

Risk factors for substance use in pregnant women in South Africa

B Vythilingum, A Roos, S C Faure, L Geerts, D J Stein

Objectives. To study the prevalence of alcohol and substance use in a South African antenatal population and its correlates with socio-demographic factors, depression and perceived stress.

Methods. A prospective self-report study on all women presenting for their first antenatal visit who consented to the study at a midwife obstetric unit (MOU) in the East Metropole district, Cape Town, using the Alcohol Use Disorders Identification Test (AUDIT), Drug Use Disorders Identification Test (DUDIT), Edinburgh Depression Scale (EDS) and Perceived Stress Scale (PSS). Statistical analyses using the chi-square test, separate one-way analyses of variance (ANOVA) and logistic regression analyses were performed as appropriate. Outcome measures were depression, alcohol use and substance use.

Results. The questionnaire was completed by 323 women. During pregnancy 36.8% of women smoked, 20.2% used alcohol and

4% used substances. Using EDS cut-off scores of 12 and 15, respectively, 48.9% and 33.6% of the sample had scores consistent with major depression. An EDS cut-off score of 12 was significantly associated with both alcohol use (25.9% v. 15.2%, $p=0.019$) and risky drinking (76.9% v. 36.8%, $p=0.04$), while an EDS cut-off score of 15 was significantly associated with substance use (8.2% v. 1.4%, $p=0.004$) as well as alcohol dependence (23.1% v. 3.1%).

Conclusions. We found high rates of both alcohol abuse and antenatal depression, and a significant association between depression, substance use and alcohol abuse; EDS scores greater than 12 could be used to identify women at risk of alcohol dependence and/or substance abuse.

S Afr Med J 2012;102(11):851-854. DOI:10.7196/SAMJ.5019

South Africa has the highest rates of fetal alcohol spectrum disorders (FASD) in the world, with rates of over 70/1 000 in the Western Cape, one of the worst affected areas globally.¹ In contrast, rates of 0.33 - 2.2/1 000 have been reported for the US population.¹ Despite numerous intervention efforts, the prevalence of FASD is increasing.¹ Depression and anxiety in pregnancy consistently predict women who continued to use alcohol and substances in pregnancy² and those who were high-risk drinkers antenatally.³ Depression may serve as both an initiating and a maintaining factor for alcohol and substance use in pregnancy.

To our knowledge, there are no published South African data on both alcohol and substance use in pregnancy, or the effects of antenatal depression (AND) on alcohol and substance use. Women in low- and middle-income countries have rates of postnatal depression (PND) comparable to and often higher than their counterparts in the developed world.^{4,5} Meta-analyses have shown that depression during pregnancy is an important risk factor for PND,⁶ yet few data are available on AND.

In South Africa there has been, to our knowledge, only one published study of antenatal depression,⁷ which found a 40% rate

of AND in a study of rural women from KwaZulu-Natal. This is of particular concern, as not only is AND a potent risk factor for PND, but it is associated with significant distress. AND has been associated with poor obstetric outcomes including preterm labour, intra-uterine growth restriction and low birth weight.⁸ High rates of AND therefore constitute a significant public health problem, and more data on its prevalence are important in planning appropriate interventions.

A relationship has been shown between higher perceived stress and increased risk of AND and PND.⁹ Higher perceived stress is also associated with an increased risk of relapse after smoking cessation interventions in pregnancy.¹⁰ There are no studies examining the relationship between perceived stress and alcohol or substance use in pregnancy. However, in non-pregnant women with alcohol and/or substance use disorders, perceived stress is associated with increased use and increased risk of relapse.¹¹ South African pregnant women have high levels of perceived stress,¹² and this may modulate their risk of depression and substance use.

We therefore examined the prevalence and correlates of substance use, depression and perceived stress in a South African antenatal population.

