al. 2012).

Our isolates of S. pallida were collected from both Lanurgus sp. 1 and Ctonoxylon sp. 1. Sporothrix pallida is known from many environmental habitats (e.g. water sediments, soil and the sporophore of a slime-mould) and from various European countries (e.g. Germany, Spain, Italy, Netherlands, and England) and Japan (de Meyer et al. 2008). This fungus was also recently isolated from a human patient as the causative agent of a corneal ulcer (Morrison et al. 2013). To the best of our knowledge our findings represent the first example of this fungus forming associations with Scolytinae beetles. It is also the first example of a member of the Ophiostomatales associated with beetles belonging to the genera Lanurgus and Ctonoxylon.
Similar to *S. pallida*, the newly described *S. aemuluphilus* was found to be associated with a Scolytinae beetle, *Xyleborinus aemulus*. It is closely related to *O. candidum* that is known to be associated with a Cerambycidae beetle on exotic *Eucalyptus* spp. trees in South African plantations (Kamgan *et al.* 2012). *Sporothrix aemuluphilus* and *O. candidum* differ in many characteristics, including optimal growth temperature (30 ºC for *S. aemuluphilus* and 25 ºC for *O. candidum*), ornamentation at the base of ascoma (present in *O. candidum* and absent in *S. aemuluphilus*) and dimensions of morphological characters (Nkuekam *et al.* 2012). Despite quite extensive surveys from wounds on trees in the Afromontane forests where *S. aemuluphilus* occurs, including those on the same *R. melanophloeos* host, this species has never been found previously (Kamgan *et al.* 2008). Therefore, *S. aemuluphilus* seems to represent the only species in the *S. schenckii*-*O. stenoceras* complex that is known exclusively as a Scolytinae beetle associate. Whether this fungus is involved in beetle nutrition is unknown. However, this beetle seems to have an ambrosial-type of tunnelling system, which is usually seen in beetle taxa that depend on fungi for survival (De Fine Licht & Biedermann 2012; Jordal & Cognato 2012). *Xyleborinus saxesenii*, an ambrosia beetle in the same genus as *X. aemulus*, is mutualistically associated with fungi in the Ophiostomatales (Biedermann 2012). This suggests that the association between *X. aemulus* and *S. aemuluphilus* may be mutualistic, but this needs to be confirmed in future studies.

The two *Raffaelea* species collected in this study group with members of the *Raffaelea s. str.* clade (De Beer *et al.* 2013). Although the two species group in different clades within *Raffaelea s. str.*, they are closely related. Most of the species in *Raffaelea s. str.* are associated with ambrosia beetles (De Beer *et al.* 2013). This was also found to be the case with the two *Raffaelea* species collected here. Morphologically they generally exhibit *Hyalorhinocladiella*-like anamorphs and reduced conidiogenous structures, often producing pigmented conidia (De Beer *et al.* 2013). Only a few other *Raffaelea* species have been isolated in South Africa. These include *R. albimanens* D.B. Scott & J.W. du Toit, which was associated with the ambrosia beetle *P. externedentatus* Fairm. from *Ficus sycomorus* L. in the Dukuduku Forest in KZN province. *R. arxii* D.B. Scott & J.W. du Toit, represents another South African example. It is associated with *Xyleborus torquatus* Eichh. and was collected from *Cussonia umbellifera* Sond. (Scott & du Toit 1970).
Raffaelea scabbardiae was associated with the ambrosia beetle Lanurgus sp. 1 from Olea capensis ssp. macrocarpa and represents the first report of any Ophiostomatales from both the beetle and tree host. It is phylogenetically most closely related to O. deltoideosporum with which it shares some morphological characters. Their ascospores, for example, are similar in that they are cylindrical with an ossiform sheath and both form compact colonies on agar (De Beer et al. 2013). However, they differ in terms of their perithecia, which are small with an average neck length of 180 µm in O. deltoideosporum, while those of R. scabbardiae are 392 µm long. The perithecial necks of O. deltoideosporum have unique ostiolar hyphae at their apices and their perithecial bases are occasionally ornamented with septate spines (Olchowecki & Reid 1974), both of which are characters absent in R. scabbardiae. Ophiostoma deltoideosporum is known from Canada, where it was isolated from stained pine wood (Olchowecki & Reid 1974). This species, together with R. scabbardiae and O. seticolle (R.W. Davidson) de Hoog & R.J. Scheff. (which also exhibits similar ascospores and perithecia) (Davidison 1966), are the only members in the group with known teleomorphs. Although most of the species in the Raffaelea s. str. clade are associated with ambrosia beetles, Raffaelea scabbardiae is the first species in the group that is associated with a beetle in the genus Lanurgus.

Raffaelea rapaneae was isolated from a Platypodinae beetle associated with R. melanophloeos. Phylogenetically it is closely related to R. canadensis, which was first isolated in Canada from the ambrosia beetle Platypus wilsoni Swaine infesting Pseudotsuga menziesii (Mirb.) Franco (Batra 1963). More recently the species was also found to be causing laurel wilt disease symptoms on avocado trees in California (Eskalen & McDonald 2011). Morphologically R. rapaneae and R. canadensis share some similarities such as tough and leathery colonies, which wrinkle and form cracks under the wrinkled area (Batra 1963). They differ in characters such as their optimal growth temperatures, which is 25 °C for R. canadensis and 20 °C for Raffaelea rapaneae and the colour of colonies on agar (greenish black for R. canadensis and creamish black for Raffaelea rapaneae) (Batra 1967). The beetle associates of both of these fungi belong to the subfamily Platypodinae, a beetle subfamily associated with many Raffaelea species. Raffaelea ambrosiae Arx & Hennebert, for example, is associated with Platypus cylindricus Fab. and P. compositus Say (Batra 1967; Baker 1963), R. santoroi Guerrero is associated with P. sulcatus Chap (Guerrero 1966) and
The South African *R. albimanens* is associated with *P. externedentatus* (Scott & du Toit 1970).

The beetles species collected in this study were mostly associated with recently dead trees, although they were collected from weakened living trees in a few cases. These beetles can therefore be classified as secondary beetles, known to attack stressed, weakened and recently dead trees (Avtzis et al. 2012; Six & Wingfield 2011; Paine et al. 1997). Although secondary beetles have been responsible for the destruction of large populations of stressed trees (for example Breshears et al. 2005), we have seen no evidence of this at the sites included in this study.

### 2.6. Conclusion

Four members of the order Ophiostomatales were collected in this study from four subcortical beetles infesting *R. melanophloes* and *O. capensis* ssp. *macrocarpa*. This represents the first report of subcortical beetles associated with the Ophiostomatales in the CFR. Phylogenetic analyses confirmed that one new species belongs to the *S. schenckii-O. stenoceras* complex, while two other new species belong to *Raffaelea s. str*. Given that three out of the four fungal species collected are new species, it is highly likely that there are more Ophiostomatales beetle-associates waiting discovery in the CFR. More research should be conducted to include other native tree taxa and sub-cortical beetles. Although this study was an important first step towards understanding relationships between subcortical beetles and their fungal symbionts on native trees in the CFR, the exact nature of these relationships are still unclear and needs to be a focus in future studies.
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Chapter 3: Wounds on *Rapanea melanophloeos* provide habitat for a large and unexplored diversity of ophiostomatoid fungi

Abstract

*Rapanea melanophloeos*, an important canopy tree in Afromontane forests, is commonly utilised for medicinal bark harvesting. Wounds created from these activities provide entrance for many fungi, including arthropod-associated pathogenic members of the Ophiostomatales and Microascales (ophiostomatoid fungi). In this study we assess the diversity of wound-associated ophiostomatoid fungi on storm-damaged *R. melanophloeos* trees in the Afromontane forests of South Africa. Five fungal species in the Ophiostomatales were identified based on micro-morphological and molecular phylogenetic analyses. These included *Ophiostoma stenoceras* and four newly described taxa *Sporothrix reniformis* sp. nov., *S. rapaneae* sp. nov., *S. lunateae* sp. nov. and *S. noisomeae* sp. nov. Four of these are members of the *S. schenckii-O. stenoceras* complex (*O. stenoceras*, *S. reniformis* sp. nov., *S. rapaneae* sp. nov., *S. lunateae*) and the other is a member of the *S. lignivora* complex (*S. noisomeae*). In addition to other taxa known from this host, the present study shows that there is a rich, yet still poorly explored, diversity of ophiostomatoid fungi associated with *R. melanophloeos* in Afromontane forests. More taxa are likely to be discovered with increased research effort. These must be assessed in terms of pathogenicity towards this ecologically and economically important tree.

Key words: storm damage wounds, Afromontane forests, *Ophiostoma*
3.1. Introduction

Ophiostomatoid fungi represent genera that are morphologically similar, although not phylogenetically closely related (De Beer et al. 2013a, Viljoen et al. 1999). The group includes genera such as Ophiostoma Syd., Ceratocystiopsis H.P. Upadhyay & W.B. Kendr., Graphilbum H.P. Upadhyay & W.B. Kendr., Raffaelea Arx & Hennebert and Leptographium Lagerb. & Melin in the order Ophiostomatales, and Ceratocystis Ellis & Halst., Knoxodaviesia M.J. Wingf., P.S. van Wyk & Marasas and Graphium Corda in the order Microascales (De Beer et al. 2013b). A common morphological similarity shared by these fungi is the globose ascomata with usually elongated necks that give rise to masses of sticky spores at their tips, an entomochoric adaptation to allow spore dispersal via arthropods (Malloch & Blackwell 1993; Wingfield et al. 1993; Upadhyay 1981).

Ophiostomatoid fungi contain some of the best known tree pathogens (Brasier & Buck 2001; Zhou et al. 2001; Heybroek 1993; Wingfield et al. 1993). Some of these are responsible for very high rates of tree mortality, which has led to significant losses to forests (Harrington et al. 2008; Brasier & Buck 2001; Zhou et al. 2001; Heybroek 1993). Well-documented examples include Raffaelea quercivora Kubono et Shin. Ito, responsible for oak die-back and mortality of Japanese oak trees (Kubono & Ito 2002) as well as the pathogens Ophiostoma ulmi (Buismann) Nannf and O. novo-ulmi Brasier, responsible for the Dutch Elm disease pandemics in Europe and the United States of America (Brasier 2008; Brasier 2000; Pipe et al. 2000; Wingfield et al. 1993). A number of pathogenic Leptographium species have also been reported. Examples include L. procerum (W.B. Kendr) M.J. Wingf. that is associated with pine root disease (Jacobs & Wingfield 2001), L. calophylli (Wiehe) JF. Webber, K. Jacobs & MJ. Wingfield that causes vascular wilt disease of takamaka trees (Webber et al. 1999; Wiehe 1949) and L. wageneri (Goheen & F.W. Cobb) T.C. Harr. that causes black stain root disease on conifers (Harrington 1993; Cobb et al. 1987). Some taxa are not pathogenic, or just weakly pathogenic, but may also cause significant problems in the forestry industry. For example, many species of Ophiostoma are responsible for sapstain of lumber and pulpwood, which degrades the quality of wood and results in substantial economic losses (Zhou et al. 2001; Seifert 1993; Lagerberg et al. 1927).
Most ophiostomatoid fungi infect their hosts via wounds (Wingfield et al. 1993). Such wounds are caused by various agents, including animals (e.g. arthropods), natural phenomena such as hail, frost or heavy rains as well as human practises such as bark stripping and siviculture (Vermeulen et al. 2012; Kamgan et al. 2008; Morris et al. 1993; Moller & Devay 1968). Ophiostoma minus, for example, colonises wounds created through mechanical injury or partial debarking (Gibbs 1993). Fungi are transported to these wounds through agents such as arthropods (Harrington & Wingfield 1998; Hinds 1972; Moller & Devay 1968) or wind in the case of asexual states of Sporothrix with dry spores (Wingfield et al. 1993). Despite the importance of ophiostomatoid fungi as tree pathogens, reports of these fungi in Africa, including South Africa, are very limited. A few studies focused on taxa associated with native tree hosts (Kamgan et al. 2008; Roets et al. 2008; 2006; Marais & Wingfield 2001; 1997; De Beer et al. 1995), but most knowledge of the fungi in South Africa is based on species associated with non-native host tree species (Kamgan et al. 2012a; Zhou et al. 2006; 2001; De Beer et al. 1995).

The Afromontane forests of South Africa are found from Table mountain in the extreme southwest of the Cape Floristic Region, are most extensive in the Tsitsikamma areas of the southern Cape coastal regions, and extends northwards along the coastal mountains into the KwaZulu-Natal (Lubke & McKenzie 1996). They occur as small fragmented patches within river valleys, on mountains, foothills and on coastal platforms (Geldenhuys 2010), and represent the outliers of the tropical African Afromontane forests (Turpie et al. 2003). Rapanea melanophloeos Mez is one of the more abundant and very important canopy trees found in these forests. It is a fast growing, evergreen forest pioneer, but can also be found as large trees in mature forests (Van Wyk & Van Wyk 1997). The bark of this plant is often harvested for medicinal purposes (Vermeulen et al. 2012). This creates wounds through which fungi can infect (Vermeulen et al. 2012). In South Africa, wound-associated ophiostomatoid fungi have been collected from this species, some of which have proven to be very pathogenic (Kamgan et al. 2008). For example, Ceratocystis tsitsikammensis Kamg. Nkuek. & Jol. Roux was isolated from wounds on R. melanophloeos in the Tsitsikamma forests and could kill seedlings of this species within a relatively short period (Kamgan et al. 2008). Despite the fact that pathogens are of critical importance to ecosystem function (Castello et al. 1995), there has been
little focus on them, especially on those associated with native trees in the Afromontane forests of South Africa (Taylor 2001). The recently described virulent pathogen, *Immersiporthe knoxdaviesiana* S.F. Chen, M.J. Wingf. & Jol. Roux, that causes the death of *Rapanea* trees in the Harold Porter Botanical garden (Chen et al. 2013), illustrates the importance of documenting these fungal taxa.

In addition to *C. tsitsikammensis*, only a few other wound infecting ophiostomatoid fungi have been isolated from *R. melanophloeos*. These include a *Pesotum fragrans* (Mathiesen-Käärik) G. Okada & Seifert-like fungus and *O. quercus* (Georgévitch) Nannf. (Kamgan et al. 2008). More recently, a few bark/ambrosia–beetle associated taxa have also been identified from *R. melanophloeos*, and were newly described as *Sporothrix aemuluphilus* Musvuugwa, LL. Dreyer & F. Roets and *Raffaelea rapaneae* Musvuugwa, LL. Dreyer & F. Roets (Musvuugwa et al. 2013, Chapter 2). Clearly this tree species is under considerable threat, and it has already been observed that *R. melanophloeos* trees in several sites of the Afromontane forests are declining. There is thus an urgent need to study other fungi, especially ophiostomatoid species, associated with *R. melanophloeos* trees in their natural habitats. The objective of this study was therefore to assess the diversity of wound-associated ophiostomatoid fungi on *Rapanea* trees at various sites across its distribution range in South Africa.

### 3.2. Materials and methods

#### 3.2.1. Sampling of plant material and fungal isolation

Wounds on *Rapanea melanophloeos* trees were surveyed in the Harold Porter National Botanical Garden (S 34° 20.893 E 18° 55.519), Groenkop Forest Reserve (S 33°56'32.10” E 22°32'50.38” ) and Weza Forest (30°36"12.00" S 29°39"59.00” E) in the Afromontane forests of the Cape Floristic Region and KwaZulu-Natal Province. All wounds were presumably caused by weather damage during strong winter storms. Bark and wood samples were collected from these wounds during late winter (August) of 2010 - 2012, placed in separate sampling bags and transferred to the laboratory where they were examined for the presence of members of the Ophiostomatales using a Leica EZ4 microscope (Leica Microsystems (Schweiz) AG, Taiwan). When no fungi were present, collected material was placed in moisture
chambers (resealable plastic bags with ddH$_2$O-moistened paper towels) at room temperature (~23°C) in the dark for up to four weeks to stimulate fungal growth. When present, masses of ascospores and/or conidia were collected from the apices of sporulating structures using a sterile needle. They were transferred to 2% malt extract agar (MEA) emended with 0.05g/L cycloheximide (Harrington 1981) to select for members of the Ophiostomatales. Isolates were stored in the dark at room temperature and examined daily for fungal growth. Isolates resembling anamorphic states of ophiostomatoid fungi were purified by transferring single hyphal tips from the edges of actively growing fungal colonies to fresh MEA plates. All purified cultures were maintained on petri dishes containing MEA at 4°C until further use. Representative cultures of all morpho-types collected in this study are maintained in the culture collection (CMW) of the Forestry and Agricultural Biotechnology Institute (FABI) at the University of Pretoria, South Africa.

3.2.2. Morphological characterisation

Where available, ascocarps and ascospores of ophiostomatoid fungi were collected from plant material, mounted in clear lactophenol on microscope slides and studied using a Leica EZ4 microscope (Leica Microsystems (Schweiz) AG, Taiwan). Twenty-five measurements of all morphologically and taxonomically useful structures were made of isolates suspected to represent new taxa. The maximum and minimum measurement for each taxonomically useful character was noted and means (± standard deviation) were calculated. Photographs of the structures were taken using a Leica digital camera mounted on the microscope.

3.2.3. Fungal identification

Based on morphological characteristics, all fungal cultures suspected to be ophiostomatoid fungi were grouped into Operational Taxonomic Units (OTU). Three or more pure isolates collected in this study, representing each OTU, were randomly chosen for DNA sequence comparisons (Table 1). For DNA extraction, fungal mycelium was harvested from edges of 2 week old actively growing colonies of pure cultures on MEA using a sterile scalpel. A Sigma-Aldrich™ plant extraction kit
(USA) was used for the extraction of DNA based on the manufacturer’s instructions. To amplify the nuclear ribosomal internal transcribed spacer region (ITS1, ITS2), including the 5.8S gene region of the rDNA, the primers ITS1-f (Gardes & Bruns 1993) and ITS4 (White et al. 1990) were used and where amplification was difficult, ITS1-f was replaced with ITS1 (White et al. 1990). Preliminary placement of fungi (holotypes) using ITS was used as baseline to decide on additional markers to amplify for each fungal morpho-type (Table 1). For amplification of part of the Beta-tubulin gene, the primers T10 (O’Donnell & Cigelnik 1997) and Bt2b (Glass & Donaldson 1995) were used and in cases where amplification was difficult, Bt2a (Glass & Donaldson 1995) was used in combination with T10. PCR for the calmodulin marker was done using the primers CL2F (5′-GACAAGGAYGGYGATGGT-3′) and CL2R (5′-TTCTGCATCATGAGYTGSAC-3′) (Duong et al. 2012) and in cases where amplification was difficult, CL2R2 (Duong et al. 2012) was used in stead of CL2R (or a combination of CL3F and CL3R was used). For each marker, PCR reaction components, volumes and conditions followed those described by Musvuugwa et al. (2013, Chapter 2).

All products were amplified using a Gene Amp®, PCR System 2700 thermal cycler (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, U.S.A.). Amplified PCR products were separated using agarose gel electrophoresis stained with GelRed (Biotium, Inc., California, USA) and visualized under ultraviolet light. All amplified PCR products were cleaned using EXOSAP-IT (USB Corporation, Cleveland, Ohio, U.S.A.) following the manufacturer’s instructions. Purified fragments were sequenced using the respective PCR primers and the Big Dye™ Terminator v3.0 cycle sequencing premix kit (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, CA, U.S.A.) and analysed on an ABI PRISIM™ 3100 Genetic Analyser (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, CA, U.S.A.). The PCR sequencing reaction volumes were the same as those used in Musvuugwa et al. (2013, Chapter 2) and the same primers used for PCR amplifications were used to sequence both DNA strands. Resultant sequences were edited and used to construct consensus sequences using the CLC Genomics Workbench software package (CLC Bio, Cambridge, MA).
BLAST algorithm (Altschul et al. 1990) searches were performed using ITS sequences to preliminary identify the fungal isolates and to compare and find similarities with sequences published on the GenBank sequence database (http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov). Sequences of closely related taxa to isolates collected in this study (Table 1) were downloaded from the GenBank database and they were aligned with sequences generated in this study using MAFFT 6 (Katoh & Toh 2008) for each data set. Maximum likelihood (ML) and Bayesian inference (BI) analyses were conducted on all the datasets created. An online version of PhyML 3.0 (Guindon & Gascuel 2003) was used to perform ML analyses. To determine the best fit substitution models jModelTest 0.1.1 (Posada 2008) was used using Akaike information criteria (Akaike 1974). Statistical confidence support values for nodes were determined using 1000 replication bootstrap analyses. MrBayes 3.1.2 (Ronquist & Huelsenbeck 2003) was used to perform BI analyses employing a Markov chain Monte Carlo approach (MCMC). Two Markov chains independent of each other were run simultaneously for 10 million generations starting from a random tree. A sample frequency of 2000 was implemented and the burn-in trees (first 25 000 generations) were discarded. The remaining trees were pooled into a 50 % majority rule consensus tree.

