A landscape-scale assessment of the long-term integrated control of an invasive shrub in South Africa

Karen J. Esler & Kerry S. te Roller
Centre for Invasion Biology, Department of Conservation Ecology and Entomology, University of Stellenbosch, Private Bag X1, Matieland, 7602, South Africa

Brian W. van Wilgen
Centre for Invasion Biology, CSIR Natural Resources and the Environment, P.O. Box 320, Stellenbosch, 7599, South Africa

Alan R. Wood
ARC-Plant Protection Research Institute, Private Bag X5017, Stellenbosch, 7599, South Africa

Johannes H. Van Der Merwe
Department of Geology, Geography and Environmental Studies, University of Stellenbosch, Private Bag X1, Matieland, 7602, South Africa

Abstract

The control of invasive alien plants often involves the integration two or more approaches, including mechanical clearing, the application of herbicides, burning, and biological control. More than one species of invasive plant can threaten the same area, which necessitates prioritization in the allocation of scarce resources to support the control of different species.

This paper describes the integrated control of the invasive shrub Hakea sericea over four decades in South Africa. The species is widespread across an area of approximately 800 × 200 km, and occurs mainly in rugged, inaccessible and fire-prone mountain areas. The species is serotinous, and produces copious amounts of seed that are wind dispersed after fires.

We present a brief history of the control measures which included a combination of felling and burning, augmented by biological control. We used data from two surveys, 22 years apart, to assess changes in distribution and density of the species.

The assessment suggested that the overall distribution of the species was reduced by 64%, from ~530,000 to ~190,000 ha between 1979 and 2001. The species either decreased in density, or was eliminated from 492,113 ha, while it increased in density, or colonised 107,192 ha.

We conclude that initial programs of mechanical clearing were responsible for reducing the density and extent of infestations, and biological control was largely responsible for the failure of the species to re-colonize cleared sites, or to spread to new areas following unplanned wildfires.

We propose that a significant portion of the resources used for clearing Hakea in the past can be reallocated to mechanical control efforts against other invasive species (such as alien pines) for which effective biological control options are not available, provided that sufficient resources are allocated to ensure the widespread and effective implementation of all biological control agents to maintain the advances reported on here.

Acknowledgments

Introduction

The large-scale invasion of otherwise pristine ecosystems by alien plants is a growing global phenomenon (Mooney et al. *2005*). These invasions can have serious impacts, and have often been subjected to prolonged attempts at control, which vary widely in their effectiveness. It is usually difficult to gauge the success of control efforts over the entire range of an invasive species over a long time, as control efforts are typically fragmented, discontinuous, and poorly recorded. Assessments of the effectiveness of control are nonetheless needed to guide ongoing control projects. Managers of such projects need to know whether their efforts are keeping the spread and density of invasive plants within acceptable limits, whether ongoing control should be continued, or whether scarce resources should be re-directed to address the control of other species where returns on investment may be greater.

This paper focuses on the outcome of a concerted effort to control an aggressively invasive shrub, Hakea sericea Schrader (Proteaceae), over millions of hectares in a control program that spanned four decades. H. sericea is a shrub or a small tree 2–5 m in height (Gordon *1993*). It is native to south-eastern Australia, and became naturalized in South Africa following its introduction in 1833 (Fugler *1983*; Neser and Fugler *1983*). It invades the Cape fynbos shrublands, a species-rich, fire-prone vegetation type typical of the sandstone mountains and lowlands of the region. Where it invades, H. sericea forms dense impenetrable stands (van Wilgen and Richardson *1985*), and causes several negative impacts. These include a significant reduction in indigenous species diversity (Macdonald and Richardson *1986*), reductions in surface water resources (Versfeld and van Wilgen *1986*; Le Maitre et al. *1996*), and increases in biomass, fuel loads and the intensity of wildfires (van Wilgen and Richardson *1985*).