Methods

All women over the age of 18 presenting for their first antenatal visit at a midwife obstetric unit (MOU) in the East Metropole district, Cape Town, during March 2008 were asked to participate. Subjects who consented completed a self-report questionnaire comprising a demographic and lifestyle questionnaire, the Alcohol Use Disorders Identification Test (AUDIT), the Drug Use Disorders Identification Test (DUDIT), the Edinburgh Depression Scale (EDS), and the Perceived Stress Scale (PSS). It was administered by a research assistant in a private room adjacent to the main clinic. To accommodate participants with limited literacy, the research assistant read out questions. All women gave informed consent for participation. Ethical approval was obtained from the ethics committee of Stellenbosch University.

Department of Psychiatry, University of Cape Town, and MRC Research Unit on Anxiety and Stress Disorders, Department of Psychiatry, Stellenbosch University
B Vythilingum, MB ChB, FCPsych

D J Stein, MD, PhD

MRC Research Unit on Anxiety and Stress Disorders, Department of Psychiatry, Stellenbosch University

A Roos, PhD

S C Faure, MA (Counselling Psych)

Department of Obstetrics and Gynaecology, Stellenbosch University

L Geerts, MRCOG

Corresponding author: B Vythilingum (bavanisha.vythilingum@uct.ac.za)

The **demographic and lifestyle questionnaire** was designed for the study and collected demographic data (age, marital status, income and level of education), data on past pregnancies and current and past smoking.

The **Edinburgh Depression Scale (EDS)**¹³ is a 10-item self-report scale, validated antenatally and postnatally,¹⁴ that is the most accepted measure of depression in pregnancy and the puerperium. The validated¹⁵ cut-off score for South African populations is 12. However, it has been proposed that a higher cut-off score of 15 is more appropriate for women in pregnancy.¹⁶ We used both cut-off scores.

The **Perceived Stress Scale (PSS)**¹⁷ is a 4-item self-report scale used to assess perceived stress, including factors of being overwhelmed and feeling out of control.

The **Alcohol Use Disorders Identification Test (AUDIT)**¹⁸ is a 10-item screening instrument designed to identify drinkers at risk for alcohol abuse and dependence. Scores above 6 indicate risky drinking and above 20 indicates alcohol dependence.

The **Drug Use Disorders Identification Test (DUDIT)**¹⁹ is an 11-item self-report questionnaire, which looks at frequency of drug use. A cut-off score of 2 indicates drug-related problems and 25 or more probable drug dependence.

Descriptive analyses were performed as appropriate. Subjects scoring above the EDS cut-off scores were compared with those scoring below these cut-points. The chi-square test and separate one-way analyses of variance (ANOVA) were used to examine differences in demographic factors and in smoking, alcohol use, drug use and perceived stress. Logistic regression analyses were performed to determine whether EDS scores and demographic factors could distinguish patients using alcohol and drugs from those who did not use them. Alpha for the item entry was set at 0.01 and alpha for the item removal entry at 0.05. Finally, a receiver operating characteristic curve (ROC) analysis was performed. The area under the ROC curve (AUC) indicates a particular scale's diagnostic ability to discriminate between individuals with and without a particular diagnosis²⁰.

Results

The questionnaire was completed by 323 women (98% of eligible subjects). Their mean age was 24.6 years (SD 5.5); 88.3% were coloured, 9.8% black, 1.5% white and 0.3% Indian; and 139 (43.9%) were primiparous. The mean gestational age was 15.5 weeks (standard deviation (SD) 6.9), with 42.4% in the first trimester of pregnancy, 48.9% in the second trimester and 8.7% in the third trimester. Most women (37.7%) described themselves as single, 25.2% were married, 20.2% lived with their partner but were not married, a further 16.0% had a partner but did not live with them, and 0.3% was divorced; 46% were employed.

Smoking, alcohol and substance use. Of the women, 116 (36.8%) smoked, 66 (20.2%) used alcohol and 13 (4%) used substances at the time of their first antenatal visit; 126 (40.4%) smoked, 115 (35.3%) used alcohol and 18 (5.5%) used substances in the periconceptual period (in the 3 months before they found out that they were pregnant). Periconceptual alcohol use was significantly associated with alcohol use in pregnancy (54.8% v. 1.4%, $p < 0.001$), and periconceptual substance use was similarly significantly associated with substance use in pregnancy (50% v. 1.3%, $p < 0.0001$). Of the 66 women using alcohol in pregnancy, 58 completed the AUDIT; their mean AUDIT score during pregnancy was 10.4 (SD 7.1). Of the 58 women who completed the AUDIT, 7 scored above 20. As only 6 women completed the full DUDIT questionnaire the DUDIT data were not analysed.