3.2.4. Growth in culture

To determine the optimal growth conditions of potential new species a disk of agar (10 mm diam) covered with mycelium was removed from edges of actively growing, one week old cultures. They were placed upside down in the centres of 90 mm fresh Petri dishes containing 20 mL MEA. The Petri dishes were incubated in the dark at different temperatures ranging from 5 °C to 35 °C at intervals of 5 °C for a period of 10 days. Five replicates were used for each temperature interval. After 10 days, two colony diameters perpendicular to each other were measured for each colony and results were averaged (± standard deviation) for each species.
Table 1. Culture collection and GenBank accession numbers for strains of ophiostomatoid fungi isolated from *Rapanea melanophloeos* that were sequenced in this study.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fungi species</th>
<th>ITS</th>
<th>βT</th>
<th>CAL</th>
<th>Collection site</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><em>Sporothrix lunatae</em></td>
<td>T124</td>
<td>T124</td>
<td>T124</td>
<td>Weza Forest</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>T125</td>
<td>T125</td>
<td>T125</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>T6</td>
<td>T6</td>
<td>T6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Sporothrix noisomeae</em></td>
<td>T3</td>
<td>T3</td>
<td></td>
<td>Weza Forest</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>T11</td>
<td>T11</td>
<td>T11</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>T12</td>
<td>T12</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>T13</td>
<td>T13</td>
<td>T13</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Sporothrix rapaneae</em></td>
<td>T148</td>
<td>T148</td>
<td></td>
<td>Groenkop Forest</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>T149</td>
<td>T149</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>T60</td>
<td>T60</td>
<td>T60</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Sporothrix reniformis</em></td>
<td>39</td>
<td>39</td>
<td></td>
<td>Harold Porter National</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>T197</td>
<td>T197</td>
<td></td>
<td>Botanical Garden</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>NO</td>
<td>T198</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Ophiostoma stenoceras</em></td>
<td>T1</td>
<td>T1</td>
<td>T1</td>
<td>Weza Forest</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>T10</td>
<td>T10</td>
<td>T10</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>T187</td>
<td>T187</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
3.3. Results

3.3.1. Fungal isolates and morphological characterisation

In total, 39 isolates that morphologically resembled fungi in the Ophiostomatales were obtained from wounds on several *R. melanophloeos* trees sampled at the different sites (Table 1). Based on colony characteristics and micro-morphology, the isolates collected in this study were grouped into five different operational taxonomic units (OTU’s) that all resembled *Sporothrix* species. Of the 39 isolates obtained, 8 were from an OTU collected from Harold Porter National Botanical Garden (Table 1). They were characterised by perithicia (collected from bark only) with brownish ascomatal bases that had hyphal ornamentation present and produced whitish to cream-coloured colonies that were fluffy at the centre. Seven isolates collected from Groenkop Forest were assigned to another OTU. They were characterised by black ascomata collected from bark and the production of white fluffy colonies on MEA (Table 1). The third OTU identified included nine isolates collected from Weza Forest. They were characterised by producing white colonies that grew slowly compared to other OTU’s (Table 1). A second OTU comprising of 10 isolates was also collected at Weza Forest (Table 1). They were characterised by black ascomata collected from bark and also produced white colonies that turned blackish with age. Five isolates of a fifth OTU also collected from Weza Forest (Table 1) were characterised by black ascomata that only started to grow in culture after three weeks and slow-growing colonies, which either became dark brown or remained white with age.

3.3.2. Phylogenetic analyses

All the fungal OTU’s collected belong to the Ophiostomatales based on their initial placement from ITS data. This phylogenetic placement led to further investigation using ITS, βT and CAL data. Alignment of data sets resulted in 84 taxa and 785 characters for ITS, 73 taxa and 280 characters for βT and 58 taxa and 720 for CAL. Statistical values obtained from the analyses of the different data sets are summarized in Table 2, along with the substitution models chosen. GenBank accession numbers
for other taxa used in the phylogenetic analyses are presented on the respective trees for each data set (Figs. 1-3).

For the OTU collected from the Harold Porter National Botanical Garden, phylogenetic analyses were only performed using ITS and βT (Figs. 1 & 2) and could not be performed for the CAL gene region due to amplification failure. Analysis of this particular OTU (hereafter referred to as: Sporothrix reniformis sp. nov.) using ITS data resulted in its isolates grouping together as a strongly supported distinct taxon sister to a clade comprising of S. aemuluphilus Musvuugwa, LL. Dreyer and F. Roets, O. candidum Kamgan-Nkuek., Jol. Roux & Z. W. de Beer and the OTU from Groenkop forest collected in this study. Analyses using βT data resulted in a strongly supported distinct taxon sister to S. aemuluphilus.

Analyses of ITS, βT and CAL data for the OTU collected from Groenkop forest (hereafter referred to as: Sporothrix rapaneae sp. nov.) resulted in trees with similar placement of isolates. The ITS data did not distinguish between S. rapaneae, S. aemuluphilus and O. candidum, which formed a single group (Fig. 1). Analyses of the βT data set showed that S. rapaneae grouped as a distinct taxon sister to O. candidum (Fig. 2), while analyses of the CAL dataset resulted in the isolates forming a strongly supported distinct taxon sister to S. aemuluphilus (Fig. 3). When comparing the βT and CAL data, the distinct taxon formed as sister to S. aemuluphilus (CAL data) is strongly supported, while the distinct taxon formed as sister to O. candidum (βT data) is not very strongly supported.

Analyses of ITS data for the first OTU collected from Weza Forest (hereafter referred to as: Sporothrix lunatae sp. nov.) showed its isolates grouping into a distinct clade with strong support as sister to O. aurorae X.D. Zhou & M.J. Wingf and also closely related to a bigger clade comprising of the species O. fusiforme D.N. Aghayeva & M. J. Wingfield, O. lunatum D.N. Aghayeva & M. J. Wingfield and O. abietinum Marmolejo & Butin (Fig. 1). Analyses of βT and CAL data showed similar placement of Sporothrix lunatae (Figs. 2 & 3), where it grouped as a strongly supported distinct taxon sister to a big clade that forms smaller clades of species such as O. fusiforme, O. lunatum and O. abietinum.

The second taxon from Weza Forest (hereafter referred to as: Sporothrix noisomeae sp. nov.) grouped strongly as distinct taxon in analyses of all three data sets. Analyses
of ITS data showed its isolates grouping into a strongly supported clade sister to the genus *Graphilbum* (Fig. 1). Based on βT data, the taxon formed a distinct clade sister to the *Sporothrix lignivora* complex (De Beer *et al.* 2013) with strong support (Fig. 2), while with CAL data a strongly supported distinct clade was also formed (Fig. 3). Analyses of ITS, βT and CAL data showed that the fifth OTU grouped with isolates of *Ophiostoma stenoceras* (Robak) Nannf. with strong support (Figs. 1-3).

Table 2. Parameters used and statistical values obtained from Maximum Likelihood (ML) and Bayesian Inference (BI) analyses of the three datasets

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Dataset</th>
<th>ITS</th>
<th>βT</th>
<th>CAL</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Number of characters</td>
<td>785</td>
<td>280</td>
<td>720</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ML Substitution model</td>
<td>GTR+I+G</td>
<td>K80+G</td>
<td>HKY+I+G</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gamma shape</td>
<td>1.303</td>
<td>0.184</td>
<td>1.878</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BI Average Effective Sample Size</td>
<td>366</td>
<td>1368</td>
<td>1007</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Potential Scale Reduction Factor</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GTR Submodel Probability</td>
<td>0.24</td>
<td>0.124</td>
<td>0.299</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

3.3.3. Growth in culture

After a period of 10 days of growth in the dark, cultures of *S. reniformis* grew optimally at 25°C, with a mean colony diameter of 35.7 mm (±1.3), while *S. rapaneae* grew at an optimal temperature of 25°C and the mean colony diameter was 34.9 mm (±0.7). The mean colony diameter of *S. lunateae* was 38 mm (±1.2) at an optimal
temperature of 25°C and *S. noisomeae* grew optimally at 25°C with a mean colony diameter of 28.7 mm (±1.1) after 10 days of growth in the dark.
Figure 1: Bayesian Inference consensus tree (ITS data) of taxa related to species isolated in the present study. Values above nodes indicate posterior probabilities obtained through Bayesian Inference. Values below nodes indicate bootstrap values (1000 replicates) obtained from Maximum Likelihood analysis. Isolates in bold were collected in this study. Other isolates (GenBank accession numbers and isolate numbers shown (when available)) were selected for comparative purposes. Dashed lines demarcate the different genera or species complexes that the fungi belong to.
Figure 2: Bayesian Inference consensus tree (βt data) of taxa related to species isolated in the present study. Values above nodes indicate posterior probabilities obtained through Bayesian Inference. Values below nodes indicate bootstrap values (1000 replicates) obtained from Maximum Likelihood analysis. Isolates in bold were collected in this study. Other isolates (GenBank accession numbers and isolate numbers shown (when available)) were selected for comparative purposes. Dashed lines demarcate the different species complexes that the fungi belong to.
Figure 3: Bayesian Inference consensus tree (CAL data) of taxa related to species isolated in the present study. Values above nodes indicate posterior probabilities obtained through Bayesian Inference. Values below nodes indicate bootstrap values (1000 replicates) obtained from Maximum Likelihood analysis. Isolates in bold were collected in this study. Other isolates (GenBank accession numbers and isolate numbers shown (when available)) were selected for comparative purposes.
3.3.4. Taxonomy

Based on phylogenetic analyses and micro-morphological characteristics four out of the five collected taxa (all except *Ophiostoma stenoceras*) were recognised as distinct new taxa. They are therefore described here as new species as follows:

*Sporothrix reniformis* Musvuugwa, LL. Dreyer and F. Roets sp. nov. Mycobank: pending. Fig. 4.

Etymology: The epithet *reniformis* (*reniformis* = kidney shaped) refers to the kidney shaped ascospores produced by this species.

*Ascomata* superficial or embedded on the host substrate, bases globose, dark brownish to black, ornamental hyphae present, 95-178 (134 ± 28) µm diam; necks black, 384-777 (530 ± 121) µm long, 15-30 (24 ± 5) µm wide at the base, 5-12 (8 ± 2) µm wide at the apex, osteolar hyphae hyaline, 13-17 (15 ± 1.2) long (Fig. 4A, B & C). *Asci* evanescent. *Ascospores* kidney shaped, aseptate, hyaline, sheaths absent, 1.3-2.4 x 0.3-0.5 µm (Fig. 4D), accumulating in a transparent gelatinous droplet at the apex of the neck, becoming dry when old. *Colonies* whitish to cream-coloured, on MEA fluffy in the centre only. Odourless, circular with entire edge (Fig. 4E). Colony diameter reaching 35.7 mm (±1.3) after 10 days on MEA at an optimal growth temperature of 25ºC. No growth below 5ºC or above 35ºC. *Conidiophores* cylindrical, hyaline, tapering towards the tip, 5.1-7.9 x 0.5-1.1 µm (Fig. 4F), *Conidiogenous* cells arising directly from tips of conidiophores, hyaline, form denticles 0.5-1.3 (0.9 ± 0.3) µm wide (Fig. 4G). *Conidia* hyaline, aseptate, obovate, tapering at one end, thick walled, 3.4-4.4 x 0.6-0.9 µm (Fig. 4 H).

*Substrate*: Isolated from damaged wood and bark of *Rapanea melanophloeos*

*Distribution*: South Africa, Western Cape Province

**Sporothrix rapaneae** Musvuugwa, L.L. Dreyer and F. Roets sp. nov. Mycobank: pending. Fig. 5.

Etymology: The epithet *rapaneae* refers to the host plant genus (*Rapanea melanophloeos*) from which this species was collected.

*Ascomata* superficial on the host substrate, bases globose, black, with no ornamental hyphae, 125-165 (147 ± 11) µm diam; necks black, 473-905 (670 ± 129) µm long, 11-30 (24 ± 5) µm wide at the base, 3-6 (4 ± 1) µm wide at the apex, ostiolar hyphae present, hyaline, 22-35 µm (26 ± 3) long (Fig. 5A & B). *Asci* evanescent. *Ascospores* allantoid, slightly curved, aseptate, hyaline, 2.8-4.7 x 0.3-0.6 µm (Fig. 5C), accumulating in a sticky gelatinous droplet at the tip of the neck, becoming white to cream colored when dry. *Colonies* white, fluffy, on MEA, circular, edges entire (Fig. 5D). Colony diameter reaching 34.9 mm (±0.7) after 10 days on MEA at an optimal growth temperature of 25°C. No growth below 5°C or above 30°C. *Conidiophores* hyaline, tapering at the tip, cylindrical, 4.1-7.9 x 0.7-1.2 µm (Fig. 5E), *Conidiogenous* cells forming directly from tips of conidiophores, hyaline, prominent denticles present 0.4-1.3 (0.86 ± 0.2) µm wide (Fig. 5E). *Conidia* hyaline, aseptate, thin-walled, smooth, oblong shaped, 3.9-5.5 x 0.5-1.1 µm (Fig. 5F).

*Substrate*: Isolated from bark of *Rapanea melanophloeos*

*Distribution*: South Africa, Western Cape Province

*Specimens examined*: South Africa, Western Cape Province, Groenkop. Isolated from *Rapanea melanophloeos*, October 2011, T. Musvuugwa, holotype PREM (pending), culture ex-holotype T60 CMW (pending) = CBS (pending), **paratype** PREM (pending), culture ex-paratype T148 CMW (pending) = CBS (pending), **paratype** PREM (pending), culture ex-paratype T149 CMW (pending) = CBS (pending).
Figure 5. Micrographs of *Sporothrix rapaneae*. A. Perithecium removed from bark of *Rapanea melanophloeos*. B. Tip of perithecial neck. C. Ascospores. D. Two-week-old colony on MEA. E. Conidiogenous cells showing prominent denticles. F. Conidia.
**Sporothrix lunatae** Musvuugwa, LL. Dreyer and F. Roets sp. nov. Mycobank: pending. Fig 6.

Etymology: The epithet *lunatae* (*lunate* = crescent shaped) refers to the crescent shaped ascospores produced by this species.

Ascomata embedded and superficial on the host substrate, bases globose, brownish to black, with no hyphal ornamentation, 87-101 (94 ± 5) µm diam; necks dark brown, 1212-1501 (1319 ± 103) µm long, 19-30 (23 ± 4) µm wide at the base, 6-9 (7 ± 1) µm wide at the tip, ostiolar hyphae hyaline, 12-17 (15 ± 2) long (Fig. 6A & B). Asci evanescent. Ascospores crescent-shaped, hyaline, aseptate, 1.9-2.6 x 0.5-0.8 µm (Fig. 3C), accumulating transparent sticky masses at the apex of the neck, becoming white with age. Colonies white, fluffy appearance on MEA. Odourless, circular with entire edges (Fig. 6D). Colony diameter reaching 38 mm (±1.2) after 10 days on MEA at optimal of 25°C. No growth below 5°C or above 35°C. Conidiophores hyaline, tapering towards the apex, cylindrical shaped 3.9-8 x 0.9-1.4 µm (Fig. 6E-F), Conidiogenous cells formed from tips of conidiophores, hyaline, becoming denticulate, 0.9-2.8 (1.6 ± 0.6) µm wide (Fig. 6E-F). Conidia hyaline, aseptate, oblong shaped, holoblastic, forming singly 4.2-4.8 x 0.7-1.2 µm (Fig. 6G).

**Substrate:** Isolated from wood and bark of *Rapanea melanophloeos*

**Distribution:** South Africa, Western Cape Province

**Specimens examined:** South Africa, Western Cape Province, KwaZulu-Natal, Weza Forest Reserve. Isolated from *Rapanea melanophloeos*, September 2010, T. Musvuugwa, holotype PREM (pending), culture ex-holotype T6 CMW (pending) = CBS (pending), **paratype** PREM (pending), culture ex-paratype T124 CMW (pending) = CBS (pending), **paratype** PREM (pending), culture ex-paratype T125 CMW (pending) = CBS (pending).
Figure 6. Micrographs of *Sporothrix lunataeae*. A. Perithecium removed from bark of *Rapanea melanophloeos*. B. Tip of perithecial neck. C. Ascospores. D. Two-week-old colony on MEA. E-F. Conidiogenous cells showing prominent denticles. G. Conidia.
*Sporothrix noisomeae* Musvuugwa, LL. Dreyer and F. Roets sp. nov. Mycobank: pending.

Etymology: The epithet *noisomeae* (*noisome* = foal smell) refers to the foal smell produced by the colonies of this species.

*Ascomata* superficial on the host substrate, bases globose, black, with no hyphae, 104-146 (126 ± 15) µm diam; necks black, 658-1270 (1034 ± 265) µm long, 12-31 (23 ± 5) µm wide at the base, 4-9 (7 ± 1) µm wide at the apex, ostiolar hyphae hyaline, 24-33 (28 ± 3) long. *Asci* evanescent. *Ascospores* allantoid, aseptate, hyaline, 2.0-2.6 x 0.5-0.8 µm, accumulating a gelatinous droplet at the tip of the neck, becoming whitish with age. *Colonies* white, turning blackish with age on MEA. Foal smelling when older, circular, edges entire. Optimal growth is at 25 ºC with a colony diameter of 28.7 mm (±1.1) after 10 days on MEA. No growth below 5 ºC or above 30ºC. *Conidiophores* 18.3-21.4 x 0.9-1.8 µm, hyaline, cylindrical (Fig. 7E-F), *Conidiogenous* cells arising from apex of conidiophores, hyaline (Fig. 7F), *Conidia* aseptate, hyaline, thin walled, smooth, spherical, form in masses, sometime brown in color, 2.2-3.8 x 2.2-3.4 µm (Fig. 7G).

*Substrate: Rapanea melanophloeos.*

*Distribution:* South Africa, KwaZulu-Natal

*Specimens examined:* South Africa, KwaZulu-Natal, Weza Forest Reserve. Isolated from *Rapanea melanophloeos*, September 2010, T. Musvuugwa, holotype PREM (pending), culture ex-holotype T11 CMW (pending) = CBS (pending), paratype PREM (pending), culture ex-paratype T3 CMW (pending) = CBS (pending), paratype PREM (pending), culture ex-paratype T12 CMW (pending) = CBS (pending), culture ex-paratype T13 CMW (pending) = CBS (pending).

3.4. Discussion

This study reports five members of the Ophiostomatales associated with wounds from *R. melanophloeos* trees found in the Afromontane forests of the CFR of South Africa. These species add to the several other species in the Ophiostomatales that have already been isolated from wounds of the same host in previous studies in these forests (Kamgan *et al.* 2008) and recently from subcortical beetles associated with *Rapanea* (Musvuugwa *et al.* 2013, Chapter 2). The total number of Ophiostomatales species now known from this host is nine. Four species from two different species complexes were newly described here. Given the relatively high number of members of Ophiostomatales collected from *R. melanophloeos* from only a few studies done in
the Afromontane forests (present study and previous studies e.g. Musvuugwa et al. 2013, Chapter 2; Kamgan et al. 2008), there is a possibility of even more Ophiostomatales fungi associated with this tree species. An interesting next step would be to assess the type of relationship these fungi share with this host tree.

Four of the species collected in this study belong to the *S. schenckii-O. stenoceras* complex (De Beer et al. 2013b), including three of the newly described species. This adds to an already high diversity of species from southern Africa found in this complex (Musvuugwa et al. 2013, Chapter 2; Kamgan et al. 2012; Roets et al. 2009; 2007; de Meyer et al. 2008; Zhou et al. 2006). This complex includes species collected from different host types, including the mite-associated species from *Protea* infructescences (Roets et al. 2009; 2007), human pathogens (Marimon et al. 2007; Hektoen & Perkins 1900) and subcortical beetle-associated species (Musvuugwa et al. 2013, Chapter 2). Many taxa are also known from “environmental” samples such as soil, air and decaying wood (De Beer & Wingfield 2013b). The ecology of species in this complex thus varies greatly and it would be interesting to determine the ecology of the taxa associated with wounds on *Rapanea*.

The newly described *Sporothrix reniformis* was collected from *Rapanea* hosts in indigenous forests surrounding the Harold Porter National Botanical Garden. The species is closely related to *S. aemuluphilus*, a recently described species from the same *R. melanophloeos* host (Musvuugwa et al. 2013, Chapter 2). *Sporothrix aemuluphilus* is an associate of the ambrosia beetle *Xyleborinus aemulus* Wollaston (Scolytinae) that infest *R. melanophloeos* trees at the same site (Harold Porter National Botanical Garden) from which *S. reniformis* was collected (Musvuugwa et al. 2013, Chapter 2). Besides being isolated from the same host tree and from the same area, these two taxa are also similar in characters such as the presence of osteolar hyphae and similar colony growth forms on MEA (Musvuugwa et al. 2013, Chapter 2). The two species differ in other characters such as the presence of ornamental hyphae on perithecial bases in *S. reniformis*, which are absent in *S. aemuluphilus* and the kidney-shaped ascospores in *S. reniformis*, while *S. aemuluphilus* has allantoid shaped spores (Musvuugwa et al. 2013, Chapter 2).

*Sporothrix rapaneae* was collected from Groenkop forest and analyses of βT and CAL data resolved it as sister to *O. candidum* and *S. aemuluphilus*, respectively. The
relationship with \textit{S. aemuluphilus} was, however, more strongly supported than that with \textit{O. candidum}. Similarities shared with \textit{S. aemuluphilus} include the presence of osteolar hyphae and the production of allantoid-shaped ascospores. \textit{Sporothrix rapaneae} differs from \textit{S. aemuluphilus} in its optimal growth temperatures (30 °C for \textit{S. aemuluphilus} and 25 °C \textit{S. rapaneae}) and average sizes of morphological structures (Musvuugwa \textit{et al.} 2013, Chapter 2). \textit{Sporothrix rapaneae} and \textit{S. aemuluphilus} differ from \textit{O. candidum} in basal ornamentation of perithecia, which is present in \textit{O. candidum}, but absent in \textit{S. rapaneae}, the production of ascomata on colonies of \textit{O. candidum}, but not in \textit{S. rapaneae} as well as in average sizes of morphological structures (Nkuekam \textit{et al.} 2012). They are similar in having the same optimal growth temperatures and having osteolar hyphae (Nkuekam \textit{et al.} 2012). \textit{Ophiostoma candidum} was isolated from a wound on the exotic \textit{Eucalyptus cloeziana} F. Muell in the Limpopo Province of South Africa where it was found to be associated with a Cerambycidae beetle (Kamgan Nkuekam \textit{et al.} 2012). There is no arthropod associate currently known for \textit{Sporothrix rapaneae}.