The success of H. sericea as an invader can be attributed to its prolific seed production and serotinous habit (Richardson et al. *1987*; Morris *1989*; Kluge and Neser *1991*). All of the seeds of H. sericea are retained in pairs in tough woody follicles which accumulate throughout the life of the plant. These seeds can occur at densities reaching 7,500 per m^2 in ash beds following a fire (Gordon *1993*; Kluge and Richardson *1983*). The wind-dispersed seeds are released en masse following the death of parent plants in fire. The seeds usually fall within tens of meters of the parent plants (Richardson et al. *1987*), but some may be blown for much greater distances, establishing new foci and complicating control (Moody and Mack *1988*). In the same habitats, invasive alien pines (such as Pinus radiata) have seeds that are morphologically similar to Hakea species, and these can disperse more than 1 km from source (Richardson and Brown *1986*). The control of H. sericea in South Africa has involved a range of approaches, including mechanical and biological control, and burning (see below).

In this paper, we report on the history of integrated control efforts aimed at the reduction of H. sericea and its impacts, and we compare the extent of invasion between two surveys 22 years apart, to assess the effectiveness of the control efforts. Our goal was to assess, if possible, the contribution of integrated control, as well as to make recommendations on the future of the control program.

A brief history of the control of Hakea sericea

The importance of H. sericea as a weed has long been recognised (Wicht *1945*; Stirton *1978*), and it was declared as a "noxious weed" in terms of South African legislation in 1937. In early control attempts, invading plants were cleared by felling. As the species does not re-sprout after felling, herbicides were not required to treat the cut stumps. After felling, the follicles open to release the seeds, which either germinate or are eaten by rodents. If the area is burnt 12–18 months after felling, the crop of seedlings is destroyed. While this method has proved very effective, it was not used consistently until the mid 1970s. At that time, the then Department of Forestry embarked on an ambitious campaign to clear infestations from the mountain areas under its control (Fenn *1980*). The exact area treated was never recorded, but the goal was to treat all mountain areas between Cape Town and Port Elizabeth (an area of approximately 800 × 200 km) using the fell-and-burn approach over the next 15 years. The clearing program was integrated with a policy that sought to divide the entire area into blocks, which were scheduled for prescribed burning on a 12 year cycle (Bands *1977*). The plan was closely adhered to during the late 1970s, but the impetus was later lost in the 1980s as a result of declining funding, as well as stricter restrictions on the use of prescribed burning (van Wilgen et al. *1997*). Later (in 1996), projects to clear invasive plants were resurrected under the banner of the Working for Water program (van Wilgen et al. *1998*, *2002*). These projects, however, focussed on other invasive alien species such as Australian wattles and boreal pines. For example, 35 and 10% of the national budget of the Working for Water program was spent on wattles and pines, respectively, compared to <2% on Hakea species (Marais et al. *2004*).

Biological control of H. sericea began in 1962 with the introduction of seed-attacking insects from Australia (Kluge and Neser *1991*). These insects were Erytenna consputa, a weevil which destroys developing fruits and Carposina autologa, a moth which destroys the seeds within mature, woody fruits. In 1979, the weevil Cydmaea binotata, which targets growing vegetative plant parts was also introduced. This weevil failed to establish at most sites where it was released, and failed to have any noticeable impact where it did (Kluge and Neser *1991*). It has apparently died out, except possibly at one site (Gordon *1999*). In addition, the bark-attacking indigenous fungus Colletotrichum acutatum (Lubbe et al. *2004*) was noticed killing plants in 1969, and was subsequently developed as a bioherbicide to be applied to either mature plants (Morris *1983*) or seedlings (Morris *1989*). The success of the fungus has not been evaluated, though a number of workers have recorded high levels of mortality in stands of H. sericea caused by this fungus with natural infection levels (Fugler *1983*; Richardson and Manders *1985*; Gordon *1993*). Two further insect agents have been recently released, the stem boring longhorn beetle Aphanasium australe in 2001 (Gordon *2003*), and the flowerbud-feeding weevil Dicomada rufa in 2005 (Kluge and Gordon *2004*). It is anticipated that these last two agents will contribute further to long-term control.