Depression and stress. The mean score for the EDS was 12.6 (SD 6.3) and that for the PSS 8.1 (SD 3.3). Using EDS cut-off scores of 12

and 15, respectively, 48.9% and 33.6% of the sample had antenatal depression. There was a significant positive correlation between the EDS and PSS total scores ($r = 0.195$, $p < 0.001$). There were no significant differences in age, marital status, employment, parity and gestational age at booking between women with depression and women without depression (as determined using both cut-off scores).

Associations between depression, stress and smoking, alcohol and other substances. There was a significant positive correlation between the total EDS score and drug use (0.111, $p = 0.047$) and with alcohol use (0.180, $p = 0.001$). The EDS total score was also significantly positively correlated with the total AUDIT score (0.29, $p = 0.022$).

An EDS cut-off score of 12 was significantly associated with both alcohol use (25.9% of women with a score above 12 used alcohol v. 15.2% of women scoring below 12; $p = 0.019$) and risky drinking (76.9% scoring above 12 had scores indicative of risky drinking v. 36.8% of women with a score below 12; $p = 0.04$). In contrast, an EDS cut-off score of 15 was significantly associated with substance use (8.2% of women scoring above 15 used substances v. 1.4% of women who scored below 15; $p = 0.004$) as well as alcohol dependence (23.1% of women who scored above 15 had alcohol dependence v. 3.1% of women who scored below 15, $p = 0.038$).

Owing to sample size limitations, logistic regression analysis was only performed for alcohol use in pregnancy. Periconceptual alcohol use here emerged as the only predictor of continued alcohol use during pregnancy (odds ratio (OR) 4.522, $p = 0.000$).

The ROC analysis for alcohol use in pregnancy showed an AUC of 0.583 for an EDS cut-off score of 12, 0.553 for an EDS cut-off score of 15, and 0.876 for periconceptual alcohol use (Fig. 1). The ROC analysis for substance use in pregnancy showed an AUC of 0.636 for an EDS cut-off score of 12, 0.712 for an EDS cut-off score of 15, and 0.819 for periconceptual substance use (Fig. 2). Table 1 presents sensitivity and specificity scores.

No associations between smoking and depression (using either EDS cut-off score) were found. Similarly, no associations between the PSS and smoking, alcohol and substance use were found.

Discussion

Our main findings were: (i) high rates of both alcohol abuse and antenatal depression; (ii) a significant association between depression, substance use and alcohol abuse; (iii) that many women using alcohol and/or substances stop use once they discover they are pregnant; and (iv) that EDS scores above 12 can be used to identify women at risk of alcohol dependence and/or substance use.

This study confirms previous findings of high rates of antenatal depression in a sample of South African women.⁷ However, the extremely high prevalence using an EDS cut-off score of 12 (almost 50%) raises the concern that this cut-off point may not be valid in the South African population. A score of 15 may be a better identifier of depression, and the EDS cut-off scores for pregnant South African women should be revalidated. However, even when using a higher cut-off score, the 30% rate of depression found is a cause for concern. Furthermore, the EDS and PSS were highly correlated, suggesting that even if the EDS over-identifies depression, pregnant women in this sample are significantly distressed.

The high rates of alcohol use found during pregnancy and in the periconceptual period are consistent with studies of FAS prevalence¹ and alcohol and substance use in women of reproductive age.²¹ It is important to note that almost half the women who use alcohol spontaneously stop use once they discover they are pregnant. Furthermore, most of those who continue to drink despite falling pregnant exhibit risky drinking behaviour. This is of particular




Fig. 1. Predictors of alcohol use in pregnancy.