Phylogenetic analyses of the three gene regions suggest that \textit{Sporothrix lunateae} is closely related to several species (e.g. \textit{O. fusiforme}, \textit{O. lunatum} and \textit{O. abietinum}), although ITS data showed it to be the sister species to \textit{O. aurorae}. \textit{Ophiostoma aurorae} was isolated from the root-feeding \textit{Hylastes angustatus} (Herbst) that infest \textit{Pinus patula} Schiede & Deppe in South Africa (Zhou \textit{et al.} 2006). It is characterised by ornamented bases with light grey hyphae and allantoid ascospores (Zhou \textit{et al.} 2006). These characters were not observed in \textit{S. lunateae}. Several of the species in a clade closely related to the one \textit{S. lunateae} belongs to, are wood-inhabiting species (De Beer \textit{et al.} 2013b). \textit{Ophiostoma fusiforme}, for example, was isolated from \textit{Populus nigra} L. and \textit{Quercus petraea} (Matt.) Liebl. in Azerbaijan and Austria, respectively. \textit{Ophiostoma lunatum} is known from Austria, where it was isolated from \textit{Carpinus betulus} L. and \textit{Larix decidua} Mill., while \textit{O. abietinum} was isolated from pine wood (Aghayeva \textit{et al.} 2004). Although they are all wood inhabiting species with \textit{Sporothrix}-like anamorphs and share other similarities such as presence of osteolar hyphae, they still differ in several characters such as their basal hyphal ornamentation (present in \textit{O. fusiforme} and \textit{O. lunatum}), the shape of their ascospores and the average measurements of morphological structures (Aghayeva \textit{et al.} 2004).
The isolates of *Ophiostoma stenoceras* collected in this study were from Weza Forest. It is a well-known sapwood colonising fungus that was first described in Norway from ground wood pulp (Robak 1932). It has also been isolated from several hardwood trees and many coniferous hosts, mostly in the Northern Hemisphere (Griffin 1968). It has rarely been collected in the Southern Hemisphere, although it is known from pine trees in New Zealand (Schirp *et al* 1999) and from *Eucalyptus* spp. in South Africa (De Beer *et al*. 2003). It has several synonyms, including *O. ponderasae* (T.E. Hinds & R.W. Davidson) Georg Hausner, J. Reid & Klassen, *O. albidum* Math.-Käärik (De Beer *et al*. 2003) and *Ceratocystis eucastaneae* R.W. Davidson, (Updhyay 1981). Although this species causes slight gray stain on pines (Kaarik 1980), it is not considered economically important (Griffith 1968).

*Sporothrix noisomeae*, another newly described species from Weza Forest, belongs to the *Sporothrix lignivora* complex (De Beer *et al*. 2013b) based on βT data. Species found in this complex differ from other *Sporothrix* species in the *S. schenckii-O. stenoceras* complex in that their βT introns (-4/5) differ (De Beer *et al*. 2013b). Species found in this complex include *S. lignivora* isolated from wooden utility poles in South Africa? (De Meyer *et al*. 2008), and two undescribed taxa isolated from Thuja in Canada (Lim *et al*. 2005) and from Yucca roots in the USA (Khidir *et al*. 2010). Our phylogenetic analyses of *S. noisomeae* supports results of De Beer *et al* (2013b) and Linnakoski *et al*. (2010) that the species in this complex form a distinct lineage with significant distance from other genera and complexes in the Ophiostomatales. De Beer *et al* (2013b) suggested that due to the distance of which this complex groups from other complexes and genera in the Ophiostomatales, the group may represent a separate genus. The complex is, however, still treated as of uncertain status until more data and material can be included (De Beer *et al*. 2013b). This uncertainty mostly results from previous studies on species in this complex, in which phylogenetic placement differed depending on the specific marker used (De Beer *et al*. 2013b). Similar conflicting placements were also detected in this study, with ITS data showing a distinct clade sister to *Graphilbum*, while βT data proposed a distinct clade sister to *S. lignivora*. Based on CAL data, it formed a distinct clade, but it was not clear what the sister of this group would be as CAL data of *Sporothrix lignivora* and *Graphilbum* species are still lacking.
3.5. Conclusion

In this study we collected five members of the Ophiostomatales, including four new species, associated with wounds on *R. melanophloeos*. Four of the species belong to the *S. schenckii-O. stenoceras* complex, while the fifth one has provisionally been placed in the *Sporothrix lignivora* complex. Based on these results and those from previous studies, there is evidence of a relatively high diversity of ophiostomatoid fungi associated with this host tree in the Afromontane forests of South Africa. It is recommended that future studies look at the total number of taxa associated with this important tree, and that the pathogenicity of all the associated fungi is tested. If many prove to be pathogenic, it may prompt conservation managers to restrict bark harvesting activities on this species.
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Chapter 4: Three new ophiostomatoid fungi from wounds on storm-damaged trees in Afromontane forests of the Cape Floristic Region.

Abstract

Taxa such as plants, birds and mammals are well-studied in the Cape Floristic Region (CFR) of South Africa. However, little research has been done on micro-organisms such as fungi, even though these organisms play vital roles in the ecology and evolution of other organisms in this region. Ophiostomatoid fungi, a well-studied, tree-associated fungal group includes some of the globally most important pathogenic fungi, but very little is known about their presence and associations in the Afromontane forests of the CFR. To date, most research on them have been focussed on *Rapanea melanophloeos*, but numerous other important tree species have not yet been surveyed for their presence. Therefore, the aim of this study was to document the diversity of ophiostomatoid fungi associated with wounds on other Afromontane forest tree taxa in the CFR. Storm damaged trees in various Afromontane forest patches were surveyed and fungi were isolated from bark and wood samples. Three undescribed ophiostomatoid species, belonging to three different genera and two orders, were identified based on micro-morphological and phylogenetic analyses. These are newly described here as *Graphilbum roseus* sp. nov., *Graphium ilexiense* so. nov. and *Sporothrix capensis* sp. nov. *Sporothrix capensis*, a species that groups within the *S. schenckii - O.stenoceras* species complex, was associated with *Olea capensis*. *Graphilbum roseus* was isolated from several host tree species including *Curtisia dentata*, *Halleria lucida* and *Pterocelastrus tricuspidatus* while *Graphium ilexiense* was the first ophiostomatoid fungus to be isolated from *Ilex mitis*. Results show the diversity of ophiostomatoid fungi in the region to be large, but still greatly understudied. Along with previous studies on ophiostomatoid fungi from this region, this study forms a platform for future studies on the ecological significance of this important group of fungi within the CFR.

Key words: Ophiostomatales, Microascales, wound-associated, phylogeny
4.1. Introduction

The Cape Floristic Region (CFR), an internationally recognised biodiversity hotspot at the southern tip of South Africa (Myers et al. 2000), is characterised by high levels of plant gamma-diversity and endemism (Goldblatt & Manning 2000). Even though taxa such as plants, birds and mammals have been well-documented in the CFR (Kerley et al. 2003; Brooks et al. 2001; Stattersfield et al. 1998; Cowling & Hilton-Taylor 1994), generally few studies have been conducted on the diversity of less conspicuous taxa such as fungi. This is despite the fact that fungal diversity in the CFR is also thought to be high, given that in South Africa there is an estimated 200 000 fungal species associated with native plants (Crous et al. 2006). Based on previous research, for example Kamgan et al. (2008), a large proportion of these fungal taxa may be associated with trees in Afromontane forests, an important component of the CFR vegetation (Mucina & Rutherford 2006; Goldblatt & Manning 2002). Currently, however, very little is known about fungi associated with native trees in these forests (Taylor et al. 2001; Castello et al. 1995).

The Afromontane forests are evergreen, with trees reaching 10-30 meters in height (Turpie et al. 2003). Some important canopy trees include Assegaihout (Curtisia dentata C.A. Sm.), various Yellowwoods (Podocarpus spp. Persoon), Ironwood (Olea capensis L. ssp. macrocarpa (C. H. Wright) I. Verd.) and Cape Beech (Rapanea melanophloeos Mez) (Van Wyk & Van Wyk 1997). In the CFR, most of these forests are found in the Tsitsikamma area. Further westward they occur in small, fragmented patches on mountains, foothills, coastal platforms, river valleys and dunes along the coastal regions of the Western Cape Province, South Africa (Geldenhuys 2010; Lubke & McKenzie 1996). These forests are of ecological, economic and cultural importance. Tree species such as Ocotea bullata (Burch.) Baill, Olinia ventosa (L.) Cufod. and Podocarpus spp. are economically important for timber used in carpentry (Turpie et al. 2003). Others are used culturally for medicinal purposes. The bark of R. melanophloeos, C. dentata and O. bullata, for example, is used by local people for the treatment of various ailments (Vermeulen et al. 2012).

The ophiostomatoid fungi represent one of the best-studied tree-associated fungal groups. This polyphyletic taxon (De Beer et al. 2013a) includes some of the globally best-known pathogenic fungi (Kamgan Nkuekam et al. 2012; Heath et al. 2009).
Many of its members can cause rot diseases, cankers and wilting that in some cases lead to death of the infected trees (Barnes et al. 2005; Roux et al. 2005; Wingfield et al. 1993). These fungi are grouped into two orders, the Microascales that contains the genera Ceratocystis Ellis & Halst., Knoxadiesia M.J. Wingf., P.S. van Wyk & Marasas and Graphium Corda and the Ophiostomatales that contains genera such as Raffaelea Arx & Hennebert, Ceratocystiopsis H.P. Upadhyay & W.B. Kendr., Graphilbum H.P. Upadhyay & W.B. Kendr., Ophiostoma Syd. and Leptographium Lagerb. & Melin (De Beer et al. 2013b). Some well-documented examples of pathogenic ophiostomatoid fungi include Ceratocystis fimbriata Ellis & Halst. s.l. and C. manginecans M. van Wyk, responsible for causing mango blight disease in Brazil, Oman and Pakistan (Van Wyk et al. 2005; Ribeiro 1980; Viégas 1960), Ophiostoma ulmi (Buisman) Nannf. and O. novo-ulmi Brasier, responsible for Dutch elm disease in Europe and America (Brasier 2001; Pipe et al. 2000), Ceratocystis fagacearum (Bretz) Hunt, responsible for oak wilt disease (Wingfield et al. 1993; Sinclair et al. 1987; Bretz 1952), Raffaelela lauricola T.C. Harr., Fraedrich & Aghayeva, responsible for the Laurel wilt disease in south-eastern USA (Harrington et al. 2008) and R. quercivora Kubono et Shin. Ito, responsible for oak die-back and mortality of Japanese oak trees (Kubono & Ito 2002).

Very little is known about the ophiostomatoid fungi in Africa, including South Africa (Taylor et al. 2001). Most research published on these fungi in South Africa focussed on the members associated with exotic plantation trees (Kamgan et al. 2012a; Zhou et al. 2006; Zhou et al. 2001; De Beer et al. 1995) and a few of these have proven to be pathogenic on their host trees. For example, Ceratocystis albifundus M.J. Wingf., De Beer & M.J. Morris, which is responsible for wattle wilt disease in Acacia mearnsii De Wild., has led to significant economic losses in South African plantations (Barnes et al. 2005; Roux et al. 2005; Roux et al. 1999; Wingfield et al. 1996). Ceratocystis fimbriata has been reported on Eucalyptus species, where it caused serious wilting and dieback (Roux et al. 2004). A few studies focussed on ophiostomatoid fungi associated with native trees, some of which occur in the Afromontane forests of South Africa. Many were collected from tree wounds caused by weather, animals and/or human practices. Documented examples in the Microascales include C. albifundus and C. savannae Kamgan Nkuekam & Jol. Roux that are associated with several native hosts (Roux et al. 2007) and C. tsitsikammensis Kamgan & Jol. Roux from
Ocotea bullata and Rapanea melanophloeos (Kamgan et al. 2008). Taxa in the Ophiostomatales known from wounds on trees in this region include Ophiostoma quercus (Georgev.) Nannf. from R. melanophloeos (Kamgan et al. 2008; De Beer et al. 1995), Sporothrix stenoceras (Robak) Nannf. and the recently described Sporothrix reniformis Musvuugwa, LL. Dreyer & F. Roets, S. noisomeae Musvuugwa, LL. Dreyer & F. Roets, S. lunateae Musvuugwa, LL. Dreyer & F. Roets and S. rapaneae Musvuugwa, LL. Dreyer & F. Roets from R. melanophloeos trees (Musvuugwa et al. 2013, Chapter 3). In addition, a few taxa are known from subcortical beetles infesting native trees species in the Afromontane forests. These include Sporothrix aemuluphilus Musvuugwa, LL. Dreyer & F. Roets and Raffaelea rapanea Musvuugwa, LL. Dreyer & F. Roets from R. melanophloeos as well as S. pallida (Tubaki) Matsush and R. scabbardiae from O. capensis ssp. macrocarpa (Musvuugwa et al. 2013, Chapter 2).

It is evident that a large diversity of ophiostomatoid fungi is associated with Afromontane forest trees in the CFR. However, very little is known about taxa associated tree taxa other than R. melanophloeos. During recent surveys of fungi associated with wounds on these trees, three possibly new and undescribed ophiostomatoid taxa were collected. The present study therefore sets out to evaluate the identity of these taxa based on morphological and molecular phylogenetic comparisons.

4.2. Materials and methods

4.2.1. Sampling of plant material and fungal isolation

Sampling was conducted in various native forests of the Cape Floristic Region, including Groenkop Forest Reserve (S 33°56′32.10″ E 22°32′50.38″), Gouldveld (S 33°54′44.38″ E 23°0′10.30″), Gouna (S 33°57′2.584″ E 23°2′9.80″) and Assegaibos (S 33°58′23.10″ E 18°56′11.38″) during 2010 to 2012. Bark and wood samples were collected from wounds on various storm-damaged native trees from which ophiostomatoid fungi were recovered following the methods of Musvuugwa et al. 2013 (Chapter 3). Purified cultures were maintained on Petri dishes containing 2%
malt extract agar MEA (MEA; Biolab, Midrand, South Africa) at 4°C until further use. Representatives of pure cultures of collected isolates are preserved in the culture collection (CMW) of the Forestry and Agricultural Biotechnology Institute (FABI) at the University of Pretoria, South Africa.

4.2.2. Morphological characterisation

Where available, perithicia and ascospores of the ophiostomatoid were collected from plant material and mounted in clear lactophenol on microscope slides. Conidia and conidiophores formed in culture were treated similarly and all structures were studied using a Leica EZ4 microscope (Leica Microsystems (Schweiz) AG, Taiwan). Photographs of structures were taken using a Leica digital camera mounted on the microscope. Based on micro-morphology and culture characteristics on MEA, isolates were grouped according to morpho-type after two weeks of growth at 25°C in the dark. Twenty-five measurements of all morphologically and taxonomically characteristic structures were made for isolates chosen as types of the suspected undescribed species. The maximum and minimum measurement for each taxonomically informative structure was noted and the means (± standard deviation) were calculated.

4.2.3. DNA extraction, amplification and sequencing

At least three isolates representing each morph-type were randomly chosen for DNA sequencing (Table 1). Following the manufacturer’s instructions, a Sigma-Aldrich™ plant extraction kit (USA) was used for the extraction of genomic DNA. To amplify the ITS and 5.8S gene regions, the primers ITS1-f (Gardes & Bruns 1993) and ITS4 (White et al. 1990) were used. Preliminary phylogenetic placement of fungi based on ITS sequence data (Table 1) was used to determine which other gene regions to amplify for accurate identification, following De Beer et al. (2013a & b).

For the relevant isolates, amplification of Beta-tubulin gene fragments was performed using the primers T10 (O’Donnell & Cigelnik 1997) and Bt2b (Glass & Donaldson 1995). Primers LROR and LR5 (Vilgalys & Hester 1990) were used to amplify the
gene region comprising the nuclear large sub-unit rDNA. Part of the transcription elongation factor-1α gene was amplified using primers EF1-F and EF2-R (Jacobs et al. 2004). PCR for Calmodulin was achieved using primers CL2F (5’-GACAAGGAYGGYGATGGT-3’) and CL2R (5’-TTCTGCATCATGAGYTGSAC-3’) (Duong et al. 2012) and in cases where amplification was problematic, CL2R2 (Duong et al. 2012) was used in the place of CL2R or a combination of CL3F and CL3R was used. For each gene region, PCR reaction mixtures, volumes and conditions for amplification followed methods described in Musvuugwa et al. (2013) (Chapter 2).

Amplified PCR products were separated using agarose gel electrophoresis stained with GelRed (Biotium, Inc., California, USA) and visualized under ultraviolet light. Following the manufacturer’s instructions, all amplified PCR products were cleaned using the EXOSAP-IT kit (USB Corporation, Cleveland, Ohio, U.S.A.). Purified fragments were sequenced using the respective PCR primers and a Big Dye™ Terminator v3.0 cycle sequencing premix kit (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, CA, U.S.A.) and analysed on an ABI PRISIM™ 3100 Genetic Analyser (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, CA, U.S.A.). Both DNA strands were sequenced and the consensus sequences were constructed using CLC Genomics Workbench software package (CLC Bio, Cambridge, MA).

4.2.4. Phylogenetic analyses

Datasets were analysed using Bayesian inference (BI) and maximum likelihood (ML) procedures. A Markov chain Monte Carlo approach (MCMC) using MrBayes 3.1.2 (Ronquist & Huelsenbeck 2003) was used to perform Bayesian inference analyses. Two Markov chains were run simultaneously independent of each other for 10 million generations starting from a random tree. A sample frequency of 2000 was implemented, in which burn-in trees (first 25 000 generations) were discarded. The remaining trees were pooled into a 50% majority rule consensus tree. An online version of PhyML 3.0 (Guindon & Gascuel 2003) was used to perform ML analyses. Using jModelTest 0.1.1 (Posada 2008), the best fit substitution models were determined using Akaike information criteria (Akaike 1974) and confidence support values for nodes were estimated using 1000 replication bootstrap analyses.
Table 1. Culture collection and GenBank accession numbers for strains of ophiostomatoid fungi isolated from different native CFR trees that were sequenced in this study.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Species</th>
<th>ITS</th>
<th>Bt</th>
<th>EF</th>
<th>LSU</th>
<th>CAL</th>
<th>Host plant</th>
<th>Site</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><em>Graphilbum roseus</em> sp. nov.</td>
<td>T46</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>T46</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td><em>Curtisia dentata</em></td>
<td>Gouna Forest</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>T72</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>T72</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td><em>Curtisia dentata</em></td>
<td>Gouna Forest</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>T79</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>T79</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td><em>Pterocelastrus tricuspidatus</em></td>
<td>Groenkop Forest</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>T81</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td><em>Curtisia dentata</em></td>
<td>Gouna Forest</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>T82</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>T82</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td><em>Halleria lucida</em></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Graphium ilexiense</em> sp. nov.</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td><em>Ilex mitis</em></td>
<td>Assegaaibosch</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>T202</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>T202</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td><em>Ilex mitis</em></td>
<td>Assegaaibosch</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>T203</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td><em>Ilex mitis</em></td>
<td>Assegaaibosch</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Sporothrix capensis</em> sp. nov.</td>
<td>T206</td>
<td>T206</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td><em>Olea capensis</em></td>
<td>Gouldveld Forest</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>T207</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td><em>Olea capensis</em></td>
<td>Gouldveld Forest</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>T39</td>
<td>T39</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td><em>Olea capensis</em></td>
<td>Gouldveld Forest</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

4.2.5. Growth in culture

The temperature for optimal growth of selected type cultures of new taxa was determined by transferring mycelium covered disks of agar (10 mm diam) from edges of actively growing 1 week old cultures to the centres of 90 mm fresh Petri dishes containing 20 mL MEA. These plates were incubated in the dark at a range of different temperatures (from 5 °C to 35 °C at intervals of 5 °C) for 10 days in the dark. The experiment was replicated five times. After the 10 day incubation period, colony diameters were determined by calculating the average of 2 perpendicular measurements per colony and then calculating the mean (± standard deviation) for each species at each temperature.
4.3. Results

4.3.1. Fungal isolates and morphological characterisation

In total, 21 isolates morphologically resembling ophiostomatoid fungi were isolated from wounds found on different native trees in the different native forests sampled. Based on micro-morphological and colony characteristics, these isolates were grouped into three different Operations Taxonomic Units (OTU’s) (Table 1). These comprised of seven isolates resembling species in the genus *Sporothrix*, eight isolates resembling species in the genus *Graphilbum* and six isolates resembling species in the genus *Graphium*. Isolates in the OTU resembling species in *Sporothrix* were characterised by white to cream coloured colonies all collected from *O. capensis* ssp. *macrocarpa* in Gouldveld. Isolates belonging to the OTU resembling *Graphilbum* were collected from *Curtisia dentata*, *Halleria lucida* L., *Pterocelastrus tricuspidatus* Loes, *Trichocladus crinitus* (Thunb.) Pers. and *O. capensis* ssp. *macrocarpa* from the Groenkop and Gouna forests. These were characterised by producing synnemata that form conidia in sticky, pink droplets. Isolates of the OTU resembling *Graphium* were isolated from *Ilex mitis* (L.) Radlk. from Assegaibosch and produced white to greyish colonies.

4.3.2. Phylogenetic analyses

ITS data placed two of the collected OTU’s into the order Ophiostomatales and the third OTU into the order Macroascales. The phylogenetic placement of the OTU with *Sporothrix*-like anamorphs was further investigated using ITS (*Ophiostoma & Graphilbum* data set) and βT data. Placement of the OTU with *Graphilbum*-like anamorphs was assessed using ITS (*Ophiostoma & Graphilbum* data set) data only. The phylogenetic placement of the OTU belonging to the genus *Graphium* was assessed using both ITS (*Graphium* data set) and EF data. After alignment of the data sets the ITS (*Ophiostoma & Graphilbum* data set) data consisted of 75 taxa and 723 characters, the βT data set consisted of 46 taxa and 269 characters, ITS (*Graphium* data set) consisted of 53 taxa and 560 characters and EF data set consisted of 19 taxa and 610 characters. GenBank accession numbers for all other taxa used in analyses are presented on the respective phylogenetic trees (Figs. 1-4). Table 2 presents
statistical values obtained from analyses of the different data sets and the substitution models chosen.