Methods

Comprehensive data on the distribution and density of H. sericea infestations were collected during two surveys 22 years apart. The first survey took place between 1976 and 1978, when Fugler (*1979*) mapped the distribution and density of H. sericea across its known distribution range, using 1:500,000 topographical maps. He divided his study area into one minute squares (approximately 1.6 × 1.6 km) and assigned a density category to each square.

Values were assigned to squares based on a questionnaire-based survey involving all managers of mountain land throughout the area; an earlier survey completed by the Department of Forestry in 1977, in which all mountain slopes were surveyed using binoculars to identify infestations and scattered plants; and information collected from colleagues and members of the Mountain Club of South Africa. The categories used in Fugler's (*1979*) survey were: absent; scattered individual plants; a thicket of plants covering <1 ha; and a thicket of plants covering >1 ha. The recorded grid-cell value therefore represents the densest stand encountered within each ~256 ha grid cell.

The second survey took place between 1991 and 2001, when data on the distribution of all species of Proteaceae were collected for the Protea Atlas Project. The Protea Atlas Project database contains a total of 673 taxa in the family Proteaceae, and included indigenous and alien species. The data were collected by 478 volunteers over 10 years and include over 250,000 species occurrence records. The database is considered to be a nearly complete inventory of Proteaceae in the Region (Grand et al. *2007*). Data included the GPS coordinates of a randomly selected central point in a H. sericea stand representing a circular area with radius of 500 m (~79 ha), as well as an assigned density category. The density categories were: Absent (0); dead plants only; 1–10 individuals recorded as the actual number; 10–100 individuals; 100–10,000 individuals; and >10,000 individuals. Considering that the Protea Atlas Project had many contributors over 10 years, points overlapped and an irregular point-data network resulted, with density values different from the earlier data set.

To facilitate comparison between the two surveys, the later density estimates had to be standardized in both measurement units and in spatial resolution to that of the earlier set. Consequently, the 2001 data were equated as shown in Table 1. A dense H. sericea stand would consist of individual plants growing not more than ~1 m apart. Therefore, 1 ha would support 10,000 or more individuals, equivalent to Fugler's (*1979*) densest category. These data were used to create a new points-data layer that could be compared directly in terms of absence, presence and abundance. Spatial standardization of the 2001 (finer-scale) data was obtained by converting the point data to polygon grid-format by overlaying the ~256 ha grid-cell structure over the irregular point-data set in GIS. The point with the highest density recording value was propagated as density attribute to the containing grid cell, assuring the most conservative invasion density estimate. The degree of difference in density between the two time periods was assessed as the difference in number of density categories, as shown in Table 2 (these changes could be either positive or negative depending on the direction of change).

Table 1
Density categories used to map invasions by Hakea sericea in two studies in 1979 and 2001, and corresponding densities assigned for comparative purposes in this study

Density categories used for comparative purposes in this study	Density categories used in 1979	Density categories used in 2001
High	Stands >1 ha	>10,000 individuals
Moderate	Stands <1 ha	100–10,000 individuals
Low	Scattered individuals	1–10, or 10–100 individuals
Absent	Absent	Dead shrubs only, or absent

Table 2
Values assigned to grid cells in a GIS analysis to indicate the degree of change in density classes mapped in two surveys in 1979 and 2001

	Comparative density recorded in 2001			
		Absent	Low	Moderate	High
Comparative density recorded in 1979	Absent	0	–1	–2	–3
	Low	1	0	–1	–2
	Moderate	2	1	0	–1
	High	3	2	1	0

Positive values indicate declines, and negative values indicate increases in density, respectively