Fig. 2. Predictors of substance use in pregnancy.

concern as it indicates that these infants are at high risk of developing FASD and their mothers are likely to have increased difficulty in providing appropriate parenting.

The high rates of smoking and substance use in these subjects are further indicators of a population at high risk of poor obstetric outcomes and child developmental problems.

The high co-morbidity between depression and alcohol and substance use is in keeping with studies of non-pregnant women.²² This is important for several reasons. Firstly, it shows that these women experience a significant burden of disease. In women who are not pregnant, combined interventions addressing both depression and alcohol/substance are most effective. This study suggests that

this may also be true for pregnant women. Finally, under-reporting of alcohol and substance use in pregnancy is common. Women may be more likely to admit to depressive symptoms or alcohol/substance use before pregnancy than to current use. Periconceptual use and the presence of antenatal depression may be therefore used as a proxy measure to identify women at risk for alcohol/substance use, and serve as a starting point to build a therapeutic alliance in which substance and alcohol issues can be explored further.

Indeed the regression and ROC analyses show that both periconceptual use and an EDS score of more than 12 can be used as a screening tool to identify women at high risk of alcohol and substance dependence. The EDS is a quick self-report screen that is used in busy antenatal clinics with minimal additional burden on the staff. Screening for depression and risk of alcohol and substance use disorders could therefore potentially be accomplished using the EDS and one question around periconceptual use without needing additional staff time or training, factors that are often cited as barriers to screening.

Limitations of the study

This study was conducted at two MOUs in Cape Town. It is not known whether these results are generalisable to other areas and populations. Furthermore, the Western Cape is known to be an area where alcohol and substance abuse is rife. Whether the EDS could be used to identify women at risk for alcohol and substance use in populations with a lower prevalence of these disorders is not known. The study also relied on self-report data, which were not verified by a diagnostic interview or laboratory tests. There may therefore be under- or over-reporting of depression, alcohol and substance use disorders. Subjects were also examined at one time point only. We therefore cannot predict any changes in behaviour during the course of pregnancy.

Conclusion

Alcohol, substance use and depression occur frequently in pregnant women and pose a significant public health problem. Periconceptual alcohol and substance use and antenatal depression are key risk factors for alcohol and substance abuse during pregnancy. Screening for depression and periconceptual use is therefore important to identify and treat women with antenatal depression and to identify women at risk for alcohol and substance use in pregnancy. The high co-morbidity between these conditions also suggests that combined interventions addressing both alcohol and substance use and depression may be needed to adequately treat these women.

Acknowledgements. The authors thank Katherine Sorsdahl and Henri Carrera for their help with statistical analysis.

Table 1. Sensitivity and specificity scores (%)

	Alcohol use in pregnancy		Substance use in pregnancy	
	Sensitivity	Specificity	Sensitivity	Specificity
EDS cut-off score 12	62.1	54.5	75.0	52.3
EDS cut-off score 15	42.4	68.1	75.0	67.4
Periconceptual alcohol use	95.5	79.8	-	-
Periconceptual substance use	-	-	66.7	99.9