Analyses of ITS (Ophiostoma & Graphilbum data set) and βT data resulted in similar phylogenetic placement (Fig. 1 & 2) of the Sporothrix-like OTU (hereafter referred to as Sporothrix capensis sp. nov.). Analyses of ITS data resulted in this species forming a weakly supported clade sister to Sporothrix rapaneae Musvuugwa, LL. Dreyer & F. Roets and very closely related to S. aemulphilus Musvuugwa, LL. Dreyer & F. Roets and Ophiostoma candidum Kamgan-Nkuek., Jol. Roux & Z. W. de Beer (Fig. 1). Analyses of βT resulted in a strongly supported clade sister to O. candidum (Fig. 2). Phylogenetic analyses of the ITS data (Ophiostoma & Graphilbum data set) resulted in the OTU resembling Graphilbum (hereafter referred to as: Graphilbum roseus sp. nov.) grouping strongly as a distinct taxon sister to an unnamed Graphilbum species previously collected from Pinus radiata D. Don in Australia (Thwaites et al. 2005) (Fig. 1). The taxon resembling Graphium (hereafter referred to as: Graphium ilexiense sp. nov.) grouped together with G. basitrancatum (Matsush) Seifert & G. Okada as sister to G. carbonarium Paciura, Z.W. de Beer, X.D. Zhou & M.J. Wingf based on analyses of ITS (Graphium data set) data (Fig. 3), while based on EF data it formed a distinct clade sister to G. carbonarium (Fig. 4).
Table 2. Parameters used and statistical values obtained from Maximum Likelihood (ML) and Bayesian Inference (BI) analyses of the four datasets

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Dataset</th>
<th>ITS (Ophiostoma)</th>
<th>ITS (Graphium)</th>
<th>BT</th>
<th>EF</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Number of characters</td>
<td>723</td>
<td>560</td>
<td>269</td>
<td>610</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ML Substitution model</td>
<td>GTR+I+G</td>
<td>GTR+G</td>
<td>HKY+G</td>
<td>HKY+I+G</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gamma shape</td>
<td>1.0030</td>
<td>0.5370</td>
<td>4.6660</td>
<td>0.7700</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BI Average Effective Sample Size</td>
<td>373</td>
<td>570</td>
<td>1053</td>
<td>1570</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Potential Scale Reduction Factor</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GTR Submodel Probability</td>
<td>0.366</td>
<td>0.158</td>
<td>0.114</td>
<td>0.191</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

4.3.3. Growth in culture

Cultures of the new fungal species belonging to *Sporothrix capensis* had a colony diameter of 42 mm (±1) at an optimal temperature of 25ºC after 10 days of growth in the dark. *Graphilbum roseus* grew optimally at 25ºC and reached a colony diameter of 29.5 mm (±1.4), while the *Graphium ilexiense* grew at an optimal temperature of 35ºC, with a mean colony diameter of 29.1 mm (±0.88) after growing for 10 days in the dark.
Figure 1: Bayesian Inference consensus tree (ITS Ophiostoma & Graphilbum data) of taxa related to species isolated in the present study. Values above nodes indicate posterior probabilities obtained through Bayesian Inference. Values below nodes indicate bootstrap values (1000 replicates) obtained from Maximum Likelihood analysis. Isolates in bold were collected in this study. Other isolates (GenBank accession numbers and isolate numbers shown (when available)) were selected for comparative purposes. Dashed lines demarcate the different genera or species complexes that the fungi belong to.
Figure 2: Bayesian Inference consensus tree (βt data) of taxa related to species isolated in the present study. Values above nodes indicate posterior probabilities obtained through Bayesian Inference. Values below nodes indicate bootstrap values (1000 replicates) obtained from Maximum Likelihood analysis. Isolates in bold were collected in this study. Other isolates (GenBank accession numbers and isolate numbers shown (when available)) were selected for comparative purposes.
Figure 3: Bayesian Inference consensus tree (ITS *Graphium* data) of taxa related to species isolated in the present study. Values above nodes indicate posterior probabilities obtained through Bayesian Inference. Values below nodes indicate bootstrap values (1000 replicates) obtained from Maximum Likelihood analysis. Isolates in bold were collected in this study. Other isolates (GenBank accession numbers and isolate numbers shown (when available)) were selected for comparative purposes. Dashed lines demarcate
Figure 4: Bayesian Inference consensus tree (EF data) of taxa related to species isolated in the present study. Values above nodes indicate posterior probabilities obtained through Bayesian Inference. Values below nodes indicate bootstrap values (1000 replicates) obtained from Maximum Likelihood analysis. Isolates in bold were collected in this study. Other isolates (GenBank accession numbers and isolate numbers shown (when available)) were selected for comparative purposes.
3.3.4. Taxonomy

All three of the taxa collected from wounds on native Afromontane forest trees were recognised as new species based on phylogenetic analyses and micro-morphological characteristics. They are therefore described here as new species as follows:

*Sporothrix capensis* Musvuugwa, LL. Dreyer and F. Roets sp. nov. Mycobank: pending. Fig. 5.

Etymology: The epithet *capensis* (capensis = of the Cape) refers to the Cape Floristic Region in which this fungus was collected.

*Ascomata* embedded in and superficial on the host substrate, bases 92-150 (118 ± 19) µm diam, globose, black, basal hyphae absent; necks black, 329-579 (421 ± 89) µm long, 17-32 (26 ± 5) µm wide at the base, 4-8 (5 ± 1) µm wide at the apex, osteolar hyphae, hyaline, 4.3-5.8 (5.3 ± 0.8) long (Fig. 5A & 5B). *Asci* evanescent. *Ascospores* allantoid, aseptate, hyaline, sheaths absent, 2.7-3.7 x 0.2-0.3 µm (Fig. 5C), accumulating in a transparent sticky droplet at the tip of the neck, translucent when dry. *Colonies* whitish to cream-coloured, fluffy towards the centre on MEA. Circular with entire edge (Fig. 5D), odourless. Colony diameter reaching 42 mm (±1) after 10 days on MEA at an optimal growth temperature of 25°C. No growth below 10°C or above 35°C. *Conidiophores* 4.2-8.3 x 0.6-1.0 µm, hyaline, tapering towards the apex, (Fig. 5E), *Conidiogenous* cells forming at the tips of conidiophores, hyaline, denticles present 0.5-1.5 (1 ± 0.3) µm long (Fig. 5E). *Conidia* hyaline, aseptate, oblong shaped, thin walled, holoblastic, 4.0-7.5 x 0.7-1.1 µm (Fig. 5F).

*Substrate*: Isolated from wound and bark of *Olea capensis* ssp. *macrocarpa*

*Distribution*: South Africa, Western Cape Province

*Specimens examined*: South Africa, Western Cape Province, Gouldveld. Isolated from an *Olea capensis* ssp. *macrocarpa* wound, October 2011, T. Musvuugwa, holotype PREM (pending), culture ex-holotype T39 CMW (pending) = CBS (pending). **Paratype** PREM (pending), culture ex-paratype T206 CMW (pending) = CBS (pending), **paratype** PREM (pending), culture ex-paratype T207 CMW (pending) = CBS (pending).
Figure 5. Micrographs of *Sporothrix capensis*. A. Perithecium removed from wounded bark of *Olea capensis*. B. Tip of perithecial neck. C. Ascospores. D. Two-week-old colony on MEA. E. Conidiophore showing conidiogenous cells with prominent denticles. F. Conidia.
Graphilbum roseus Musvuugwa, L.L. Dreyer and F. Roets sp. nov. Mycobank: pending. Fig. 6

Etymology: The epithet roseus (roseus = Latin for pink or rosy) refers to the pink colour of conidia produced by the synnemata.

Synnemata formed on the surface of the host substrate, also produced on agar after 4 weeks of growth in the dark at 25°C, black at the base, becoming dark brown towards the apex, 210-833 (377 ± 188) µm long, 10-24 (19 ± 5) µm wide at the base, 7-13 (10 ± 2) µm wide at the apex. Colonies cream at the edges, becoming pinkish to brown at the centre, hard in texture at the edges on MEA, edges entire. Synnemata arising directly on media (Fig. 6). Colony diameter reaching 29.5 mm (±1.4) after 10 days on MEA at the optimal growth temperature of 25°C. No growth below 10°C or above 30°C. Conidiogenous heads 60-91 µm over the widest part, brownish in color, becomes hyaline at the top (Fig. 6C), Conidia, hyaline, aseptate, smooth, thin walled and oblong shaped, forms in sticky slimy masses at tip of synnemata, pink, turning red when dry, 1.6 – 2.2 x 0.3 – 0.9 µm (Fig. 6D).

Substrate: Isolated from wound and bark of Curtisia dentata, Halleria lucida, Pterocelastrus tricuspidatus, Trichocladus crinitus and O. capensis ssp. macrocarpa

Distribution: South Africa, Western Cape Province

Specimens examined: South Africa, Western Cape Province, Gouna. Isolated from Rapanea melanophloeos, Curtisia dentata, Halleria lucida wounds, October 2011, T. Musvuugwa, holotype PREM (pending), culture ex-holotype T46 CMW (pending) = CBS (pending), paratype PREM (pending), culture ex-paratype T72 CMW (pending) = CBS (pending), paratype PREM (pending), culture ex-paratype T82 CMW (pending) = CBS (pending). Western Cape Province, Groenkop. Isolated Rapanea melanophloeos, October 2011. T. Musvuugwa, paratype PREM (pending), culture ex-paratype T79 CMW (pending) = CBS (pending)
Figure 6. Micrograph of *Graphilbum roseus*. A. Synnemata. B. Two-week-old colony on MEA. C. Head of synnema. D. Conidia at the tips of conidiogenous cells.
**Graphium ilexiense** Musvuugwa, LL. Dreyer and F. Roets sp. nov. Mycobank: pending. Fig. 7

Etymology: The epithet *ilexiense* refers to the host plant genus (*Ilex mitis*) from which this species was collected.

*Ascomata* not observed. *Colonies* white, greyish in the centre, fluffy appearance on MEA. Irregular shaped and edges scalloped (Fig. 7). Grows at an optimal temperature of 35°C on MEA with a colony diameter reaching 29.1 mm (±0.88) after 10 days. No growth below 10°C. Causes black staining on the wood on its host. *Conidiophores* 16.1-18.5 x 0.4-1.2 µm, hyaline, oblong in shape (Fig. 7B-C), *Conidiogenous* cells hyaline, forming at the tips of conidiophores, (Fig. 7C). *Conidia* hyaline, aseptate, oblong to obovate shaped, thin walled, 2.1-3.5 x 0.4-0.8 µm (Fig. 7D).

*Substrate*: Isolated from wound on *Ilex mitis*

*Distribution*: South Africa, Western Cape Province

*Specimens examined*: South Africa, Western Cape Province, Assegaibosch, Stellenbosch. Isolated from *Ilex mitis* wound, April 2010, T. Musvuugwa, holotype PREM (pending), culture ex-holotype T202 CMW (pending) = CBS (pending), paratype PREM (pending), culture ex-paratype 33 CMW (pending) = CBS (pending), paratype PREM (pending), culture ex-paratype T203 CMW (pending) = CBS (pending).
4.5. Discussion

Two species belonging to the Ophiostomatales and one species belonging to the Macroascales were isolated from wounds on native trees growing in the Afromontane forests of the CFR in this study. All proved to be new to science, and were described as members of three different genera. In previous CFR-based assessments of native host trees, a diverse array of ophiostomatoid fungal species were found associated with wounds (Musvuugwa et al. 2013, Chapter 3; Kamgan Nkuekam et al. 2008) and subcortical beetles (Musvuugwa et al. 2013, Chapter 3). Given that the three new species collected here belong to three different genera of ophiostomatoid fungi, it suggests that both the general diversity and the number of genera of ophiostomatoid fungi in the CFR may be much higher than had previously been anticipated. These findings suggest that additional research should be focussed on documenting the diversity of ophiostomatoid fungi associated with CFR tree hosts.

*Sporothrix capensis* belongs to the genus *Ophiostoma* and resolves within the *S. schenckii-O. stenoceras* complex (De Beer & Wingfield 2013) in which several species from these forests were recently placed (Musvuugwa et al. 2013, Chapter 2 &
3). It was isolated from wounds on \textit{O. capensis} ssp. \textit{macrocarpa}. Until the recent isolation of the beetle-associated \textit{Sporothrix pallida} (Tubaki) Matsushima and \textit{Raffaelea scabbardiae} Musvuugwa, LL. Dreyer & F. Roets (Musvuugwa \textit{et al}. 2013, Chapter 2), no other ophiostomatoid fungus had ever been recorded from this tree species. Phylogenetically \textit{Sporothrix capensis} formed a weakly supported clade sister to \textit{S. rapanea}. It also resolved very close to \textit{O. candidum} and \textit{S. aemulophilus} based on ITS data, but formed a strongly supported, distinct clade sister to \textit{O. candidum} based on \textit{ßT} data. \textit{Ophiostoma candidum} is a known associate of Cerambycidae beetles that were isolated from wounds on \textit{Eucalyptus cloeziana} F. Muell in South Africa (Kamgan Nkuekam \textit{et al}. 2012). \textit{Ophiostoma candidum} and \textit{S. capensis} share some characters, such as the presence of osteolar hyphae and the same optimal growth temperatures (Kamgan Nkuekam \textit{et al}. 2012). They differ in characters such as the production of ascomata on colonies in culture in \textit{O. candidum}, but not in \textit{S. capensis}. \textit{Ophiostoma candidum} also displays hyphal ornamentation on bases of perithicia, but this was absent in \textit{S. capensis}. Finally, the two species also differ in the average sizes of many morphological structures measured (Kamgan Nkuekam \textit{et al}. 2012).

\textit{Graphilbum roseus} belongs to \textit{Graphilbum}, a genus that is the most distinct of all the well-supported Ophiostomatales genera (De Beer & Wingfield 2013). The genus comprises of only six known species and seven undescribed species (De Beer & Wingfield 2013) collected from various parts of the world, including Europe, America and Australia (Kim \textit{et al}. 2005; Geldenhuis \textit{et al}. 2004). \textit{Graphilbum roseus} grouped strongly with \textit{Graphilbum} sp. 7., one of the undescribed taxa, which is only known as an anamorph (De Beer & Wingfield 2013). It was initially reported as a \textit{Pesotum} J.L. Crane & Schkn. species collected in Australia by Thwaites \textit{et al}. (2005). It was isolated from \textit{Pinus radiata} D. Don causing sapstain on the host tree (Thwaites \textit{et al}. 2005). \textit{Graphilbum roseus} share characters with other species in the genus, such as the presence of synnematous anamorphs that are \textit{Pesotum}-like and the production of conidia in slimy masses (De Beer \textit{et al}. 2013a). Although \textit{G. roseus} was isolated from wounds, some of the other species in the genus are associated with conifer-infesting bark beetles (De Beer \textit{et al}. 2013a). \textit{Graphilbum fragrans} (Mathiesen-Käärik) Z.W. de Beer, Seifert & M.J. Wingf., for example, has been isolated from \textit{Ips sexdentatus} Borner infesting \textit{Pinus sylvestris} L. in Sweden (Mathiesen-Käärik 1953). In South Africa, the same species was associated with \textit{Hylastes angustatus} Herbst infesting
*Pinus patula* Schiede ex Schltdl Cham. in Mpumalanga (Zhou *et al.* 2006). Unlike the other two species collected in this study, *G. roseus* was isolated from several host species, including *Curtisia dentata*, *Halleria lucida*, *Pterocelastrus tricuspidatus*, *Trichocladus crinitus* and *O. capensis* ssp. *macrocarpa*.

*Graphium ilexiense* belongs to the order Microascales. Based on ITS sequence data, it grouped with *G. basitrancatum* as sister to *G. carbonarium*. EF data resolved it as sister to *G. carbonarium* with very strong support. *Graphium carbonarium* was first described from China, where it was associated with a bark beetle (*Pissodes* sp. Germar) infesting *Salix babylonica* L. (Paciura *et al.* 2010). It is similar to *G. ilexiense* in that they both produce greyish colonies and they both produce black staining on wood (Paciura *et al.* 2010). The other closely related species, *G. basitrancatum*, was first isolated in the Solomon Islands from forest soil (Matsushima 1971). In Canada it has also been isolated from a leukaemia patient, confirming that this species can act as an opportunistic human pathogen (Deepali *et al.* 2007). A major difference between *G. ilexiense* and most other species in the genus is that it was wound-associated. Most other species, such as *G. carbonarium*, are bark beetle associated (De Beer *et al.* 2013b; Paciura *et al.* 2010; Geldenhuis *et al.* 2004; Okada *et al.* 2000). *Graphium* species isolated in South Africa include *G. adansoniae* Cruywagen, Z.W. de Beer & Jol. Roux, which was isolated from *Adansonia digitata* L. (Cruywagen *et al.* 2010) and *G. pseudormiticum*, isolated from a bark beetle on exotic pine trees (Mouton *et al.* 1994). There are no sexual stages known for species in this genus (De Beer *et al.* 2013b). To the best of our knowledge, no other ophiostomatoid fungus has to date been isolated from *Ilex mitis*.

### 4.6. Conclusion

Three new ophiostomatoid species, belonging to three different genera, were collected from wounds on native Afromontane tree hosts in this study. This brings the current total of ophiostomatoid species known from these forests to 18. Other known species include *Ceratocystis tsitsikammensis* Kamg. Nkuek. & Jol. Roux and *Pesotum fragrans* (Mathiesen-Käärik) G. Okada & Seifert-like fungus and *O. quercus* (Georgévitch) Nannf. Future research in this niche is therefore encouraged. One of the species, *Graphilbum roseus*, was associated from several native hosts, while the other
two were only collected from one host each. Future research should also explore the reasons for this observed host non-specificity in one species, and apparent specificity in the other two species. Our results represent the first discovery of an ophiostomatoid species isolated from *Ilex mitis*. This is significant, as several other CFR plants species have thus far been viewed as not associated with ophiostomatoid fungi. Future studies should focus on such tree species in order to ultimately understand the true diversity of ophiostomatoid fungi associated with the Afromontane forests of the CFR.
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Chapter 5: Association between sub-cortical beetles (Coleoptera: Curculionidae), mites (Acari) and ophiostomatoid fungi (Ascomycota: Ophiostomatales) on trees in the Cape floristic Region of South Africa

Abstract

Afromontane forests form a vital component of the Cape Floristic Region (CFR), but very little research has been conducted on the diversity of organisms associated with trees in these forests. Ophiostomatoid fungi are well-known associates of arthropods that infest forest trees and many can cause disease. They are usually associated with bark- and ambrosia-beetles, but some are also associated with other arthropods such as mites. In this study we document the diversity of ophiostomatoid fungi and their associated arthropods on CFR trees, focussing on those associated with wounds, sub-cortical beetles and mites. Sampling was conducted in various indigenous forest patches throughout the CFR. Bark and wood samples were collected from trees showing signs of subcortical beetle activity or from wounds on storm damaged trees. Ophiostomatoid fungi were isolated from sub-cortical beetles emerging from samples, their galleries, their phoretic mites and from wounds and wound-associated mites. Fungal isolates representing different Operational Taxonomic Units were identified using molecular markers (ITS, BT, CAL, EF, LSU). Fourteen species of fungi that belong to the Ophiostomatales and a single representative from the Microascales were isolated from only nine native tree hosts. Three species, including two apparently undescribed species, were isolated exclusively from Pinus species. Many species were found to be fairly specific towards their tree hosts. However, a few species were isolated from both native and non-native tree hosts. Similarly, one of the mite taxa was associated with both native and non-native arthropods and hosts. Interestingly, wound-associated fungi seemed to be less host specific than sub-cortical beetle associated taxa. Results of this study highlighted the very rich diversity of ophiostomatoid fungi, sub-cortical beetles and mites associated with trees in the CFR. It provides the foundation for future studies on the ecology of these important organisms.

Key words: Bark-beetle interaction, mite-fungus interaction, phoresy, fungal diversity
5.1. Introduction

The Cape Floristic Region (CFR) at the southern tip of South Africa is an internationally recognised biodiversity hotspot (Myers et al. 2000). The region displays exceptionally high levels of plant diversity and endemism (Goldblatt & Manning 2000), but information on other taxa such as micro-organisms is generally lacking. The paucity in knowledge regarding microbial diversity is not unique to the CFR, as in South Africa as a whole, only about 800 of the estimated 200 000 plant-associated fungal species have been described (Crous et al. 2006). This lack in knowledge on CFR fungal biodiversity has resulted in an overwhelming lack of research on the ecology of native fungi.

Afromontane forests form a relatively small, but vital, component of CFR vegetation and are found as small fragmented patches within river valleys, on mountains, foothills and on coastal platforms along the southern and southwestern coastal areas (Geldenhuys 2010; Morgenthal & Cilliers 2000). They are evergreen forests with closed canopies and are confined to fertile, well-watered areas (Geldenhuys 1997). The largest Afromontane forests in the CFR are located in the Tsitsikamma and Knysna regions. This vegetation type also extends through the northeastern parts of South Africa and northwards into Swaziland and Mozambique. The most common canopy trees in these forests include ironwood (Olea capensis L. ssp. macrocarpa), assegaihout (Curtisia dentata C.A. Sm.), yellowwoods (Podocarpus Persoon spp.), Cape beech (Rapanea melanophloeos Mez) and stinkwood (Ocotea bullata (Burch.) Baill).

Although the CFR forests are extremely important for ecosystem functioning, very limited research has been conducted on the diversity of potential pathogens present in these forests (Castello et al. 1995). These studies are extremely important, as fungi have the potential to kill trees and disrupt normal ecosystem processes, especially under altered environmental conditions (Brasier 2008; Harrington et al. 2008; Pipe et al. 2000; Heiniger & Rigling1994;). For example, in the CFR a recent study identified a serious stem canker disease on R. melanophloeos that is caused by a new pathogen in a semi-natural botanical garden setting (Chen et al. 2013). The influence of exotic fungi on trees within these forests has also become apparent with species such as Phytophthora cinnamomi Rands and Armillaria mellea (Vahl. Fr.) Kummer causing
disease and death of many plant taxa in these forests (Wingfield et al. 2010; Doidge & Bottomley 1931).