Results

Our analysis suggested large changes in the overall distribution of H. sericea between the two surveys. The species was recorded on ~190,000 ha in 2001, a reduction of 64%, compared to more than 530,000 ha in 1979 (Table 3). In 1979, high density infestations were recorded from ~120,000 ha, and this decreased by 96% to only 4,500 ha in 2001, while the corresponding decreases in the moderate and low categories were 55 and 28%, respectively (Table 3). The species either decreased in density, or was eliminated from ~490,000 ha between 1979 and 2001 (Table 4). About half of this decrease (~230,000 ha) represented a shift of two or more classes, in other words from either high or moderate levels of infestation to absent, or from high to low levels of infestation. The species was also recorded as either appearing in new areas, or increasing in density over ~107,000 ha (Table 4). About 80% of this was an increase of only one density class. A small amount of land (~32,000 ha) showed no change. Overall, our comparison suggests that H. sericea became less widespread and less dense over most of its range between the two surveys.

Table 3

The total area (ha) occupied by Hakea sericea infestations in three density categories (see Table 1) estimated in two surveys in 1979 and 2001

Density	1979	2001
Low	254,789	140,521
Moderate	154,419	43,291
High	121,736	4,500
Total	531,229	191,094

Table 4

Area experiencing varying degrees of change in density between two surveys of Hakea sericea

Degree of change (number of classes difference between 1979 and 2001)	Possibilities	Area (ha)
3	High to absent	98,067
2	Moderate to absent or high to low	131,983
1	Low to absent; moderate to low; high to moderate	262,063
0	No change	31,929
−1	Absent to low, low to moderate, moderate to high	84,839
−2	Absent to moderate or low to high	19,912
−3	Absent to high	2,441

Discussion

The effectiveness of mechanical clearing

The substantial decreases of two or more density categories observed between 1979 and 2001 would almost certainly have come about largely as a result of active clearing and burning, rather than from biological control. The insect biological control agents that have been released would have reduced the seed loads of living plants, but would not have killed adult plants. Observations from several sites suggest strongly that the fell-and-burn technique is extremely effective, resulting in large reductions in the density of invasive Hakea shrubs at sites (van Wilgen and Kruger *1981*; Holmes et al. *2000*). On the other hand, much smaller areas experienced an increase in the density of invasion, with 2,500 ha going from absent to high, and ~20,000 ha going from either absent to moderate, or low to high. We assume that this would have happened in areas where no control effort had been made (either remote areas, or privately-owned land where government clearing programs were not active). Our results support the observation that the initial clearing programs in the late 1970s and early 1980s contributed to sharp declines in density of H. sericea stands over almost half of its range.

In the mid-1980s, the prescribed burning program, a key part of the Hakea control program, started to fall behind schedule. For example, 39 and 41 prescribed block burns were carried out in 1981 and 1982, respectively, compared to 10 and 11 in 1987 and 1988, respectively (van Wilgen et al. *1990*). The two reasons behind this were declining funds, and policies that were introduced to limit prescribed burning to a very narrow seasonal window for ecological reasons (van Wilgen et al. *1990*, *1997*). Over the past 20 years, fire regimes in the area have been dominated by wildfires, rather than prescribed burns. During this time, wildfires burnt 90% of the area, mostly in a relatively small number of very large fires (Forsyth and van Wilgen *2007*). Management (felling followed by prescribed burning) was thus not a dominant feature of the landscapes infested by H. sericea over the past two decades.

