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The Cape Triage Score (CTS) has been derived by the Cape Triage Group (CTG) for use in emergency units throughout South Africa. It can also be used in the pre-hospital setting, although it is not designed for mass casualty situations. The CTS comprises a physiologically based scoring system and a list of discriminators, designed to triage patients into one of five priority groups for medical attention. Three versions have been developed, for adults, children and infants. As part of the ongoing assessment process the CTG would value feedback from the readers of this Journal.
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those over 12 years of age or 150 cm in height, the child version has been developed for those 95 - 150 cm or 3 - 12 years old, and the infant version for those under 95 cm or less than 3 years of age. The pre-hospital use of the CTS will be studied prospectively in 2006.

A two-tiered approach to triaging is utilised, using both a physiological scoring system and a series of discriminators.

The physiological scoring system

Physiological assessment was chosen as a major component of the system as it is a core element of triage.7,8 The Medical Early Warning Score (MEWS) utilises systolic blood pressure, heart rate, temperature, respiratory rate and AVPU (a measure of level of consciousness, viz. Alert/Verbal/Pain/Unresponsive) as parameters. MEWS has been used to successfully identify physiological deterioration of medical inpatients,9 where MEWS scores of 5 or more were associated with increased risk of death, ICU and high dependency unit admission. The MEWS score identifies patients who need medical intervention.

The UK-based Intensive Care Outreach Services (ICORS) found that summarising abnormal physiology into the MEWS was a particularly useful tool in identifying medical patients in need of ICU admission.10 Using the MEWS as a referral tool reduced ICU admissions and length of hospitalisation.11 However, the MEWS has limitations with regard to triage in that it is medically biased. Trauma patients (who were often previously healthy and therefore have greater physiological reserve) may have severe injuries and yet have a low MEWS if they have unchanged physiology. The addition of both a mobility parameter and a trauma factor increases the severity score for trauma patients, as well as for medical patients who are physiologically normal but have time-critical conditions, e.g. ischaemic stroke. These parameters have therefore been added to the MEWS score by the CTG in order to improve its triage capabilities, and the resulting system has been renamed the Triage Early Warning Score (TEWS). Fig. 1 shows the adult version of the TEWS; similar scores have been developed by the CTG for children and infants.

Table I. Triage systems currently taught at South African ambulance training colleges

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>College</th>
<th>Red (P1)</th>
<th>Yellow (P2)</th>
<th>Green (P3)</th>
<th>Blue (dead)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cape Technikon, Cape Town</td>
<td>Primary survey compromised</td>
<td>Maintaining own primary survey</td>
<td>Injury/illness that should not compromise the primary survey within 60 minutes</td>
<td>The obviously dead</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wits Technikon, Gauteng</td>
<td>Primary survey compromised</td>
<td>Maintaining own primary survey</td>
<td>Injury/illness that should not compromise the primary survey within 60 minutes</td>
<td>The obviously dead</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Durban Institute of Technology, KZN</td>
<td>Life-threatening emergencies</td>
<td>Non-life-threatening emergencies requiring hospital treatment</td>
<td>Minor injury/illness</td>
<td>The obviously dead</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lebone Ambulance College (Pretoria)</td>
<td>Treatable life-threatening injuries/illness</td>
<td>Serious non-life-threatening injuries</td>
<td>Minor, easily managed injury/illness that may not require ambulance transportation</td>
<td>The obviously dead</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Natal Ambulance College, KZN</td>
<td>Life-threatening emergencies</td>
<td>Seriously injured patients</td>
<td>Moderate injuries</td>
<td>The obviously dead</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Emergency Medical Services College, Cape Town</td>
<td>Primary survey is compromised or there is an injury that will lead to permanent disability</td>
<td>Maintaining own primary survey</td>
<td>Injury/illness that will not compromise primary survey within 60 minutes</td>
<td>Mortal injury</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table: ADULT TRIAGE SCORE

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>1</th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>RR</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HR</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SBP</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Temp</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AVPU</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trauma</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fig. 1. Triage Early Warning Score (TEWS) (RR = respiratory rate, HR = heart rate, SBP = systolic blood pressure, AVPU = Alert, Verbal, Pain, Unconscious).
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TEWS has the following advantages: (i) it enables early, accurate assessment of the emergency patient; (ii) it translates measurable parameters into a number; (iii) minimal equipment is required (a blood pressure cuff and a low-reading thermometer); (iv) it encompasses both trauma and medical emergencies; (v) it facilitates uniform assessment, as well as communication between medical staff enabling appropriate patient disposition; and (vi) it is user-friendly in both the pre-hospital and EU settings.

**Discriminators**

Triage systems use discriminators as a core component of the decision-making process. Once again, the CTS comprises an adult, child and infant version with slightly different discriminators. The CTG has used the following discriminators.