Studies on CFR tree-associated pathogens have been extended recently to include the ophiostomatoid fungi (Ascomycota: Ophiostomatales and Microascales) and their associated organisms (e.g. Kamgan et al. 2008). Ceratocystis Ellis & Halst. spp. have been found to sometimes be very aggressive pathogens to hosts trees. Ceratocystis. tsiitsikamensis Kamgan & Jol. Roux, for example, is a wound infecting fungus, which formed significant lesions when inoculated on its host tree R. melanophloeos (Kamgan et al. 2008). Regular vectors that transport Ceratocystis fungi to wounds on trees include nitidulid beetles (Kamgan Nkuekam et al. 2012b; Cease & Juzwik 2001; Moller & Devay 1968) and bark beetles (Harrington & Wingfield 1998; Wingfield et al. 1997; Redfern et al. 1987). Associations between these organism groups are unfortunately still understudied in the CFR. Although the importance and diversity of Ceratocystis in the CFR has received some attention (Kamgan et al. 2008), little is known about the diversity and ecology of other ophiostomatoid genera and their associated organisms in these forests.

Ophiostomatoid fungi are represented by genera such as Ceratocystis, Ophiostoma H. Syd & P. Syd., Ceratocystiopsis Upadhyay & Kendrick, Knoxaviesia Wingfield, Van Wyk & Marasas and Graphium Corda (De Beer et al. 2013). These genera do not form a monophyletic lineage as the genera Ophiostoma H. Syd & P. Syd, Ceratocystiopsis Upadhyay & Kendrick, Graphilbum H.P. Upadhyay & W.B. Kendr., Raffaelea Arx & Hennebert and Leptographium Lagerb. & Melin belong to the Ophiostomatales, while Ceratocystis Ellis & Halst., Knoxaviesia M.J. Wingf., P.S. van Wyk & Marasas and Graphium Corda resolve in the Microascales (De Beer et al. 2013; Spatafora & Blackwell 1994; Hausner et al. 1993). Despite this polyphyly, these taxa are often still collectively referred to as ophiostomatoid fungi due to their morphological and ecological similarities. Members of both groups are important tree pathogens (Woolhouse et al. 2005; Brasier & Buck 2001; Zhou et al. 2001; Roux & Wingfield 1997; Viégas 1960; Ribeiro 1980). Ophiostoma, for example, includes several virulent pathogens such as the well-known Ophiostoma ulmi (Buisman) Nannf. and O. novo-ulmi Brasier, responsible for the Dutch elm disease pandemics in North America and Europe (Brasier & Buck 2001; Heybroek 1993; Lamb 1979). Ophiostoma species are also widely responsible for sapstain on lumber logs and
pulpwood, leading to high economic losses (Zhou et al. 2001; Seifert 1993; Lagerberg et al. 1927). Some *Raffaelea* species are also serious plant pathogens, including *Raffaelea lauricola* T.C. Harr., Fraedrich & Aghayeva which is responsible for the laurel wilt disease that kills members of the Lauraceae in the southeastern USA (Harrington et al. 2008).

A large number of fungi from the Ophiostomatales are associated with bark and ambrosia beetles (Scolytinae and Platypodinae) known to infest trees (Harrington 2005; Six 2003; Whitney 1982). The beetles often gain nutritional benefits from their fungal associates (Eckhardt et al. 2004; Klepzig & Six 2004; Six & Paine 1998; Baker & Norris 1968) and in turn vector the fungi from one host tree to the next, suggesting a mutualistic association (Klepzig & Six 2004; Six 2003; Whitney 1982). In some cases the bark beetle associates have evolved specialised spore carrying structures (mycangia) to help maintain and protect the fungi from desiccation and contaminant fungi during transport (Klepzig & Six 2004; Paine & Birch 1983; Barras & Perry 1972; Batra 1963). However, not all ophiostomatoid fungi are associated with bark or other sub-cortical beetles (Hofstetter & Moser 2014; Harrington et al. 2008). Nitidulid beetles (Kamgan Nkuekam et al. 2012a; 2012b), cerambycid beetles (Jacobs & Kirisits 2003; Jacobs & Wingfield 2001), weevils (Kirisits 2004; Jacobs & Wingfield 2001) and even mites (Klepzig et al. 2001a; Roets et al. 2007; Moser 1985) have also been implicated as common associates. The association between mites and ophiostomatoid fungi has also proven to sometimes be mutualistic (e.g. Roets et al. 2007; Lombardero et al. 2003; Klepzig et al. 2001a; 2001b; Moser 1985). Again, some mites have evolved specialised flap-like structures to house ascospores of associated fungi when in transit between host plants (Hofstetter & Moser 2014; Roets et al. 2007; Moser et al. 1995; Moser 1985; Bridges & Moser 1983).

Most knowledge regarding the diversity and arthropod-associations of ophiostomatoid fungi in the Ophiostomatales are confined to the Northern Hemisphere. Most South African studies have focussed on non-native forestry taxa like pines, *Eucalyptus* L’Hér spp. and *Acacia* Mill. spp. (Kamgan Nkuekam et al. 2012a; Zhou et al. 2006; Zhou et al. 2001; De Beer et al. 1995). A few studies shifted this focus to also include taxa that are associated with native trees (Kamgan et al. 2008; Roets et al. 2008; 2006; Marais & Wingfield 2001; 1997; 1994; De Beer et al. 1995). More recently it has become apparent that a large number of undescribed taxa are associated with trees
in CFR forests (Musvuugwa et al. 2013, Chapters 2, 3 & 4). Only one of these studies investigated the association between arthropods and fungi (Musvuugwa et al. 2013, Chapter 2). In the present study we set out to build on these initial studies and document the diversity of ophiostomatoid fungi and their associated arthropods on CFR trees, focusing on those associated with wounds, sub-cortical beetles and mites. As this study will provide a platform for future studies on the ecology of ophiostomatoid fungi in the CFR, we included all available data from previous studies (Musvuugwa et al. Chapters 2, 3 & 4) on Afromontane forests.

5.2. Materials and methods

5.2.1. Arthropod sampling

Sampling was conducted in various indigenous forest patches throughout the CFR between 2010 and 2012 (Fig. 1). Bark and wood samples were collected at random from diseased and storm damaged (Fig. 2.) native trees (various taxa) as well as from trees with signs of sub-cortical beetle activity. When present at these sites, samples were also collected from invasive exotic tree species (Pinus spp. and Acacia mearnsii De Wild). Initial collection of beetles was conducted by inspection of infested trees and wood using a Leica EZ4 dissection microscope (Leica Microsystems, Taiwan) and aseptically placing individual beetles in sterile vials. Plant material that showed signs of beetle activity was placed in insect emergence cages following methods of Musvuugwa et al. (2013, Chapter 2). Emergence cages were maintained at room temperature and inspected for beetles every 2-3 days for a period of fifty days. All emerging beetles were collected, assigned to morpho-species, their numbers recorded and stored at 4°C until further use (but no longer than 5 days). Reference collections of all beetle taxa collected in this study were stored in 70% ethanol.

Collected beetles were inspected for the presence of phoretic mites and when present, these were aseptically removed and stored in eppendorf tubes at 4°C until further use. In addition, mites were isolated directly from collected bark and wood samples and treated similarly. Reference material of all mite taxa collected in this study were permanently fixed on microscope slides. All reference material was sent for identification by expert mite and beetle taxonomists and is maintained in the Insect Collection of Stellenbosch University (USEC), Stellenbosch, South Africa.
Figure 1. Map showing study sites from which samples were collected for this study. KBG = Kirstenbosch Botanical Garden, HPBG = Harold Porter Botanical Garden, J. Marais = Jan Marais Park
Figure 2. Storm-damaged *Olea capensis* ssp. *macrocarpa* tree from which sub-cortical beetles, bark and wood samples were collected.

5.2.2. Fungal isolation from plant material

A subset of collected wood and bark samples was examined for the presence of ophiostomatoid fungi using a dissection microscope. When no ophiostomatoid fungi were present, collected material was placed in moisture chambers (resealable plastic bags with ddH₂O-moistened paper towels) at room temperature (~23°C) in the dark for up to four weeks to stimulate fungal growth. Where present, masses of ascospores and/or conidia were collected from the apices of sporulating structures using a sterile needle and transferred to 2% malt extract agar (MEA). Isolates were stored in the dark at room temperature and examined daily for fungal growth. Isolates were purified by transferring single hyphal tips from the edges of actively growing fungal colonies to fresh MEA plates.
5.2.3. Fungal isolation from arthropods

Fungi were isolated from sub-cortical beetles and mites following methods of Musvuugwa et al. (2013, Chapter 2). The number of individuals per morpho-species used ranged between 3 and 50, depending on availability. When present, a single representative colony of all suspected ophiostomatoid morpho-types growing per isolation plate (one plate per arthropod individual) were randomly chosen and purified. Once purified all cultures were maintained on Petri dishes containing MEA at 4°C until further use.

The mean number of colony-forming units (CFU’s) was calculated for each potential ophiostomatoid morpho-type isolated from each arthropod morpho-species. It was assumed that numbers of spores carried by an individual arthropod were correlated to the number of CFU’s. In addition, the frequency with which a particular fungus morpho-type was isolated from a particular arthropod species was calculated as follows: F= (NS/NTs) X 100; where F represents the frequency of occurrence (%) of the fungus from each niche, NT represents the total number of samples from which isolations were made and NS represents the number of samples from which fungi were isolated (Yamaoka et al. 1997).

5.2.4. Fungal identification

All fungal cultures obtained were grouped according to morpho-type based on cultural and micro-morphological characteristics. Morpho-types originating from different hosts and different arthropod taxa were not cross-referenced and dealt with as discrete units for later molecular identification. In total, 222 isolates were grouped according to morpho-type, of which 80 were selected for molecular characterisation. Representative cultures of all morpho-types collected in this study are maintained in the culture collection (CMW) of the Forestry and Agricultural Biotechnology Institute (FABI) at the University of Pretoria, South Africa.

For molecular characterization, three or more isolates representing each fungal morpho-type were randomly chosen. For DNA extraction, fungal mycelium was collected from two-week-old actively growing colonies on MEA using a sterile scalpel. Genomic DNA was extracted using a Sigma-Aldrich™ plant extraction kit
(USA) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. PCR reaction conditions and methods for DNA amplification followed Musvuugwa et al. (2013, Chapters 2 & 3). Amplified PCR products were cleaned using the EXOSAP-IT kit (USB Corporation, Cleveland, Ohio, U.S.A.) following the manufacturer’s instructions. Fragments were sequenced using the respective PCR primers and a Big Dye™ Terminator v3.0 cycle sequencing premix kit (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, CA, U.S.A.) and analysed on an ABI PRISIM™ 3100 Genetic Analyser (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, CA, U.S.A.). The same primers used for PCR amplifications were used and both DNA strands were sequenced. The CLC Genomics Workbench software package (CLC Bio, Cambridge, MA) was used to edit and construct consensus sequences.

Using the ITS sequences, preliminary identification of the isolates was done by performing BLAST (Basic Local Alignment Search Tool) algorithm (searches to compare and find similarities with sequences published on the GenBank sequence database (http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov). The identity of taxa that were not identified in previous studies (Chapters 1, 2 & 3) was determined by comparing generated data to published sequences from other studies on GenBank using BLAST.

5.3. Results

In this section, all authorities for arthropods and fungi are indicated in tables and not in text due to the large number of species discussed.

5.3.1. Sub-cortical beetles and their associated mites

When including data from previous studies Musvuugwa et al. (2013, Chapter 2), more than 4500 individuals belonging to at least 15 different genera of sub-cortical beetles (all within the family Curculionidae) were collected from 13 native tree hosts and one exotic Pinus sp. host (Table 1, Fig. 3). The Lanurgus sp. 1 from Olea capensis ssp. macrocarpa and Hapalogenius fuscipennis from Virgilia oroboides were very abundant, with more than 2000 individuals often emerging from single collections of wood. In other cases only 1 individual of a beetle species was collected, as was the case for Amphiscolytus capensis associated with Rhoicissus tomentosa. Several beetle
species were collected from more than one host tree, while a few (e.g. *Cryphalus* sp. 1, *A. capensis* and Platypodinae sp. 1) were only collected from a single host (Table 1). Three beetle species were only collected from non-native *Pinus* sp. (Table 1). Several host trees (e.g. *R. melanophloeos*, *O. capensis* ssp. *macrocarpa*, *Maytenus acuminata*, *V. oroboides* and *Gonioma kamassi*) were associated with more than one beetle species.

Only a few beetle species were associated with phoretic mites (Table 1). Individuals of *Lanurgus* sp. 1 from *O. capensis* ssp. *macrocarpa* were associated with *Dendrolaelaps quadrisetus* and an *Elattoma* sp. 1 mite. Cryphalinae sp. 1 and *H. fuscipennis* were associated with the same *Elattoma* sp. 1 mite, while the pine beetle *Orthotomicus erosus* was associated with *Elattoma* sp. 2, *Histiogaster* sp. 3 as well as *D. quadrisetus* (Table 1).

### 5.3.2. Mites from wounds on native trees

In addition to phoretic mites, several non-phoretic mites belonging to 16 different morpho-species were collected from a total of 12 native tree species (Table 2, Fig. 4). *R. melanophloeos* had the highest number of mite species associated with its wounds, with seven mite species collected from this host. A few of the mite species collected were found on more than one host tree species, while others such as Tetranychidae sp. 1, *Uroobovella* sp. 1 and Acaridae sp. 1 seemed to be more specific towards their hosts.
Table 1: Summary of the sub-cortical beetles, their host trees and their phoretic mites collected in this study. Beetle species in bold indicate species that were not encountered in the previous studies (Chapters 2-4). (HPNBG = Harold Porter National Botanical Garden; KNR = Kogelberg Nature Reserve; SNR = Silvermine Nature Reserve)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Beetle species</th>
<th>Reference numbers</th>
<th>Number collected</th>
<th>Host tree</th>
<th>Site</th>
<th>Phoretic mites (reference number)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><em>Amphiscolytus capensis</em> Schedl</td>
<td>T24; T40</td>
<td>2</td>
<td><em>Rhoicissus tomentosa</em> (Lam.) Wild &amp; Drumm</td>
<td>Keurboomstrand</td>
<td>None observed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cossoninae sp. 1</td>
<td>T2; T3; T11; T29; T31; T37; T38</td>
<td>9</td>
<td><em>Scolopia mundii</em> (Eckl. &amp; Zeyh.) Warb</td>
<td>Oubos Forest</td>
<td>None observed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cossoninae sp. 2</td>
<td>T5</td>
<td>2</td>
<td><em>Virgilia oroboides</em> (P. J. Bergius) Salter</td>
<td>HPNBG</td>
<td>None observed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cossoninae sp. 3</td>
<td>T7</td>
<td>1</td>
<td><em>Nuxia floribunda</em> Benth.</td>
<td>Groenkop Forest</td>
<td>None observed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cryphalinae sp. 1</td>
<td>N2</td>
<td>200</td>
<td><em>Virgilia oroboides</em></td>
<td>HPNBG</td>
<td><em>Elattoma</em> sp 1 Mahunka (M6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Cryphalus</em> sp. 1 Erichson</td>
<td>T20</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Unknown sp. 2</td>
<td>Gouldveld Forest</td>
<td>None observed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Ctonoxylon</em> sp. 1 Hagedorn</td>
<td>T8A;T12, T21; T25; T27; T32, &gt;350</td>
<td>300</td>
<td><em>Gonioma kamassi</em> (E. Mey.)</td>
<td>Gouldveld Forest</td>
<td>None observed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td><em>Maytenus acuminata</em> (L.f.) Loes Olea</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Taxon</td>
<td>Collection</td>
<td>Abundance</td>
<td>Host</td>
<td>Location</td>
<td>Observation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------------------------------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>---------------------------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Ctonoxylon sp. 2</em> Hagedorn</td>
<td>T28</td>
<td>&gt;300</td>
<td><em>Olea</em> sp.</td>
<td>Gouna Forest</td>
<td>None observed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Hapalogenius fuscipennis</em> Chapuis</td>
<td>N3</td>
<td>&gt;2000</td>
<td><em>Virgilia divaricata</em> Adamson</td>
<td>Storms River</td>
<td><em>Elattoma</em> sp 1(M7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Hylastes angustatus</em> Herbst</td>
<td>T19</td>
<td>11</td>
<td><em>Pinus</em> sp. L.</td>
<td>Knysna</td>
<td>None observed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Hylurgus ligniperda</em> Fabricius</td>
<td>T16</td>
<td>10</td>
<td><em>Pinus</em> sp. L.</td>
<td>Knysna</td>
<td>None observed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Hypothenemus sp. 1</em> Westwood</td>
<td>T6</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Unknown sp. 1</td>
<td>KNR</td>
<td>None observed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Hypothenemus</em> sp. 2 Westwood</td>
<td>T14; T15; T18; T27A; T33</td>
<td>27</td>
<td><em>Halleria lucida</em> L</td>
<td>HPNBG</td>
<td>None observed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td><em>Maytenus acuminata</em></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td><em>Olinia ventosa</em> L. Cuford</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td><em>Rapanea melanophloeo</em></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Lanurgus</em> sp. 1 Eggers</td>
<td>T1; T8; T13; T22; T26; T30; T34; T39</td>
<td>&gt;2000</td>
<td><em>Olea capensis ssp. macrocarpa</em></td>
<td>Gouldveld Forest</td>
<td><em>Dendrolaelaps quadrisetus</em> Berlese (M23) <em>Elattoma</em> sp 1 (M34)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Species</td>
<td>Collection Site</td>
<td>Quantity</td>
<td>Host Plant</td>
<td>Location</td>
<td>Notes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------------------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>-------------------------------------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lanurgus sp. 2 Eggers</td>
<td>T23</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Rhoicissus tomentosa</td>
<td>Keurboomstrand</td>
<td>None observed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Liparthrum sp. Knotek</td>
<td>N1</td>
<td>700</td>
<td>Virgilia oroboides</td>
<td>SNR</td>
<td>None observed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Orthotomicus erosus Wollaston</td>
<td>T17; T35</td>
<td>&gt;100</td>
<td>Pinus sp.</td>
<td>J. Marais Park</td>
<td>Dendrolaelaps quadrisetus M21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Knysna</td>
<td>Elattoma sp. 2 M22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Histigaster sp. 3 Berlese M20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Platypodinae sp. 1</td>
<td>T43</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>Rapania melanophloeos Mez</td>
<td>Gouldveld Forest</td>
<td>None observed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Xyleborinus aemulus Wollaston</td>
<td>T9, T10, T41</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>Cononia capensis L.</td>
<td></td>
<td>None observed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Rapania melanophloeos</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Groenkop; HPNBG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Xyleborinus saxesenii Ratzeburg</td>
<td>T4; T42</td>
<td>117; 5</td>
<td>Gonioma kamassi, Metrosideros</td>
<td>Assegaaibos;</td>
<td>None observed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Angustifolia (L.) S.</td>
<td></td>
<td>Gouna Forest</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Figure 3. A selection of sub-cortical beetle species associated with trees in the Cape Floristic Region. A = Cossoninae sp 1, B = Cionoxylon sp. 1, C = Cionoxylon sp. 2, D = Hylastes angustatus, E = Hylurgus ligniperda, F = Hypothememus sp. 2, G = Orthotomicus erosus, H = Xyleborinus saxesenii
Table 2: Mites associated with wounds on storm-damaged Afromontane forest trees (HPNBG = Harold Porter National Botanical Garden; KNR = Kolgenberg Nature Reserve; KNBG = Kirstenbosch National Botanical Garden)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Mite species</th>
<th>Reference number</th>
<th>Host</th>
<th>Site</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Acaridae sp. 1</strong></td>
<td>M11; M12</td>
<td><em>Rapanea melanophloeos</em></td>
<td>Groenkop Forest</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Gouldveld Forest</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Acaridae sp. 2</strong></td>
<td>M15</td>
<td><em>Rapanea melanophloeos</em></td>
<td>HPNBG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Dendrolaelaps sp. 1</strong></td>
<td>M32</td>
<td><em>Trichoclados crinitus</em> (Thunb.) Pers</td>
<td>Gouna Forest</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Halbert</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Histiogaster</em> sp. 1 Berlese</td>
<td>M24</td>
<td><em>Olea capensis</em> ssp. macrocarpa</td>
<td>Groenkop Forest</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Histiogaster</em> sp. 2 Berlese</td>
<td>M30</td>
<td>Climber sp. 2</td>
<td>KNBG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Lasioseius sp. 1</strong> Berlese</td>
<td>M19; T31; T33</td>
<td><em>Curtisia dentata</em> C.A. Sm.</td>
<td>Gouna Forest</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td><em>Olea capensis</em> ssp. macrocarpa, Climber sp. 1</td>
<td>KNBG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Goudveld Forest</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Mesostigmata sp. 1</strong></td>
<td>M13; M18</td>
<td><em>Curtisia dentata</em></td>
<td>Groenkop Forest</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td><em>Rapanea melanophloeos</em></td>
<td>Gouna Forest</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Oribatida sp. 1</strong></td>
<td>M16; M27</td>
<td><em>Brabejum stellatifolium</em> L.</td>
<td>Knysna</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td><em>Rapanea melanophloeos</em></td>
<td>Gouna Forest</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td><em>Trichoclados crinitus</em></td>
<td>Assegaaibosch</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Oribatida sp. 2</strong></td>
<td>M17; M28</td>
<td><em>Curtisia dentata</em></td>
<td>Gouna Forest</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td><em>Platylophus trifoliatus</em></td>
<td>Oubos Forest</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Paraleius</strong> sp. 1 Berlese</td>
<td>M1; M8</td>
<td><em>Halleria lucida</em></td>
<td>Gouna Forest</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td><em>Rapanea melanophloeos</em></td>
<td>HPNBG</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
5.3.3. Fungi and their host trees

In total, 18 species of ophiostomatoid fungi belonging to five different genera (*Ophiostoma*, *Graphilbum*, *Raffaelea*, *Ceratocystiopsis* and *Graphium*) were collected from 15 different host tree species, including the non-natives *Pinus* sp. and *Acacia mearnsii* (Table 3). The highest number of ophiostomatoid fungal species was collected from *R. melanophloeos* (Table 3). In addition to fungi collected in previous studies (Chapters 2, 3 & 4), six more distinct Operational Taxonomic Units (OTU’s) were isolated from diverse hosts in different areas. Blasts searches of their sequences and comparisons with sequences in the GenBank confirmed the identity of some of these species and also confirmed that some are most likely undescribed species. An unknown fungus, identified as *Ophiostoma pluriannulatum*-like, was collected from several native hosts, including *R. melanophloeos*, *O. capensis* ssp. *macrocarpa*, *Curtisia dentata*, *Pterocelastrus* sp., as well as from the non-native *Acacia mearnsii*.
Ophiostoma quercus was also isolated from a variety of native hosts and from A. mearnsii (Table 3). Similarly, Sporothrix fusiforme was also isolated from both a native host (Brabejum stellatifolium) and an exotic host (A. mearnsii). Three fungal species were exclusively associated with an exotic Pinus species, namely Ophiostoma ips, a Sporothrix sp. believed to be an undescribed species and Ceratocystiopsis sp. 1 that is also mostly likely undescribed based on comparisons with sequences available on GenBank (Table 3).