The effectiveness of introduced seed-attacking insects
Hakea sericea is well adapted to survive repeated fires, and aggressive re-invasion of the cleared range, or even expansion beyond the 1979 range could have been expected when the rate of mechanical clearing slowed considerably. The widespread presence of seed-attacking insects provides a logical explanation for this not having happened (Table 3) despite significant declines in the intensity of the clearing program in the late 1980s (van Wilgen et al. *1990*, *1997*). A simulation model developed to examine the effects of biological control on the dispersal of H. sericea in fynbos areas predicted reduced population growth rates, reduced maximum seed dispersal distances and a reduction in the formation of new invasion foci (Le Maitre et al. *2008*). The time between surveys in 1979 and 2001 (22 years) would be sufficient for at least one, and possibly two fires to have occurred (mean fire return periods in fynbos vegetation are around 12–15 years). In the absence of seed-attacking insects, populations could have been expected to (1) increase in density after each fire in areas where they already occurred, and (2) have established new foci in adjacent areas (within 1–2 km) through the dispersal of a small number of seeds to these new sites. The number of seeds available for both processes would have been orders of magnitude less in the presence of seed-attacking insects, than if they had been absent. Le Maitre et al. (*2008*) found that in mature (10 years post-fire) H. sericea plants, 99% of young follicles were destroyed by Erytenna consputa, while 80% of mature follicles were destroyed by Carposina autologa. Overall, seed production was reduced in these stands by around 95%. Their model predicted that this level of predation would have reduced population growth rates, maximum seed dispersal distances and the formation of new invasion foci, as noted above. In the virtual absence of mechanical control programs after the mid-1980s, the presence of seed-attacking insects provides an explanation for the failure of this previously aggressive invading species to expand its range.

The effectiveness of fungal infections
The impact of the indigenous pathogenic fungus Colletotrichum acutatum on populations of H. sericea has never been accurately assessed. Earlier attempts to model the impact of this fungus predicted 82% mortality in infected stands after 10 years, based on observations in a single stand (Richardson and Manders *1985*). However, whether or not this level of mortality is currently achieved by natural spread is unclear, and the impact of the fungus appears to vary considerably across the climatic range of H. sericea in South Africa. The bioherbicides that were developed based on this fungus have not been used to any large extent following an initial wide dispersal effort. Nonetheless, the fungus maintains fairly high levels of infection in populations of H. sericea across a wide range, often resulting in considerable mortality of adult plants (ARW and BvW personal observation). These levels of infection presumably affect the ability of the plant to produce copious amounts of seed as well as thinning plant populations to some extent. These effects, when added to those of seed-attacking insects, would presumably have further reduced the rates of spread of H. sericea after fires. Active implementation, as required for bioherbicides, would increase the contribution of the fungus to the overall control of the weed.

Implications for management

The ability of H. sericea to increase in numbers, spread, and to establish distant foci, has been severely limited through biological control (Le Maitre et al. *2008*). This, in turn, should significantly reduce the costs associated with both the clearing of sites prior to fire, as well as the follow-up operations that are necessary to clear seedlings after unplanned wildfires. The initial clearing of dense stands of H. sericea (75–100% canopy cover) costs about 70 US $ /ha compared with about 7 US $/ha for sparse stands with 1–5% cover (Marais et al. *2004*). The reductions in the density of H. sericea reduce clearing costs by 50–90% (Le Maitre et al. *2008*; Moran et al. *2004*). If managers were to continue to clear and burn areas invaded by H. sericea in future, considerable savings in cost and effectiveness could therefore be expected. However, given the observation that aggressive spread of the weed appears to have been arrested by seed-feeding insects, possibly augmented by an indigenous pathogenic fungus, managers should seriously consider whether further mechanical control would be necessary at all. In some cases, especially where there is competition for scarce resources to control a number of different invasive species, it may well be a viable option to leave the control of H. sericea to biological control alone. The species-rich fynbos areas that are invaded by H. sericea are simultaneously under threat from invasion by alien pine trees (Pinus species). Pines have similar ecological traits to hakeas, in that they retain seeds in serotinous cones, and in that seeds are released after fire and dispersed by wind. They also have very similar impacts on the environment. Pine species were considered at one stage as possible targets for biological control (Moran et al. *2000*). This project had to be abandoned, however, as pines have significant commercial value, and the introduction of cone-feeding insects was considered a possible risk due to their potential as vectors for the spread of pitch canker (caused by Fusarium circinatum).