**Mechanism of injury**

Mechanism of injury has been limited to high energy transfer. Mechanism of injury scores have been shown to be highly sensitive at identifying patients with severe trauma; however, they have also been shown to have high rates of overtriage (the tool incorrectly identifies minor injury or illness as being more serious).

**Presentation**

This includes symptoms such as chest pain and abdominal pain; it also includes ‘eyeball diagnoses’ such as seizures and dislocations, which are clear at triage.

**Pain**

As with many triage scores, pain is regarded as an important indicator of priority. It is recorded as severe, moderate, or mild.

**Senior health care professional’s discretion**

Experienced health care professionals can improve the triage process by adding their opinion to other parameters. In the CTG protocol, a senior health care professional may alter the triage coding, either up- or downgrading the triage status.

**Application of the triage system**

The TEWS score is calculated by first measuring the physiological parameters. The discriminators are then assessed, and a triage colour category is allocated. Patients are triaged as follows:

1. Vital signs – measure, and score each against the TEWS scoring sheet, to produce a total TEWS. This score corresponds to a triage colour category, which determines the patient’s priority for treatment. The colour categories are as follows:

   - **Red**: High priority. Immediate treatment is required.
   - **Orange**: High priority. Treatment within 10 minutes is required.
   - **Yellow**: High priority. Treatment within 60 minutes is required.
   - **Green**: Moderate priority. Treatment within 240 minutes is required.
   - **Blue**: Lowest priority. Treatment is not required immediately.

Each triage colour category is allocated based on the presence of specific discriminators. The discriminators are categorized under different headings such as mechanism of injury, presentation, pain, and senior health care professional’s discretion. The discriminators are as follows:

- **Mechanism of injury**
  - High energy transfer
  - Shortness of breath – acute
  - Coughing blood
  - Chest pain
  - Haemorrhage – uncontrolled
  - Haemorrhage – controlled

- **Presentation**
  - Seizure – current
  - Seizure – post ictal
  - Focal neurology – acute
  - Level of consciousness reduced
  - Psychosis/aggression
  - Threatened limb
  - Dislocation – other joint
  - All other patients
  - Fracture – compound
  - Fracture – closed

- **Burn – face/inhalation**
  - Burn over 20%
  - Burn – electrical
  - Burn – circumferential
  - Burn – chemical
  - Poisoning/overdose
  - Abdominal pain
  - Diabetic – glucose over 11
  - Diabetic – glucose over 17 (no ketonuria)
  - Vomiting – fresh blood
  - Vomiting – persistent
  - Pregnancy and abdominal trauma or pain
  - Pregnancy and trauma
  - Pregnancy and PV bleed
  - Senior health care professional’s discretion
  - Severe
  - Moderate
  - Mild

*Fig. 2. The CTG discriminator list (adult version).*
with a triage category (0 - 2 green, 3 - 4 yellow, 5 - 6 orange, > 6 red).
3. Presentation – consider any relevant symptoms or eyeball diagnoses.
5. Senior health care professional’s discretion – consider.

The triage category is selected from a five-colour coding sheet (Fig. 2).

If the discriminators (mechanism of injury, presentation, pain) categorise a patient in a higher triage category than the TEWS score, then this higher category is regarded as the correct category. The discriminators are used as a safety net for patients who have normal vital signs, but potentially significant pathology.

This triage system is not intended for mass casualty situations. It is standard practice throughout much of the world to use a simpler triage system for mass casualty situations and a more complex system for ‘everyday’ use. Mass casualty systems must be easy to learn and to use, fast to implement, and accurate. Examples of mass casualty systems include the Triage Sieve (used throughout the UK, Netherlands, Sweden, parts of India and Australia, and NATO military organisations), Careflight (Australia) and START (USA). Many ambulance services in the UK triage using the Triage Revised Trauma Score4 on a day-to-day basis, but revert to the Triage Sieve in the event of a mass casualty situation.

**Conclusion**

This is an obvious need for a triage system in South Africa. This article details such a system that fulfils local requirements. The CTS has three versions, for adults, children and infants, and has been derived as part of three Masters in Philosophy (MPhil) in Emergency Medicine dissertations and one doctorate (PhD) degree. They have been tested extensively in both the state and private EU settings in Cape Town. A pre-hospital validation will begin shortly.

The system was implemented in the Western Cape on 1 January 2006. A training programme was developed by the CTG and ran through November and December 2005.

Maximum benefit to all will be achieved if a triage system is accepted and implemented nationally; we wish to launch a national triage working group, with the aim of eventually having a South African Triage System. As part of the ongoing assessment of the CTS, and the development of the national system, we would value the input of our peers. We therefore invite readers’ comments.
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