5.3.4. Arthropod associates

In total, seven ophiostomatoid fungal species were associated with seven species of sub-cortical beetles. Three of the fungal species were associated with Pinus sp. and the rest were from two native trees hosts R. melanophloeos and O. capensis ssp. macrocarpa (Table 3). Reproductive propagules of O. ips were carried by all three of the beetle species (O. erosus, H. ligniperda and H. angustatus) associated with the Pinus species. The two undescribed fungal species (Sporothrix sp. and Ceratocystiopsis sp.) from the Pinus sp. were also associated with O. erosus (Table 3). Sporothrix pallida was associated with two beetle species, Lanurgus sp. 1 and Ctonoxylon sp. 1 from O. capensis ssp. macrocarpa, while S. aemuluphilus was collected from X. aemulus infesting R. melanophloeos. Raffaelea rapanea and R. scabbariae were associated with Platypodinae sp. 1 from R. melanophloeos and Lanurgus sp. 1 from O. capensis ssp. macrocarpa, respectively. The highest mean colony forming unit (CFU) among the beetle-associated Ophiostoma species was S. pallida (CFU = 10.4), which was associated with the bark beetle Ctonoxylon sp. 1. The lowest mean CFU among beetle-associated Ophiostoma species was O. ips (CFU = 2.8) associated with H. angustatus (Table 3). Isolation frequency was the highest for the Platypodinae beetle, of which only three individuals were collected, while isolation frequency was the lowest for Orthotomicus erosus.

Several ophiostomatoid fungal species were associated with mite species from 12 different genera (Table 3), including phoretic mite species (D. quadrisetus, Histiogaster sp. 3, Elattoma sp. 1 & 2). In almost all cases, the same fungal species as
that from the host beetle was also isolated from their phoretic mites. For example, *S. pallida* was isolated from both the host beetle (*Lanurgus* sp. 1) associated with *O. capensis* ssp. *macrocarpa* and its phoretic mite *D. quadrisetus*. Interestingly though, three other fungal species from pines (*O. ips, Ceratocystiopsis* sp. 1 and *Sporothrix* sp. 1) were also associated with *D. quadrisetus* and its host beetle, *O. erosus*. Some of the fungal-associates were found to be associated with more than one mite species, indicating that the fungi were not specific to one mite-associate only (Table 3). Among the mite-associated *Ophiostoma* species the highest mean CFU was also *S. pallida* (CFU = 10.8), which was associated with the phoretic mite *D. quadrisetus* from the host tree *O. capensis* ssp. *macrocarpa*. The undescribed *Sporothrix* sp. that was cultured from the phoretic mite *D. quadrisetus* from the host tree *Pinus* sp., had the lowest mean CFU (CFU = 1.6) (Table 3). The highest isolation frequency was recorded for *D. quadrisetus* from *O. capensis* ssp. *macrocarpa* and the lowest for *Histiogaster* sp. 3 and *Elattoma* sp. 2 (Table 3).
Table 3: Ophiostomatoid fungi associated with different host trees in Afromontane forests of the CFR, their isolation frequency (F), mean number of colony forming units (CFU’S), and association with sub-cortical beetles and mites.

GeneBank accession number

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fungal species</th>
<th>ITS</th>
<th>Bt</th>
<th>EF</th>
<th>LSU</th>
<th>CAL</th>
<th>Host plant</th>
<th>GeneBank accession number</th>
<th>Site</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sporothrix sp. 1 Hektoen &amp; Perkins</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>Pinus sp.</td>
<td>T222 T223 T222</td>
<td>JS Marais Park</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sporothrix fusiforme Aghayeva &amp; M. J. Wingf.</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>Acacia mearnsii</td>
<td>T223 T223 T223</td>
<td>JS Marais Park</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Graphium ilexiense Musvuugwa, LL. Dreyer &amp; F. Roets</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>Ilex mitis</td>
<td>T202 T202 T203</td>
<td>Assegaaibosch</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sporothrix aemulphilus Musvuugwa, LL. Dreyer &amp; F. Roets</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>Rapanea melanophloeos</td>
<td>T277 T277 T277</td>
<td>HPGNB</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sporothrix reniformis Musvuugwa, LL. Dreyer &amp; F. Roets</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>Rapanea melanophloeos</td>
<td>T197 T197 T198</td>
<td>HPGNB</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Ophiostoma stenoceras (Robak) Nannf.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>T1</th>
<th>T10</th>
<th>T187</th>
<th>T1</th>
<th>T10</th>
<th>T187</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>T1</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>T1</td>
<td>R. melanophloeos</td>
<td>Weza Forest KZN</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T10</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>T10</td>
<td>R. melanophloeos</td>
<td>Weza Forest KZN</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T187</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>T187</td>
<td>R. melanophloeos</td>
<td>Weza Forest KZN</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Ophiostoma pluriannulatum (Hedgc.) Syd. -like

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>T102</th>
<th>NA</th>
<th>NA</th>
<th>NA</th>
<th>Olea capensis</th>
<th>Acaridae sp. 1 (M)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>T33</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>Pterocelastrus sp.</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T41</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>R. melanophloeos</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T50</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>Olea capensis</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T51</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>Pterocelastrus sp</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T56</td>
<td>R. melanophloeos</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>(3-9) 5.3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T63</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>Curtisia dentata</td>
<td>Mesostigma sp. 1 (M)</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T63b</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>Curtisia dentata</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T64</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>R. melanophloeos</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T64b</td>
<td>R. melanophloeos</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>(4-9) 7</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T77</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>Acacia mearnsii</td>
<td>Uroobovella sp. 1 (M)</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T78</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>R. melanophloeos</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T99</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>Olea capensis</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Sporothrix noisomeae Musvuugwa, LL. Dreyer & F. Roets

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>T3</th>
<th>T11</th>
<th>T12</th>
<th>T13</th>
<th>R. melanophloeos</th>
<th>Weza KZN</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>T1</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>R. melanophloeos</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T11</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>T11</td>
<td>R. melanophloeos</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T12</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>T12</td>
<td>R. melanophloeos</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T13</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>T13</td>
<td>R. melanophloeos</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Sporothrix lunatae Musvuugwa, LL. Dreyer & F. Roets

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>T124</th>
<th>NA</th>
<th>NA</th>
<th>T124</th>
<th>R. melanophloeos</th>
<th>Weza KZN</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>T125</td>
<td>T125</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>T125</td>
<td>R. melanophloeos</td>
<td>Weza KZN</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T6</td>
<td>T6</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>T6</td>
<td>R. melanophloeos</td>
<td>Weza KZN</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Ceratocystipsis sp.1 Upadhyay & Kendrick

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>T142</th>
<th>T142</th>
<th>NA</th>
<th>Pinus sp.</th>
<th>D. quadrisetus (M)</th>
<th>Kysna</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>T143</td>
<td>T143</td>
<td>T143</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>Pinus sp.</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T97</td>
<td>T97</td>
<td>T97</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>Pinus sp.</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Sporothrix rapaneae Musvuugwa, LL. Dreyer & F. Roets

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>T148</th>
<th>NA</th>
<th>NA</th>
<th>R. melanophloeos</th>
<th>Groenkop Forest</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>T149</td>
<td>T149</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>R. melanophloeos</td>
<td>Groenkop Forest</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T60</td>
<td>T60</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>R. melanophloeos</td>
<td>Groenkop Forest</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Taxon</td>
<td>T206</td>
<td>T207</td>
<td>T39</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------------------------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>----</td>
<td>----</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Sporothrix capensis Musvuugwa,</em> LL. Dreyer &amp; F. Roets</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>T207</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Olea capensis</em></td>
<td>T39</td>
<td>T39</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Taxon</th>
<th>T25</th>
<th>T251</th>
<th>T253</th>
<th>NA</th>
<th>NA</th>
<th>NA</th>
<th>NA</th>
<th>NA</th>
<th>NA</th>
<th>NA</th>
<th>Virgia oroboides</th>
<th>Goudeveld Forest</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><em>Ophiostoma stenoceras</em></td>
<td>T25</td>
<td>T251</td>
<td>T253</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>T25</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>Virgia oroboides</td>
<td>KNBG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Virgia oroboides</em></td>
<td>T25</td>
<td>T252</td>
<td>T253</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>T251</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>Virgia oroboides</td>
<td>KNBG</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Taxon</th>
<th>T28</th>
<th>T32</th>
<th>T43</th>
<th>T44</th>
<th>NA</th>
<th>NA</th>
<th>NA</th>
<th>Olea capensis</th>
<th>Lanurgus sp. 1</th>
<th>50</th>
<th>59</th>
<th>(6-17) 9.2</th>
<th>Goudeveld Forest</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><em>Raffaelea scabridiae Musvuugwa,</em> LL. Dreyer &amp; F. Roets</td>
<td>T28</td>
<td>T32</td>
<td>T43</td>
<td>T44</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>Olea capensis</td>
<td><em>Lanurgus sp. 1</em></td>
<td>50</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>(6-17) 9.2</td>
<td>Goudeveld Forest</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Vrgia oroboides</em></td>
<td>T28</td>
<td>T32</td>
<td>T43</td>
<td>T44</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>Olea capensis</td>
<td><em>Lanurgus sp. 1</em></td>
<td>50</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>(6-17) 9.2</td>
<td>Goudeveld Forest</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Taxon</th>
<th>T35</th>
<th>T35</th>
<th>T67</th>
<th>T76</th>
<th>NA</th>
<th>NA</th>
<th>NA</th>
<th>Olea capensis</th>
<th>Cytonoxylon sp. 1 (B)</th>
<th>25</th>
<th>60</th>
<th>(5-19) 10.4</th>
<th>Gouldveld Forest</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><em>Sporothrix pallida</em> (Tubaki) Matsushima</td>
<td>T35</td>
<td>T35</td>
<td>T67</td>
<td>T76</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>Olea capensis</td>
<td><em>Cytonoxylon sp. 1 (B)</em></td>
<td>25</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>(5-19) 10.4</td>
<td>Gouldveld Forest</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Taxon</th>
<th>T241</th>
<th>T241</th>
<th>T241</th>
<th>NA</th>
<th>NA</th>
<th>Pins sp.</th>
<th>O. erosus (B)</th>
<th>50</th>
<th>58</th>
<th>(1-12) 4.4</th>
<th>Knysna</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><em>Ophiostoma ips</em> (Rumbold) Nannf.</td>
<td>T241</td>
<td>T241</td>
<td>T241</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>Pins sp.</td>
<td><em>H. ligniperda</em> (B)</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>(1-9) 4.4</td>
<td>Knysna</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>H. ligniperda</em> (B)</td>
<td>T98</td>
<td>T98</td>
<td>T98</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>Pins sp.</td>
<td><em>H. angustatus</em> (B)</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>(1-6) 2.8</td>
<td>Knysna</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>H. angustatus</em> (B)</td>
<td>T96</td>
<td>T96</td>
<td>T96</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>Pins sp.</td>
<td><em>D. quadrisetus</em> (M)</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>(1-7) 4.1</td>
<td>Knysna</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Histiogaster</em> sp. 3 (M)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td><em>Histiogaster</em> sp. 3 (M)</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Knysna</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Elattoma</em> sp. 3 (M)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td><em>Elattoma</em> sp. 2 (M)</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>(2-4) 3</td>
<td>Knysna</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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### Ophiostoma quercus (Georgévitch) Nannf.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Site</th>
<th>T47</th>
<th>T47</th>
<th>NA</th>
<th>NA</th>
<th>T. crinitus</th>
<th>Oribatida sp. 1 (M)</th>
<th>30</th>
<th>30</th>
<th>(2-10) 7.3</th>
<th>Gouna Forest</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>T58</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>R. melanophloeos</td>
<td>Oribatida sp. 1 (M)</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>(2-10) 7.3</td>
<td>Groenkop Forest</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>T61</td>
<td>T61</td>
<td>T61</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>R. melanophloeos</td>
<td>Oribatida sp. 1 (M)</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>(2-10) 7.3</td>
<td>Groenkop Forest</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>T62</td>
<td>T62</td>
<td>T62</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>Olea capensis</td>
<td>Oribatida sp. 1 (M)</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>(2-10) 7.3</td>
<td>Groenkop Forest</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>T65</td>
<td>T65</td>
<td>T65</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>T. crinitus</td>
<td>Proctolaelaps sp. 2 (M)</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>(4-11) 6.4</td>
<td>Gouna Forest</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>T66</td>
<td>T66</td>
<td>T66</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>Curtisia dentata</td>
<td>Oribatida sp. 2 (M)</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>(5-15) 7.6</td>
<td>Gouna Forest</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>T67</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>Acacia mearnsii</td>
<td>Oribatida sp. 2 (M)</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>(5-15) 7.6</td>
<td>Gouna Forest</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>T69</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>Curtisia dentata</td>
<td>Oribatida sp. 2 (M)</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>(5-15) 7.6</td>
<td>Gouna Forest</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>T70</td>
<td>T70</td>
<td>T70</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>T. crinitus</td>
<td>Dendrolaelaps sp. 1 (M)</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>(3-12) 7.2</td>
<td>Gouna Forest</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Graphilbum roseus Musvuugwa, LL. Dreyer & F. Roets

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Site</th>
<th>T46</th>
<th>NA</th>
<th>T46</th>
<th>NA</th>
<th>Curtisia dentata</th>
<th>Oribatida sp. 2 (M)</th>
<th>50</th>
<th>38</th>
<th>(4-10) 6.4</th>
<th>Gouna Forest</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>T72</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>T72</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>Curtisia dentata</td>
<td>Lasiosetius sp. 1 (M)</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>(2-7) 4.1</td>
<td>Gouna Forest</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>T79</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>T79</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>R. melanophloeos</td>
<td>Lasiosetius sp. 1 (M)</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>(2-7) 4.1</td>
<td>Gouna Forest</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>T81</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>T81</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>Curtisia dentata</td>
<td>Mesostigmata sp. 1 (M)</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>(3-7) 5.3</td>
<td>Gouna Forest</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>T82</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>T82</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>Halleria lucida</td>
<td>Mesostigmata sp. 1 (M)</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>(3-7) 5.3</td>
<td>Gouna Forest</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Raffaelea rapaneae Musvuugwa, LL. Dreyer & F. Roets

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Site</th>
<th>T92</th>
<th>T92</th>
<th>NA</th>
<th>NA</th>
<th>R. melanophloeos</th>
<th>Platypodinae sp. 1 (B)</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>100</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>Gouna Forest</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>T93</td>
<td>T93</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>R. melanophloeos</td>
<td>Platypodinae sp. 1 (B)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>Gouna Forest</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>T110</td>
<td>T110</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>R. melanophloeos</td>
<td>Platypodinae sp. 1 (B)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>Gouna Forest</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Figure 4. Mites associated with wounds on trees in the Cape Floristic Region, A = Acaridae sp. 1; B = Acaridae sp. 2; C = Dendrolaelaps sp. 1; D = Histiogaster sp. 1; E = Histiogaster sp. 2; F = Lasioseius sp. 1; G = Mesostigmata sp. 1; H = Oribatida sp. 1; I = Oribatida sp. 2; J = Paraleius sp. 1; K = Paraleius sp. 2; L = Proctolaelaps sp. 1; M = Proctolaelaps sp. 2; N = Tetranychidae sp. 1; O = Trichouropoda sp. 1; P = Trichouropoda sp. 2; Q = Uroobovella sp. 1; R = Unknown sp. 1.
5.4. Discussion

This study represents one of only a few studies on the ecology and biodiversity of ophiostomatoid fungi associated with native trees in the Afromontane forests of South Africa. Results from this study indicate that these Afromontane forests are home to a high diversity of ophiostomatoid fungi. Fifteen species were isolated from only nine native hosts. Many of these were found to be fairly specific towards their hosts. Considering that there are more than 50 tree species in these forests, it is reasonable to assume that the diversity of these fungi is still poorly documented. Many taxa probably still await discovery. Except for the new Graphium species, all fungal taxa collected here belong to the Ophiostomatales (De Beer et al. 2013). These genera have largely been overlooked in previous studies in the CFR, as the emphasis of these studies was on pathogenic species in the Microascales, especially in the genus Ceratocystis (Kamgan et al. 2008). Our results therefore provide an important first step towards uncovering the diversity and importance of fungi in the Ophiostomatales from CFR forests.

Although ophiostomatoid fungi have been studied best in plantation forestry trees (e.g. Pinus spp.) in South Africa, new taxa are still being discovered on forestry trees that have escaped to native vegetation. We isolated a Ceratocystiopsis species that is probably new, and a new Sporothrix species from pines. Both of these were associated with the well-known forestry pest O. erosus and its phoretic mite D. quadrisetus. The origin of these fungal taxa remains uncertain, but it is likely that they were introduced from outside of South Africa. This is especially true for the Ceratocystiopsis species, as most of the members of this genus are only known as pine-associates (Zhou et al. 2004; 2001; Hsiau & Harrington 1997) and no Pinus species are native to the African continent. Orthotomicus erosus is known as an associate of pines in Europe, the Mediterranean region and the Middle East (Ferreira & Ferreira 1986). Dendrolaelaps quadrisetus is most likely of European and North American origin, as it has been recorded in Europe in a number of studies, and has been found to be especially associated with bark beetles such as Dendroctonus rufipennis (Fernandez et al. 2013; Gwiazdowicz et al. 2012; Gwiazdowicz et al. 2011; Cardoza et al. 2008).

In total, 10 ophiostomatoid species were collected from Rapanea melanophloeos, by far the most collected from any host. Most of these species were associated with wounds caused by storm damage. The pathogenicity of these fungi towards this host was not determined in this
study, but recent studies showed it to be very vulnerable towards some of these fungal taxa (Chen et al. 2013, Kamgan et al. 2008). The thick, sap-rich bark of this tree represents an ideal habitat for many wound infecting fungal taxa. It is considered a forest pioneer with a relatively short life-span which may be partially explained by this elevated susceptibility to fungal attack. Testing of the pathogenicity of the fungi associated with R. melanophloeos should be prioritized in future studies.

Three fungal species were collected from both native and exotic hosts. *Ophiostoma quercus* and the *O. pluriannulatum* -like fungus were collected from several native hosts and from exotic A. mearnsii, while *Sporothrix fusiforme* was isolated from *B. stellatifolium* and from A. mearnsii that was growing in close proximity to the other hosts. *Ophiostoma quercus* is a well-known fungus in both the Southern and Northern Hemispheres, and is known to colonise many hardwood species (De Beer et al., 2003; Harrington et al., 2001). It was therefore not surprising to have collected it from several native hosts as well as from A. mearnsii. Previously in South Africa, it has also been isolated from *Terminalia sericea* (Roxb.) Wight & Arn., *Olinia* sp. Thunberg, *Quercus robur* L., *Virgilia oroboides* and the exotic *Eucalyptus grandis* W.Hill ex Maiden (Kamgan et al. 2008; De Beer et al. 1995). *Ophiostoma pluriannulatum* belongs to the *Ophiostoma pluriannulatum* complex, along with several other species (De Beer et al. 2013). It has previously been isolated widely from other hardwood and conifer hosts in North America (Appel et al. 1990), Japan (Aoshima 1965), Europe (Romón et al. 2007) and South Africa (Zhou et al. 2006) although it was mostly based on morphology and a single genetic marker (ITS). The taxon collected in this study may therefore represent a distinct species. *Sporothrix fusiforme* was first described from *Populus nigra* L., *Castanea sativa* Mill., *Quercus petraea* (Mattuschka) Liebl and *Larix decidua* Mill from Azerbaijan and Austria (Aghayeva et al. 2004). Increasing globalisation of trade in plants, as well as flaws in the international protocols of plant biosecurity, has led to increased events of organisms utilising newly encountered hosts (Brasier & Webber 2010) and may have led to the isolation of this fungus in South Africa. It is not known whether *S. fusiforme* is pathogenic towards its hosts in its native ranges. However, its movement to CFR native hosts may be problematic, even if it is not pathogenic to these newly encountered hosts, as it may be able to outcompete native fungi and disrupt normal ecosystem processes. Also, the dispersal ecology of this fungus is unknown, but may include vectoring by mites, as it was also isolated from an Oribatidae mite species in the present study.
Although most of the fungal species collected from native hosts were only specific to one native host, a couple of wound-associated fungal species were found on more than one native host. These were *S. pallida*, *Graphilbum roseus*, *O. quercus* and the *O. pluriannulatum*-like fungus. Interestingly, all of these species were also associated with several mite species. This may explain why they were found on several host trees, as their associations with mites may aid their transportation between host species. *Ophiostoma pluriannulatum* has previously been isolated from several wood- and bark-infesting insects, including bark beetles and nitidulids (Romón et al. 2007; Zhou et al. 2006; 2004; Appel et al. 1990). However, since the fungus was identified only morphologically or through the use of a single genetic marker (ITS), the insect–fungus interactions of this species cannot be confirmed with confidence (Zanzot et al. 2010). *Sporothrix pallida* was also isolated from the beetles *Ctonoxylon* sp. 1 and *Lanurgus* sp. 1 (Musvuugwa et al. 2013, Chapter 2), a host to the mite species (*D. quadririsetus*) that also carried this fungus. As highlighted before (Musvuugwa et al. 2013; Chapter 2), this is the first report of *S. pallida* forming associations with Scolytinae beetles. We are also unaware of any other reports of associations between this fungus and any mite species.