The danger in fire-prone fynbos areas is that pines may simply replace H. sericea as the dominant invasive species, as the latter is brought under control. We would propose, therefore, that managers seriously consider the option of the mechanical control of H. sericea in some cases. In such cases, for example where funds are limiting, and H. sericea infestations are widespread and difficult to access, it may be effective to rely on biological control alone, and to focus any mechanical control effort on pines. Where invasive pines and hakeas co-occur (which is in most areas), managers could simply fell only the pines prior to burning, as this would reduce the costs of pre-fire treatment considerably, and in the long term could make a bigger contribution to the long-term conservation of these areas. A co-ordinated, comprehensive and long term control program maximising the impact of all the biological control agents needs to be implemented against H. sericea, to ensure that the level of control reported on here is maintained and improved. Some limited supplementary mechanical control would still be required in such a program, yet the overall cost will be reduced allowing the above suggested targeting of other species for mechanical control. Although limited in extent, the observed increase in density and invasion of previously clear areas, provides a warning that if this program is not implemented, H. sericea will become a serious weed again.

References

Bands DP (1977) Prescribed burning in Cape fynbos catchments. In: Mooney HA, Conrad CE (eds) Proceedings of the symposium on the environmental consequences of fire and fuel management in Mediterranean ecosystems. Palo Alto California, August 1977. USDA Forest Service general Technical report WO-3, pp 245–256.

Fenn JA (1980) Control of Hakea in the Western Cape. In: Proceedings of the Third National Weeds Conference of South Africa, Balkema, Cape Town.

Forsyth GG, van Wilgen BW (2007) Analysis of the fire history records from protected areas in the Western Cape. Report CSIR/NRE/ECO/ER/2007/0118/C. CSIR, Stellenbosch.

Fugler SR (1979) Some aspects of the autecology of three Hakea species in the Cape Province, South Africa. Dissertation, University of Cape Town.

Fugler SR (1983) The control of silky hakea in South Africa. Bothalia 14:977–980.

Gordon AJ (1993) The impact of the Hakea seed-moth Carposina autologa (Carposinidae) on the canopy-stored seeds of the weed Hakea sericea (Proteaceae). Agric Ecosyst Environ 45:103–113.

Gordon AJ (1999) A review of established and new insect agents for the biological control of Hakea sericea Schrader (Proteaceae). South Africa. Afr Entomol mem 1:35–43.

Gordon AJ (2003) Biology and host range of the stem-boring beetle Aphanasium australe, a promising agent for the biological control of Hakea sericea in South Africa. BioControl 49:341–355.

Grand J, Cummings MP, Rebelo TG et al (2007) Biased data reduce efficiency and effectiveness of conservation reserve networks. Ecol Lett 10:364–374.

Holmes PM, Richardson DM, van Wilgen BW et al (2000) The recovery of South African fynbos vegetation following alien tree clearing and fire: implications for restoration. Austral Ecol 25:631–639.

Kluge RL, Gordon AJ (2004) The fixed plot survey method for determining the host range of the flowerbud-feeding weevil Dicomada rufa, a candidate for the biological control of Hakea sericea in South Africa. BioControl 48:113–122.

Kluge RL, Neser S (1991) Biological control of Hakea sericea (Proteaceae) in South Africa. Agric Ecosyst Environ 37:91–113.

Kluge RL, Richardson DM (1983) Progress in the fight against hakea. Veld & Flora 69:136–138.

Le Maitre DC, van Wilgen BW, Chapman RA et al (1996) Invasive plants and water resources in the Western Cape Province, South Africa: modelling the consequences of a lack of management. J Appl Ecol 33:161–172.

Le Maitre DC, Krug RM, Hoffman JH et al (2008) Hakea sericea: development of a model of the impacts of biological control on population dynamics and rates of spread of an invasive species. Ecol Model 212:342–358.

Lubbe CM, Denman S, Cannon PF et al (2004) Characterization of Colletotrichum species associated with diseases of Proteaceae. Mycologia 96:1268–1279.

Macdonald IAW, Richardson DM (1986) Alien species in the terrestrial ecosystems of the fynbos. In: Macdonald IAW, Kruger FJ et al (eds) The ecology and management of biological invasions in Southern Africa. Oxford University Press, Cape Town, pp 77–91.