References

1. May PA, Gossage JP, Marais AS, et al. The epidemiology of fetal alcohol syndrome and partial FAS in a South African community. *Drug Alcohol Depend* 2007;88(2-3):259-271. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.drugalcdep.2006.11.007]
2. Meschke LL, Hellerstedt W, Holl JA, Messelt S. Correlates of prenatal alcohol use. *Matern Child Health J* 2008;12(4):442-451. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s10995-007-0261-9]
3. Homish GG, Cornelius JR, Richardson GA, Day NL. Antenatal risk factors associated with postpartum comorbid alcohol use and depressive symptomatology. *Alcohol Clin Exp Res* 2004;28(8):1242-1248. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1097/01.ALC.0000134217.43967.97]
4. Tomlinson M, Cooper PJ, Stein A, Swartz L, Molteno C. Post-partum depression and infant growth in a South African peri-urban settlement. *Child Care Health Dev* 2006;32(1):81-86. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2214.2006.00598.x]
5. Husain N, Bevc I, Husain M, Chaudhry IB, Atif N, Rahman A. Prevalence and social correlates of postnatal depression in a low income country. *Arch Womens Ment Health* 2006;9(4):197-202. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s00737-006-0129-9]
6. Beck CT. A meta-analysis of predictors of postpartum depression. *Nurs Res* 1996;45(5):297-303. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1097/00006199-199609000-00008]
7. Rochat TJ, Richter LM, Doll HA, Buthelezi NP, Tomkins A, Stein A. Depression among pregnant rural South African women undergoing HIV testing. *JAMA* 2006;295(12):1376-1378. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1001/jama.295.12.1376]
8. Koubovec D, Geerts L, Odendaal HJ, Stein DJ, Vythilingum B. Effects of psychologic stress on fetal development and pregnancy outcome. *Curr Psychiatry Rep* 2005;7(4):274-280. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s11920-005-0081-9]
9. Karacam Z, Ancel G. Depression, anxiety and influencing factors in pregnancy: a study in a Turkish population. *Midwifery* 2009;25(4):344-356. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.midw.2007.03.006]
10. Park ER, Chang Y, Quinn V, et al. The association of depressive, anxiety, and stress symptoms and postpartum relapse to smoking: A longitudinal study. *Nicotine Tob Res* 2009;11(6):707-714. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/ntr/ntp053]
11. Fouquereau E, Fernandez A, Mullet E, Sorum PC. Stress and the urge to drink. *Addict Behav* 2003;28(4):669-685. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0306-4603(01)00276-3]
12. Vythilingum B, Geerts L, Fincham D, et al. Association between antenatal distress and uterine artery pulsatility index. *Arch Womens Ment Health* 2010;13(4):359-364. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s00737-010-0144-8]
13. Cox JL, Holden JM, Sagovsky R. Detection of postnatal depression. Development of the 10-item Edinburgh Postnatal Depression Scale. *Br J Psychiatry* 1987;150:782-786. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1192/bjp.150.6.782]
14. Peindl KS, Wisner KL, Hanusa BH. Identifying depression in the first postpartum year: guidelines for office-based screening and referral. *J Affect Disord* 2004;80(1):37-44. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0165-0327(03)00052-1]
15. Lawrie TA, Hofmeyr GJ, de Jager M, Berk M. Validation of the Edinburgh Postnatal Depression Scale on a cohort of South African women. *S Afr Med J* 1998;88(10):1340-1344.
16. Matthey S, Henshaw C, Elliott S, Barnett B. Variability in use of cut-off scores and formats on the Edinburgh Postnatal Depression Scale: implications for clinical and research practice. *Arch Womens Ment Health* 2006;9(6):309-315. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s00737-006-0152-x]
17. Cohen S, Kamarck T, Mermelstein R. A global measure of perceived stress. *J Health Soc Behav* 1983;24(4):385-396.
18. Berman AH, Bergman H, Palmstierna T, Schlyter F. Evaluation of the Drug Use Disorders Identification Test (DUDIT) in criminal justice and detoxification settings and in a Swedish population sample. *Eur Addict Res* 2005;11(1):22-31. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1159/000081413]
19. Bohn MJ, Babor TF, Kranzler HR. The Alcohol Use Disorders Identification Test (AUDIT): validation of a screening instrument for use in medical settings. *J Stud Alcohol* 1995;56(4):423-432. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jsat.2003.09.005]
20. Hanley JA, McNeil BJ. The meaning of the use of the area under a receiver operating characteristic (ROC) curve. *Radiology* 1982;143(1):29-36.
21. Ojo OA, Louwagie G, Morojele N, et al. Factors associated with female high-risk drinking in a rural and an urban South African site. *S Afr Med J* 2010;100(3):180-182.
22. Schuckit MA, Daepfen JB, Tipp JE, Hesselbrock M, Bucholz KK. The clinical course of alcohol-related problems in alcohol dependent and nonalcohol dependent drinking women and men. *J Stud Alcohol* 1998;59(5):581-590.