The beetle-associated fungi were fairly host and vector specific compared to the wound-associated fungi. Of the seven beetles associated with ophiostomatoid fungi, five were associated with a single fungal species. This difference in host specificity between wound-associated fungi and beetle-associated fungi may again be attributed to the mites associated with the wound-associated fungi. In some cases more than one mite species were associated with a single fungal species. This suggests that the fungi may be able to rely on many taxa for dispersal to other hosts. Although not tested, these wound-associated fungi may also be palatable to the mite species associated with them.

Including data from Musvuugwa et al. 2013 (Chapter 2), many arthropods have been identified as associates of ca. 50% of the fungal species collected. Beetles and mites are well-known associates of ophiostomatoid fungi (Hofstetter et al. 2013), and in some cases they share mutualistic relationships (Harrington 2005; Kirisits 2004; Six 2003; Moser et al. 1995; Moser 1985; Whitney 1982). The southern pine beetle *Dendroctonus frontalis* Zimmerman (Coleoptera: Scolytidae), its phoretic mites and their associate fungi provide a very good example of such mutualistic relationships (Klepzig et al. 2001b; Price et al. 1992; Smiley & Moser 1974). The arthropods vector *Ophiostoma minus* (Hedgcock) H. & P. Sydow, and in turn benefit by feeding and reproducing on the fungal hyphal growth (Klepzig et al. 2001b).
There is a distinct possibility that some of the fungal taxa collected in this study may also have mutualistic relationships with beetles and/or mites they are associated with. The exact nature of these associations still needs to be determined, and may provide information on why some fungal species seem to be host specific, while others have wide host ranges.

Four phoretic mite species were collected in this study. Interestingly the phoretic mite *D. quadrisetus* was phoretic on two different beetle species, *Lanurgus* sp. 1 from the native *O. capensis* ssp. *macrocarpa* and *O. erosus* from the exotic *Pinus* species. As explained earlier, both *D. quadrisetus* and *O. erosus* are not-native to southern Africa. When arthropods such as conifer-infesting bark beetles are introduced into new habitats, they are thought to carry associated fungi (and their phoretic mites and/or other associated biotic agents) (Bridges & Moser 1983; Brasier 1978). Therefore, these taxa were likely introduced into South Africa on *Pinus* spp. used in plantation forestry and *D. quadrisetus* was able to move from its natural associates (both plant and beetle) to form a new association with *Lanurgus* sp. 1 that is only known from native trees. While it has been demonstrated that when introduced bark beetles come into contact with native bark beetles, their associated organisms may form new relationships with the new host beetle, this phenomenon is known for some fairly closely related host taxa only (Wingfield *et al.* 2013). Also, *D. quadrisetus* is a predator that feeds on eggs and larvae of its phoront beetle (Kinn 1967) and also in some cases feeds on nematodes. It is possible that the egg and larvae of *Lanurgus* sp. 1 beetle might be palatable to *D. quadrisetus* or that the beetle actually carries some nematodes that are palatable to the mite. This could have facilitated the movement of this mite from *O. erosus* to *Lanurgus* sp. 1. These associations merit more focussed studies.

5.5. Conclusion

This study reported a very high diversity of ophiostomatoid fungi, sub-cortical beetles and mites associated with trees in the Afromontane forests of the CFR. Some of the fungal species were arthropod-associated and most of the beetle-associated species were only specific to one host and one vector. However, several other fungal taxa, and a few of their associated arthropods, were not specific towards any particular host, including some non-native taxa. Many ophiostomatoid fungi are virulent pathogens to their hosts and rely on their associated organisms for dispersal. This study therefore highlights the need for future studies.
to unravel the nature of these associations so that potential ecological interferences from exotic organisms can be identified and hopefully controlled.
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Chapter 6: The danger posed by ophiostomatoid fungi when encountering new hosts

Abstract

The ophiostomatoid fungi (Ophiostomatales) contain many virulent tree pathogens that pose a significant threat to forestry industries worldwide. Increased global trade of plants increases encounters with potential novel hosts, which may not only have devastating ecological consequences, but can also have huge economic implications. In this study we assess the potential danger posed by the movement of ophiostomatoid fungi between different hosts by testing the pathogenicity of five species collected from native South African trees (Graphilbum roseus, O. pluriannulatum-like, Raffaelea rapaneae, Sporothrix pallida and Sporothrix rapaneae) and three exotic (Ceratocystiopsis sp. 1, Ophiostoma ips and Sporothrix sp. 1) species on three native (Curtisia dentata, Olea capensis ssp. Macropcarpa and Rapanea melanophloeos) and three exotic plantation trees (Acacia mearnsii, Eucalyptus grandis and Pinus radiata). We also evaluate the potential for taxa to move to new hosts by testing the strength of their associations with the organisms involved in their dispersal. Results indicate that some of these fungi can be virulent pathogens on distantly-related, newly encountered hosts. For example, Graphilbum roseus was pathogenic towards both native (R. melanophloeos and C. dentata) and exotic (A. mearnsii and E. grandis) trees, while O. pluriannulatum-like was pathogenic to R. melanophloeos and A. mearnsii. High host specificity of the vectors of beetle-associated species and the specificity of mites dispersed by these beetles makes encounters with new hosts unlikely for many taxa. However, taxa that are associated with numerous mite species and with wounds on a multitude of different native tree taxa (e.g. Graphilbum sp. 1 and O. pluriannulatum-like) are most likely to encounter new hosts and may pose a threat to the health of these hosts.

Key words: Inoculations, vectors, mites
6.1. Introduction

Ophiostomatoid fungi (Ophiostomatales) are best known as associates of beetles (Coleoptera: Curculionidae, Scolytinae) (Jacobs et al. 2003; Jacobs & Wingfield 2001; Harrington 1987). In most cases these associations are mutualistic, whereby the fungi are believed to provide nutritional benefits to the beetles (Klepzig et al. 2001) and the beetles aid in transporting fungi to new host plants (Six 2003; Paine et al. 1997). However, some members are not associated with scolytine beetles and have been isolated from diverse habitats including wounds on trees, soil, air, other fungi and wood of dead trees (Musvuugwa et al. 2013, Chapters 3 & 4; Cruywagen et al. 2010; de Meyer et al. 2008; Kamgan et al. 2008) and may be associated with many other arthropods such as Cerambycidae beetles, mites and nitidulid beetles (Roets et al. 2007; Lombardero et al. 2003; Jacobs & Wingfield 2001; Klepzig et al. 2001; Moser 1985; Bridges & Moser 1983). In fact, all members of the Ophiostomatales are adapted for arthropod mediated dispersal as they produce sticky spores at the tips of elongated structures that are not easily distributed via other mechanisms such as air (Malloch & Blackwell 1993). The dispersal biology of the non-scolytinae taxa are far less well-studied, but it is becoming clear that mites may play central roles in the dispersal of many taxa. Mites are known to have associations with Ophiostomatales associated with many other environments such as the association with taxa from Protea flower heads (Roets et al. 2007) and wounds on the bark of trees (for example Musvuugwa et al. 2013, Chapter 5).

Importantly, mites also play a very important role in the dispersal of some Ophiostomatales associated with Scolytinae beetles (Hofstetter et al. 2013). For example, Tarsonemus ips Lindquist and T. krantzii Smiley & Moser are associated with the southern pine beetle, Dendroctonus frontalis Zimmerman (Klepzig et al. 2001b; Price et al. 1992; Smiley & Moser 1974) and both are responsible for vectoring spores of Ophiostoma minus (Hedgcock) H. and P. Sydow (Klepzig et al. 2001b). Just as in the case of some beetles that have specialised spore carrying structures (mycangia), mites may have mutualistic relationships with the fungi they carry and some also carry fungi in such structures (sporothecae) (Moser et al. 1995; Bridges & Moser 1983). These relationships can be just as specialised as with the beetles, as it has been shown that some mites feed and reproduce exclusively on their fungal associates (Roets et al. 2007; Klepzig & Six 2004).

Many ophiostomatoid fungi are well-known pathogens of both native and exotic trees (Six and Wingfield 2011; Klepzig et al. 2001). They have caused major financial losses in many
forestry and agricultural sectors. For example, *Raffaelea quercivora* Kubono et Shin. Ito (Ophiostomatales) together with its associate beetle, *Platypus quercivorus* (Murayama) were responsible for the catastrophic mass mortality and die-back of Japanese oak trees (Kubono & Ito 2002) and in agriculture, *Ceratocystis fimbriata* Ellis & Halst. s.s. (Microascales) is a well-known pathogen responsible for causing black rot of sweet potatoes and canker on coffee (Baker 2005; Kile 1993), while *C. paradoxa* (Dade) Moreau (Microascales) is responsible for causing the rotting of pawpaw and pineapple leaves and pineapple fruit (Kile 1993). Numerous taxa can also cause substantial financial losses as they contribute to blue-staining of conifer timber (Seifert 1993). For example the blue-stain fungus *Ophiostoma minus* (Hedgcock) H. & P. Sydow and *O. plurianulatum* (Hedgcock) H. & P. Sydow are known to reduce the commercial value of timber (Harrington 2005; Seifert 1993).

In many cases, accidental introductions of the fungal species and their associated vectors into new environments can cause disease outbreaks with serious consequences (Loo 2009; Brasier 2008). These accidental introductions happen due to increased globalisation of trade in plants, and flaws in the international protocols of plant biosecurity (Brasier & Webber 2010). In some cases the fungi can move from one host species to another, often aided by their vectors (Woolhouse et al. 2005; Wingfield 2003) and the limited resistance offered by the new hosts often leads to excessive aggressiveness by the introduced pathogen (Brasier & Buck 2001). Well-known examples include the Dutch elm disease epidemics that were responsible for catastrophic losses of American elm trees, *Ulmus americana* L. (Brasier & Buck 2001; Heybroek 1993; Lamb 1979). This was due to the introduction of *Ophiostoma* species, *O. ulmi* (Buisman) Nannf. and *O. novo-ulmi* Brasier together with their vector, the European elm bark beetle (*Scolytus multistriatus* Marsham) (Webber 1990) from their host tree, the European elm tree, through the importation of infested elm timber from Europe to America (Brasier 1990; Peace 1960). The fungi shifted host to the indigenous American elm trees, causing the Dutch elm disease (Brasier & Buck 2001; Heybroek 1993; Lamb 1979). *Raffaelea lauricola* T.C. Harr., Fraedrich & Aghayeva along with its vector beetle, the invasive exotic red bay ambrosia beetle *Xyleborus glabratus* Eichhoff, were introduced into the southeastern USA from Asia on solid wood packing material (Harrington et al. 2008). The fungi shifted host onto native members of the Lauraceae including avocado trees, causing the Laurel wilt disease that killed most of these new hosts (Harrington et al. 2008). In some cases ophiostomatoid fungi have shifted from native hosts to introduced hosts with negative consequences. For example, *Ceratocystis albifundus* De Beer, Wingfield & Morris,
which is believed to be native to South Africa, is found on several native hosts including *Protea* sp. (Morris *et al.* 1993; Gorter 1977), *Acacia caffra* Willd, *Burkea africana* Hook, *Combretum molle* R.Br. ex G. Don, *Faurea saligna* Harv, *Ozoroa paniculosa* (Sond.) R. Fern. and *Terminalia sericea* Cambess (Roux *et al.* 2007). This species has moved onto introduced *A. mearnsii* trees (Roux & Wingfield 1997) on which it is extremely virulent and now threatens the cultivation of *A. mearnsii* in South Africa (De Beer 1994). It has already led to significant economic losses in the *A. mearnsii* plantation industry in this country (Roux *et al.* 1999).

Like other ecosystems around the world, the Cape Floristic Region (CFR) of South Africa is under increased threat from anthropogenic influences. Numerous economically important exotic plant species and their associated organisms have been introduced and are now invasive within natural CFR habitats. This is especially true for some of the most important South African plantation forestry taxa such as *Acacia mearnsii*, *Eucalyptus* spp. and *Pinus* spp. (Moran & Hoffman 2012). These taxa occupy vast areas of natural CFR vegetation and are ideal organisms to study the possibility and consequences of fungi moving to newly encountered hosts, as they are in close contact with many native tree taxa. The aim of this study was firstly to identify possible consequences when fungi from the Ophiostomatales move to newly encountered hosts by testing their pathogenicity on various usual hosts and on possible newly encountered hosts. The likelihood that fungi would move to newly encountered hosts was further assessed by identifying known host ranges of the fungi and their vector organisms, and also by testing the specificity of various mites towards their natural beetle vectors and those that they would not normally associate with.

### 6.2. Materials and methods

#### 6.2.1 Study organisms

In the present study we focussed on three important plantation forestry tree species (*Acacia mearnsii*, *Eucalyptus grandis* W.Hill ex Maiden and *Pinus radiata* D. Don) that are invasive in natural CFR vegetation (Moran & Hoffman 2012; Lowe *et al.* 2008;). These taxa often grow in dense stands and are often in close association with native trees found in the Afromontane forest patches scattered throughout the CFR. Recent surveys of members of the Ophiostomatales from the CFR revealed that there are many undescribed or newly described taxa present on native trees within Afromontane forests (Musvuugwa *et al.* 2013, Chapters 2,
Some taxa appeared to be host specific, while others had very wide host ranges. These surveys also revealed the presence of some members of the Ophiostomatales from exotic species (Musvuugwa et al. 2013, Chapter 5). Numerous taxa were associated with subcortical beetles, their phoretic mites and/or mites from wounds on storm damaged trees (Musvuugwa et al. 2013, Chapter 5). Here we selected fungal species that differ in their dispersal ecology and host ranges in order to assess the possible consequences and likelihood of moving to new hosts (Table 1). This study was prompted by recent problems caused by a native ophiostomatoid fungus (Microascales: *C. albifundus*) on exotic plantation forestry trees (*A. mearnsii*) (De Beer 1994; Roux & Wingfield 1997) and after the collection of an exotic phoretic mite (*Dendrolaelaps quadrisetus* Berlese) on both a non-native sub-cortical beetle (*Orthotomicus erosus* Wollaston) from *Pinus radiata* and a native species (*Lanurgus* sp. 1 Eggers) from a native host, *Olea capensis* ssp. *macrocarpa* (Musvuugwa et al. 2013, Chapter 5, Table 1).

*Dendrolaelaps quadrisetus* was found to be associated with three exotic Ophiostomatales species (*Ophiostoma ips*, *Sporothrix* sp. 1 and *Ceratocystiopsis* sp. 1) on *P. radiata* (all associated with exclusively pine-associated bark-beetles) and *O. pallida* that was isolated from *Olea capensis* ssp. *macrocarpa* (Musvuugwa et al. 2013, Chapter 5). *Sporothrix pallida* was also isolated from the beetle *Lanurgus* sp. 1, the native phoretic partner of *D. quadrisetus* (Musvuugwa et al. 2013, Chapter 2). It is therefore expected that the fungi associated with this mite can move between host plants and that the mite is not very specific towards its vectors. *Ophiostoma pallida* was also found to be associated with the native subcortical beetle taxa *Cytonoxylon* sp. 1 (Musvuugwa et al. 2013, Chapter 2, Table 1). This fungus is therefore not very host and/or vector specific and can easily move from one host to the next.

*Ophiostoma pluriannulatum* and *Graphilbum roseus* are associated with numerous mite taxa (none known to be phoretic) and with wounds on diverse native trees (Musvuugwa et al. 2013, Chapter 5). These are expected to be able to easily move between various hosts by using these mites as primary vectors. These fungi have, however, not yet been isolated from wounds on exotic trees, which raises the question of whether they are able to grow on these hosts. *Sporothrix rapaneae* is only currently known from wounds on one host tree species (*Rapanea melanophloes*). Its associated organisms are unknown, but most likely include mites. Like with *O. pluriannulatum* and *Graphilbum roseus*, it may therefore be able to move easily between different hosts. *Raffaelea rapaneae* is an ambrosial fungus associated with a Platypodinae beetle. The nature of this association is probably very specific (Henriques et al.
and the dispersal of this species to tree taxa other than *R. melanophloeos* is therefore less likely.

Table 1. Study organisms and their associated host tree species used in growth and vector studies.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fungal species</th>
<th>Host tree</th>
<th>Beetle associates</th>
<th>Mite associates</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><em>Ceratocystiopsis</em> sp. 1</td>
<td><em>Pinus radiata</em></td>
<td><em>Orthotomicus erosus</em></td>
<td><em>Dendrolaelaps quadrisetus</em></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Ophiostoma ips</em></td>
<td><em>Pinus radiata</em></td>
<td><em>Orthotomicus erosus</em></td>
<td><em>Dendrolaelaps quadrisetus</em></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Sporothrix</em> sp. 1</td>
<td><em>Pinus radiata</em></td>
<td><em>Orthotomicus erosus</em></td>
<td><em>Dendrolaelaps quadrisetus</em></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Raffaelea rapaneae</em></td>
<td><em>Rapanea melanophloeos</em></td>
<td>None known</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Sporothrix pallida</em></td>
<td><em>Olea capensis ssp. macrocarpa</em></td>
<td><em>Lanurgus sp. 1,</em></td>
<td><em>Ctonoxylon sp. 1,</em></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Graphilbum roseus</em></td>
<td><em>Curtisia dentata, Halleria lucida, Pterocelastrus tricuspilatus, Trichocladus crinitus and O. capensis ssp. macrocarpa</em></td>
<td>None known</td>
<td><em>Oribatida sp. 2,</em></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Ophiostoma pluriannulatum-like</em></td>
<td><em>Olea capensis, Rapanea melanophloeos, Pterocelastrus sp., Curtisia dentata, Acacia mearnsii</em></td>
<td>None known</td>
<td><em>Lasioseius sp. 1,</em></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Sporothrix rapaneae</em></td>
<td><em>Rapanea melanophloeos</em></td>
<td>None known</td>
<td><em>Mesostigmata sp.1,</em></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
<pre><code>                                       |                     |                              | *Uroobovella sp.1*               |
</code></pre>
6.2.1. Growth studies

The growth of various members of the Ophiostomatales from the CFR was tested by inoculating them onto various hosts including those that they are not usually associated with (Table 1). Three native tree species (*Curtisia dentata* (Burm.f.) C.A.Sm., *Olea capensis* ssp. *macrocarpa* and *Rapanea melanophloeos* and three exotic tree species (*Acacia mearnsii*, *Eucalyptus grandis*, *Pinus radiata*) were selected for these experiments. These experiments aimed to determine how host specific these fungi are (i.e. can they grow on possible newly encountered hosts) and if so, whether they could be pathogenic to these newly encountered hosts. Three isolates of each of the eight fungal species assessed were used for inoculation experiments. A cork borer (8 mm diameter) was used to make wounds on branches (ca. 15 mm diameter) of trees by opening the cambium. Mycelial plugs of the same size were taken from edges of actively growing fungal colonies (1-2 weeks old) on Malt Extract Agar (MEA; Biolab, Midrand, South Africa) and inserted onto the wounds with mycelium facing the cambium, whereafter wounds were sealed with masking tape to prevent desiccation. As a control, wounds were inoculated with sterile MEA plugs. After a period of six weeks lesion lengths resulting from the inoculations were measured. Re-isolations were made from the front of the lesions in order to confirm the identity of the lesion-causing fungi. Re-isolations were made by surface sterilising wounds with 70% ethanol and removing pieces of inner wood (2 mm²). These were placed on MEA in Petri dishes and incubated in the dark at room temperature (ca. 23°C). Pure cultures of the fungi were identified based on morphological characters.

Differences in mean lesion length caused by the various fungal isolates and the control on each of the host trees were determined using One-way ANOVA in Statistica 11 (Statsoft Corporation, USA). Means were separated by Dunnett Post-hoc test Statistica 11 (Statsoft Corporation, USA). We assumed that when the mean lesion length for an isolate was higher than the upper standard error of the control, the fungal isolate was able to grow on that particular host. We further assumed that a particular isolate was pathogenic to the host when its mean was statistically different from that of the control.
6.2.2. Ability of phoretic mites to distinguish between vector and non-vector beetles

To determine the level of specificity between phoretic mites and their beetle vectors, we tested the ability of mites to distinguish between vector and non-vector beetles. Three mites that are known to be vectored by beetles were selected based on availability. These included *Dendrolaelaps quadrisetus* known from bark beetles on *Pinus* spp. and *Olea capensis* ssp. *macaropa* (Table 1), and two species (*Proctolaelaps vandenbergi* Ryke and *Trichouropoda* sp. 3 Berlese) that are only known as associates of native beetles (*Genuchus hottentottus* (F.) and *Trichostetha fascicularis* L., both in the Scarabaeidae, collected from *Protea* ssp. (Roets et al. 2009). *Dendrolaelaps quadrisetus* is a predatory mite that feeds on eggs and larvae of its phoront beetle (Kinn 1967) and also in some cases feeds on nematodes, but it often carries spores of members of the Ophiostomatales (Musvuugwa et al. 2013, Chapter 5; Cardoza et al. 2008). *Trichauropoda* sp. 3 has a close mutualistic association with members of the Ophiostomatales (Roets et al 2007), while *Proctolaelaps vandenbergi* is a pollen and nectar feeder (Coetzee et al. 1986) that also often carries spores of the Ophiostomatales (Roets et al. 2007). Mites were allowed to associate with three other beetle taxa besides their natural vector. *Dendrolaelaps quadrisetus* was allowed to associate with one of its normal vectors (*Lanurgus* sp. 1 from host *Olea capensis* ssp. *macaropa*) and the non-usual vectors *Lasioderma serricorne* Fabricius (Anobiidae) (from contaminated stored products), Cryphalinae sp. 1 (from *Virgilia oroboides* P. J. Bergius) and *Ctonoxylon* sp. 2 (from *Olea* sp. 1 L.). *Lasioderma serricorne* was chosen as this beetle has no known mite associates and is not found in natural areas in the CFR. Both *P. vandenbergi* and *Trichauropoda* sp. 3 were allowed to associate with their normal vector (*G. hottentottus*) and the non-usual vectors *Lanurgus* sp. 1, *L. serricorne* and Cryphalinae sp. 1. Vector studies were carried out testing two different scenarios, a no-choice experiment and a choice experiment.