Marais C, van Wilgen BW, Stevens D (2004) The clearing of invasive alien plants in South Africa: a preliminary assessment of costs and progress. S Afr J Sci 100:97–103.

Moody ME, Mack RN (1988) Controlling the spread of plant invasions: the importance of nascent foci. J Appl Ecol 25:1009–1021.

Mooney HA, Mack RN, Mcneely JA et al (2005) Invasive alien species: a new synthesis. Island Press, Washington.

Moran VC, Hoffmann J, Donnelly D et al (2000) Biological control of alien invasive pine trees (Pinus species) in South Africa. In: Spencer NR (ed) Proceedings of the Xth International Symposium on Biological Control of Weeds, CSIRO Entomology, Canberra, Australia, pp 941–953.

Moran VC, Hoffmann JH, Olkers T (2004) Politics and ecology in the management of alien woody invasive woody trees: the pivotal role of biocontrol agents that diminish seed production. In: Cullen JM et al (eds) Proceedings of the XI International Symposium on Biological Control of Weeds, CSIRO Entomology, Canberra, Australia, pp 434–439.

Morris MJ (1983) Evaluation of field trials with Colletotrichum gloeosporioides for the biological control of Hakea sericea. Phytophylactica 15:13–16.

Morris MJ (1989) A method for controlling Hakea sericea Schrad. seedlings using the fungus Colletotrichum gloeosporioides (Penz.) Sacc. Weed Res 29:449–454.

Neser S, Fugler SR (1983) Silky hakea. In: Stirton CH (ed) Plant invaders: beautiful but dangerous. Department of Nature and Environment Conservation of the Cape Provincial Administration, Cape Town, pp 76–79.

Richardson DM, Brown PJ (1986) Invasion of mesic mountain fynbos by Pinus radiata. S Afr J Bot 52:529–536.

Richardson DM, Manders PT (1985) Predicting pathogen-induced mortality in Hakea sericea (Proteaceae), an aggressive alien plant invader in South Africa. Ann Appl Biol 106:243–254.

Richardson DM, van Wilgen BW, Mitchell DT (1987) Aspects of the reproductive ecology of four Australian Hakea species (Proteaceae) in South Africa. Oecologia 71:1432–1939.

Stirton CH (1978) Plant invaders: beautiful, but dangerous. Department of Nature Conservation of the Cape, Cape Town, p 175.

van Wilgen BW, Kruger FJ (1981) Observations on the effects of fire in mountain fynbos at Zachariashoek, Paarl. S Afr J Bot 47:195–212.

van Wilgen BW, Richardson DM (1985) The effects of alien shrub invasions on vegetation structure and fire behaviour in South African fynbos shrublands: a simulation study. J Appl Ecol 22:955–966.

van Wilgen BW, Everson CS, Trollope WSW (1990) Fire management in southern Africa: some examples of current objectives, practices and problems. In: Goldammer JG (ed) Fire in the tropical biota: ecosystem processes and global challenges. Springer, Berlin, pp 179–209.

van Wilgen BW, Little PR, Chapman RA et al (1997) The sustainable development of water resources: history, financial costs and benefits of alien plant control programmes. S Afr J Sci 93:404–411.

van Wilgen BW, Le Maitre DC, Cowling RM et al (1998) Ecosystem services, efficiency, sustainability and equity: South Africa's working for water programme. Trends Ecol Evol 13:378.

van Wilgen BW, Marais C, Magadlela D (2002) Win-win-win: South Africa's Working for Water programme. In: Pierce SM et al (eds) Mainstreaming biodiversity in development: case studies from South Africa. Washington DC, The World Bank, pp 5–20.

Versfeld DB, van Wilgen BW (1986) Impact of woody aliens on ecosystem properties. In: Kruger FJ et al (eds) The ecology and management of biological invasions in Southern Africa. Oxford University Press, Cape Town, pp 239–257.

Wicht CL (1945) Preservation of the vegetation of the south-western Cape. Special publication of the Royal Society of South Africa, Cape Town.