In the no-choice experiments, five individuals of each tested mite species were allowed to associate with a single individual of a beetle species in a sealed Petri dish (60 mm diameter). The experiment was replicated five times for all the different beetle species individually. Beetles were monitored for 24 hours and the total number of mites found on the individual beetles was counted at the end of the experiment. In the choice experiment, 20 individuals of each mite species selected for this study were allowed to associate with one individual of each of the tested beetles in a single Petri dish. The experiment was replicated five times for each mite species tested. These experiments were also monitored for 24 hrs and the number of mites found on each beetle was recorded at the end of the experiment.
6.3. Results

5.3.1 Growth studies

After a period of six weeks all fungal species had at least two isolates that were able to grow on *Pinus radiata* (Fig. 1A). However, none of the fungal species used in inoculations proved to be pathogenic to this tree species (Fig. 1A). All fungal taxa tested were able to grow on *A. mearnsii*, except for the pine associated *O. ips* (Fig. 1B). Only *Graphilbum roseus* and *O. pluriannulatum* were pathogenic to this species, producing distinct significant lesions as compared to the control, (df = 24; f = 6.8; p < 0.001) (Fig. 1B). All fungal taxa tested were able to grow on *Eucalyptus grandis*, except for *S. rapaneae, S. pallida, O. pluriannulatum* and *Ceratocystiopsis* sp. 1 (Fig. 1C). The native fungi *R. rapaneae, G. roseus* and the non-native *Sporothrix* sp. 1 and *O. ips* were pathogenic on *E. grandis*, (df = 24; f = 3.7; p = 0.000003) (Fig. 1C).

All isolates of all tested fungal species were able to grow on *R. melanophloeos* (Fig 2A). Taxa that proved to be pathogenic to this species included *S. rapaneae, G. roseus, S. pallida, O. pluriannulatum* and the non-native *O. ips*, (df = 24; f = 4.1; p < 0.001) (Fig. 2A). Very few isolates of the Ophiostomatales tested were able to grow on *O. capensis* ssp. *macrocarpa* and none proved to be pathogenic to this tree, (df = 24; f = 0.81; p = 0.72) (Fig. 2B). All fungal species tested on *Curtisia dentata* were able to grow on this species (Fig. 2C). Five of these fungal species produced distinct lesions and were thus considered pathogenic on this host, (df = 24; f = 20.2; p < 0.001). These were *S. rapaneae, R. rapaneae, G. roseus, S. pallida* and the non-native *Ceratocystiopsis* sp.1 (Fig. 2C).
Figure 1. Mean lesion lengths (+/- SE) caused by various members of the Ophiostomatales on the non-native taxa (A) *Pinus radiata*, (B) *Acacia mearnsii* and (C) *Eucalyptus grandis*.
Figure 2. Mean lesion lengths (+/− SE) caused by various members of the Ophiostomatales on the native taxa (A) Rapanea melanophloeos trees, (B) Olea capensis ssp. macrocarpa and (C) Curtisia dentata
6.3.2. Ability of mites to distinguish between vector and non-vector beetles

In the no-choice experiments, all the phoretic mite species could only associate with their natural vector beetles. *Dendrolaelaps quadrisetus* only associated with its vector beetle, *Lanurgus* sp. 1 (Fig 3A), H (df = 3, N = 20) = 18.5547, p = 0.0003, *Trichouropoda* sp. 3 only chose to climb onto their native *Protea* beetle vector, *Genuchus hottentottus* (Fig. 4A), H (df = 3, N = 20) = 18.6275, p = 0.0003, while the *Protea*-associated *Proctalaelaps vandenbergi* were also specific on their native vector beetle, *Genuchus hottentottus* (Fig. 5A), H (df = 3, N = 20) = 18.6031, p = 0.0003).

Similarly, in the choice experiments, all the phoretic mite species only associated with their natural vector beetles. The *Olea*-associated *D. quadrisetus* only associated with *Lanurgus* sp. 1 (Fig 3B), H (df = 3, N = 20) = 18.5547, p = 0.0003, *Trichouropoda* sp. 3 only associated with their natural phoront, *G. hottentottus* (Fig. 4B), H (df = 3, N = 20) = 18.7500, p = 0.0003 and *P. vandenbergi* only climbed onto *Genuchus hottentottus* (Fig. 5A), H (df = 3, N = 20) = 18.6275, p = 0.0003.

Figure 3. Mean number of *Dendrolaelaps quadrisetus* mites on four different beetle after a period of 24 hours in the no-choice experiment (A), Kruskal-Wallis ANOVA, H (df = 3, N = 20) = 18.5547, p = 0.0003 and the choice experiment (B), Kruskal-Wallis ANOVA, H (df = 3, N = 20) = 18.5547, p = 0.0003.
Figure 4. Mean number of *Protea*-associated *Trichouropoda* sp. 3 mites on four different beetle species after a period of 24 hours in the no-choice experiment (A), Kruskal-Wallis ANOVA, $H (df = 3, N = 20) = 18.6275, p = 0.0003$ and the choice experiment (B), Kruskal-Wallis ANOVA, $H (df = 3, N = 20) = 18.7500, p = 0.0003$

Figure 5. Mean number of *Proctalaelaps vandenbergi* mites on four different beetle species after a period of 24 hours in the no-choice experiment (A), Kruskal-Wallis ANOVA, $H (df = 3, N = 20) = 18.6031, p = 0.0003$ and the choice experiment (B), Kruskal-Wallis ANOVA, $H (df = 3, N = 20) = 18.6275, p = 0.0003$
6.4. Discussion

Results from this study reveal the possible effects and dangers posed by various members of the Ophiostomatales when they encounter new host trees in the CFR. This is important, as it has been shown that when fungal species encounter new environments, they can cause disease outbreaks with serious consequences (Loo 2009; Brasier 2008,). When they move from one host species to another, usually through their vectors (Woolhouse et al. 2005; Wingfield 2003,), there can experience limited resistance by the new hosts, which can lead to excessive aggressiveness by the introduced pathogen (Brasier & Buck 2001). At least two fungal species were able to grow on each of the host trees they were tested on and at least two fungal species were pathogenic on all the tree species they were tested on except on *Olea capensis* ssp. *macrocarpa* and *Pinus radiata*. Also presented in this study is the relationship between phoretic mites, their natural beetle vectors and other beetles they are most likely not to encounter in the field. Results showed that there is a high level of specificity between the mites and their natural vectors. This study provides an initial step into understanding the possible consequences that may result if different Ophiostomatales fungi shift hosts within the CFR.

None of the fungi tested was pathogenic on *Pinus radiata* or *Olea capensis* ssp. *macrocarpa*. The reason for this is not known, but it is possible that these tree species are highly resistant to attack by most pathogens. Defences could be through the formation of physical barriers that will block the fungi from entering and spreading through the plant or through the production of chemicals that are toxic to the fungi or that inhibit growth of the fungi in the plant (Shigo et al. 1984). *Olea capensis* ssp. *macrocarpa* has never before been recorded to be attacked by or associated with any pathogenic fungus. Therefore it is not surprising that any of the fungal species tested were non-pathogenic on this tree species as it is most likely highly resistant to attack by pathogens. However, *P. radiata* has been recorded to be associated with members of the Ophiostomatales, some of which cause sapstain in this host (Reay et al. 2002). Major losses of *P. radiata* caused by non-ophiostomatoid fungi have been recorded in South Africa. Examples include *Arnylostereurn areolatum* (Fr.) Boid. and A. *chailletii* (Pers.: Fr.) Boid vectored by the woodwasp, *Sirex noctilio* Fabricus (Hurley et al. 2007; Madden 1988).

The wound-associated species *Graphilbnum roseus* and *Ophiostoma pluriannulatum*-like were pathogenic on several tree species. *Graphilbnum roseus* was pathogenic on the non-native
Acacia mearnsii and Eucalyptus grandis as well as on the native Rapanea melanophloes and Curtisia dentata. This species was recently isolated from several native hosts, including Curtisia dentata, Halleria lucida, Pterocelastrus tricuspidatus, Trichocladius crinitus and Olea capensis ssp. macrocarpa (Musvuugwa et al. 2013, Chapter 4). Interestingly it is also associated with several wound associated mites such as Oribatida sp. 2, Lasioseius sp. 1 and Mesostigmata sp. 1 (Musvuugwa et al. 2013, Chapter 5). These mites may aid in the transport of this fungus to many hosts, especially since these hosts grow in close proximity to each other. Since it is possible that this fungus is vectored by its associated mites (Musvuugwa et al. 2013, Chapter 5), it is likely that it can at some point be transported to these exotic hosts and pose a danger to South Africa’s forestry industry. This scenario has occurred at least once in South Africa where Ceratocystis albifundus shifted hosts from native taxa to the non-native A. mearnsii, causing a serious wilt disease that led to financial losses in the forestry industry (Roux et al. 1999; Roux & Wingfield 1997; De Beer 1994). Similarly, Ophiostoma pluriannulatum-like was also isolated from various hosts, including A. mearnsii and R. melanophloes and is also associated with several mite species (Musvuugwa et al. 2013, Chapter 5). It has now been shown that it can be pathogenic on A. mearnsii and R. melanophloes. It may therefore pose a significant threat to A. mearnsii should it move into plantation forestry areas. The current distribution of this fungus is unknown, but as A. mearnsii has invaded much of coastal South Africa, it may well be able to extend its range rapidly eastwards to where A. mearnsii is commercially planted. The other wound-associated fungus, Sporothrix rapaneae, was pathogenic on its host R. melanophloes and on Curtisia dentata. Currently the fungus is only known from one host and its associated arthropods are not known, although it is highly likely to be mites. However, its vector species may to some extent be specific to this fungus and/or its host, since this fungus has thus far been collected from only one host tree.

Three of the fungal species from pine trees, associated with Dendrolaelaps quadrisetus and Orthotomicus erosus, were also pathogenic on some of the tree species tested. Although these fungi were able to grow on almost all the tree species they were tested on, they were only pathogenic on a few. Sporothrix sp. 1 was pathogenic on Eucalyptus grandis, the Ceratocystiopsis species was pathogenic on Curtisia dentata, and O. ips was pathogenic on E. grandis and R. melanophloes. These species may be able to move to E. grandis and native hosts in areas where these occur in close proximity using D. quadrisetus as a carrier. This notion is aided by the recent collection of this mite from both native and exotic bark
beetles and hosts (Musvuugwa et al. 2013, Chapter 5). A possible reason why none of these fungi have been found on native hosts yet is that this mite has moved from pine-associated beetles to a beetle associated with *O. capensis* ssp. *macrocarpa* (Musvuugwa et al. 2013, Chapter 5), a host that is very resistant to ophiostomatoid fungi. However, this beetle may not be very host specific as it is also known from *Gonioma kamassi* E. Mey. (Musvuugwa et al. 2013, Chapter 5). Future exchanges of ophiostomatoid fungi between usual and non-usual hosts cannot be ruled out.

The beetle-associated fungi from native hosts, *Sporothrix pallida* and *Raffaelea rapaneae*, were able to grow on all tree species and were pathogenic on some. Both species were pathogenic on *Curtisia dentata*, while *S. pallida* was also pathogenic on *Rapanea melanophloes* and *Raffaelea rapaneae* was also pathogenic on *Eucalyptus grandis*. *Sporothrix pallida* is associated with two beetle species, *Lanurgus* sp. 1 and *Ctonoxylon* sp. 1, as well as with the phoretic mite of *Lanurgus* sp. 1 (*D. quadrisetus*) (Musvuugwa et al. 2013, Chapter 2 & 5). The fact that this fungus is associated with three different arthropods may aid its dispersal to new hosts on which it may be pathogenic. In fact, this species may not be very vector specific at all, as it is known from many different environments including water sediments, soil, and the sporophore of a slime-mould and even from a human corneal ulcer (de Meyer et al. 2008). Conversely, *Raffaelea rapaneae* is currently only known as an associate of one Platypodinae beetle species (Musvuugwa et al. 2013, Chapter 2). Since it was only isolated from this beetle species, it may be highly specific to it, which would reduce the chances of it being vectored to another tree host.

**Conclusion**

It has clearly been shown that many of the fungal species used in this study may threaten new hosts that they may encounter. This is especially true for those species found on wounds and those associated with several arthropod taxa. These threats are less severe for fungal species associated with bark beetles, unless these carry mites that are less vector-specific. The virulence of pathogenic fungi identified here is not known at this point, but some taxa may be able to kill newly encountered hosts. Even if they cannot kill their hosts, they could still pose a great ecological (when exotic fungi compete with native fungi) or economic threat (e.g. blue staining of lumber).
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Chapter 7: Conclusion

Prior to this study, very little was known about the diversity of micro-organisms such as fungi in the Afromontane forests of the CFR of South Africa. Most research on CFR fungi has focussed on the survey of plant-pathogenic and a few saprobie species (Lee et al. 2004; Crous et al. 2004; Swart 1999; Knox-Davies et al. 1986). This has resulted in a gap in our understanding of the fungal biodiversity and ecology in the CFR, especially in terms of fungi associated with native trees in the Afromontane forests. Numerous ophiostomatoid fungi are known as pathogens of native and introduced trees in South Africa (Kamgan 2008; Roux et al 2004; Roux & Wingfield 1997). Others are well-known saprophytes that are important in wood degradation (De Meyer et al. 2008; Marimon et al. 2008; 2007; Aghayeva et al. 2004; Zhou et al. 2004). Ophiostomatoid fungi therefore provided an excellent model system to study the biodiversity and ecology of tree-associated CFR fungi, and formed the central focus of this study.

Kamgan et al. (2008) suggested that fungal diversity in the CFR may be high, and that many of these fungal species may be associated with Afromontane forest trees. Results of this study strongly confirmed this, as many members of the Ophiostomatales were isolated from Afromontane forests trees. Fifteen Ophiostomatoid fungal species (14 members of Ophiostomatales and one member of Microascales) were isolated from native trees (mostly Rapanea melanophloeos), ten of which were newly described in this study. In addition three fungal species, two of which are probably new to science, were isolated exclusively from non-native Pinus species growing in these forests. Three fungal species were present on both native and a non-native tree species (Acacia mearnsii De Wild). A high diversity of arthropods, including subcortical beetles and mites, was also recorded, and many of them were associated with the fungal species isolated. Some of the fungal species collected in this study proved to be pathogenic on both native and exotic tree taxa. This is important, because if such fungi are associated with vectoring arthropod species, they can shift hosts, which could have devastating consequences to both native and alien trees.

Members of the Ophiostomatales represent some of the most common fungal associates of bark and ambrosia beetles (Klepzig & Six 2004; Jacobs & Wingfield 2001). In this study, we isolated four Ophiostomatales species (including three newly described species) that were associated with subcortical beetles on Rapanea melanophloeos and Olea capensis L. ssp. macrocarpa (C. H. Wright) I. Verd.. This was the first study that explored the associations
between subcortical beetles and ophiostomatoid fungi on native trees in the CFR. This also provided the first record of an ophiostomatoid fungal species associated with the host species *O. capensis* ssp. *macrocarpa*. *Sporothrix pallida* was isolated from two beetles, *Lanurgus* sp. 1 and *Ctonoxylon* sp. 1 associated with *O. capensis* ssp. *macrocarpa*. Although this fungus has been recorded from other environmental hosts, this was the first time it was found associated with subcortical beetles. *Sporothrix aemuluphilus*, another newly described species, is associated with the beetle *Xyleborinus aemuluphilus*. It belongs to the *Sporothrix schenckii–O. stenoceras* complex, along with *S. pallida*. In addition to *S. pallida*, the newly described *Raffaelea scabbardiae* was also isolated form *Lanurgus* sp. 1. *Raffaelea rapaneae* represents yet another new species, which was isolated from a Platypodinae beetle infesting *Rapanea melanophloeos*. Although a couple of other members of the Ophiostomatales have been isolated from *R. melanophloeos* in the CFR before (Kamgan *et al.* 2008), they were only isolated from wounds and were never beetle-associated.

Wounds on trees caused by heavy storms, bark stripping and sivicultural practises (Kamgan *et al.* 2008; Morris *et al.* 1993) can act as infection points for ophiostomatoid fungi (Wingfield *et al.* 1993). Although some ophiostomatoid fungi have previously been collected from wounds on *Rapanea melanophloeos* in the Afromontane forests, more fungal species were expected to be infecting this tree, especially given the signs of stress it displays in many localities. This suspicion was confirmed by results from this study. In addition to the two fungal species associated with subcortical beetles, five more wound-associated species (*Ophiostoma stenoceras*, *Sporothrix reniformis*, *S. rapaneae*, *S. lunateae* and *S. noisomeae*) were collected from *R. melanophloeos*. All but *O. stenoceras* were new to science, and were thus formally described here. All of the wound-associated species collected from *Rapanea melanophloeos* belong to the *Sporothrix schenckii–O. stenoceras* complex, except for *S. noisomeae* that has provisionally been placed in the *S. lignivora* complex. The placement of most of these fungi in the *S. schenckii–O. stenoceras* complex (including two species isolated from beetles, namely *Sporothrix pallida* and *S. aemuluphilus*) was not surprising, as many other species in this complex had been collected from southern Africa (De Beer *et al.* 2013). The *S. lignivora* complex to which *Sporothrix noisomeae* belongs is a contingent that De Beer *et al.* (2013) suggested may represent a separate genus. This needs to be confirmed by further phylogenetic work. Besides *Rapanea melanophloeos*, other tree taxa also had wounds resulting from storm damage. Three more new ophiostomatoid fungal species were collected from such wounds on other tree species. *Sporothix capensis*, also a member of the
Schenck – O. stenoceras complex, was collected from O. capensis ssp. macrocarpa. Graphilbum roseus was collected from many different, unrelated tree species. This was unusual, as most of the other new fungal species described here were more host tree specific. Graphium ilexiense (Microascales) was isolated from wounds on Ilex mitis, which represents the first isolation of an ophiostomatoid fungus from this tree species.

Several non-native trees have become invasive in the Afromontane forests of the CFR. Although associations between fungi and these exotic trees have been studied more than associations between fungi and native trees in South Africa, we still managed to collect two possibly new fungal species (Sporothrix sp. 1, Ceratocystiopsis sp. 1) as well as Ophiostoma ips associated with three bark beetles (Orthotomicus erosus, Hylurgus ligniperda and Hylastes angustatus) exclusively from Pinus. Several fungal species were collected from both native trees and non-native trees. These included Sporothrix fusiforme from Brabejum stellatifolium and Acacia mearnsii. Similarly, O. quercus and O. pluriannulatum-like fungus were collected from several native trees and from A. mearnsii. This suggests a possibility for host shifting of some of these fungi between native and non-native hosts or even between different native hosts. Such shifts have the potential to be detrimental if the fungus becomes pathogenic on the new host. Eight other subcortical beetle taxa, from which we did not collect any ophiostomatoid fungi, were found also to infest native trees in the Afromontane forests. Together with the beetle species associated with ophiostomatoid fungi, we collected more than 4500 beetle individuals. Given the biological diversity in the CFR, it is very likely that more beetle species await discovery in these forests.

In addition to their association with subcortical beetles, ophiostomatoid fungi were also found to be associated with other arthropods such as mites (Roets et al. 2007; Lombardero et al. 2003; Moser 1985). Some mites are phoretic on beetles and carry the same fungi as their phoront beetles, while other mites are just wound-associated. Four of the phoretic mites species collected were associated with ophiostomatoid fungi (Dendrolaelaps quadrisetus, Histiogaster sp. 3, Elattoma sp. 1 & 2), which was also carried by their phoront beetles. Dendrolaelaps quadrisetus was phoretic on both a native beetle (Lanurgus sp. 1) from Olea capensis ssp. macrocarpa and a non-native beetle (Orthotomicus erosus) from Pinus species. The fungal species it carried when phoretic on one beetle species was different to the species it carried on the other beetle species. Sixteen species of wound-associated mites were collected from 12 native trees. Of these, nine were associated with several ophiostomatoid (Graphilbum roseus, O. pluriannulatum-like, O. quercus) fungi that were isolated from
several different host trees. This suggests that they may aid in the transport of these fungi from one host species to another.

Accidental introductions of fungal species and their associated vectors into new environments or host shifts by the fungi can cause disease outbreaks with serious consequences (Brasier 2008). When we tested for possible consequences if some members of the Ophiostomatales had to move to newly encountered hosts through pathogenicity tests, some of the fungi proved to be pathogenic on several trees. Pathogenicity is most likely in fungal species associated with wounds, especially those also associated with mites, because the mites vector such fungi. When phoretic mites were tested for their specificity to their vector beetles, they proved to be highly specific. Although some of the fungi associated with these mites and their beetles were also pathogenic, it is less likely for these to be transferred to other host tree species due to the high specificity of their arthropod associates.

This is one of only a few studies that focused on ophiostomatoid fungi, subcortical beetles and mites associated with trees in the Afromontane forests of South Africa. Although a high diversity of Ophiostomatales members was collected, many more probably still await discovery. Now that we have some idea of the extent of the diversity of ophiostomatoid fungi, beetles and mites associated with Afromontane tree hosts, more detailed studies should also focus at unraveling the complex inter-organismal interactions in many of these systems.
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